

$\phi(1680)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

$\phi(1680)$ MASS

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680±20 OUR ESTIMATE				
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$1680^{+12}_{-13} \pm 21$	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1662 ± 20		² ACHASOV	20C SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1641^{+24}_{-18}		ACHASOV	19 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
$1667 \pm 5 \pm 11$	3k	³ IVANOV	19A CMD3	$1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
1700 ± 23	2k	⁴ ACHASOV	18A SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
$1674 \pm 12 \pm 6$	6.2k	⁵ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
$1733 \pm 10 \pm 10$		⁶ LEES	12F BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$1689 \pm 7 \pm 10$	4.8k	⁷ SHEN	09 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$1709 \pm 20 \pm 43$		⁸ AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1623 ± 20	948	⁹ AKHMETSHIN	03 CMD2	$1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
~ 1500		¹⁰ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \omega \pi^+ \pi^-, K^+ K^-$
~ 1900		¹¹ ACHASOV	98H RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1700 ± 20		¹² CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
1657 ± 27	367	BISELLO	91C DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1655 ± 17		¹³ BISELLO	88B DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
1680 ± 10		¹⁴ BUON	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1677 ± 12		¹⁵ MANE	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X \eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.

³ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

⁴ Assuming the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

⁵ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

⁶ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.

⁷ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.

⁸ From the simultaneous fit to the $K \bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

⁹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.

¹⁰ Using data from IVANOV 81, BARKOV 87, BISELLO 88B, DOLINSKY 91, and ANTONELLI 92.

¹¹ Using the data from BISELLO 91C.

¹² Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.

¹³ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$ assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitation.

¹⁴ From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0K_L^0$, $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.

¹⁵ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1753 ± 3	¹ LINK	02K	FOCS 20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
1726 ± 22	¹ BUSENITZ	89	TPS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
1760 ± 20	¹ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K \bar{K} X$
1690 ± 10	¹ ASTON	81F	OMEG 25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹ We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$\rho\bar{\rho}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1700 ± 8	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

$\phi(1680)$ WIDTH

e^+e^- PRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
150 ± 50 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.				

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

185^{+30+}_{-26-}	$\frac{25}{47}$	1.8k	¹ ABLIKIM	20F	BES3	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
159 ± 32			² ACHASOV	20C	SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
103^{+26}_{-24}			ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \eta$
$176 \pm 23 \pm$	38	3k	³ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
300 ± 50		2k	⁴ ACHASOV	18A	SND	$1.3\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \pi^0$
$165 \pm 38 \pm$	70	6.2k	⁵ LEES	14H	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
$300 \pm 15 \pm$	37		⁶ LEES	12F	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$211 \pm 14 \pm$	19	4.8k	⁷ SHEN	09	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
$322 \pm 77 \pm$	160		⁸ AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
139 ± 60		948	⁹ AKHMETSHIN	03	CMD2	$1.05\text{--}1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
300 ± 60			¹⁰ CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-, K_S^0 K \pi$
146 ± 55		367	BISELLO	91C	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
207 ± 45			¹¹ BISELLO	88B	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
185 ± 22			¹² BUON	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
102 ± 36			¹³ MANE	82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K \pi$

¹ Seen in $\psi(2S)$ decay with branching ratio $\psi(2S) \rightarrow X \eta \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta = (12.0 \pm 1.3^{+6.5}_{-6.9}) \times 10^{-6}$.

² From a fit using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$, $\phi(1020)$, $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$.

- ³ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.
⁴ Assuming the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ dynamics. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.
⁵ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.
⁶ Using events with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass less than 0.85 GeV.
⁷ From a fit with two incoherent Breit-Wigners.
⁸ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.
⁹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known.
¹⁰ Using BISELLO 88B and MANE 82 data.
¹¹ From global fit including ρ , ω , ϕ and $\rho(1700)$
¹² From global fit of ρ , ω , ϕ and their radial excitations to channels $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$, K^+K^- , $K_S^0 K_L^0$, $K_S^0 K^\pm\pi^\mp$. Assume mass 1570 MeV and width 510 MeV for ρ radial excitations, mass 1570 and width 500 MeV for ω radial excitation.
¹³ Fit to one channel only, neglecting interference with ω , $\rho(1700)$.

PHOTOPRODUCTION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
122 ± 63	¹ LINK	02K	FOCS 20–160 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- p$
121 ± 47	¹ BUSENITZ	89	TPS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$
80 ± 40	¹ ATKINSON	85C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K\bar{K}X$
100 ± 40	¹ ASTON	81F	OMEG 25–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ K^- X$

¹ We list here a state decaying into $K^+ K^-$ possibly different from $\phi(1680)$.

