

# a<sub>2</sub>(1320)

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$$

## a<sub>2</sub>(1320) MASS

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID

**1318.3<sup>+0.5</sup><sub>-0.6</sub> OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one.  
 Error includes scale factor of 1.2.

### 3π MODE


VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

**1319.0<sup>+1.0</sup><sub>-1.3</sub> OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.

1321	± 1	<sup>+0</sup> <sub>-7</sub>	420k	ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 π <sup>-</sup> Pb → π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> Pb'
1326	± 2	±2		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 π <sup>-</sup> p → π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>-</sup> p
1317	± 3			BARBERIS	98B		450 pp → p <sub>f</sub> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup> p <sub>s</sub>
1323	± 4	±3		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> → e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup>
1320	± 7			ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> → e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup>
1311.3 ± 1.6 ± 3.0			72.4k	AMELIN	96	VES	36 π <sup>-</sup> p → π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup> n
1310	± 5			ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	300.0 pp → pp π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup>
1323.8 ± 2.3			4022	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 ±	J/ψ → ρ <sup>±</sup> a <sub>2</sub> <sup>∓</sup>
1320.6 ± 3.1			3562	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	J/ψ → ρ <sup>0</sup> a <sub>2</sub> <sup>0</sup>
1317 ± 2			25k	<sup>1</sup> DAUM	80C	SPEC -	63,94 π <sup>-</sup> p → 3π p
1320 ± 10			1097	<sup>1</sup> BALTAY	78B	HBC +0	15 π <sup>+</sup> p → p 4π
1306 ± 8				FERRERSORIA	78	OMEG -	9 π <sup>-</sup> p → p 3π
1318 ± 7			1.6k	<sup>1</sup> EMMS	75	DBC 0	4 π <sup>+</sup> n → p(3π) <sup>0</sup>
1315 ± 5				<sup>1</sup> ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR -	25,40 π <sup>-</sup> p → p η π <sup>-</sup>
1306 ± 9			1580	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC -	3.9 π <sup>-</sup> p
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●							
1300 ± 2 ± 4			18k	<sup>2</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	γγ → π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup> π <sup>0</sup>
1305 ± 14				CONDO	93	SHF	γ p → n π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>+</sup> π <sup>-</sup>
1310 ± 2				<sup>1</sup> EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12 π <sup>-</sup> p → 3π p
1343 ± 11			490	BALTAY	78B	HBC 0	15 π <sup>+</sup> p → Δ 3π
1309 ± 5			5k	BINNIE	71	MMS -	π <sup>-</sup> p near a <sub>2</sub> thresh- old
1299 ± 6			28k	BOWEN	71	MMS -	5 π <sup>-</sup> p
1300 ± 6			24k	BOWEN	71	MMS +	5 π <sup>+</sup> p
1309 ± 4			17k	BOWEN	71	MMS -	7 π <sup>-</sup> p
1306 ± 4			941	ALSTON-...	70	HBC +	7.0 π <sup>+</sup> p → 3π p

- <sup>1</sup> From a fit to  $J^P = 2^+ \rho\pi$  partial wave.  
<sup>2</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.



**$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

**1318.1± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE**

1319 ± 5	4700	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	50 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 6	5200	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC	-	50 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC	-	17 $\pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$
1312 ± 4	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	9.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1316 ± 2	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC	-	18.8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1318 ± 1		<sup>1,3</sup> MARTIN	78D	SPEC	-	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
1320 ± 2	2724	MARGULIE	76	SPEC	-	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1313 ± 4	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR	-	20.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
1319 ± 3	1500	<sup>3</sup> GRAYER	71	ASPK	-	17.2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1304 ± 10	870	<sup>4</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
1330 ± 11	1000	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC	+	30 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
1324 ± 5	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK	+	12.7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$

- <sup>1</sup> From a fit to  $J^P = 2^+$  partial wave.  
<sup>2</sup> Number of events evaluated by us.  
<sup>3</sup> Systematic error in mass scale subtracted.  
<sup>4</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

