

# $\psi(4040)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

## $\psi(4040)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4039.6 ± 4.3</b>	1 ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
4034 ± 6	2 MO	10 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4037 ± 2	3 SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 1	4 SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	$e^+ e^-$

<sup>1</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ , and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to  $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$ .

<sup>2</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances and including interference effects.

<sup>3</sup> From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

<sup>4</sup> From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

## $\psi(4040)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>84.5 ± 12.3</b>	5 ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
87 ± 11	6 MO	10 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
85 ± 10	7 SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
89 ± 6	8 SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
52 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	$e^+ e^-$

<sup>5</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ , and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to  $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$ .

<sup>6</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances and including interference effects.

<sup>7</sup> From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

<sup>8</sup> From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

## $\psi(4040)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the  $c\bar{c}$  threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective  $\sqrt{s}$  near this particle’s central mass value, more (less) than  $2\sigma$  above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in  $\sqrt{s}$  or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 e^+ e^-$	$(1.02 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_2 D\bar{D}$	seen	
$\Gamma_3 D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen	
$\Gamma_4 D^+D^-$	seen	
$\Gamma_5 D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
$\Gamma_6 D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
$\Gamma_7 D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
$\Gamma_8 D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen	
$\Gamma_9 D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	seen	
$\Gamma_{10} D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-$	seen	
$\Gamma_{11} D\bar{D}\pi (\text{excl. } D^*\bar{D})$	not seen	
$\Gamma_{12} D^0D^-\pi^++\text{c.c.} (\text{excl. } D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.})$	not seen	
$\Gamma_{13} D\bar{D}^*\pi (\text{excl. } D^*\bar{D}^*)$	not seen	
$\Gamma_{14} D^0\bar{D}^{*-}\pi^++\text{c.c.} (\text{excl. } D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-)$	seen	
$\Gamma_{15} D_s^+D_s^-$	seen	
$\Gamma_{16} \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^0$	seen	
$\Gamma_{17} J/\psi(1S)\text{hadrons}$	seen	
$\Gamma_{18} J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{19} J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{20} J/\psi\eta$	$(5.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{21} J/\psi\pi^0$	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{22} J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{23} \chi_{c1}\gamma$	$< 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{24} \chi_{c2}\gamma$	$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{25} \chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 1.1 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_{26} \chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 3.2 \%$	90%
$\Gamma_{27} h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{28} \phi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{29} \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{30} \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{31} \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{32} \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$	$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_{33} \Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{34} \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{35} \Xi^+\bar{\Xi}^-$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{36} \Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0$	$< 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{37} \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$	$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{38} \mu^+\mu^-$	$(9 \pm 6) \times 10^{-6}$	

**$\psi(4040)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS** **$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$**  **$\Gamma_1$** 

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.86 \pm 0.07</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
<b><math>0.83 \pm 0.20</math></b>	<sup>9</sup> ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
0.6 to 1.4	<sup>10</sup> MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.88 \pm 0.11$	<sup>11</sup> SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.91 \pm 0.13$	<sup>12</sup> SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
$0.75 \pm 0.15$	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	$e^+e^-$
<sup>9</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$ , $\psi(4040)$ , $\psi(4160)$ , and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$ .			
<sup>10</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$ , $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different $e^+e^-$ partial widths. We quote only the range of values.			
<sup>11</sup> From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.			
<sup>12</sup> From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.			

 **$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-)$**  **$\Gamma_{38}$** 

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.73 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.12</math></b>	<sup>13,14</sup> ABLIKIM	20AG BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
<sup>13</sup> From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ cross section between 3.8 and 4.6 GeV to the coherent sum of four resonant amplitudes assuming $\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-) = \Gamma(e^+e^-)$ .			
<sup>14</sup> From solution 1 of 8 with equal fit quality. Other solutions range from $0.58 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.10$ to $0.80 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.13$ keV.			

 **$\psi(4040)\Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$**  **$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**  **$\Gamma_{20}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1.5 ± 0.3	<sup>15</sup> ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
1.4 ± 0.3	<sup>16</sup> ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
7.0 ± 0.6	<sup>17</sup> ABLIKIM	200 BES3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$
<sup>15</sup> Solution 1 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.			
<sup>16</sup> Solution 2 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.			
<sup>17</sup> Solution 3 of three equivalent fit solutions using three resonant structures.			

 **$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**  **$\Gamma_{23}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	<sup>18</sup> HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$

<sup>18</sup> Using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$ . **$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**  **$\Gamma_{24}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$** 

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.6	90	<sup>19</sup> HAN	15 BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$

<sup>19</sup> Using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$ .

