

# $a_0(1710)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(0^{++})$$

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE


Evidence for this state is also inferred from the interference of the  $K^+ K^-$  and  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  decays of the  $f_0(1710)$  in  $D_s^+ \rightarrow f_0(1710)\pi^+$ , leading to a relative branching ratio an order of magnitude larger than expected from isospin symmetry (ABLIKIM 22F). See also the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances."

### $a_0(1710)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1713±19 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 3.8. See the ideogram below.		
1736±10±12	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	23AH LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
1817± 8±20	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	22AH BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$
1704± 5± 2	LEES	21A BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

<sup>1</sup> From Dalitz plot analyses of  $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + c.c..$

<sup>2</sup> Observed to decay into  $K_S^0 K^+$  in a Breit-Wigner amplitude analysis involving  $D_s^+$  decays into  $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+$ ,  $\bar{K}^*(892)^+ K_S^0$ ,  $\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 K^+$ ,  $a_0(980)^+ \pi^0$ , and  $a_0(1817)^+ \pi^0$ .



**$a_0(1710)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>107 \pm 15</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>			
$134 \pm 17 \pm 61$	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	23AH LHCB	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+(K_S^0 K \pi)$
$97 \pm 22 \pm 15$	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	22AH BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$
$110 \pm 15 \pm 11$	LEES	21A BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

<sup>1</sup> From Dalitz plot analyses of  $\eta_c(1S, 2S) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^- + \text{c.c.}$ .

<sup>2</sup> Observed to decay into  $K_S^0 K^+$  in a Breit-Wigner amplitude analysis involving  $D_s^+$  decays into  $\bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+$ ,  $\bar{K}^*(892)^+ K_S^0$ ,  $\bar{K}^*(1410)^0 K^+$ ,  $a_0(980)^+ \pi^0$ , and  $a_0(1817)^+ \pi^0$ .

 **$a_0(1710)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $K^+ K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $K_S^0 K_S^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $K_S^0 K^+$	seen

$\Gamma(\pi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	LEES	21A	BABR	$\eta_c(1S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$

$\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0)$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3$
<b><math>0.32 \pm 0.12</math></b>	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	22F	BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^+$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+$  from ABLIKIM 21AE. The apparent violation of isospin symmetry may be due to a destructive interference with the  $f_0(1710)$  in the  $K^+ K^-$  channel, and a constructive interference in the  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  channel.

$\Gamma(K_S^0 K^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	ABLIKIM	22AH	BES3	$D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ \pi^0$

 **$a_0(1710)$  REFERENCES**

AAIJ	23AH PR D108 032010	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22AH PRL 129 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22F PR D105 L051103	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21AE PR D104 012016	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
LEES	21A PR D104 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)