

X(1835) $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Could be a superposition of two states, one with small width appearing as threshold enhancement in $p\bar{p}$, the other one with a larger width. For the former ABLIKIM 12D determine $J^{PC} = 0^-+$.

X(1835) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1826.5^{+13.0}_{-3.4} OUR AVERAGE				
1825.3 ± 2.4 ^{+17.3} _{-2.4}		1 ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1844 ± 9 ⁺¹⁶ ₋₂₅		2 ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1839 ± 26 ± 26		3 ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
1909.5 ± 15.9 ^{+9.4} _{-27.5}		4 ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1842.2 ± 4.2 ^{+7.1} _{-2.6}	0.6k	ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1832 ⁺¹⁹ ₋₅ ± 26		5 ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1836.5 ± 3.0 ^{+5.6} _{-2.1}	4265	6 ABLIKIM	11C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1877.3 ± 6.3 ^{+3.4} _{-7.4}		7 ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
1837 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₁₂ ± 9 ₋₇	231	8,9 ALEXANDER	10 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1833.7 ± 6.1 ± 2.7	264	ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
1831 ± 7		9,10 ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
1859 ⁺³ ₋₁₀ ± 5 ₋₂₅		9 BAI	03F BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1870 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known backgrounds and contributors.

² Decay dominated by $f_0(980)\eta$ hence $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^-+)$.

³ From a fit to $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^-+$. Other J^{PC} not excluded.

⁴ Pole mass from a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape to a Flatte formula that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold; the fit also includes known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner function ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit.

⁵ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 *S*-wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Supersedes ABLIKIM 10G.

⁶ From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma X(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non- η' events and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

⁷ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$. This state may be due also to $\eta_2(1870)$ or to a combination of $X(1835)$ and $\eta_2(1870)$.

- ⁸ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.
- ⁹ Evidence for a threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{p}$ mass spectrum was also reported by ABE 02K, AUBERT,B 05L, and WANG 05A in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$, WANG 05A in $B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0$, ABE 02W in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^0$, DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 12 in $B \rightarrow D(D^*)p\bar{p}(\pi)$, and WEI 08 in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+$ decays. Not seen by ATHAR 06 in $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$.
- ¹⁰ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Systematic errors not estimated.

X(1835) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
242	+14 -15	OUR AVERAGE			
245.2 \pm 13.1 \pm 4.6			¹ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
192 \pm 20 \pm 62			² ABLIKIM	15T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
175 \pm 57 \pm 25			³ ABLIKIM	18I BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$
273.5 \pm 21.4 \pm 6.1			⁴ ABLIKIM	16J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
83 \pm 14 \pm 11	0.6k		ABLIKIM	13U BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
< 76	90		⁵ ABLIKIM	12D BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
190 \pm 9 \pm 38	4265		⁶ ABLIKIM	11C BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
57 \pm 12 \pm 19			⁷ ABLIKIM	11J BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega(\eta\pi^+\pi^-)$
0 \pm 44 \pm 0	231	8,9 ALEXANDER	10 CLEO		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
67.7 \pm 20.3 \pm 7.7	264		ABLIKIM	05R BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
< 153	90	9,10 ABLIKIM	05R BES2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$
< 30		9 BAI	03F BES2		$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$

¹ From a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold through interference with a second previously unseen narrow resonance near 1870 MeV. The fit uses Breit-Wigner functions for the signal shapes and includes known backgrounds and contributors.

² Decay dominated by $f_0(980)\eta$ hence $JPC = 0^+(0^-+)$.

³ From a fit to $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $JPC = 0^-+$. Other JPC not excluded.

⁴ Pole width from a fit of the measured $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ lineshape to a Flatte formula that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold; the fit also includes known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner function ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit.

⁵ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Supersedes ABLIKIM 10G.

⁶ From a fit of the $\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma f_1(1510)$, $\gamma X(1835)$, and two states $\gamma X(2120)$ and $\gamma X(2370)$, for $M(\pi^+\pi^-\eta') < 2.8$ GeV, and accounting for backgrounds from non- η' events and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$.

⁷ The selected process is $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega a_0(980)\pi$. This state may be due also to $\eta_2(1870)$ or to a combination of $X(1835)$ and $\eta_2(1870)$.

