## 70. $\mathrm{D}_{s}^{+}$Branching Fractions

Updated September 2019 by J.L. Rosner (University of Chicago) and C.G. Wohl (LBNL).
Figure 70.1 shows a partial breakdown of the $D_{s}^{+}$branching fractions. The rest of this note is about how the figure was constructed. The values shown make heavy use of CLEO measurements of inclusive branching fractions [1]. For references to other data cited in the following, see the Listings.

### 70.1. Modes with leptons

The bottom ( $18.0 \pm 1.0$ ) \% of Fig. 70.1 shows the fractions for the modes that include leptons. The measured $K^{0} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ and $K^{* 0} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ fractions have been doubled to take account of the corresponding $\mu^{+} \nu_{\mu}$ fractions. The sum of the exclusive $X e^{+} \nu_{e}$ fractions is $(6.0 \pm 0.3) \%$, consistent with an inclusive semileptonic measurement of $(6.5 \pm 0.4) \%$. There seems to be little missing here.

### 70.2. Inclusive hadronic $\boldsymbol{K} \overline{\boldsymbol{K}}$ fractions

The Cabibbo-favored $c \rightarrow s$ decay in $D_{s}^{+}$decay produces a final state with both an $s$ and an $\bar{s}$; and thus modes with a $K \bar{K}$ pair or with an $\eta, \omega, \eta^{\prime}$, or $\phi$ predominate (as may already be seen in Fig. 70.1 in the semileptonic fractions). We consider the $K \bar{K}$ modes first. A complete picture of the exclusive $K \bar{K}$ charge modes is not yet possible, because branching fractions for many of those modes have not yet been measured. However, CLEO has measured the inclusive $K^{+}, K^{-}, K_{S}^{0}, K^{+} K^{-}, K^{+} K_{S}^{0}, K^{-} K_{S}^{0}$, and $2 K_{S}^{0}$ fractions (these include modes with leptons) [1]. And each of these inclusive fractions with a $K_{S}^{0}$ is equal to the corresponding fraction with a $K_{L}^{0}: f\left(K^{+} K_{L}^{0}\right)=f\left(K^{+} K_{S}^{0}\right)$, $f\left(2 K_{L}^{0}\right)=f\left(2 K_{S}^{0}\right)$, etc. Therefore, of all inclusive fractions pairing a $K^{+}, K_{S}^{0}$, or $K_{L}^{0}$ with a $K^{-}, K_{S}^{0}$, or $K_{L}^{0}$, we know all but $f\left(K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}\right)$.

We can get that fraction. The total $K_{S}^{0}$ fraction is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(K_{S}^{0}\right) & =f\left(K^{+} K_{S}^{0}\right)+f\left(K^{-} K_{S}^{0}\right)+2 f\left(2 K_{S}^{0}\right)+f\left(K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}\right) \\
& +f\left(\text { single } K_{S}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ (single $K_{S}^{0}$ ) is the sum of the branching fractions for modes such as $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{0}$ with a $K_{S}^{0}$ and no second $K$. The $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{0}$ mode is in fact the only unmeasured single- $K_{S}^{0}$ mode (throughout, we shall assume that fractions for modes with a $K$ or $K \bar{K}$ and more than three pions are negligible), and we shall take its fraction to be the same as for the $K_{S}^{0} 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mode, $(0.30 \pm 0.11) \%$. Any reasonable deviation from this value would be too small to matter much in the following. Adding the several small single- $K_{S}^{0}$ branching fractions, including those from semileptonic modes, we get $f\left(\right.$ single $\left.K_{S}^{0}\right)=(1.7 \pm 0.2) \%$.

Using this, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}\right)= & f\left(K_{S}^{0}\right)-f\left(K^{+} K_{S}^{0}\right)-f\left(K^{-} K_{S}^{0}\right) \\
& -2 f\left(2 K_{S}^{0}\right)-f\left(\text { single } K_{S}^{0}\right) \\
= & (19.0 \pm 1.1)-(5.8 \pm 0.5)-(1.9 \pm 0.4) \\
& -2 \times(1.7 \pm 0.3)-(1.7 \pm 0.2) \\
= & (6.2 \pm 1.4) \%
\end{aligned}
$$
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Figure 70.1: A partial breakdown of $D_{s}^{+}$branching fractions. The hadronic bins in the left column show inclusive fractions. Shading within a bin shows how much of the inclusive fraction is not yet accounted for by adding up all the relevant exclusive fractions. The inclusive hadronic $\phi$ fraction is spread over three bins, in proportion to its decay fractions into $K^{+} K^{-}, K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$, and no- $K \bar{K}$ modes.

