

**$\Upsilon(10860)$**

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

## **$\Upsilon(10860)$ MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>10885.2^{+ 2.6}_{- 1.6}</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$10885.3 \pm 1.5^{+ 2.2}_{- 0.9}$	<sup>1</sup> MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10884.7 \pm 3.6^{+ 8.9}_{- 3.4 - 1.0}$	<sup>2</sup> MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
$10882 \pm 1$	<sup>3</sup> DONG	20A	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
$10881.8 \pm 1.0^{+ 1.0}_{- 1.1} \pm 1.2$	<sup>4,5</sup> SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$10891.1 \pm 3.2^{+ 1.2}_{- 2.0}$	<sup>6,7</sup> SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10879 \pm 3$	<sup>8,9</sup> CHEN	10	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$10888.4 \pm 2.7^{+ 2.7}_{- 2.6} \pm 1.2$	<sup>10</sup> CHEN	10	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$10876 \pm 2$	<sup>8</sup> AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$10869 \pm 2$	<sup>11</sup> AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$10868 \pm 6 \pm 5$	<sup>12</sup> BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$10845 \pm 20$	<sup>13</sup> LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

<sup>1</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\Upsilon(10860)$ .

<sup>2</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2$  cross sections at 22 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each  $n$ ). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

<sup>3</sup> From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

<sup>5</sup> Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence and related interference contributions.

<sup>6</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 25 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single universal relative phase, and three decoherence coefficients, one for each  $n$ ). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

<sup>7</sup> Superseded by MIZUK 19.

<sup>8</sup> In a model where a flat non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

- <sup>9</sup> The parameters of the  $\Upsilon(11020)$  are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.  
<sup>10</sup> In a model where a flat nonresonant  $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$  continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.  
<sup>11</sup> In a model where a non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at  $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$  is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.  
<sup>12</sup> Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in  $R$ .  
<sup>13</sup> In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in  $R$ .
- 

## $\Upsilon(10860)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>37 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$36.6^{+4.5}_{-3.9}{}^{+0.5}_{-1.1}$	<sup>1</sup> MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
$40.6^{+12.7}_{-8.0}{}^{+1.1}_{-19.1}$	<sup>2</sup> MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$49.5 \pm 1.5$	<sup>3</sup> DONG	20A	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$
$48.5^{+1.9}_{-1.8}{}^{+2.0}_{-2.8}$	<sup>4,5</sup> SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$53.7^{+7.1}_{-5.6}{}^{+1.3}_{-5.4}$	<sup>6,7</sup> SANTEL	16	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$46^{+9}_{-7}$	<sup>8,9</sup> CHEN	10	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$30.7^{+8.3}_{-7.0} \pm 3.1$	<sup>10</sup> CHEN	10	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
$43 \pm 4$	<sup>8</sup> AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$74 \pm 4$	<sup>11</sup> AUBERT	09E	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$112 \pm 17 \pm 23$	<sup>12</sup> BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$110 \pm 15$	<sup>13</sup> LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

<sup>1</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\Upsilon(10860)$ .

<sup>2</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $h_b(nP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2$  cross sections at 22 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.77\text{--}11.02$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with eight resonance parameters (a mass and width for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single relative phase, a single relative amplitude, and two overall normalization factors, one for each  $n$ ). The systematic error estimate is dominated by possible interference with a small nonresonant continuum amplitude.

<sup>3</sup> From a fit to the dressed cross sections of AUBERT 09E by BaBar and SANTEL 16 by Belle above 10.68 GeV with a coherent sum of a continuum amplitude and three Breit-Wigner functions with constant widths.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit to the total hadronic cross sections measured at 60 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.82\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes and two floating continuum amplitudes with  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence, one coherent with the resonances and one incoherent, with six resonance parameters (a mass, width, and an amplitude for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , one relative phase, and one decoherence coefficient).

<sup>5</sup> Not including uncertain and potentially large systematic errors due to assumed continuum amplitude  $1/\sqrt{s}$  dependence and related interference contributions.

<sup>6</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 25 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV to a pair of interfering Breit-Wigner amplitudes modified by phase space factors, with fourteen resonance parameters (a mass, width, and three amplitudes for each of  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$ , a single universal relative phase,

and three decoherence coefficients, one for each  $n$ ). Continuum contributions were measured (and therefore fixed) to be zero.