⁸ From a fit of the $p\bar{p}$ mass distribution to a combination of $\gamma X(1835)$, γR with $M(R) = 2100$ MeV and $\Gamma(R) = 160$ MeV, and $\gamma p\bar{p}$ phase space, for $M(p\bar{p}) < 2.85$ GeV.

- ⁹ Evidence for a threshold enhancement in the $p\bar{p}$ mass spectrum was also reported by ABE 02K, AUBERT,B 05L, and WANG 05A in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^+$, WANG 05A in $B^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}K_S^0$, ABE 02W in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}D^0$, DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 12 in $B \rightarrow D(D^*)p\bar{p}(\pi)$, and WEI 08 in $B^+ \rightarrow p\bar{p}\pi^+$ decays. Not seen by ATHAR 06 in $\gamma(1S) \rightarrow p\bar{p}\gamma$.
- ¹⁰ From the fit including final state interaction effects in isospin 0 S -wave according to SIBIRTSEV 05A. Systematic errors not estimated.

X(1835) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 p\bar{p}$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \gamma\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_4 K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \gamma\phi(1020)$	possibly seen
$\Gamma_6 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$	seen

X(1835) $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_2\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<35.6	90	¹ ZHANG	12A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$
<83	90	² ZHANG	12A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$

¹ From a two-resonance fit and constructive interference of the $\eta(1760)$ and $X(1835)$, a significance of 2.8σ .

² From a two-resonance fit and destructive interference of the $\eta(1760)$ and $X(1835)$, a significance of 2.8σ .

X(1835) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(p\bar{p})/\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)$	Γ_1/Γ_2
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.333	ABLIKIM	05R	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
-------	---------	-----	------	--

$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta)$	Γ_2/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.7 ± 1.8	¹ ABLIKIM	15T	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0 \eta$
---------------	----------------------	-----	------	--

¹ Using results from ABLIKIM 05R.

$\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

<u>seen</u>	¹ ABLIKIM	16J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\eta'$
-------------	----------------------	-----	------	--

¹ ABLIKIM 16J quotes $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(1835)) \times B(X(1835) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta') = (3.93 \pm 0.38^{+0.31}_{-0.84}) \times 10^{-4}$ from a fit of the measured $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ lineshape that accounts for the abrupt distortion observed at the $p\bar{p}$ threshold with a Flatté formula in addition to known backgrounds and contributors, as well as an *ad hoc* Breit-Wigner ($M \approx 1919$ MeV; $\Gamma \approx 51$ MeV) that is required for a good fit. Another explanation for the distortion provided by ABLIKIM 16J is that a second resonance near 1870 MeV interferes with the $X(1835)$; fits to this possibility yield product branching fraction values compatible with that shown within the respective systematic uncertainties.

$\Gamma(\gamma\phi(1020))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_5/Γ
possibly seen	¹ ABLIKIM	18I	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\phi(1020)$

¹ Seen as a peak in $\gamma\phi$ invariant mass. Angular analysis consistent with $J^{PC} = 0^- +$. Other J^{PC} not excluded.

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta'\pi^+\pi^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ_2
$<9.80 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	180	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma\gamma\gamma$

¹ Using results from ABLIKIM 16J.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_6/Γ
seen	0.6k	ABLIKIM	13U	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$

X(1835) REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	18I	PR D97 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18O	PR D97 072014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16J	PRL 117 042002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15T	PRL 115 091803	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12D	PRL 108 112003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.) JPC
DEL-AMO-SA...	12	PR D85 092017	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ZHANG	12A	PR D86 052002	C.C. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11C	PRL 106 072002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11J	PRL 107 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	10G	CP C34 421	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ALEXANDER	10	PR D82 092002	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WEI	08	PL B659 80	J.-T. Wei <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ATHAR	06	PR D73 032001	S.B. Athar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05R	PRL 95 262001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05L	PR D72 051101	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SIBIRTSEV	05A	PR D71 054010	A. Sibirtsev, J. Haidenbauer	
WANG	05A	PL B617 141	M.-Z. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
BAI	03F	PRL 91 022001	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES II Collab.)
ABE	02K	PRL 88 181803	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	02W	PRL 89 151802	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)