J = 0

In the following H^0 refers to the signal that has been discovered in the Higgs searches. Whereas the observed signal is labeled as a spin 0 particle and is called a Higgs Boson, the detailed properties of H^0 and its role in the context of electroweak symmetry breaking need to be further clarified. These issues are addressed by the measurements

Concerning mass limits and cross section limits that have been obtained in the searches for neutral and charged Higgs bosons, see the sections "Searches for Neutral Higgs Bosons" and "Searches for Charged Higgs Bosons (H^{\pm} and $H^{\pm\pm}$)", respectively.

H ⁰ MASS			
VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$125.09 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.11$	^{1,2} AAD 15	в LHC	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
• • • We do not use the f	ollowing data for averages	s, fits, limit	ts, etc. • • •
$125.07 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.14$		в LHC	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
$125.15 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.15$	² AAD 15	БВ LHC	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* o 4\ell$
$126.02 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.27$	AAD 15	B ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
$124.51 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.04$	AAD 15	B ATLS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* ightarrow 4\ell$
$125.59\!\pm\!0.42\!\pm\!0.17$	AAD 15	B CMS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* ightarrow 4\ell$
$125.02 {}^{+ 0.26}_{- 0.27} {}^{+ 0.14}_{- 0.15}$	³ KHACHATRY15	БАМ CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$125.36 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.18$		w ATLS	<i>рр</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$125.98\!\pm\!0.42\!\pm\!0.28$	⁴ AAD 14	W ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
$124.51 \pm 0.52 \pm 0.06$	⁴ AAD 14	w ATLS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$
125.6 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.2$	⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14	IAA CMS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$
122 ± 7	⁶ CHATRCHYAN 14		pp, 7, 8 TeV, ττ
$124.70 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.15$	⁷ KHACHATRY14	P CMS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
$125.5\ \pm0.2\ ^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	^{1,8} AAD 13	BAK ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$126.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.7$	⁸ AAD 13	BAK ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
$124.3 \begin{array}{c} +0.6 & +0.5 \\ -0.5 & -0.3 \end{array}$	⁸ AAD 13	BAK ATLS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$
$125.8 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$	^{1,9} CHATRCHYAN 13	BJ CMS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$126.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2$	⁹ CHATRCHYAN 13	BJ CMS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$
$126.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.4$		PAI ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
125.3 ± 0.4 ± 0.5	^{1,11} CHATRCHYAN 12	N CMS	pp, 7, 8 TeV

 $^{^{1}}$ Combined value from $\gamma\gamma$ and $\emph{ZZ}^{*}\rightarrow~4\ell$ final states.

² ATLAS and CMS data are fitted simultaneously. ³ KHACHATRYAN 15AM use up to 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to

^{19.7} fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV.

⁴ AAD 14W use 4.5 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV.

⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14AA use 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV.

- $^6\,\rm CHATRCHYAN~14K~use~4.9~fb^{-1}~of~pp~collisions~at~E_{\rm cm}=7~\rm TeV~and~19.7~fb^{-1}~at~E_{\rm cm}=8~\rm TeV.$
- 7 KHACHATRYAN 14P use 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV.
- ⁸ AAD 13AK use 4.7 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ =7 TeV and 20.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}$ =8 TeV. Superseded by AAD 14W.
- 9 CHATRCHYAN 13J use 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 12.2 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV.
- 10 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.6–4.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.8–5.9 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. An excess of events over background with a local significance of 5.9 σ is observed at $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also AAD 12DA.
- 11 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 4.9–5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.1–5.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. An excess of events over background with a local significance of 5.0 σ is observed at about $m_{\mbox{$H$}^0}=125$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 12BY and CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

HO SPIN AND CP PROPERTIES

The observation of the signal in the $\gamma\gamma$ final state rules out the possibility that the discovered particle has spin 1, as a consequence of the Landau-Yang theorem. This argument relies on the assumptions that the decaying particle is an on-shell resonance and that the decay products are indeed two photons rather than two pairs of boosted photons, which each could in principle be misidentified as a single photon.

Concerning distinguishing the spin 0 hypothesis from a spin 2 hypothesis, some care has to be taken in modelling the latter in order to ensure that the discriminating power is actually based on the spin properties rather than on unphysical behavior that may affect the model of the spin 2 state.

Under the assumption that the observed signal consists of a single state rather than an overlap of more than one resonance, it is sufficient to discriminate between distinct hypotheses in the spin analyses. On the other hand, the determination of the *CP* properties is in general much more difficult since in principle the observed state could consist of any admixture of *CP*-even and *CP*-odd components. As a first step, the compatibility of the data with distinct hypotheses of pure *CP*-even and pure *CP*-odd states with different spin assignments has been investigated. In order to treat the case of a possible mixing of different *CP* states, certain cross section ratios are considered. Those cross section ratios need to be distinguished from the amount of mixing between a *CP*-even and a *CP*-odd state, as the cross section ratios depend in addition also on the coupling strengths of the *CP*-even and *CP*-odd components to the involved particles. A small relative coupling implies a small sensitivity of the corresponding cross section ratio to effects of *CP* mixing.

VALUE <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

```
^{8} Chatrchyan 14aa CMS H^{0} \rightarrow ZZ^{*} ^{9} Chatrchyan 14g CMS H^{0} \rightarrow WW^{*} ^{10} Khachatry...14p CMS H^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma ^{11} AAD ^{13} ATLS H^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma , ZZ^{*} \rightarrow 4\ell , WW^{*} \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\nu ^{12} Chatrchyan 13j CMS H^{0} \rightarrow ZZ^{*} \rightarrow 4\ell
```