### $\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT  
 The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

#### 1317.7 ± 1.4 OUR AVERAGE

1308 ± 9		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_S$
1316 ± 9		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_S$
1317 ± 1 ± 2		THOMPSON	97	MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1315 ± 5 ± 2		<sup>1</sup> AMSLER	94D	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1325.1 ± 5.1		AOYAGI	93	BKEI	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
1317.7 ± 1.4 ± 2.0		BELADIDZE	93	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$
1323 ± 8	1000	<sup>2</sup> KEY	73	OSPK	– 6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1315 ± 12		<sup>3</sup> ADOLPH	15	COMP	191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(l)} \pi^- p$
1309 ± 4		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$\bar{p} p, \pi N$
1324 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1336.2 ± 1.7	2561	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC	+ $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
1330.7 ± 2.4	1653	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC	– $\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
1324 ± 8	6200	<sup>2,4</sup> CONFORTO	73	OSPK	– 6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

<sup>1</sup> The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.

<sup>2</sup> Error includes 5 MeV systematic mass-scale error.

<sup>3</sup> ADOLPH 15 value is derived from a Breit-Wigner fit with mass-dependent width taking the  $\eta\pi$  and  $\rho\pi$  channels into account.

<sup>4</sup> Missing mass with enriched MMS =  $\eta\pi^-$ ,  $\eta = 2\gamma$ .

### $\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT  
 The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

#### 1322 ± 7 OUR AVERAGE

1318 ± 8 $\begin{smallmatrix} +3 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$		IVANOV	01	B852	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
1327.0 ± 10.7		BELADIDZE	93	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

### $a_2(1320)$ WIDTH

#### 3 $\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

#### 105.0 $\begin{smallmatrix} + \\ - \end{smallmatrix}$ $\begin{smallmatrix} 1.6 \\ 1.9 \end{smallmatrix}$ OUR AVERAGE

110 ± 2 $\begin{smallmatrix} +2 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix}$	420k	ALEKSEEV	10	COMP	190 $\pi^- Pb \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+ Pb'$
108 ± 3 ± 15		CHUNG	02	B852	18.3 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
120 ± 10		BARBERIS	98B		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 p_S$
105 ± 10 ± 11		ACCIARRI	97T	L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
120 ± 10		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

103.0± 6.0± 3.3 72.4k	AMELIN	96	VES	36	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 n$
120 ±10	ARMSTRONG	90	OMEG 0	300.0	$pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
107.0± 9.7	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 ±	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^\pm a_2^\mp$	
118.5±12.5	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2 0	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^0 a_2^0$	
97 ± 5	<sup>1</sup> EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG -	12	$\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
96 ± 9	<sup>1</sup> DAUM	80C	SPEC -	63,94	$\pi^- p \rightarrow 3\pi p$
110 ±15	<sup>1</sup> BALTAY	78B	HBC +0	15	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
112 ±18	<sup>1</sup> EMMS	75	DBC 0	4	$\pi^+ n \rightarrow p(3\pi)^0$
122 ±14	<sup>1,2</sup> WAGNER	75	HBC 0	7	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++}(3\pi)^0$
115 ±15	<sup>1</sup> ANTIPOV	73C	CNTR -	25,40	$\pi^- p \rightarrow p \eta \pi^-$
99 ±15	CHALOUPKA	73	HBC -	3.9	$\pi^- p$
105 ± 5	BOWEN	71	MMS -	5	$\pi^- p$
99 ± 5	BOWEN	71	MMS +	5	$\pi^+ p$
103 ± 5	BOWEN	71	MMS -	7	$\pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
117 ± 6 ±20	<sup>3</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE 0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
120 ±40	CONDO	93	SHF	$\gamma p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
115 ±14	BALTAY	78B	HBC 0	15	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta 3\pi$
72 ±16	BINNIE	71	MMS -		$\pi^- p$ near $a_2$ threshold
79 ±12	ALSTON-...	70	HBC +	7.0	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow 3\pi p$

<sup>1</sup>From a fit to  $J^P = 2^+ \rho\pi$  partial wave.

