

40. Monte Carlo Techniques

Revised August 2019 by G. Cowan (RHUL).

Monte Carlo techniques are often the only practical way to evaluate difficult integrals or to sample random variables governed by complicated probability density functions. Here we describe an assortment of methods for sampling some commonly occurring probability density functions.

40.1 Sampling the uniform distribution

Most Monte Carlo sampling or integration techniques assume a “random number generator,” which generates uniform statistically independent values on the half open interval $[0, 1)$; for reviews see, *e.g.*, Refs. [1, 2].

Uniform random number generators are available in software libraries such as CLHEP [3], and ROOT [4]. For example, in addition to a basic congruential generator `TRandom` (see below), ROOT provides three more sophisticated routines: `TRandom1` implements the RANLUX generator [5] based on the method by Lüscher, and allows the user to select different quality levels, trading off quality with speed; `TRandom2` is based on the maximally equidistributed combined Tausworthe generator by L’Ecuyer [6]; the `TRandom3` generator implements the Mersenne twister algorithm of Matsumoto and Nishimura [7]. All of the algorithms produce a periodic sequence of numbers, and to obtain effectively random values, one must not use more than a small subset of a single period. The Mersenne twister algorithm has an extremely long period of $2^{19937} - 1$.

The performance of the generators can be investigated with tests such as DIEHARD [8] or TestU01 [9]. Many commonly available congruential generators fail these tests and often have sequences (typically with periods less than 2^{32}), which can be easily exhausted on modern computers. A short period is a problem for the `TRandom` generator in ROOT, which, however, has the advantage that its state is stored in a single 32-bit word. The generators `TRandom1`, `TRandom2`, or `TRandom3` have much longer periods, with `TRandom3` being recommended by the ROOT authors as providing the best combination of speed and good random properties. For further information see, *e.g.*, Ref. [10].

40.2 Inverse transform method

If the desired probability density function is $f(x)$ on the range $-\infty < x < \infty$, its cumulative distribution function (expressing the probability that $x \leq a$) is given by Eq. (38.6). If a is chosen with probability density $f(a)$, then the integrated probability up to point a , $F(a)$, is itself a random variable which will occur with uniform probability density on $[0, 1]$. Suppose u is generated according to a uniformly distributed in $(0, 1)$. If x can take on any value, and ignoring the endpoints, we can then find a unique x chosen from the p.d.f. $f(x)$ for a given u if we set

$$u = F(x) , \tag{40.1}$$

provided we can find an inverse of F , defined by

$$x = F^{-1}(u) . \tag{40.2}$$

This method is shown in Fig. 40.1a. It is most convenient when one can calculate by hand the inverse function of the indefinite integral of f . This is the case for some common functions $f(x)$ such as $\exp(x)$, $(1 - x)^n$, and $1/(1 + x^2)$ (Cauchy or Breit-Wigner), although it does not necessarily produce the fastest generator. Standard libraries contain software to implement this method numerically, working from functions or histograms in one or more dimensions, *e.g.*, the UNU.RAN package [11], available in ROOT. For a discrete distribution, $F(x)$ will have a discontinuous jump




Figure 40.1: Use of a random number u chosen from a uniform distribution $(0,1)$ to find a random number x from a distribution with cumulative distribution function $F(x)$.

of size $f(x_k)$ at each allowed $x_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Choose u from a uniform distribution on $(0,1)$ as before. Find x_k such that

$$F(x_{k-1}) < u \leq F(x_k) \equiv \text{Prob}(x \leq x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i); \quad (40.3)$$

then x_k is the value we seek (note: $F(x_0) \equiv 0$). This algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 40.1b.