Here and below we treat the errors as uncorrelated, although often they are not. However, our main aim is to get numbers for Fig. 70.1; errors are secondary.

There is a check on our result: The $\phi$ inclusive branching fraction is $(15.7 \pm 1.0) \%$, of which $34 \%$, or $(5.34 \pm 0.34) \%$ of $D_{s}^{+}$decays, produces a $K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$. Our $f\left(K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}\right)=$ $(6.2 \pm 1.4) \%$ has to be at least this large - and it is, within the sizable error.

We now have all the inclusive $K \bar{K}$ fractions. We use $f\left(K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}\right)=2 f\left(K^{+} K_{S}^{0}\right)$, and likewise for $f\left(K^{-} K^{0}\right)$. For $K^{+} K^{-}$and $K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$, we subtract off the contributions from $\phi \ell^{+} \nu$ decay to get the purely hadronic $K \bar{K}$ inclusive fractions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(K^{+} K^{-}, \text {hadronic }\right) & =(15.8 \pm 0.7)-(2.1 \pm 0.3) \\
& =(13.7 \pm 0.8) \% \\
f\left(K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}, \text { hadronic }\right) & =(11.6 \pm 1.0) \% \\
f\left(K^{-} K^{0}, \text { hadronic }\right) & =(3.8 \pm 0.8) \% \\
f\left(2 K_{S}^{0}+2 K_{L}^{0}, \text { hadronic }\right) & =(3.4 \pm 0.6) \% \\
f\left(K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}, \text { hadronic }\right) & =(6.2 \pm 1.4)-(1.5 \pm 0.2) \\
& =(4.7 \pm 1.4) \% .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fractions are shown in Fig. 70.1. They total $(37.2 \pm 2.2) \%$ of $D_{s}^{+}$decays.
We can add more information to the figure by summing up measured branching fractions for exclusive modes within each bin:
$K^{+} K^{-}$modes - The sum of measured $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$, and $K^{+} K^{-} 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ branching fractions is $(12.6 \pm 0.6) \%$. That leaves $(1.1 \pm 1.0) \%$ for the $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{0}$ mode, which is the only other $K^{+} K^{-}$mode with three or fewer pions. In Fig. 70.1, this unmeasured part of the $K^{+} K^{-}$bin is shaded.
$K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}$ modes - Two times the sum of the measured $K^{+} K_{S}^{0}, K^{+} K_{S}^{0} \pi^{0}$, and $K^{+} K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$branching fractions is $(8.0 \pm 0.5) \%$. This leaves $(3.6 \pm 1.1) \%$ for the unmeasured $K^{+} \bar{K}^{0}$ modes (there are three such modes with three or fewer pions). This is shaded in the figure.
$K^{-} K^{0}$ modes - Twice the $K^{-} K_{S}^{0} 2 \pi^{+}$fraction is $(3.4 \pm 0.2) \%$, which leaves about ( $0.4 \pm 0.8$ )\% for $K^{-} K^{0} 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$, the only other $K^{-} K^{0}$ mode with three or fewer pions.
$2 K_{S}^{0}+2 K_{L}^{0}$ modes - The $2 K_{S}^{0} \pi^{+}$and $2 K_{S}^{0} 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$fractions sum to ( $0.86 \pm 0.07$ )\%; this times two (for the corresponding $2 K_{L}^{0}$ modes) is $(1.72 \pm 0.14) \%$. This leaves about (1.7 $\pm 0.7) \%$ for other $2 K_{S}^{0}+2 K_{L}^{0}$ modes.
$K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$ modes - Most of the $K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$ fraction is accounted for by $\phi$ decays (see below).
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### 70.3. Inclusive hadronic $\eta, \omega, \eta^{\prime}$, and $\phi$ fractions

