

$\psi(4660)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$$

I needs confirmation.

also known as $Y(4660)$; was $X(4660)$

This state shows properties different from a conventional $q\bar{q}$ state.
A candidate for an exotic structure. See the review on non- $q\bar{q}$ states.

Seen in radiative return from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 9.54\text{--}10.58$ GeV by WANG 07D. Also obtained in a combined fit of WANG 07D, AUBERT 07S, and LEES 14F. See also the review on "Spectroscopy of mesons containing two heavy quarks."

 $\psi(4660)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4643 ± 9	OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
4652 ±10 ±11	279	¹ WANG 15A	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
4669 ±21 ± 3	37	² LEES 14F	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
4634 $\begin{smallmatrix} + 8 \\ - 7 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\begin{smallmatrix} + 5 \\ - 8 \end{smallmatrix}$	142	³ PAKHLOVA 08B	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
4652.5 ± 3.4 ± 1.1		⁴ DAI 17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$
4645.2 ± 9.5 ± 6.0		⁵ ZHANG 17B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
4646.4 ± 9.7 ± 4.8		⁶ ZHANG 17C	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$
4661 $\begin{smallmatrix} + 9 \\ - 8 \end{smallmatrix}$ ± 6	44	⁷ LIU 08H	RVUE	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
4664 ±11 ± 5	44	WANG 07D	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.² From a two-resonance fit.³ The $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.⁴ The pole parameters are extracted from the speed plot.⁵ From a three-resonance fit.⁶ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.⁷ From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances. **$\psi(4660)$ WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
72 ±11	OUR AVERAGE			
68 ±11 ± 5	279	¹ WANG 15A	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
104 ±48 ±10	37	² LEES 14F	BABR	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
92 $\begin{smallmatrix} +40 \\ -24 \end{smallmatrix}$ $\begin{smallmatrix} +10 \\ -21 \end{smallmatrix}$	142	³ PAKHLOVA 08B	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
62.6 ± 5.6 ± 4.3		⁴ DAI 17	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$
113.8 ±18.1 ± 3.4		⁵ ZHANG 17B	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
103.5 ±15.6 ± 4.0		⁶ ZHANG 17C	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ or $\psi(2S)$
42 $\begin{smallmatrix} +17 \\ -12 \end{smallmatrix}$ ± 6	44	⁷ LIU 08H	RVUE	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$
48 ±15 ± 3	44	WANG 07D	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$

¹ From a two-resonance fit. Supersedes WANG 07D.

² From a two-resonance fit.

³ The $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.

⁴ The pole parameters are extracted from the speed plot.

⁵ From a three-resonance fit.

⁶ From a combined fit of BELLE, BABAR and BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ data.

⁷ From a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

$\psi(4660)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_j/Γ)
Γ_1	e^+e^-	
Γ_2	$\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3	$J/\psi\eta$	
Γ_4	$D^0D^{*-}\pi^+$	
Γ_5	$\chi_{c1}\gamma$	
Γ_6	$\chi_{c2}\gamma$	
Γ_7	$\Lambda_c^+\Lambda_c^-$	

$\psi(4660) \Gamma(i) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$2.0 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.2$	279	¹ WANG	15A BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$8.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.0$	279	² WANG	15A BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$2.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.5$	37	³ LEES	14F BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$7.5 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.7$	37	⁴ LEES	14F BABR	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$2.2^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$	44	⁵ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
5.9 ± 1.6	44	⁶ LIU	08H RVUE	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$3.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3$	44	³ WANG	07D BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	
$7.6 \pm 1.8 \pm 0.8$	44	⁴ WANG	07D BELL	$10.58 e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	

¹ Solution I of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.

² Solution II of two equivalent solutions from a fit using two interfering resonances. Supersedes WANG 07D.

³ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.

⁴ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances.

⁵ Solution I in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

⁶ Solution II in a combined fit of AUBERT 07S and WANG 07D data with two resonances.

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_3\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<0.94	90	WANG	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$	

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_5\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.45	90	¹ HAN	15	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.					

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_6\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<2.1	90	¹ HAN	15	BELL	10.58 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi_{c2}\gamma$
¹ Using $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.21)\%$.					

$\psi(4660)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma(\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$					Γ_4/Γ_2
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<10	90	PAKHLOVA	09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$

$\Gamma(D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<0.37 $\times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ PAKHLOVA	09	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+$
¹ Using $4664 \pm 11 \pm 5$ MeV for the mass of $\psi(4660)$.					

$\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_7/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
$0.68^{+0.16+0.29}_{-0.15-0.30}$	142	¹ PAKHLOVA	08B	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$
¹ The $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \Lambda_c^-$ states are not necessarily the same.					

$\psi(4660)$ REFERENCES

DAI	17	PR D96 116001	L.-Y. Dai, J. Haidenbauer, U.-G. Meissner	(JULI+)
ZHANG	17B	PR D96 054008	J. Zhang, J. Zhang	
ZHANG	17C	EPJ C77 727	J. Zhang, L. Yuan	
HAN	15	PR D92 012011	Y.L. Han <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
WANG	15A	PR D91 112007	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	14F	PR D89 111103	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LIU	08H	PR D78 014032	Z.Q. Liu, X.S. Qin, C.Z. Yuan	
PAKHLOVA	08B	PRL 101 172001	C. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	07S	PRL 98 212001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
WANG	07D	PRL 99 142002	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)