40.3 Acceptance-rejection method (Von Neumann)

Very commonly an analytic form for $F(x)$ is unknown or too complex to work with, so that obtaining an inverse as in Eq. (40.2) is impractical. We suppose that for any given value of x , the probability density function $f(x)$ can be computed, and further that enough is known about $f(x)$ that we can enclose it entirely inside a shape which is C times an easily generated distribution $h(x)$, as illustrated in Fig. 40.2. That is, $Ch(x) \geq f(x)$ must hold for all x . Frequently $h(x)$ is uniform or is a normalized sum of uniform distributions. Note that both $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ must be normalized to unit area, and therefore, the proportionality constant $C > 1$. To generate $f(x)$, first generate a candidate x according to $h(x)$. Calculate $f(x)$ and the height of the envelope $Ch(x)$; generate u and test if $uCh(x) \leq f(x)$. If so, accept x ; if not reject x and try again. If we regard x and $uCh(x)$ as the abscissa and ordinate of a point in a two-dimensional plot, these points will populate the entire area $Ch(x)$ in a smooth manner; then we accept those which fall under $f(x)$. The efficiency is the ratio of areas, which must equal $1/C$; therefore we must keep C as close as




Figure 40.2: Illustration of the acceptance-rejection method. Random points are chosen inside the upper bounding figure, and rejected if the ordinate exceeds $f(x)$. The lower figure illustrates a method to increase the efficiency (see text).

possible to 1.0. Therefore, we try to choose $Ch(x)$ to be as close to $f(x)$ as convenience dictates, as in the lower part of Fig. 40.2.

40.4 Algorithms

Algorithms for generating random numbers belonging to many different distributions are given for example by Press [12], Ahrens and Dieter [13], Rubinstein [14], Devroye [15], Walck [16] and Gentle [17]. For many distributions, alternative algorithms exist, varying in complexity, speed, and accuracy. For time-critical applications, these algorithms may be coded in-line to remove the significant overhead often encountered in making function calls.

In the examples given below, we use the notation for the variables and parameters given in Table 38.1. Variables named “ u ” are assumed to be independent and uniform on $[0,1)$. Denominators must be verified to be non-zero where relevant.

40.4.1 Exponential decay

This is a common application of the inverse transform method, and uses the fact that if u is uniformly distributed in $[0,1]$, then $(1-u)$ is as well. Consider an exponential p.d.f. $f(t) = (1/\tau) \exp(-t/\tau)$ that is truncated so as to lie between two values, a and b , and renormalized to unit area. To generate decay times t according to this p.d.f., first let $\alpha = \exp(-a/\tau)$ and $\beta = \exp(-b/\tau)$; then generate u and let

$$t = -\tau \ln(\beta + u(\alpha - \beta)). \quad (40.4)$$

For $(a, b) = (0, \infty)$, we have simply $t = -\tau \ln u$. (See also Sec. 40.4.6.)

40.4.2 Isotropic direction in 3D

Isotropy means the density is proportional to solid angle, the differential element of which is $d\Omega = d(\cos\theta)d\phi$. Hence $\cos\theta$ is uniform $(2u_1 - 1)$ and ϕ is uniform $(2\pi u_2)$. For alternative generation of $\sin\phi$ and $\cos\phi$, see the next subsection.

40.4.3 Sine and cosine of random angle in 2D

Generate u_1 and u_2 . Then $v_1 = 2u_1 - 1$ is uniform on $(-1, 1)$, and $v_2 = u_2$ is uniform on $(0, 1)$. Calculate $r^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2$. If $r^2 > 1$, start over. Otherwise, the sine (S) and cosine (C) of a random angle (*i.e.*, uniformly distributed between zero and 2π) are given by

$$S = 2v_1v_2/r^2 \quad \text{and} \quad C = (v_1^2 - v_2^2)/r^2. \quad (40.5)$$

40.4.4 Gaussian distribution

If u_1 and u_2 are uniform on $(0, 1)$, then

$$z_1 = \sin(2\pi u_1)\sqrt{-2 \ln u_2} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = \cos(2\pi u_1)\sqrt{-2 \ln u_2} \quad (40.6)$$

are independent and Gaussian distributed with mean 0 and $\sigma = 1$.