- 1 AAD 16 study $H^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ with an effective Lagrangian including $\it CP$ even and odd terms in 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ of $\it pp$ collisions at $\it E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The data is consistent with the expectations for the Higgs boson of the Standard Model. Limits on anomalous couplings are also given.
- ²AAD 15AX compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with other J^{CP} hypotheses in 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV, using the process $H^0\to WW^*\to e\nu\mu\nu$. 2 $^+$ hypotheses are excluded at 84.5–99.4%CL, 0 $^-$ at 96.5%CL, 0 $^+$ (field strength coupling) at 70.8%CL. See their Fig. 19 for limits on possible CP mixture parameters.
- AAD 15CI compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with other J^{CP} hypotheses in 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV, using the processes $H^0\to ZZ^*\to 4\ell$. $H^0\to \gamma\gamma$ and combine with AAD 15AX data. 0^+ (field strength coupling), 0^- and several 2^+ hypotheses are excluded at more than 99.9% CL. See their Tables 7–9 for limits on possible CP mixture parameters.
- ⁴ AALTONEN 15 combine AALTONEN 15B and ABAZOV 14F data. An upper limit of 0.36 of the Standard Model production rate at 95% CL is obtained both for a 0^- and a 2^+ state. Assuming the SM event rate, the $J^{CP}=0^-$ (2^+) hypothesis is excluded at the 5.0σ (4.9σ) level.
- ⁵ AALTONEN 15B compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with other J^{CP} hypotheses in 9.45 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV, using the processes $ZH^0\to \ell\ell b\overline{b}$, $WH^0\to \ell\nu b\overline{b}$, and $ZH^0\to \nu\nu b\overline{b}$. Bounds on the production rates of 0^- and 2^+ (graviton-like) states are set, see their tables II and III.
- ⁶ KHACHATRYAN 15Y compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with other J^{CP} hypotheses in up to 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV, using the processes $H^0\to 4\ell$, $H^0\to WW^*$, and $H^0\to \gamma\gamma$. 0^- is excluded at 99.98% CL, and several 2^+ hypotheses are excluded at more than 99% CL. Spin 1 models are excluded at more than 99.999% CL in ZZ^* and WW^* modes. Limits on anomalous couplings and several cross section fractions, treating the case of CP-mixed states, are also given.
- ⁷ ABAZOV 14F compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with $J^{CP}=0^-$ and 2^+ (graviton-like coupling) hypotheses in up to 9.7 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. They use kinematic correlations between the decay products of the vector boson and the Higgs boson in the final states $ZH\to\ell\ell b\overline{b}$, $WH\to\ell\nu b\overline{b}$, and $ZH\to\nu\nu b\overline{b}$. The 0^- (2^+) hypothesis is excluded at 97.6% CL (99.0% CL). In order to treat the case of a possible mixture of a 0^+ state with another J^{CP} state, the cross section fractions $f_X=\sigma_X/(\sigma_{0^+}+\sigma_X)$ are considered, where $X=0^-$, 2^+ . Values for $f_0-(f_{2^+})$ above 0.80 (0.67) are excluded at 95% CL under the assumption that the total cross section is that of the SM Higgs boson.

- ⁸ CHATRCHYAN 14AA compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with various J^{CP} hypotheses in 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. $J^{CP}=0^-$ and 1^\pm hypotheses are excluded at 99% CL, and several J=2 hypotheses are excluded at 95% CL. In order to treat the case of a possible mixture of a 0^+ state with another J^{CP} state, the cross section fraction $f_{a3}=|a_3|^2$ σ_3 / $(|a_1|^2$ $\sigma_1+|a_2|^2$ $\sigma_2+|a_3|^2$ σ_3) is considered, where the case $a_3=1$, $a_1=a_2=0$ corresponds to a pure CP-odd state. Assuming $a_2=0$, a value for f_{a3} above 0.51 is excluded at 95% CL.
- ⁹CHATRCHYAN 14G compare the $J^{CP}=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with $J^{CP}=0^-$ and 2^+ (graviton-like coupling) hypotheses in 4.9 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.4 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. Varying the fraction of the production of the 2^+ state via gg and $q\overline{q}$, 2^+ hypotheses are disfavored at CL between 83.7 and 99.8%. The 0^- hypothesis is disfavored against 0^+ at the 65.3% CL.
- 10 KHACHATRYAN 14P compare the $J^{CP}\!=0^+$ Standard Model assignment with a 2^+ (graviton-like coupling) hypothesis in 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. Varying the fraction of the production of the 2^+ state via $g\,g$ and $q\,\overline{q}$, 2^+ hypotheses are disfavored at CL between 71 and 94%.
- ¹¹ AAD 13AJ compare the spin 0, *CP*-even hypothesis with specific alternative hypotheses of spin 0, *CP*-odd, spin 1, *CP*-even and *CP*-odd, and spin 2, *CP*-even models using the Higgs boson decays $H \to \gamma \gamma$, $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$ and $H \to WW^* \to \ell\nu\ell\nu$ and combinations thereof. The data are compatible with the spin 0, *CP*-even hypothesis, while all other tested hypotheses are excluded at confidence levels above 97.8%.
- ¹²CHATRCHYAN 13J study angular distributions of the lepton pairs in the ZZ^* channel where both Z bosons decay to e or μ pairs. Under the assumption that the observed particle has spin 0, the data are found to be consistent with the pure CP-even hypothesis, while the pure CP-odd hypothesis is disfavored.

H⁰ DECAY WIDTH

The total decay width for a light Higgs boson with a mass in the observed range is not expected to be directly observable at the LHC. For the case of the Standard Model the prediction for the total width is about 4 MeV, which is three orders of magnitude smaller than the experimental mass resolution. There is no indication from the results observed so far that the natural width is broadened by new physics effects to such an extent that it could be directly observable. Furthermore, as all LHC Higgs channels rely on the identification of Higgs decay products, the total Higgs width cannot be measured indirectly without additional assumptions. The different dependence of on-peak and off-peak contributions on the total width in Higgs decays to ZZ^* and interference effects between signal and background in Higgs decays to ZZ^* and interference effects between signal and background in Higgs decays to ZZ^* rely on the assumption of on-peak and off-peak contributions in Higgs decays to ZZ^* rely on the assumption of equal on- and off-shell effective couplings. Without an experimental determination of the total width or further theoretical assumptions, only ratios of couplings can be determined at the LHC rather than absolute values of couplings.