These are easier. We start with the inclusive branching fractions, and then, to avoid double counting, subtract: (1) fractions for modes with leptons; (2) $\eta$ mesons that are included in the inclusive $\eta^{\prime}$ fraction; and (3) $K^{+} K^{-}$and $K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$ from $\phi$ decays:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\eta \text { hadronic }) & =f(\eta \text { inclusive })-0.65 f\left(\eta^{\prime} \text { inclusive }\right) \\
-f\left(\eta \ell^{+} \nu\right) & =(18.5 \pm 3.0) \% \\
f(\omega \text { hadronic }) & =f(\omega \text { inclusive })-0.026 f\left(\eta^{\prime} \text { inclusive }\right) \\
& =(5.8 \pm 1.4) \% \\
f\left(\eta^{\prime} \text { hadronic }\right) & =f\left(\eta^{\prime} \text { inclusive }\right)-f\left(\eta^{\prime} \ell^{+} \nu\right) \\
& =(8.5 \pm 1.5) \% \\
f(\phi \text { hadronic }, \nrightarrow K \bar{K}) & =0.17\left[f(\phi \text { inclusive })-f\left(\phi \ell^{+} \nu\right)\right] \\
& =(1.9 \pm 0.2) \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The factors $0.65,0.026$, and 0.17 are the $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta, \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \omega$, and $\phi \nrightarrow K \bar{K}$ branching fractions. Figure 70.1 shows the results; the sum is $(34.7 \pm 3.6) \%$, which is about equal to the hadronic $K \bar{K}$ total.

Note that the bin marked $\phi$ near the top of Fig. 70.1 includes neither the $\phi \ell^{+} \nu$ decays nor the $83 \%$ of other $\phi$ decays that produce a $K \bar{K}$ pair. There is twice as much $\phi$ in the $K_{S}^{0} K_{L}^{0}$ bin, and nearly three times as much in the $K^{+} K^{-}$bin. These contributions are indicated in those bins.

Again, we can show how much of each bin is accounted for by measured exclusive branching fractions:
$\eta$ modes - The sum of $\eta \pi^{+}, \eta \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ (nearly all $\eta \rho^{+}$), and $\eta K^{+}$branching fractions is $(11.1 \pm 1.2) \%$, which leaves a good part of the inclusive hadronic $\eta$ fraction, ( $18.5 \pm 3.0$ ) \%, to be accounted for. This is shaded in the figure.
$\omega$ modes - The sum of $\omega \pi^{+}, \omega \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$, and $\omega 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$fractions is $(4.6 \pm 0.9) \%$, which is nearly as large as the inclusive hadronic $\omega$ fraction, ( $5.8 \pm 1.4$ )\%.
$\eta^{\prime}$ modes - The sum of $\eta^{\prime} \pi^{+}, \eta^{\prime} \rho^{+}$, and $\eta^{\prime} K^{+}$fractions is $(9.9 \pm 1.5) \%$, which is larger than but not in serious disagreement with the inclusive hadronic $\eta^{\prime}$ fraction, $(8.5 \pm 1.5) \%$.

### 70.4. Cabibbo-suppressed modes

The sum of the fractions for modes with a $K \bar{K}, \eta, \omega, \eta^{\prime}$, or leptons is $(89.9 \pm 4.4) \%$. The remaining ( $10.1 \pm 4.4$ )\% is to Cabibbo-suppressed modes, mainly single- $K+$ pions and multiple-pion modes (see below). However, it should be noted that some small parts of the modes already discussed are Cabibbo-suppressed. For example, the ( $1.1 \pm 0.2$ ) \% of $D_{s}^{+}$decays to $K^{0} \ell \nu$ or $K^{* 0} \ell \nu$ is already in the $X \ell \nu$ bin in Fig. 70.1. And the inclusive measurements of $\eta, \omega$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ fractions do not distinguish between (and therefore include
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both) Cabibbo-allowed and -suppressed modes. We shall not try to make a separation here.
$K^{0}+$ pions—Above, we found that $f\left(\right.$ single $\left.K_{S}^{0}\right)=(1.7 \pm 0.2) \%$. Subtracting semileptonic fractions with a $K_{S}^{0}$ leaves $(1.3 \pm 0.2) \%$. The hadronic single- $K^{0}$ fraction is twice this, about $(2.6 \pm 0.4) \%$. The sum of measured $K^{0} \pi^{+}, K^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$, and $K^{0} 2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ fractions is $(1.8 \pm 0.3) \%$, about two-thirds as much.
$K^{+}+$pions-The $K^{+} \pi^{0}$ and $K^{+} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$fractions sum to ( $0.72 \pm 0.05$ )\%. The total $K^{+}$fraction wanted here is probably in the 1 -to- $2 \%$ range.

Multi-pions-The $2 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{0}$, and $3 \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{-}$fractions total ( $2.5 \pm 0.2$ ) \% . Modes not measured might double this.

The sum of the actually measured fractions is, including the semileptonics, (4.9 $\pm 0.3) \%$. The error on our Cabibbo-suppressed total, $(10.1 \pm 4.4) \%$ is too large to know how much we might be missing.
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