$p\bar{p}$ ANNIHILATION

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
143 ± 24	¹ AMSLER	06	CBAR 0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Could also be $\rho(1700)$.

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_j/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	seen
Γ_2 $K_S^0 K \pi$	seen
Γ_3 $K\bar{K}$	seen
Γ_4 $K_L^0 K_S^0$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\omega\pi\pi$	not seen
Γ_7 $\phi\pi\pi$	
Γ_8 $K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
Γ_9 $\eta\phi$	seen
Γ_{10} $K^+ K^- \eta$	
Γ_{11} $\eta\gamma$	seen
Γ_{12} $K^+ K^- \pi^0$	

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into e^+e^- and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel (I) in e^+e^- annihilation. We list only data that have not been used to determine the partial width $\Gamma(I)$ or the branching ratio $\Gamma(I)/\text{total}$.

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

14.3±2.4±6.2	6.2k	¹ LEES	14H BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0 \gamma$
--------------	------	-------------------	----------	---

¹ Using a vector meson dominance model with contribution from $\phi(1020)$, $\phi(1680)$, and higher mass excitations of $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(782)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (10^{-2} keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.2±0.2±0.3	LEES	12F BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
-------------	------	----------	--

$\Gamma(\eta\phi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9 \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

94±13±15	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A CMD3	1.59–2.007 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \eta$
----------	----	---------------------	----------	--

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.

$\phi(1680) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

This combination of a branching ratio into channel (*i*) and branching ratio into e^+e^- is directly measured and obtained from the cross section at the peak. We list only data that have not been used to determine the branching ratio into (*i*) or e^+e^- .

$\Gamma(K_L^0 K_S^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.131±0.059	948	¹ AKHMETSHIN 03	CMD2	1.05–1.38 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$
-------------	-----	----------------------------	------	--

¹ From the combined fit of AKHMETSHIN 03 and MANE 81 also including ρ , ω , and ϕ . Neither isospin nor flavor structure known. Recalculated by us.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1.15±0.16±0.01		¹ AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma + \text{c.c.}$
3.29±1.57	367	² BISELLO	91C DM2	1.35–2.40 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

¹ From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

² Recalculated by us with the published value of $B(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)$.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.21$	4.8k	¹ SHEN	09	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
¹ Multiplied by 3/2 to take into account the $\phi\pi^0\pi^0$ mode. Using $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (49.2 \pm 0.6)\%$.					

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma \times \Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$5.64^{+1.74}_{-1.80}$		ACHASOV	19	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\eta$
$5.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$	3k	¹ IVANOV	19A	CMD3	$1.59\text{--}2.007 e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\eta$
$4.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.9$		² AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\eta\gamma$

¹ From a fit with coherent interference of the $\phi(1680)$ with a non-resonant contribution.
² From the simultaneous fit to the $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ and $\phi\eta$ data from AUBERT 08S using the results of AUBERT 07AK.

$\phi(1680)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K_S^0 K\pi)$ Γ_1/Γ_2

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

dominant	MANE	82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
----------	------	----	-----	--

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.07 ± 0.01	BUON	82	DM1	e^+e^-
-----------------	------	----	-----	----------

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_6/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<0.10	BUON	82	DM1	e^+e^-
---------	------	----	-----	----------

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND	$1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
-------------	----	----------------------	----	-----	---

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\Gamma(\eta\phi)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

≈ 0.37	¹ AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
----------------	---------------------	-----	------	--

¹ From the fit including data from AUBERT 07AK.

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_{11}/Γ
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\phi(1680)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	20F	PR D101 032008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	20C	EPJ C80 1139	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	19	PR D99 112004	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
IVANOV	19A	PL B798 134946	V.L. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18A	PR D97 032011	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	14H	PR D89 092002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12F	PR D86 012008	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SHEN	09	PR D80 031101	C.P. Shen <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AMSLER	06	PL B639 165	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
Also		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
LINK	02K	PL B545 50	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BUSENITZ	89	PR D40 1	J.K. Busenitz <i>et al.</i>	(ILL, FNAL)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 46 132.		
ATKINSON	85C	ZPHY C27 233	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
MANE	82	PL 112B 178	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81F	PL 104B 231	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
MANE	81	PL 99B 261	F. Mane <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)