VALUE (G	ieV)	CL%	DOCUMENT	ID TE	ECN	COMMENT
<1.7		95	$^{ m 1}$ KHACHAT	RY15AM CI	MS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
>3.5	$\times 10^{-12}$	95	² KHACHAT	RY15BA CI	MS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, flight distance
< 5.0		95	³ AAD	14W A	TLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$
< 2.6		95	³ AAD	14W A	TLS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 0.0227	95		рр, 8 TeV, <i>ZZ</i> ^(*) , <i>WW</i> ^(*)
< 0.046	95	⁵ KHACHATRY15BA CMS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^{ig(st)} ightarrow4\ell$
< 3.4	95	⁶ CHATRCHYAN 14AA CMS	pp , 7, 8 TeV, $ZZ^* ightarrow 4\ell$
< 0.022	95	⁷ KHACHATRY14D CMS	рр, 7, 8 TeV, ZZ ^(*)
< 2.4	95	⁸ KHACHATRY14P CMS	pp, 7, 8 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$

- 1 KHACHATRYAN 15AM combine $\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZ^*\to 4\ell$ results. The expected limit is 2.3 GeV.
- ² KHACHATRYAN 15BA derive a lower limit on the total width from an upper limit on the decay flight distance $\tau < 1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ s. 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm} = 7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV are used.
- TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at 8 TeV are used. ³ AAD 14W use 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 7 TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at 8 TeV. The expected limit is 6.2 GeV.
- ⁴ AAD 15BE derive constraints on the total width from comparing $ZZ^{(*)}$ and $WW^{(*)}$ production via on-shell and off-shell H^0 using 20.3 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The K factor for the background processes is assumed to be equal to that for the signal.
- ⁵ KHACHATRYAN 15BA derive constraints on the total width from comparing $ZZ^{(*)}$ production via on-shell and off-shell H^0 with an unconstrained anomalous coupling. 4ℓ final states in 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV are used.
- 6 CHATRCHYAN 14AA use 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 7 TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 8 TeV. The expected limit is 2.8 GeV.
- 7 KHACHATRYAN 14D derive constraints on the total width from comparing $ZZ^{(*)}$ production via on-shell and off-shell H^0 . 4 ℓ and $\ell\ell\nu\nu$ final states in 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV are used.
- 8 KHACHATRYAN 14P use 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The expected limit is 3.1 GeV.

H⁰ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\overline{\Gamma_1}$	WW*		
Γ_2	<i>Z Z</i> *		
Γ ₃	$rac{\gamma}{b}rac{\gamma}{b}$		
Γ_5	e^+e^-	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	95%
	$\mu_{\perp}^{+}\mu^{-}$		
•	$\tau^+\tau^-$		
Γ ₈		_	
-	$J/\psi \gamma$	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	95%
	$\Upsilon(1S)\gamma$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	95%
	$\Upsilon(2S)\gamma$	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	95%
Γ_{12}	$\Upsilon(3S)\gamma$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	95%
Γ_{13}	μau	< 1.51 %	95%
Γ ₁₄	invisible	<58 %	95%

H⁰ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{ m total}$					Γ ₅ /Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	<u>-</u>	•
<1.9 × 10 ⁻³	95	¹ KHACHATRY1	L5H CMS		
¹ KHACHATRYAN 1 8 TeV.	5H use 5	$5.0~{ m fb}^{-1}$ of pp collision	s at E_{cm} =	= 7 TeV and 1	9.7 ${ m fb}^{-1}$ at
$\Gamma(J/\psi\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	CI %	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_9/Γ
$\frac{\text{VALUE}}{<1.5\times10^{-3}}$	05	1 KHACHATRY 1	IGR CMS	8 TeV	
		² AAD 1			
¹ KHACHATRYAN 1	6B use 1	9.7 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at 8.7	on data at 8		
$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	10/
VALUE <1.3 × 10 ⁻³	95	$\frac{1}{AAD}$ 1	L5ı ATLS	8 TeV	
		pp collision data at 8 7			
70710 101 030 13.1 1	5 01	pp comsion data at o			
$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	CI%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_{11}/Γ
VALUE <1.9 × 10 ⁻³	<u>05</u>	¹ AAD 1	<u>720,7</u>	8 TeV	
		pp collision data at 8 7		0 100	
Γ(Υ(35)~)/Γ				501415117	Γ ₁₂ /Γ
VALUE <1.3 × 10 ⁻³	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID	<u>IECN</u>	COMMENT	
				8 lev	
1 AAD 15I use 19.7 f $\Gamma(\mu\tau)/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$	b ⁻¹ of _i	<i>pp</i> collision data at 8 ∃	ΓeV.		Γ ₁₃ /Γ
	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN		. 13/
<1.51 × 10 ⁻²	95	¹ KHACHATRY1	50 CMS	-	
¹ KHACHATRYAN 1	.5Q seard of <i>pp</i> d	ch for $H^0 o \mu au$ with collisions at $E_{ m cm}=8$	au decaying	g electronically fit gives B(<i>H</i> ⁰	or hadron- $\mu au) =$
Γ(invisible)/Γ _{total} Invisible final sta	tes.				Γ ₁₄ /Γ
	CL%	DOCUMENT ID			
	95			$p \rightarrow H_0^0 ZX$	
	95	² CHATRCHYAN 14B		$p \to H^0 Z X$,	qqH^0X
• • • We do not use the	he follow	ving data for averages,			
• • • •	95			$p \rightarrow H^0 W / M$	
	95	⁴ CHATRCHYAN 14B			
< 0.65	95	⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14B	CMS p	$p \rightarrow qqH^{0}X$, 8 TeV

- 1 AAD 140 search for $pp\to H^0ZX,\,Z\to\ell\ell$, with H^0 decaying to invisible final states in 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted limit on the branching ratio is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV and assumes the Standard Model rate for H^0Z production.
- 2 CHATRCHYAN 14B search for $pp\to H^0\,ZX,\,Z\to\ell\ell$ and $Z\to b\,\overline{b}$, and also $pp\to q\,q\,H^0\,X$ with H^0 decaying to invisible final states using data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The quoted limit on the branching ratio is obtained from a combination of the limits from $H^0\,Z$ and $q\,q\,H^0$. It is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV and assumes the Standard Model rates for the two production processes.
- ³ AAD 15BD search for $pp \to H^0WX$ and $pp \to H^0ZX$ with W or Z decaying hadronically and H^0 decaying to invisible final states using data at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted limit is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV, assumes the Standard Model rates for the production processes and is based on a combination of the contributions from H^0W , H^0Z and the gluon-fusion process.
- ⁴ CHATRCHYAN 14B search for $pp \to H^0 ZX$ with H^0 decaying to invisible final states and $Z \to \ell \ell$ in 4.9 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm} = 7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm} = 8$ TeV, and also with $Z \to b \overline{b}$ in 18.9 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm} = 8$ TeV. The quoted limit on the branching ratio is given for $m_{H^0} = 125$ GeV and assumes the Standard Model rate for $H^0 Z$ production.
- ⁵ CHATRCHYAN 14B search for $pp \to qqH^0X$ (vector boson fusion) with H^0 decaying to invisible final states in 19.5 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted limit on the branching ratio is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV and assumes the Standard Model rate for qqH^0 production.