There are many variants of this basic algorithm, which may be faster. For example, construct $v_1 = 2u_1 - 1$ and $v_2 = 2u_2 - 1$, which are uniform on $(-1, 1)$. Calculate $r^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2$, and if $r^2 > 1$ start over. If $r^2 < 1$, it is uniform on $(0, 1)$. Then

$$z_1 = v_1\sqrt{\frac{-2 \ln r^2}{r^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = v_2\sqrt{\frac{-2 \ln r^2}{r^2}} \quad (40.7)$$

are independent numbers chosen from a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. $z'_i = \mu + \sigma z_i$ distributes with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

For a multivariate Gaussian with an $n \times n$ covariance matrix V , one can start by generating n independent Gaussian variables, $\{\eta_j\}$, with mean 0 and variance 1 as above. Then the new set $\{x_i\}$ is obtained as $x_i = \mu_i + \sum_j L_{ij}\eta_j$, where μ_i is the mean of x_i , and L_{ij} are the components of L , the unique lower triangular matrix that fulfils $V = LL^T$. The matrix L can be easily computed by the following recursive relation (Cholesky's method):

$$L_{jj} = \left(V_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_{jk}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (40.8a)$$

$$L_{ij} = \frac{V_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_{ik}L_{jk}}{L_{jj}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad i = j + 1, \dots, n, \quad (40.8b)$$

where $V_{ij} = \rho_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j$ are the components of V . For $n = 2$ one has

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ \rho\sigma_2 & \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (40.9)$$

and therefore the correlated Gaussian variables are generated as $x_1 = \mu_1 + \sigma_1\eta_1$, $x_2 = \mu_2 + \rho\sigma_2\eta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\sigma_2\eta_2$.

40.4.5 $\chi^2(n)$ distribution

To generate a variable following the χ^2 distribution for n degrees of freedom, use the Gamma distribution with $k = n/2$ and $\lambda = 1/2$ using the method of Sec. 40.4.6.

40.4.6 Gamma distribution

All of the following algorithms are given for $\lambda = 1$. For $\lambda \neq 1$, divide the resulting random number x by λ .

- If $k = 1$ (the *exponential* distribution), accept $x = -\ln u$. (See also Sec. 40.4.1.)
- If $0 < k < 1$, initialize with $v_1 = (e + k)/e$ (with $e = 2.71828\dots$ being the natural log base). Generate u_1, u_2 . Define $v_2 = v_1 u_1$.
 - Case 1:** $v_2 \leq 1$. Define $x = v_2^{1/k}$. If $u_2 \leq e^{-x}$, accept x and stop, else restart by generating new u_1, u_2 .
 - Case 2:** $v_2 > 1$. Define $x = -\ln([v_1 - v_2]/k)$. If $u_2 \leq x^{k-1}$, accept x and stop, else restart by generating new u_1, u_2 . Note that, for $k < 1$, the probability density has a pole at $x = 0$, so that return values of zero due to underflow must be accepted or otherwise dealt with.
- Otherwise, if $k > 1$, initialize with $c = 3k - 0.75$. Generate u_1 and compute $v_1 = u_1(1 - u_1)$ and $v_2 = (u_1 - 0.5)\sqrt{c/v_1}$. If $x = k + v_2 - 1 \leq 0$, go back and generate new u_1 ; otherwise generate u_2 and compute $v_3 = 64v_1^3 u_2^2$. If $v_3 \leq 1 - 2v_2^2/x$ **or** if $\ln v_3 \leq 2\{[k - 1] \ln[x/(k - 1)] - v_2\}$, accept x and stop; otherwise go back and generate new u_1 .

40.4.7 Binomial distribution

Begin with $k = 0$ and generate u uniform in $[0, 1)$. Compute $P_k = (1 - p)^n$ and store P_k into B . If $u \leq B$ accept $r_k = k$ and stop. Otherwise, increment k by one; compute the next P_k as $P_k \cdot (p/(1 - p)) \cdot (n - k)/(k + 1)$; add this to B . Again, if $u \leq B$, accept $r_k = k$ and stop, otherwise iterate until a value is accepted. If $p > 1/2$, it will be more efficient to generate r from $f(r; n, q)$, *i.e.*, with p and q interchanged, and then set $r_k = n - r$.

40.4.8 Poisson distribution

Iterate until a successful choice is made: Begin with $k = 1$ and set $A = 1$ to start. Generate u . Replace A with uA ; if now $A < \exp(-\mu)$, where μ is the Poisson parameter, accept $n_k = k - 1$ and stop. Otherwise increment k by 1, generate a new u and repeat, always starting with the value of A left from the previous try.

