

**$\pi_1(1600)$**

$$J^{PC} = 1^-(1^-+)$$

See the review on "Spectroscopy of Light Meson Resonances" and a note in PDG 06, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

**$\pi_1(1600)$  T-Matrix Pole  $\sqrt{s}$**

Note that  $\Gamma \approx 2 \text{Im}(\sqrt{s})$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(1480–1680) – i (150–300) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$(1623 \pm 47_{-75}^{+24}) - i (228 \pm 44_{-88}^{+72})$	<sup>1</sup> KOPF	21	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
$(1564 \pm 24 \pm 86) - i (246 \pm 27 \pm 51)$	<sup>2</sup> RODAS	19	RVUE 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
<sup>1</sup> From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.			
<sup>2</sup> The coupled-channel analysis of both the $\eta\pi$ and $\eta'\pi$ systems using ADOLPH 15 data.			

**$\pi_1(1600)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>1661_{-11}^{+15}</math> OUR AVERAGE</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
$1600_{-60}^{+110}$	46M	<sup>1</sup> AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$1664 \pm 8 \pm 10$	145k	<sup>2</sup> LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
$1709 \pm 24 \pm 41$	69k	<sup>3</sup> KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
$1597 \pm 10_{-10}^{+45}$		<sup>3</sup> IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$1660 \pm 10_{-64}^{+0}$	420k	<sup>4</sup> ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
$1593 \pm 8_{-47}^{+29}$		<sup>3,5</sup> ADAMS	98B	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error negligible. See also the review ALEXEEV 22.  
<sup>2</sup> May be a different state: natural and unnatural parity exchanges.  
<sup>3</sup> Natural parity exchange.  
<sup>4</sup> Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.  
<sup>5</sup> Superseded by DZIERBA 06 excluding this state in a more refined PWA analysis, with 2.6 M events of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$  and 3 M events of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$  of E852 data.

### $\pi_1(1600)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>240 ± 50 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.7. See the ideogram below.		
580 <sup>+100</sup> <sub>-230</sub>	46M	<sup>1</sup> AGHASYAN	18B	COMP 190 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- p$
185 ± 25 ± 28	145k	<sup>2</sup> LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
403 ± 80 ± 115	69k	<sup>3</sup> KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
340 ± 40 ± 50		<sup>3</sup> IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
269 ± 21 <sup>+</sup> <sub>64</sub>	420k	<sup>4</sup> ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
168 ± 20 <sup>+</sup> <sub>12</sub>		<sup>3,5</sup> ADAMS	98B	B852 18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error negligible. See also the review ALEXEEV 22.


<sup>2</sup> May be a different state: natural and unnatural parity exchanges.

<sup>3</sup> Natural parity exchange.

<sup>4</sup> Superseded by AGHASYAN 2018B.

<sup>5</sup> Superseded by DZIERBA 06 excluding this state in a more refined PWA analysis, with 2.6 M events of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$  and 3 M events of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$  of E852 data.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
240±50 (Error scaled by 1.7)



## $\pi_1(1600)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $\rho^0\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $f_2(1270)\pi^-$	not seen
$\Gamma_4$ $b_1(1235)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $\eta'(958)\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $\eta\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_7$ $f_1(1285)\pi$	seen

## $\pi_1(1600)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>seen</b>	ALEKSEEV	10	COMP 190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
not seen	NOZAR	09	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^- n$
not seen	<sup>1</sup> DZIERBA	06	B852 18 $\pi^- p$
<sup>1</sup> From the PWA analysis of 2.6 M $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data. Supersedes ADAMS 98B.			

### $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>not seen</b>	<sup>1</sup> DZIERBA	06	B852 18 $\pi^- p$
<sup>1</sup> From the PWA analysis of 2.6 M $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$ and 3 M events of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 p$ of E852 data. Supersedes CHUNG 02.			

### $\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>seen</b>	35280	<sup>1</sup> BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
seen	145k	LU	05	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\pi^0 p$
<sup>1</sup> $B((b_1\pi)_{D\text{-wave}})/B((b_1\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})=0.3 \pm 0.1$ .				

### $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>seen</b>	IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$

### $\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$5.54 \pm 1.1^{+1.8}_{-0.27}$	<sup>1</sup> KOPF	21	RVUE 0.9 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta, \pi^0\eta\eta, \pi^0 K^+ K^-$ and 191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ p$
<sup>1</sup> From T-matrix pole based on combined fit of Crystal Barrel and $\pi\pi$ scattering data (ALBRECHT 20), and COMPASS data (ADOLPH 15), using a coupled-channel model of $\eta\pi, \eta'\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ systems.			

$\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi^-)$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_5$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>3.80±0.78</b>	69k	<sup>1</sup> KUHN	04 B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $\eta'(958)\pi$  data from IVANOV 01.

$\pi_1(1600)$  REFERENCES

ALEXEEV	22	PR D105 012005	G.D. Alexeev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
KOPF	21	EPJ C81 1056	B. Kopf <i>et al.</i>	(BOCH)
ALBRECHT	20	EPJ C80 453	M. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
RODAS	19	PRL 122 042002	A. Rodas <i>et al.</i>	(JPAC Collab.)
AGHASYAN	18B	PR D98 092003	M. Aghasyan <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
NOZAR	09	PRL 102 102002	M. Nozar <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
DZIERBA	06	PR D73 072001	A.R. Dzierba <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
PDG	06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
LU	05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BAKER	03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ADAMS	98B	PRL 81 5760	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)