HO SIGNAL STRENGTHS IN DIFFERENT CHANNELS

The H^0 signal strength in a particular final state xx is given by the cross section times branching ratio in this channel normalized to the Standard Model (SM) value, $\sigma \cdot \mathsf{B}(H^0 \to xx) / (\sigma \cdot \mathsf{B}(H^0 \to xx))_{\rm SM}$, for the specified mass value of H^0 . For the SM predictions, see DITTMAIER 11, DITTMAIER 12, and HEINEMEYER 13A. Results for fiducial and differential cross sections are also listed below.

Combined Final States

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.10±0.11 OUR AVERAGE				_
$1.09\!\pm\!0.07\!\pm\!0.04\!\pm\!0.03 {+0.07\atop -0.06}$	1,2 AAD	16 J	LHC	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.44 ^{igoplus 0.59}_{-0.56}$	³ AALTONEN	13M	TEVA	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0X$, 1.96 TeV
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following	ng data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
$1.20\pm0.10\pm0.06\pm0.04 {+0.08\atop -0.07}$	² AAD	16 J	ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.97\!\pm\!0.09\!\pm\!0.05\!+\!0.04\!+\!0.07\\-0.03\!-\!0.06$	² AAD	16 J	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.18 \!\pm\! 0.10 \!\pm\! 0.07 \!+\! 0.08 \\ -0.07$	⁴ AAD	16K	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$0.75 \!+\! 0.28 \!+\! 0.13 \!+\! 0.08 \\ -0.26 \!-\! 0.11 \!-\! 0.05$	⁴ AAD	16K	ATLS	<i>рр</i> , 7 TeV
$1.28 \!\pm\! 0.11 \!+\! 0.08 \!+\! 0.10 \\ -0.07 \!-\! 0.08$	⁴ AAD	16K	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 8 TeV
	⁵ AAD	15 P	ATLS	pp, 8 TeV, cross section

```
1.00\pm0.09\pm0.07^{+0.08}_{-0.07}
                                               <sup>6</sup> KHACHATRY...15AM CMS
                                                                                       pp. 7. 8 TeV
1.33^{+0.14}_{-0.10}\pm0.15
                                               7 AAD
                                                                                      pp, 7 and 8 TeV
                                                                      13AK ATLS
1.54^{+0.77}_{-0.73}
                                                                                       p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X, 1.96 TeV
                                               <sup>8</sup> AALTONEN
                                                                      13L CDF
1.40^{igoplus 0.92}_{-0.88}
                                                                                       p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X, 1.96 TeV
                                               <sup>9</sup> ABAZOV
                                                                      13L D0
                                                                      12AI ATLS pp \rightarrow H^0 X, 7, 8 TeV
                                             ^{10} AAD
1.4\ \pm0.3
                                                                      12AI ATLS pp \rightarrow H^0 X, 7 TeV
                                             <sup>10</sup> AAD
1.2 \pm 0.4
                                                                      12AI ATLS pp \rightarrow H^0 X, 8 TeV
                                             <sup>10</sup> AAD
1.5 \pm 0.4
                                             <sup>11</sup> CHATRCHYAN 12N CMS pp \rightarrow H^0 X, 7, 8 TeV
0.87 \pm 0.23
```

- 1 AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $1.03^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$ for gluon fusion, $1.18^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$ for vector boson fusion, $0.89^{+0.40}_{-0.38}$ for $W\,H^0$ production, $0.79^{+0.38}_{-0.36}$ for $Z\,H^0$ production, and $2.3^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ for $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production.
- ² The uncertainties represent statistics, experimental systematics, theory systematics on the background, and theory systematics on the signal. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV. In the fit, relative branching ratios and relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model.
- ³ AALTONEN 13M combine all Tevatron data from the CDF and D0 Collaborations with up to 10.0 fb⁻¹ and 9.7 fb⁻¹, respectively, of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{\mu 0}=125$ GeV.
- ⁴ AAD 16κ use up to 4.7 fb⁻¹ of *pp* collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The third uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The signal strengths for individual production modes are $1.23\pm0.14^{+0.09}_{-0.08}+0.16$ for gluon fusion, $1.23^{+0.28}_{-0.27}+0.13+0.11$ for vector boson fusion, $0.80^{+0.31}_{-0.30}\pm0.17^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$ for W/ZH^0 production, and $1.81^{+0.52}_{-0.50}+0.58_{-0.12}$ for $t\bar{t}H^0$ production. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- ⁵ AAD 15P measure total and differential cross sections of the process $pp \to H^0 X$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV with 20.3 fb⁻¹. $\gamma\gamma$ and 4ℓ final states are used. $\sigma(pp\to H^0 X)=33.0\pm5.3\pm1.6$ pb is given. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for data on differential cross sections.
- 6 KHACHATRYAN 15AM use up to 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The third uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. Fits to each production mode give the value of $0.85^{+0.19}_{-0.16}$ for gluon fusion, $1.16^{+0.37}_{-0.34}$ for vector boson fusion, $0.92^{+0.38}_{-0.36}$ for $W\,H^0$, $Z\,H^0$ production, and $2.90^{+1.08}_{-0.94}$ for $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production.
- ⁷ AAD 13AK use 4.7 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The combined signal strength is based on the $\gamma\gamma$, $ZZ^*\to 4\ell$, and $WW^*\to \ell\nu\ell\nu$ channels. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. Reported statistical error value modified following private communication with the experiment.
- ⁸ AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- ⁹ ABAZOV 13L combine all D0 results with up to 9.7 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 10 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.6–4.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.8–5.9 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. An excess of events over background with a local

- significance of 5.9 σ is observed at $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also AAD 12DA.
- 11 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 4.9–5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{
 m cm}=7$ TeV and 5.1–5.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 8 TeV. An excess of events over background with a local significance of 5.0 σ is observed at about $m_{H^0}=$ 125 GeV. The combined signal strength is based on the $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ*, WW*, $\tau^+\tau^-$, and $b\overline{b}$ channels. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