<sup>7</sup> Superseded by MIZUK 19.

<sup>8</sup> In a model where a flat non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>9</sup> The parameters of the  $\Upsilon(11020)$  are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.

<sup>10</sup> In a model where a flat nonresonant  $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$  continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.

<sup>11</sup> In a model where a non-resonant  $b\bar{b}$ -continuum represented by a threshold function at  $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$  is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

<sup>12</sup> Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in  $R$ .

<sup>13</sup> In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in  $R$ .

## $\Upsilon(10860)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 B\bar{B}X$	( 76.2 $^{+2.7}_{-4.0}$ ) %	
$\Gamma_2 B\bar{B}$	( 5.5 $\pm 1.0$ ) %	
$\Gamma_3 B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.}$	( 13.7 $\pm 1.6$ ) %	
$\Gamma_4 B^*\bar{B}^*$	( 38.1 $\pm 3.4$ ) %	
$\Gamma_5 B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi$	< 19.7 %	90%
$\Gamma_6 B\bar{B}\pi$	( 0.0 $\pm 1.2$ ) %	
$\Gamma_7 B^*\bar{B}\pi + B\bar{B}^*\pi$	( 7.3 $\pm 2.3$ ) %	
$\Gamma_8 B^*\bar{B}^*\pi$	( 1.0 $\pm 1.4$ ) %	
$\Gamma_9 B\bar{B}\pi\pi$	< 8.9 %	90%
$\Gamma_{10} B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	( 20.1 $\pm 3.1$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{11} B_s\bar{B}_s$	( 5 $\pm 5$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{12} B_s\bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.}$	( 1.35 $\pm 0.32$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{13} B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*$	( 17.6 $\pm 2.7$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{14}$ no open-bottom	( 3.8 $^{+5.0}_{-0.5}$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{15} e^+e^-$	( 8.3 $\pm 2.1$ ) $\times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{16} K^*(892)^0\bar{K}^0$	< 1.0 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{17} \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	( 5.3 $\pm 0.6$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{18} \Upsilon(1S)\eta$	( 8.5 $\pm 1.7$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{19} \Upsilon(1S)\eta'$	< 6.9 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{20} \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	( 7.8 $\pm 1.3$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{21} \Upsilon(2S)\eta$	( 4.1 $\pm 0.6$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{22} \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	( 4.8 $^{+1.9}_{-1.7}$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{23} \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$	( 6.1 $\pm 1.8$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{24} \eta\Upsilon_J(1D)$	( 4.8 $\pm 1.1$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	

$\Gamma_{25}$	$h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	( -3.5 $\pm 1.0$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{26}$	$h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	( -5.7 $\pm 1.7$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{27}$	$\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	( -2.5 $\pm 2.3$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{28}$	$\chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 6.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{29}$	$\chi_{b0}(1P)\omega$	< 3.9 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{30}$	$\chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	< 4.8 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{31}$	$\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	( -1.85 $\pm 0.33$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{32}$	$\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega$	( -1.57 $\pm 0.30$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{33}$	$\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	( -5.2 $\pm 1.9$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{34}$	$\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	( -1.17 $\pm 0.30$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{35}$	$\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega$	( -6.0 $\pm 2.7$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{36}$	$\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega}$	( -6. $\pm 4$ ) $\times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{37}$	$\gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)\omega$	< 3.8 $\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{38}$	$\eta_b(1S)\omega$	< 1.3 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{39}$	$\eta_b(2S)\omega$	< 5.6 $\times 10^{-3}$	90%

### Inclusive Decays.

These decay modes are submodes of one or more of the decay modes above.

$\Gamma_{40}$	$\phi$ anything	( 13.8 $\pm 2.4$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{41}$	$D^0$ anything + c.c.	( 112 $\pm 6$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{42}$	$D_s$ anything + c.c.	( 44.7 $\pm 2.6$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{43}$	$J/\psi$ anything	( 2.06 $\pm 0.21$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{44}$	$B^0$ anything + c.c.	( 77 $\pm 8$ ) %	
$\Gamma_{45}$	$B^+$ anything + c.c.	( 72 $\pm 6$ ) %	

## $\Upsilon(10860)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$			$\Gamma_{15}$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.31 <math>\pm 0.07</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.		
0.22 $\pm 0.05$ $\pm 0.07$	BESSON	85	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
0.365 $\pm 0.070$	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			$\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1.09 $\pm 0.34$	1,2 MIZUK	19	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> From a simultaneous fit to the  $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$  GeV, including the initial-state radiation at  $\Upsilon(10860)$ .