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{32}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<5.5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	20 ABLIKIM	21AS BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$
20 From a measurement of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ cross section between 3.5 and 4.6 GeV.				
$\Gamma(\Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{37}\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<0.0519$	90	21 ABLIKIM	23BK BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$
21 From a fit to $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\bar{\Xi}^+$ cross sections.				

### $\psi(4040) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-8}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$5.1 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.5$	22 WANG	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$	
$12.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.9$	23 WANG	13B BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$	
22 Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.				
23 Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.				

### $\psi(4040)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$\sim 1.0$	FELDMAN	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0\gamma$	
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$	
seen	PAKHLOVA	08 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0\gamma$	

$\Gamma(D^+D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\gamma$	
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-$	
seen	PAKHLOVA	08 BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\gamma$	

$\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$				$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_5$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.24 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.12$	AUBERT	09M BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)}\bar{D}$	

$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$				$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_6$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.05 \pm 0.03$	24 GOLDHABER	77 MRK1	$e^+ e^-$	

<sup>24</sup> Phase-space factor ( $p^3$ ) explicitly removed.

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_6/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0 \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^0$

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	25 ZHUKOVA 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen PAKHLOVA 07 BELL  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \gamma$

25 Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_7/\Gamma_6$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.95 ± 0.09 ± 0.10</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^* \bar{D}$

$$\Gamma(D^* \bar{D}^*)/\Gamma(D^* \bar{D} + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_8/\Gamma_5$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.18 ± 0.14 ± 0.03</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)} \bar{D}^{(*)}$

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0} \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{D}^{*0}$

$$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}) \quad \Gamma_9/\Gamma_6$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>32.0 ± 12.0</b>	26 GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	$e^+ e^-$

26 Phase-space factor ( $p^3$ ) explicitly removed.

$$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>seen</b>	27 ZHUKOVA 18	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
<b>seen</b>	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen PAKHLOVA 07 BELL  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

27 Supersedes PAKHLOVA 07.

$$\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.} \text{ (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>not seen</b>	PAKHLOVA 08A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

$$\Gamma(D \bar{D}^* \pi \text{ (excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>not seen</b>	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D \bar{D}^* \pi$

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 09	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

 $\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$(3.51 \pm 1.89 \pm 1.24) \times 10^{-5}$	28 ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
$(2.41 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.79) \times 10^{-2}$	29 ABLIKIM	21AW BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$
28 Solution 1 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is $3.6\sigma$ .			
29 Solution 2 of two solutions with equal fit quality. The significance of the $\psi(4040)$ signal is $3.6\sigma$ .			

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \eta) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5.2 ± 0.5 ± 0.5</b>	30 ABLIKIM	12K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- 2\gamma$	

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$  We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.  $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

<7	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
----	----	---------	------	--

30 ABLIKIM 12K measure  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta) = 32.1 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ pb}$ . They assume the  $\eta J/\psi$  fully originates from  $\psi(4040)$  decays. $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.28	90	31 ABLIKIM 12K BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- 2\gamma$	

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$  We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.  $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
----	----	---------	------	--

31 ABLIKIM 12K measure  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0) < 1.6 \text{ pb}$ . They assume the  $\eta J/\psi$  fully originates from  $\psi(4040)$  decays. $\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	$3.97-4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<11	90	COAN	06	CLEO $3.97\text{--}4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<17	90	COAN	06	CLEO $3.97\text{--}4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<11	90	COAN	06	CLEO $3.97\text{--}4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<32	90	COAN	06	CLEO $3.97\text{--}4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3	90	32 PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>32</sup> From several values of  $\sqrt{s}$  near the peak of the  $\psi(4040)$ , PEDLAR 11 measures  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 1.0 \pm 8.0 \pm 5.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ pb}$ , where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in  $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$ , respectively.

 $\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3	90	COAN	06	CLEO $3.97\text{--}4.06 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.9	90	33 ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

<sup>33</sup> Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.9	90	34 ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

<sup>34</sup> Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-4}</math>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.0	90	35 ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

<sup>35</sup> Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;1.3</b>	90	36 ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

36 Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.7</b>	90	37 ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

37 Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^+\bar{\Xi}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;1.6</b>	90	38 ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

38 Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 $\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u> (units $10^{-4}$ )	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;1.8</b>	90	39 ABLIKIM	13Q BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

39 Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

 **$\psi(4040)$  REFERENCES**

ABLIKIM	23BK	JHEP 2311 228	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AS	PR D104 L091104	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AW	PR D104 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20AG	PR D102 112009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20O	PR D102 031101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ZHUKOVA	18	PR D97 012002	V. Zhukova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13Q	PR D87 112011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12K	PR D86 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN	06	PRL 96 162003	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth	
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)