VALUE		
+0.18	 	

W W* Final State

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$1.08^{f +0.18}_{f -0.16}$ OUR AVERAGE				
$1.09^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$	$^{1,2}\mathrm{AAD}$	16 J	LHC	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.94^{+0.85}_{-0.83}$	³ AALTONEN	13 M	TEVA	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the following	g data for averages,	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$1.22^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$	² AAD	16 J	ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.90^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$	² AAD	16 J	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.18 \!\pm\! 0.16 \!+\! 0.17 \\ -0.14$	⁴ AAD	16K	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.09 {}^{+ 0.16}_{- 0.15} {}^{+ 0.17}_{- 0.14}$	⁵ AAD	15 AA	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$3.0 \begin{array}{c} +1.3 \\ -1.1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +1.0 \\ -0.7 \end{array}$	⁶ AAD	15 AQ	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0W/ZX$, 7, 8 TeV
$1.16^{+0.16+0.18}_{-0.15-0.15}$	_			pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.72 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10 {}^{+ 0.12}_{- 0.10}$	⁸ CHATRCHYAN	14 G	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$0.99^{+0.31}_{-0.28}$	⁹ AAD	13AK	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7 and 8 TeV
$0.00^{+1.78}_{-0.00}$	¹⁰ AALTONEN	13L	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
$1.90^{+1.63}_{-1.52}$	¹¹ ABAZOV	13L	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
1.3 ± 0.5			ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7, 8 TeV
0.5 ± 0.6	¹² AAD			$pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7 TeV
1.9 ± 0.7	¹² AAD	12AI	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 8 TeV
$0.60^{+0.42}_{-0.37}$	¹³ CHATRCHYAN	12N	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV

 $^{^1}$ AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $0.84^{+0.17}_{-0.17}$ for gluon fusion, $1.2^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ for vector boson fusion, $1.6^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$ for WH^0 production, $5.9^{+2.6}_{-2.2}$ for ZH^0 production, and $5.0^{+1.8}_{-1.7}$ for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production.

 $^{^2}$ In the fit, relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.

 $^{^3}$ AALTONEN 13M combine all Tevatron data from the CDF and D0 Collaborations with up to 10.0 fb $^{-1}$ and 9.7 fb $^{-1}$, respectively, of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{\ensuremath{H^0}}=125$ GeV.

- 4 AAD 16K use up to 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- 5 AAD 15AA use 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The signal strength for the gluon fusion and vector boson fusion mode is $1.02\pm0.19^{+0.22}_{-0.18}$ and $1.27^{+0.44}_{-0.40}+0.30$, respectively. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- 6 AAD 15AQ use 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- ⁷AAD 15AQ combine their result on W/ZH^0 production with the results of AAD 15AA (gluon fusion and vector boson fusion, slightly updated). The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- 8 CHATRCHYAN 14G use 4.9 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.4 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The last uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.6$ GeV.
- 9 AAD 13AK use 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. Superseded by AAD 15AA
- 10 AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 11 ABAZOV 13L combine all D0 results with up to 9.7 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 12 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.8 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also AAD 12DA.
- ¹³ CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 4.9 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.1 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

77* Final State

VALUE STATE	DOCUMENT ID	TE	CN COMMENT
$1.29^{igoplus 0.26}_{igoplus 0.23}$	1,2 AAD	16J LH	IC pp, 7, 8 TeV
• • • We do not use the fo	ollowing data for ave	erages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
$1.52^{+0.40}_{-0.34}$	² AAD	16J AT	LS <i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.04^{+0.32}_{-0.26}$	² AAD	16J CM	<i>Pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.46^{+0.35+0.19}_{-0.31-0.13}$	³ AAD	16K AT	LS <i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.44 ^{+ 0.34}_{- 0.31} ^{+ 0.21}_{- 0.11}$	⁴ AAD	15F AT	TLS $pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
	⁵ AAD	14AR AT	LS pp, 8 TeV, differential cross section
$0.93 ^{+ 0.26 + 0.13}_{- 0.23 - 0.09}$	⁶ CHATRCHYAN	N 14AA CN	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.43^{+0.40}_{-0.35}$	⁷ AAD	13AK AT	LS pp, 7 and 8 TeV
$0.80^{+0.35}_{-0.28}$	⁸ CHATRCHYAI	N 13J CM	$ extstyle extstyle MS extstyle pp o H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
1.2 ± 0.6	⁹ AAD	12AI AT	LS $pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
$1.4~\pm1.1$	⁹ AAD	12AI AT	LS $pp o H^0 X$, 7 TeV
1.1 ± 0.8	⁹ AAD	12AI AT	LS $pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 8 TeV
$0.73^{+0.45}_{-0.33}$	¹⁰ CHATRCHYAN	N 12N CM	$ extstyle extstyle extstyle MS extstyle pp o H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV

- 1 AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $1.13^{+0.34}_{-0.31}$ for gluon fusion and $0.1^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$ for vector boson fusion.
- In the fit, relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.
- 3 AAD 16K use up to 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- ⁴AAD 15F use 4.5 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV. The signal strength for the gluon fusion production mode is $1.66^{+0.45}_{-0.41}^{+0.25}_{-0.15}$, while the signal strength for the vector boson fusion production mode is $0.26^{+1.60}_{-0.91}^{+1.60}_{-0.23}^{+0.25}$.
- ⁵ AAD 14AR measure the cross section for $pp \to H^0 X$, $H^0 \to ZZ^*$ using 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. They give $\sigma \cdot B=2.11^{+0.53}_{-0.47}\pm 0.08$ fb in their fiducial region, where 1.30 ± 0.13 fb is expected in the Standard Model for $m_{H^0}=125.4$ GeV. Various differential cross sections are also given, which are in agreement with the Standard Model expectations.
- ⁶ CHATRCHYAN 14AA use 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.6$ GeV. The signal strength for the gluon fusion and $t\bar{t}H$ production mode is $0.80^{+0.46}_{-0.36}$, while the signal strength for the vector boson fusion and WH^0 , ZH^0 production mode is $1.7^{+2.2}_{-2.1}$.
- 7 AAD 13AK use 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{\mbox{\scriptsize H^0}}=125.5$ GeV.
- 8 CHATRCHYAN 13J obtain results based on $ZZ\to 4\ell$ final states in 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 12.2 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.8$ GeV. Superseded by CHATRCHYAN 14AA.
- ⁹ AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.7–4.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.8 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also AAD 12DA.
- 10 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 4.9–5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.1–5.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. An excess of events over background with a local significance of 5.0 σ is observed at about $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 12BY and CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

$\gamma\gamma$ Final State

VALUE	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		<u> IECN</u>	COMMENT
1.16±0.18 OUR AVERAGE	-			
$1.14 ^{igoplus 0.19}_{-0.18}$	^{1,2} AAD	16 J	LHC	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$5.97 ^{+3.39}_{-3.12}$	³ AALTONEN	13M	TEVA	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
• • • We do not use the fol	lowing data for ave	erages	, fits, lin	nits, etc. • • •
$1.14^{igoplus 0.27}_{-0.25}$	² AAD	16 J	ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$1.11^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$	² AAD	1 6J	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
				$H^0 \rightarrow \gamma^* \gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$ differential cross section
$1.17 \pm 0.23 + 0.10 + 0.12 \\ -0.08 - 0.08$	⁶ AAD	14 B0	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7, 8 TeV
0.00	⁷ AAD	14 BJ	ATLS	pp, 8 TeV, differential cross section