Note that the Poisson generator used in ROOT's `TRandom` classes before version 5.12 (including the derived classes `TRandom1`, `TRandom2`, `TRandom3`) uses a Gaussian approximation when μ exceeds a given threshold. This may be satisfactory (and much faster) for some applications. To do this, generate z from a Gaussian with zero mean and unit standard deviation; then use $x = \max(0, [\mu + z\sqrt{\mu} + 0.5])$ where $[\]$ signifies the greatest integer \leq the expression. The routines from Numerical Recipes [12] and CLHEP's routine `RandPoisson` do not make this approximation (see, *e.g.*, Ref. [10]).

40.4.9 Student's t distribution

Generate u_1 and u_2 uniform in $(0, 1)$; then $t = \sin(2\pi u_1)[n(u_2^{-2/n} - 1)]^{1/2}$ follows the Student's t distribution for $n > 0$ degrees of freedom (n not necessarily an integer).

Alternatively, generate x from a Gaussian with mean 0 and $\sigma^2 = 1$ according to the method of 40.4.4. Next generate y , an independent gamma random variate, according to 40.4.6 with $\lambda = 1/2$ and $k = n/2$. Then $z = x/\sqrt{y/n}$ is distributed as a t with n degrees of freedom.

For the special case $n = 1$, the Breit-Wigner distribution, generate u_1 and u_2 ; set $v_1 = 2u_1 - 1$ and $v_2 = 2u_2 - 1$. If $v_1^2 + v_2^2 \leq 1$ accept $z = v_1/v_2$ as a Breit-Wigner distribution with unit area, center at 0.0, and FWHM 2.0. Otherwise start over. For center M_0 and FWHM Γ , use $W = z\Gamma/2 + M_0$.

40.4.10 Beta distribution

The choice of an appropriate algorithm for generation of beta distributed random numbers depends on the values of the parameters α and β . For, *e.g.*, $\alpha = 1$, one can use the transformation method to find $x = 1 - u^{1/\beta}$, and similarly if $\beta = 1$ one has $x = u^{1/\alpha}$. For more general cases see, *e.g.*, Refs. [16, 17] and references therein.

40.5 Markov Chain Monte Carlo

In applications involving generation of random numbers following a multivariate distribution with a high number of dimensions, the transformation method may not be possible and the acceptance-rejection technique may have too low of an efficiency to be practical. If it is not required to have independent random values, but only that they follow a certain distribution, then Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods can be used. In depth treatments of MCMC can be found, *e.g.*, in the texts by Robert and Casella [18], Liu [19], and the review by Neal [20]. HEP-oriented software for MCMC is available from the Bayesian Analysis Toolkit (BAT) [21].

MCMC is particularly useful in connection with Bayesian statistics, where a p.d.f. $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for an n -dimensional vector of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is obtained, and one needs the marginal distribution of a subset of the components. Here one samples $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ from $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and simply records the marginal distribution for the components of interest.

A simple and broadly applicable MCMC method is the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm, which allows one to generate multidimensional points $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ distributed according to a target p.d.f. that is proportional to a given function $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. It is not necessary to have $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ normalized to unit area, which is useful in Bayesian statistics, as posterior probability densities are often determined only up to an unknown normalization constant.

To generate points that follow $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, one first needs a proposal p.d.f. $q(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$, which can be (almost) any p.d.f. from which independent random values $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ can be generated, and which contains as a parameter another point in the same space $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$. For example, a multivariate Gaussian centered about $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ can be used. Beginning at an arbitrary starting point $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, the Hastings algorithm iterates the following steps:

1. Generate a value $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ using the proposal density $q(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$;
2. Form the Hastings test ratio, $\alpha = \min \left[1, \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})q(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)q(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)} \right]$;
3. Generate a value u uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$;
4. If $u \leq \alpha$, take $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\theta}$. Otherwise, repeat the old point, *i.e.*, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$.
5. Set $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = \boldsymbol{\theta}_1$ and return to step 1.

If one takes the proposal density to be symmetric in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, then this is the *Metropolis-Hastings* algorithm, and the test ratio becomes $\alpha = \min[1, p(\boldsymbol{\theta})/p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)]$. That is, if the proposed $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is at a value of probability higher than $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, the step is taken. If the proposed step is rejected, the old point is repeated.