<sup>2</sup> Reported as the range 0.75–1.43 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (eV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$2.58 \pm 1.22$	1,2 MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
1 From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ , $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$ .			
2 Reported as the range 1.35–3.80 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.			

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-) \times \Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (eV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$0.73 \pm 0.30$	1,2 MIZUK	19 BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$
1 From a simultaneous fit to the $\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ , $n = 1, 2, 3$ , cross sections at 28 energy points within $\sqrt{s} = 10.6\text{--}11.05$ GeV, including the initial-state radiation at $\Upsilon(10860)$ .			
2 Reported as the range 0.43–1.03 eV obtained from multiple solutions of an amplitude fit within a model composed as a sum of Breit-Wigner functions.			

## $\Upsilon(10860)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

“OUR EVALUATION” is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The averages and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) and are described at <https://hflav.web.cern.ch/>.

 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.762^{+0.027}_{-0.043}</math> OUR EVALUATION</b>		(Produced by HFLAV)		
<b><math>0.71 \pm 0.06</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$0.737 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.051$ 1063				
1 DRUTSKOY				
$0.589 \pm 0.100 \pm 0.092$ 2 HUANG				
2 CLEO				
$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$				
1 Not independent of DRUTSKOY 10 values for $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^{\pm,0}$ anything.				
2 Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.				

 $\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-2}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>5.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9} \pm 0.4</math></b>		1 DRUTSKOY	10 BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<13.8 90 2 HUANG 07 CLEO $\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons				
1 Assuming isospin conservation.				
2 Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.				

 $\Gamma(B\bar{B})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.22</b>	90	AQUINES	06 CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.137±0.016 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.137±0.013±0.011	1 DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
0.143±0.053±0.027	2 HUANG 07	CLEO	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming isospin conservation.<sup>2</sup> Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.
 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.24±0.09±0.03</b>	10	AQUINES	06	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.381±0.034 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.375 <sup>+0.021</sup> <sub>-0.019</sub> ±0.030	1 DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X, B^0 X$
0.436±0.083±0.072	2 HUANG 07	CLEO	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming isospin conservation.<sup>2</sup> Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.
 $\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.74±0.15±0.08</b>	31	AQUINES	06	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.197</b>	90	1 HUANG 07	CLEO	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.
 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.32</b>	90	AQUINES	06	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0±1.2±0.3</b>	0	1 DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming isospin conservation.
 $[\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}\pi) + \Gamma(B\bar{B}^*\pi)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>7.3<sup>+2.3</sup><sub>-2.1</sub>±0.8</b>	38	1 DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming isospin conservation.
 $\Gamma(B^*\bar{B}^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.0<sup>+1.4</sup><sub>-1.3</sub>±0.4</b>	5	1 DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming isospin conservation.

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.089</b>	90	1 HUANG	07	CLEO $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

<sup>1</sup> Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.

$\Gamma(B\bar{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.14</b>	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3 $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})/\Gamma$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>

**0.201<sup>+0.030</sup><sub>-0.031</sub> OUR EVALUATION**

**0.189<sup>+0.027</sup><sub>-0.021</sub> OUR AVERAGE**

$0.172 \pm 0.030$	<sup>1</sup> ESEN	13	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, D_s X$
$0.21 \begin{array}{l} +0.06 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	<sup>2</sup> HUANG	07	CLEO	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D_s X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.180 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.032$	<sup>3</sup> DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, D_s X$
$0.160 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.058$	<sup>4</sup> ARTUSO	05B	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s X$

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.

<sup>2</sup> Supersedes ARTUSO 05B. Combining inclusive  $\phi$ ,  $D_s$ , and  $B$  measurements. Using  $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = 4.4 \pm 0.6\%$  from PDG 06.

<sup>3</sup> Using  $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$  from PDG 06.

<sup>4</sup> Uses a model-dependent estimate  $B(B_s \rightarrow D_s X) = (92 \pm 11)\%$ .