$1.14 \pm 0.21 {}^{+ 0.09 + 0.13}_{- 0.05 - 0.09}$	⁸ KHACHATRY.	14 P	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.55^{+0.33}_{-0.28}$	⁹ AAD	13Ak	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7 and 8 TeV
$7.81^{+4.61}_{-4.42}$	¹⁰ AALTONEN	13L	CDF	$p\overline{p} \to H^0X$, 1.96 TeV
$4.20 + 4.60 \\ -4.20$	¹¹ ABAZOV	13L	D0	$p\overline{p} \to H^0X$, 1.96 TeV
1.8 ± 0.5	¹² AAD	12AI	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
2.2 ± 0.7	¹² AAD			$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7 TeV
1.5 ± 0.6	¹² AAD	12AI	ATLS	$pp ightarrow H^0 X$, 8 TeV
$1.54 ^{igoplus 0.46}_{-0.42}$	¹³ CHATRCHYAN	V 12N	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV

- 1 AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $1.10^{+0.23}_{-0.22}$ for gluon fusion, $1.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ for vector boson fusion, $0.5^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ for $W\,H^0$ production, $0.5^{+3.0}_{-2.5}$ for $Z\,H^0$ production, and $2.2^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$ for $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production.
- 2 In the fit, relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.
- 3 AALTONEN 13M combine all Tevatron data from the CDF and D0 Collaborations with up to 10.0 fb $^{-1}$ and 9.7 fb $^{-1}$, respectively, of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- ⁴ KHACHATRYAN 16B search for $H^0 \to \gamma^* \gamma \to e^+ e^- \gamma$ and $\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ (with m($\ell^+ \ell^-$) < 20 GeV) in 19.7 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. An upper limit of 6.7 times the Standard Model expectation is obtained at 95% CL. See their Fig. 6 for limits on individual channels.
- ⁵ KHACHATRYAN 16G measure fiducial and differential cross sections of the process $pp \to H^0 X$, $H^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ at $E_{\rm cm} = 8$ TeV with 19.7 fb⁻¹. See their Figs. 4–6 and Table 1 for data
- data. 6 AAD 14BC use 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The last uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.4$ GeV. The signal strengths for the individual production modes are: 1.32 ± 0.38 for gluon fusion, 0.8 ± 0.7 for vector boson fusion, 1.0 ± 1.6 for WH^0 production, $0.1^{+3.7}_{-0.1}$ for ZH^0 production, and $1.6^{+2.7}_{-1.8}$ for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production.
- ⁷ AAD 14BJ measure fiducial and differential cross sections of the process $pp \to H^0 X$, $H^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ at $E_{\rm cm} = 8$ TeV with 20.3 fb⁻¹. See their Table 3 and Figs. 3–12 for data.
- ⁸ KHACHATRYAN 14P use 5.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The last uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=124.7$ GeV. The signal strength for the gluon fusion and $t\bar{t}H$ production mode is $1.13^{+0.37}_{-0.31}$, while the signal strength for the vector boson fusion and WH^0 , ZH^0 production mode is $1.16^{+0.63}_{-0.58}$.
- 9 AAD 13AK use 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV.
- 10 AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- ¹¹ ABAZOV 13L combine all D0 results with up to 9.7 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

 12 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.9 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strengths are given for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also AAD 12DA

 13 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ =7 TeV and 5.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}$ =8 TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for m_{H^0} =125.5 GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

$b\overline{b}$ Final State

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.82±0.30 OUR AVE	RAGE Error inclu	des sc	ale facto	or of 1.1.
$0.70^{igoplus 0.29}_{-0.27}$	1,2 AAD	16 J	LHC	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$1.59^{+0.69}_{-0.72}$	³ AALTONEN	13M	TEVA	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
ullet $ullet$ We do not use	the following data t	for av	erages, f	its, limits, etc. • • •
$0.62\!\pm\!0.37$	² AAD	16 J	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$0.81^{+0.45}_{-0.43}$	² AAD	16 J	CMS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.63^{+0.31+0.24}_{-0.30-0.23}$	⁴ AAD			pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.52\!\pm\!0.32\!\pm\!0.24$	⁵ AAD	15 G	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 W/ZX$, 7, 8 TeV
$2.8 \begin{array}{l} +1.6 \\ -1.4 \end{array}$	⁶ KHACHATRY.	15 Z	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, VBF, 8 TeV
$1.03^{+0.44}_{-0.42}$				pp, 8 TeV, combined
$1.0\ \pm0.5$	⁸ CHATRCHYAN	J 14 AI	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 W/ZX$, 7, 8 TeV
$1.72^{igoplus 0.92}_{-0.87}$	⁹ AALTONEN	13L	CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
$1.23 + 1.24 \\ -1.17$	¹⁰ ABAZOV	13L	D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
0.5 ±2.2				$pp \rightarrow H^0 W/ZX$, 7 TeV $p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 W/ZX$, 1.96 TeV
$0.48^{igoplus 0.81}_{-0.70}$	¹³ CHATRCHYAN	J 12N	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 W/ZX$, 7, 8 TeV

 $^{^1}$ AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ for $W\,H^0$ production, $0.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ for $Z\,H^0$ production, and $1.1^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$ for $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production.

 $^{^2}$ In the fit, relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.

 $^{^3}$ AALTONEN 13M combine all Tevatron data from the CDF and D0 Collaborations with up to 10.0 fb $^{-1}$ and 9.7 fb $^{-1}$, respectively, of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

 $^{^4}$ AAD 16K use up to 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.

 $^{^5}$ AAD 15G use 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.