Methods for assessing and optimizing the performance of the algorithm are discussed in, *e.g.*, Refs. [18–20]. One can, for example, examine the autocorrelation as a function of the lag k , *i.e.*, the correlation of a sampled point with that k steps removed. This should decrease as quickly as possible for increasing k .

Generally one chooses the proposal density so as to optimize some quality measure such as the autocorrelation. For certain problems it has been shown that one achieves optimal performance when the acceptance fraction, that is, the fraction of points with $u \leq \alpha$, is around 40%. This can be adjusted by varying the width of the proposal density. For example, one can use for the proposal p.d.f. a multivariate Gaussian with the same covariance matrix as that of the target p.d.f., but scaled by a constant.

40.6 Generative Adversarial Networks

Recent developments in Machine Learning have led to new types of Monte Carlo methods based on generative models. The goal is to generate events each consisting of a vector of quantities \mathbf{x} , which could represent the set of pixels in an image or energy deposits in the cells of a calorimeter. Suppose, however, that we do not have direct access to the underlying probability density $f(\mathbf{x})$, but rather we only have an implicit model (*e.g.*, a computer program able to simulate the complexities of the physical system), which can provide a set of events usable as training data. In the case of a calorimeter, for example, this could represent real events from a control measurement or simply the output from a detailed simulation.

Generative models such as Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [22, 23] and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [24] are algorithms for generating events that mimic the training data. Recently GANs have been investigated in HEP for simulation of energy deposits in calorimeters, so far in a simplified setting. They are able to generate events that capture detailed properties of those from a detailed Monte Carlo simulation but require far less computing time (for a recent example see, *e.g.*, Ref. [25]).

Here we sketch the main ideas behind GANs used to simulate a random vector \mathbf{x} . This follows some distribution $f(\mathbf{x})$ which itself is not known, but we have a set of instances (events) $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ as training data, here regarded as representative of the true distribution. We seek a function (the generator) $G(\mathbf{z})$ which takes as input a vector of random numbers \mathbf{z} and produces directly as output an event vector, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{x} = G(\mathbf{z})$. The method is in this sense similar to the transformation method described in 40.2, but here both the function G and the input of random values \mathbf{z} are multidimensional. As a prototypical example we can take the components of \mathbf{z} as independent and Gaussian distributed about zero with unit variance.

The GAN makes use of two functions, the generator $G(\mathbf{z})$ and a discriminator $D(\mathbf{x})$. The generator tries to produce events \mathbf{x} that mimic the (real) training data and thus look as if they were sampled from the unknown distribution $f(\mathbf{x})$. Simultaneously, the discriminator is trained to do its best to distinguish the generated events from the real ones.

To find the function $G(\mathbf{z})$ that generates events that are as similar as possible to the training data, one may use a Deep Neural Network (DNN), *i.e.*, a neural network with a sufficiently large number of hidden layers, and thus having a large set of parameters θ_g . This is needed so that network is capable of modelling accurately the potentially complex density $f(\mathbf{x})$. The input layer corresponds to the components of the random vector \mathbf{z} and the multidimensional output layer to \mathbf{x} . The goal is thus reduced to finding optimal values of the parameters θ_g using the training data.

The discriminator function $D(\mathbf{x}; \theta_d)$ can also be a DNN containing parameters θ_d . It takes as input an event (an instance in \mathbf{x} -space) and provides a single scalar output in $[0, 1]$, which should be as close as possible to zero for generated and one for real events.

The parameters of the generator and discriminator are chosen such that the function

$$V(\boldsymbol{\theta}_g, \boldsymbol{\theta}_d) = E_x[\log(D(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_d))] + E_z[\log(1 - D(G(\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_g); \boldsymbol{\theta}_d))] \quad (40.10)$$

is minimized with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}_g$ and simultaneously maximized with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}_d$. For the expectation value in the first term, \mathbf{x} is sampled from the (real) training data; for the second term \mathbf{z} follows its given distribution, *e.g.*, a multivariate standard Gaussian. That is, the discriminator is adjusted to maximize the probability that it will correctly identify an event as real or generated, and simultaneously the generator is tuned such that it produces events which appear as real as possible when evaluated by the discriminator.