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma(B\bar{B}X)$	$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>

**0.264<sup>+0.052</sup><sub>-0.045</sub> OUR EVALUATION**

$\Gamma(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{13}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$			
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>87.8 \pm 1.5</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$87.0 \pm 1.7$	1,2 ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
$90.5 \pm 3.2 \pm 0.1$	227 LI	12	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta(\prime)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$90.1 \begin{array}{l} +3.8 \\ -4.0 \end{array} \pm 0.2$	<sup>4</sup> LOUVOT	09	BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$
$93 \begin{array}{l} +7 \\ -9 \end{array} \pm 1$	<sup>4</sup> DRUTSKOY	07A	BELL	Superseded by LOUVOT 09

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes LOUVOT 09.

<sup>2</sup> With  $N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$ .

<sup>3</sup> The ratios  $N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) / N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$  and  $N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^0) / N(B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$  are measured with a correlation coefficient of  $-0.72$ .

<sup>4</sup> From a measurement of  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) / \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)})$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.86$  GeV.

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$			
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>2.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}</math></b>	LOUVOT 09	BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$	

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*)$	$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{13}$			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.16</b>	90	BONVICINI 06	CLE3	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$	$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{12}/(\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{13})$			
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>6.7 \pm 1.2</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				

$7.3 \pm 1.4$	1,2 ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$
$4.9 \pm 2.5 \pm 0.0$	227 LI	12	BELL	$B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta(l)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.3^{+3.3}_{-3.0} \pm 0.1$	LOUVOT 09	BELL	$10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$
-----------------------------	-----------	------	---

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes LOUVOT 09.

<sup>2</sup> With  $N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$ .

<sup>3</sup> The ratios  $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$  and  $N(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^0) / N(B_s^{(*)} \bar{B}_s^{(*)})$  are measured with a correlation coefficient of  $-0.72$ .

$\Gamma(B_s \bar{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^* \bar{B}_s^*)$	$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_{13}$			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.16</b>	90	BONVICINI 06	CLE3	$e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\text{no open-bottom})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$			
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>0.038^{+0.051}_{-0.005}</math> OUR EVALUATION</b>				

$\Gamma(K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$			
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt;1.0 \times 10^{-5}</math></b>	90	SHEN 13A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 \bar{K}^0$

$\Gamma(\eta \gamma(1D))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>4.82 \pm 0.92 \pm 0.67</math></b>	1 TAMPONI 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(5S) \rightarrow \eta X$	

<sup>1</sup> Mainly  $J = 2$ , assumes no continuum contribution under  $\gamma(5S)$ .

$\Gamma(\gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>5.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5</math></b>	325	1 CHEN 08	BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.85 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08</math></b>	1,2 KOVALENKO 21	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

<sup>2</sup> Using a data sample of  $118.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$ .

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\eta')/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>&lt;6.9 \times 10^{-5}</math></b>	90	1,2 KOVALENKO 21	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

<sup>2</sup> Using a data sample of  $118.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$ .

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>7.8 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.1</math></b>	186	1 CHEN 08	BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>4.13 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.37</math></b>	1,2 KOVALENKO 21	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(5S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

<sup>2</sup> Using a data sample of  $118.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  of  $e^+ e^-$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.866 \text{ GeV}$ .

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>4.8^{+1.8}_{-1.5} \pm 0.7</math></b>	10	1 CHEN 08	BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

 $\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>6.1^{+1.6}_{-1.4} \pm 1.0</math></b>	20	1 CHEN 08	BELL	$10.87 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the  $\Upsilon(5S)$  resonance.

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma_{20}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.45 \pm 0.08^{+0.07}_{-0.12}</math></b>	ADACHI	12	BELL $10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma_{20}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.77 \pm 0.08^{+0.22}_{-0.17}</math></b>	ADACHI	12	BELL $10.86 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma_{26}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.616 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.017</math></b>	MIZUK	16	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_b(1P, 2P)\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\chi_{bJ}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>2.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 2.2</math></b>	YIN	18	BELL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>&lt;6.3 \times 10^{-3}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>&lt;3.9 \times 10^{-3}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b0}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>&lt;4.8 \times 10^{-3}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1.85 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.23</math></b>	80	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1.57 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.21</math></b>	60	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.52 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11</math></b>	24	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

 $\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-3}$ )	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>1.17 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.14</math></b>	29	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma\gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.60±0.23±0.15</b>	13	1 HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma \gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)\omega)/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)\omega)$	$\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma_{32}$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.38±0.16±0.09	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma \gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Accounting for correlated systematics.