 $^{^6}$ KHACHATRYAN 15Z search for vector-boson fusion production of H^0 decaying to $b\overline{b}$ in up to 19.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

 $^{^7}$ KHACHATRYAN 15Z combined vector boson fusion, $W\,H^0$, $Z\,H^0$ production, and $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production results. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

- 8 CHATRCHYAN 14AI use up to 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 18.9 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 14AI.
- See also CHATRCHYAN 14AJ. AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 10 ABAZOV 13L combine all D0 results with up to 9.7 10 of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 11 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.6–4.8 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV. The quoted signal strengths are given in their Fig. 10 for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also Fig. 13 of AAD 12DA
- of AAD 12DA. 12 AALTONEN 12T combine AALTONEN 12Q, AALTONEN 12R, AALTONEN 12S, ABAZOV 12O, ABAZOV 12P, and ABAZOV 12K. An excess of events over background is observed which is most significant in the region $m_{H^0}=120-135$ GeV, with a local significance of up to 3.3 σ . The local significance at $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV is 2.8 σ , which corresponds to $(\sigma(H^0W)+\sigma(H^0Z))\cdot B(H^0\to b\overline{b})=(0.23^{+0.09}_{-0.08})$ pb, compared to the Standard Model expectation at $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV of 0.12 \pm 0.01 pb. Superseded by AALTONEN 13M
- 13 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 5.0 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 13Y.

$\mu^+\mu^-$ Final State

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<7.4	95			$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
<7.0	95	² AAD 14AS	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV

- 1 KHACHATRYAN 15H use 5.0 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 7 TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at 8 TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=$ 125 GeV.
- ² AAD 14AS search for $H^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ in 4.5 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV.

TECN COMMENT

Created: 10/1/2016 20:06

$\tau^+\tau^-$ Final State

1.12±0.23 OUR AVERAGE			
$1.11^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$	^{1,2} AAD	16J LHC	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.68 ^{+2.28}_{-1.68}$	³ AALTONEN	13M TEV	${}^{\prime}\!\!A$ $p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the followin	g data for averages	s, fits, limit	s, etc. • • •
$1.41^{+0.40}_{-0.36}$	² AAD	16J ATL	S pp, 7, 8 TeV
$0.88^{+0.30}_{-0.28}$	² AAD	16J CMS	5 <i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
$1.44 ^{+ 0.30 + 0.29}_{- 0.29 - 0.23}$	⁴ AAD	16K ATL	S pp, 7, 8 TeV
$1.43^{+0.27+0.32}_{-0.26-0.25}\!\pm\!0.09$	⁵ AAD		S $pp \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV
0.78 ± 0.27	⁶ CHATRCHYAN	N 14K CMS	$5 pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7, 8 TeV
$0.00^{+8.44}_{-0.00}$	⁷ AALTONEN	13L CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
$3.96^{igoplus 4.11}_{-3.38}$	⁸ ABAZOV	13L D0	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow H^0 X$, 1.96 TeV
$0.4 \begin{array}{c} +1.6 \\ -2.0 \end{array}$	⁹ AAD	12AI ATL	S $pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7 TeV
$0.09 ^{+ 0.76}_{- 0.74}$	¹⁰ CHATRCHYAN	N 12N CMS	$p p \rightarrow H^0 X$, 7, 8 TeV

- ¹ AAD 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. The signal strengths for individual production processes are $1.0^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$ for gluon fusion, $1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ for vector boson fusion, $-1.4^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$ for WH^0 production, $2.2^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$ for ZH^0 production, and $-1.9^{+3.7}_{-3.3}$ for $t\bar{t}H^0$ production.
- 2 In the fit, relative production cross sections are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.
- ³ AALTONEN 13M combine all Tevatron data from the CDF and D0 Collaborations with up to 10.0 fb⁻¹ and 9.7 fb⁻¹, respectively, of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- ⁴ AAD 16K use up to 4.7 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- ⁵AAD 15AH use 4.5 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The third uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The signal strength for the gluon fusion mode is $2.0\pm0.8^{+1.2}_{-0.8}\pm0.3$ and that for vector boson fusion and W/ZH^0 production modes is $1.24^{+0.49}_{-0.45}+0.31_{-0.29}\pm0.08$. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.36$ GeV.
- 6 CHATRCHYAN 14K use 4.9 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV. See also _CHATRCHYAN 14AJ.
- ⁷ AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ = 1.96 TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for m_{H^0} = 125 GeV.
- ⁸ ABAZOV 13L combine all D0 results with up to 9.7 fb⁻¹ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.
- 9 AAD 12AI obtain results based on 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV. The quoted signal strengths are given in their Fig. 10 for $m_{H^0}=126$ GeV. See also Fig. 13 of AAD 12DA.
- 10 CHATRCHYAN 12N obtain results based on 4.9 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}{=}7$ TeV and 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}{=}8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{\mbox{\it H}^0}{=}125.5$ GeV. See also CHATRCHYAN 13Y .

$Z\gamma$ Final State

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<11	95	¹ AAD	14 J	ATLS	$pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7, 8 TeV
< 9.5	95	² CHATRCHYAN	113 BK	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0X$, 7, 8 TeV

- 1 AAD 14J search for $H^0\to Z\gamma\to\ell\ell\gamma$ in 4.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.5$ GeV.
- 2 CHATRCHYAN 13BK search for $H^0\to Z\gamma\to\ell\ell\gamma$ in 5.0 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.6 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. A limit on cross section times branching ratio which corresponds to (4–25) times the expected Standard Model cross section is given in the range $m_{H^0}=120$ –160 GeV at 95% CL. The quoted limit is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV, where 10 is expected for no signal.

$t\overline{t}H^0$ Production

Signal strengh relative to the Standard Model cross section.

VALUE	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.3 \begin{array}{l} +0.7 \\ -0.6 \end{array}$		$^{1,2}AAD$	1 6J	LHC	pp, 7, 8 TeV

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 15

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

	$1.9 \ ^{+ 0.8}_{- 0.7}$		² AAD	16 J	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
	$2.9 \ ^{+ 1.0}_{- 0.9}$		² AAD	16 J	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
	$1.81^{+0.52}_{-0.50}^{+0.58}_{-0.55}^{+0.31}_{-0.12}$		³ AAD	16K	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
	$1.4 \begin{array}{c} +2.1 & +0.6 \\ -1.4 & -0.3 \end{array}$		⁴ AAD	15	ATLS	pp, 7, 8 TeV
	1.5 ± 1.1		⁵ AAD	15 BC	ATLS	<i>рр</i> , 8 TeV
	$2.1 \begin{array}{c} +1.4 \\ -1.2 \end{array}$		⁶ AAD	15T	ATLS	<i>pp</i> , 8 TeV
	$1.2 \begin{array}{c} +1.6 \\ -1.5 \end{array}$		⁷ KHACHATRY.	15AN	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 8 TeV
	$2.8 \begin{array}{l} +1.0 \\ -0.9 \end{array}$		⁸ KHACHATRY.	14 H	CMS	<i>pp</i> , 7, 8 TeV
	$9.49^{+6.60}_{-6.28}$		⁹ AALTONEN	13L	CDF	<i>p</i> p , 1.96 TeV
<	5.8	95	¹⁰ CHATRCHYAN	l 13x	CMS	$pp \rightarrow H^0 t \overline{t} X$

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{AAD}$ 16J perform fits to the ATLAS and CMS data at $E_\mathrm{cm}=7$ and 8 TeV.