Challenges with GANs such as difficulty training the networks are an active area of research in Machine Learning. Once an optimal set of parameters is found, the transformation $\mathbf{x} = G(\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_g)$ can be used to generate events in \mathbf{x} -space that capture detailed properties of the training data. Further information on applications, network architecture and training procedures can be found in, *e.g.*, Ref. [25] and references therein.

References

- [1] F. James, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **60**, 329 (1990).
- [2] P. L'Ecuyer, *Proc. 1997 Winter Simulation Conference*, IEEE Press, Dec. 1997, 127–134.
- [3] L. Lonnblad, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **84**, 307 (1994).
- [4] R. Brun and F. Rademakers, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A389**, 81 (1997); See also `root.cern.ch`.
- [5] F. James, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **79**, 111 (1994), [Erratum: *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 97,357(1996)]; M. Luscher, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **79**, 100 (1994), [[hep-lat/9309020](#)].
- [6] P. L'Ecuyer, *Mathematics of Computation*, **65**, 213 (1996) and **65**, 225 (1999).
- [7] M. Matsumoto and T. Nishimura, *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation*, Vol. 8, No. 1, January 1998, 3–30.
- [8] Much of DIEHARD is described in: G. Marsaglia, *A Current View of Random Number Generators*, keynote address, *Computer Science and Statistics: 16th Symposium on the Interface*, Elsevier (1985).
- [9] P. L'Ecuyer and R. Simard, *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* 33, 4, Article 1, December 2007.
- [10] J. Heinrich, CDF Note CDF/MEMO/STATISTICS/PUBLIC /8032, 2006.
- [11] UNU.RAN is described at [statmath.wu.ac.at/software/unuran](#); See also W. Hörmann, J. Leydold, and G. Derflinger, *Automatic Nonuniform Random Variate Generation*, (Springer, New York, 2004).
- [12] W.H. Press *et al.*, *Numerical Recipes*, 3rd edition, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007).
- [13] J.H. Ahrens and U. Dieter, *Computing* **12**, 223 (1974).
- [14] R.Y. Rubinstein, *Simulation and the Monte Carlo Method*, (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1981).
- [15] L. Devroye, *Non-Uniform Random Variate Generation*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986); Available online at [luc.devroye.org/rnbookindex.html](#).
- [16] C. Walck, *Handbook on Statistical Distributions for Experimentalists*, University of Stockholm Report SUF-PFY/96-01, available from [www.fysik.su.se/~walck](#).
- [17] J.E. Gentle, *Random Number Generation and Monte Carlo Methods*, 2nd ed., (Springer, New York, 2003).

- [18] C.P. Robert and G. Casella, *Monte Carlo Statistical Methods*, 2nd ed., (Springer, New York, 2004).
- [19] J.S. Liu, *Monte Carlo Strategies in Scientific Computing*, (Springer, New York, 2001).
- [20] R.M. Neal, *Probabilistic Inference Using Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods*, Technical Report CRG-TR-93-1, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto, available from www.cs.toronto.edu/~radford/res-mcmc.html.
- [21] A. Caldwell, D. Kollar, K. Kröninger, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) pages 2197-2209.
- [22] D.P. Kingma and M. Welling, *Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes*, Int. Conf. on Learning Representations, ICLR, 2014; E-print: arXiv:1312.6114 [stat.ML].
- [23] D.J. Rezende, S. Mohamed and D. Wierstra, *Stochastic Backpropagation and Approximate Inference in Deep Generative Models*, Proc. 31st Int. Conf. on Machine Learning, Beijing, 2014. *JMLR: W&CP* vol. 32; E-print: arXiv:1401.4082 [stat.ML].
- [24] I.J. Goodfellow et al., *Generative Adversarial Nets*, Proceedings of Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27 (Z. Ghahramani et al., eds., NIPS 2014) pages 2672–2680; E-print: arXiv:1406.2661 [stat.ML].
- [25] M. Paganini, L. de Oliveira and B. Nachman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 4, 042003 (2018), [arXiv:1705.02355].