

# $a_1(1640)$


$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(1^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in the amplitude analysis of the  $3\pi^0$  system produced in  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$ . Possibly seen in the study of the hadronic structure in decay  $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi\nu_\tau$  (ABREU 98G and ASNER 00). Needs confirmation.

## $a_1(1640)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1647±22 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.		See the ideogram below.
1630±20	35280	<sup>1</sup> BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1714± 9±36		CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3\pi^-\rho \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\rho$
1640±12±30		BAKER	99	SPEC $1.94\bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1670±90		BELLINI	85	SPEC $40\pi^-A \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-A$



<sup>1</sup> Using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass and width results of BOWLER 88.

## $a_1(1640)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>254 ± 27 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.		
225 ± 30	35280	<sup>2</sup> BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
308 ± 37 ± 62		CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
300 ± 22 ± 40		BAKER	99	SPEC $1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
300 ± 100		BELLINI	85	SPEC $40 \pi^-A \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-A$

<sup>2</sup> Using the  $a_1(1260)$  mass and width results of BOWLER 88.

## $a_1(1640)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_j/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $f_2(1270)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $\sigma\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho\pi S\text{-wave}$	seen
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho\pi D\text{-wave}$	seen
$\Gamma_6$ $\omega\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_7$ $f_1(1285)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $a_1(1260)\eta$	not seen

## $a_1(1640)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)/\Gamma(\sigma\pi)$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.24 ± 0.07	BAKER	99	SPEC $1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 4\pi^0$

### $\Gamma(\rho\pi D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
seen	CHUNG	02	B852 $18.3 \pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
seen	AMELIN	95B	VES $36 \pi^-A \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-A$

### $\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
seen	35280	<sup>3</sup> BAKER	03	SPEC $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$

### $\Gamma(f_1(1285)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
not seen	KUHN	04	B852 $18 \pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
seen	LEE	94	MPS2 $18 \pi^-p \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}^0\pi^-\pi^-p$

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>not seen</b>	KUHN	04	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$

<sup>3</sup> Assuming the  $\omega\rho$  mechanism for the  $\omega\pi\pi$  state.

### $a_1(1640)$ REFERENCES

KUHN	04	PL B595 109	J. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BAKER	03	PL B563 140	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
ASNER	00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BAKER	99	PL B449 114	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
ABREU	98G	PL B426 411	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
AMELIN	95B	PL B356 595	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)
BOWLER	88	PL B209 99	M.G. Bowler	(OXF)
BELLINI	85	SJNP 41 781	D. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	
Translated from YAF 41 1223.				