 $^{^2}$ In the fit, relative branching ratios are fixed to those in the Standard Model. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.09$ GeV.

 $^{^3}$ AAD 16K use up to 4.7 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and up to 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The third uncertainty in the measurement is theory systematics. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{\mbox{\scriptsize H}^0}=125.36$ GeV.

⁴ AAD 15 search for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production with H^0 decaying to $\gamma\gamma$ in 4.5 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted result on the signal strength is equivalent to an upper limit of 6.7 at 95% CL and is given for $m_{H^0}=125.4$ GeV.

 $^{^5}$ AAD 15BC search for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production with H^0 decaying to $b\overline{b}$ in 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The corresponding upper limit is 3.4 at 95% CL. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

⁶ AAD 15T search for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production with H^0 resulting in multilepton final states (mainly from WW^* , $\tau\tau$, ZZ^*) in 20.3 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted result on the signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV and corresponds to an upper limit of 4.7 at 95% CL. The data sample is independent from AAD 15 and AAD 15BC.

 $^{^7}$ KHACHATRYAN 15AN search for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production with H^0 decaying to $b\overline{b}$ in 19.5 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted result on the signal strength is equivalent to an upper limit of 4.2 at 95% CL and is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

⁸ KHACHATRYAN 14H search for $t\overline{t}H^0$ production with H^0 decaying to $b\overline{b}$, $\tau\tau$, $\gamma\gamma$, WW^* , and ZZ^* , in 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of pp collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ TeV and 19.7 fb $^{-1}$ at $E_{\rm cm}=8$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125.6$ GeV.

⁹ AALTONEN 13L combine all CDF results with 9.45–10.0 fb⁻¹ of $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.96$ TeV. The quoted signal strength is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV.

 $^{^{10}}$ CHATRCHYAN 13X search for $t\,\overline{t}\,H^0$ production followed by $H^0\to b\,\overline{b}$, one top decaying to $\ell\nu$ and the other to either $\ell\nu$ or $q\,\overline{q}$ in 5.0 fb $^{-1}$ and 5.1 fb $^{-1}$ of $p\,p$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=7$ and 8 TeV. A limit on cross section times branching ratio which corresponds to (4.0–8.6) times the expected Standard Model cross section is given for $m_{H^0}=110$ –140 GeV at 95% CL. The quoted limit is given for $m_{H^0}=125$ GeV, where 5.2 is expected for no signal.

H⁰ REFERENCES

AAD 1	16	PL B753 69	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	16J	arXiv:1606.02266	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS and CMS Collabs.)
JHEP to be			C A I	(ATLAC CILL)
		EPJ C76 6	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1 KHACHATRY 1		PL B753 341 EPJ C76 13	V. Khachatryan et al.	(CMS Collab.) (CMS Collab.)
-	10G 15	PL B740 222	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	-	PR D92 012006	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		JHEP 1504 117	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		JHEP 1508 137	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	-	EPJ C75 231	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15B	PRL 114 191803	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS and CMS Collabs.)
AAD 1	15BC	EPJ C75 349	G. Aad et al.	` (ATLAS Collab.)
AAD 1	15BD	EPJ C75 337	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
AAD 1	15BE	EPJ C75 335	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		EPJ C75 476	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15F	PR D91 012006	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15G	JHEP 1501 069	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15I	PRL 114 121801	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15P	PRL 115 091801	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15T	PL B749 519	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	15 15B	PRL 114 151802 PRL 114 141802	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF and D0 Collabs.) (CDF Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1	-		V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1	-		V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1			V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1	-		V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1		PL B749 337	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1	15Y	PR D92 012004	V. Khachatryan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1	15Z	PR D92 032008	V. Khachatryan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
AAD 1	14AR	PL B738 234	G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.)
		PL B738 68	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		PR D90 112015	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		JHEP 1409 112	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	14J	PL B732 8	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	14O 14W	PRL 112 201802 PR D90 052004	G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
	14VV 14F	PRL 113 161802	V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1			S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1		PR D89 012003	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1			S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1	14B	EPJ C74 2980	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1	14G	JHEP 1401 096	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1		JHEP 1405 104	S. Chatrchyan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1		PL B736 64	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1		JHEP 1409 087	V. Khachartryan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
KHACHATRY 1		EPJ C74 3076	V. Khachatryan <i>et al.</i>	(CMS Collab.)
		PL B726 120 PL B726 88	G. Aad <i>et al.</i> G. Aad <i>et al.</i>	(ATLAS Collab.) (ATLAS Collab.)
Also	IJAN	PL B734 406 (errat.)	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	13L	PR D88 052013	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF Collab.)
	13M	PR D88 052014	T. Aaltonen et al.	(CDF and D0 Collabs.)
	13L	PR D88 052011	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1			S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1	13J	PRL 110 081803	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1	13X	JHEP 1305 145	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1		JHEP 1306 081	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)
HEINEMEYER 1		arXiv:1307.1347	S. Heinemeyer <i>et al.</i>	(LHC Higgs CS Working Group)
	12AI	PL B716 1	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
		SCI 338 1576	G. Aad et al.	(ATLAS Collab.)
	12Q 12R	PRL 109 111803 PRL 109 111804	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.)
	12K 12S	PRL 109 111805	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
	12T	PRL 109 071804	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i>	(CDF and D0 Collabs.)
	12K	PL B716 285	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
	120	PRL 109 121803	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
	12P	PRL 109 121804	V.M. Abazov et al.	(D0 Collab.)
CHATRCHYAN 1	12BY	SCI 338 1569	S. Chatrchyan et al.	(CMS Collab.)

CHATRCHYAN 12N PL B716 30 S. Chatrchyan et al.

DITTMAIER 12 arXiv:1201.3084 S. Dittmaier et al.

DITTMAIER 11 arXiv:1101.0593 S. Dittmaier et al.

(CMS Collab.)

(LHC Higgs CS Working Group)

(LHC Higgs CS Working Group)