$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.61±0.22±0.28</b>	16	1 HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma \gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14.

$\Gamma(\chi_{b2}(1P)(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})/\Gamma(\chi_{b1}(1P)(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)_{\text{non-}\omega})$	$\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma_{33}$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.20±0.55±0.65	<sup>1</sup> HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma \gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Accounting for correlated systematics.

$\Gamma(\eta_b(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;1.3 × 10<sup>-3</sup></b>	90	1 OSKIN	20	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $\sigma_{b\bar{b}} = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from TAMPONI 15.

$\Gamma(\eta_b(2S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;5.6 × 10<sup>-3</sup></b>	90	1 OSKIN	20	BELL $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega X$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $\sigma_{b\bar{b}} = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from TAMPONI 15.

$\Gamma(\gamma X_b \rightarrow \gamma \gamma(1S)\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;3.8 × 10<sup>-5</sup></b>	90	1 HE	14	BELL $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma \gamma(1S)$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that all the  $b\bar{b}$  events are from  $\gamma(5S)$  resonance decays and using  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 0.340 \pm 0.016$  nb from ESEN 13. Correlated with other results from HE 14. For a state  $X_b$  with mass between 10.55 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> and 10.65 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, the obtained 90% upper limit as a function of  $m_{X_b}$  varies from  $2.6 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ .

$\Gamma(\phi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.138±0.007<sup>+0.023</sup><sub>-0.015</sub></b>	HUANG	07	CLEO $\gamma(5S) \rightarrow \phi X$

$\Gamma(D^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b><math>1.117 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.060</math></b>	<sup>1</sup> ZHUKOVA 23	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, \bar{D}^0 X$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$1.076 \pm 0.040 \pm 0.068$	DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D^0 X, \bar{D}^0 X$	
<sup>1</sup> Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.				
$\Gamma(D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b><math>0.447 \pm 0.026 \text{ OUR AVERAGE}</math></b>				
$0.447 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.027$	<sup>1</sup> ZHUKOVA 23	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$	
$0.44 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04$	<sup>2</sup> ARTUSO 05B	CLE3	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$0.472 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.072$	<sup>3</sup> DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow D_s^\pm X$	
<sup>1</sup> Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.				
<sup>2</sup> ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\gamma(10860) \rightarrow D_s \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)] = 0.0198 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0038$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.				
<sup>3</sup> Using $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 06.				
$\Gamma(J/\psi \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b><math>2.060 \pm 0.160 \pm 0.134</math></b>	DRUTSKOY 07	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$	
$\Gamma(B^0 \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{44}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.770^{+0.058}_{-0.056} \pm 0.061</math></b>	352	DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^0 X$
$\Gamma(B^+ \text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{45}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.721^{+0.039}_{-0.038} \pm 0.050</math></b>	711	DRUTSKOY 10	BELL	$\gamma(5S) \rightarrow B^+ X$

## $\gamma(10860)$ REFERENCES

ZHUKOVA	23	JHEP 2308 131	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
KOVALENKO	21	PR D104 112006	E. Kovalenko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DONG	20A	CP C44 083001	X.-K. Dong <i>et al.</i>	
OSKIN	20	PR D102 092011	P. Oskin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	19	JHEP 1910 220	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	18	EPJ C78 633	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
YIN	18	PR D98 091102	J.H. Yin <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
MIZUK	16	PRL 117 142001	R. Mizuk <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SANTEL	16	PR D93 011101	D. Santel <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
TAMPONI	15	PRL 115 142001	U. Tamponi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HE	14	PRL 113 142001	X.H. He <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ESEN	13	PR D87 031101	S. Esen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SHEN	13A	PR D88 052019	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	12	PRL 108 181808	J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)

CHEN	10	PR D82 091106	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	10	PR D81 112003	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LOUVOT	09	PRL 102 021801	R. Louvot <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	08	PRL 100 112001	K.-F. Chen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07	PRL 98 052001	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07A	PR D76 012002	A. Drutskoy <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AQUINES	06	PRL 96 152001	O. Aquines <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	06	PRL 96 022002	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock <i>et al.</i>	(CUSB Collab.)