<sup>2</sup>Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

<sup>3</sup>From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

## $K\bar{K}$ AND $\eta\pi$ MODES

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID

**107 ±5 OUR ESTIMATE**

**110.4±1.7 OUR AVERAGE** Includes data from the 2 datablocks that follow this one.

## $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN CHG COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

**109.8± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE**

112 ±20	4700	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC +	50	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
120 ±25	5200	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC -	50	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
106 ± 4	4000	CHABAUD	80	SPEC -	17	$\pi^- A \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- A$
126 ±11	11000	CHABAUD	78	SPEC -	9.8	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
101 ± 8	4730	CHABAUD	78	SPEC -	18.8	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
113 ± 4		<sup>1,3</sup> MARTIN	78D	SPEC -	10	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^- p$
105 ± 8	2724	<sup>3</sup> MARGULIE	76	SPEC -	23	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
113 ±19	730	FOLEY	72	CNTR -	20.3	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$
123 ±13	1500	<sup>3</sup> GRAYER	71	ASPK -	17.2	$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^- K_S^0 p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

120 ±15	870	<sup>4</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
121 ±51	1000	<sup>1,2</sup> CLELAND	82B	SPEC +	$30 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^+ p$
110 ±18	350	HYAMS	78	ASPK +	$12.7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ K_S^0 p$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to  $J^P = 2^+$  partial wave.

<sup>2</sup> Number of events evaluated by us.

<sup>3</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

<sup>4</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV.

## $\eta\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

### 111.1± 2.4 OUR AVERAGE

115 ±20		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \eta \pi^0 p_s$
112 ±14		BARBERIS	00H		450 $p p \rightarrow \Delta_f^{++} \eta \pi^- p_s$
112 ± 3 ±2		<sup>1</sup> AMSLER	94D	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
103 ± 6 ±3		BELADIDZE	93	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta \pi^- N$
112.2± 5.7	2561	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC +	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
116.6± 7.7	1653	DELFOSSÉ	81	SPEC -	$\pi^\pm p \rightarrow p \pi^\pm \eta$
108 ± 9	1000	KEY	73	OSPK -	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^- \eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

119 ±14		<sup>2</sup> ADOLPH	15	COMP	191 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} \pi^- p$
110 ± 4		ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	$\bar{p} p, \pi N$
127 ± 2 ±2		<sup>3</sup> THOMPSON	97	MPS	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^- p$
118 ±10		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760 0	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
104 ± 9	6200	<sup>4</sup> CONFORTO	73	OSPK -	6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \text{MM}^-$

<sup>1</sup> The systematic error of 2 MeV corresponds to the spread of solutions.

<sup>2</sup> ADOLPH 15 value is derived from a Breit-Wigner fit with mass-dependent width taking the  $\eta\pi$  and  $\rho\pi$  channels into account.

<sup>3</sup> Resolution is not unfolded.

<sup>4</sup> Missing mass with enriched  $\text{MMS} = \eta \pi^-$ ,  $\eta = 2\gamma$ .

## $\eta'\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

### 119±25 OUR AVERAGE

140±35±20	IVANOV	01	B852 18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- p$
106±32	BELADIDZE	93	VES 37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- N$

## $a_2(1320)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $3\pi$	$(70.1 \pm 2.7) \%$	S=1.2
$\Gamma_2$ $\rho(770)\pi$		
$\Gamma_3$ $f_2(1270)\pi$		
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho(1450)\pi$		
$\Gamma_5$ $\eta\pi$	$(14.5 \pm 1.2) \%$	
$\Gamma_6$ $\omega\pi\pi$	$(10.6 \pm 3.2) \%$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_7$ $K\bar{K}$	$(4.9 \pm 0.8) \%$	
$\Gamma_8$ $\eta'(958)\pi$	$(5.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_9$ $\pi^\pm\gamma$	$(2.91 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\gamma\gamma$	$(9.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{11}$ $e^+e^-$	$< 5 \times 10^{-9}$	CL=90%

### CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 18 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 9.3$  for 15 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_5$	10		
$x_6$	-89	-46	
$x_7$	-1	-2	-24
	$x_1$	$x_5$	$x_6$

### $a_2(1320)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

#### $\Gamma(\eta\pi)$ $\Gamma_5$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

18.5 ± 3.0	870	<sup>1</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
------------	-----	-----------------------------	------	---	----------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using  $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$  keV and SU(3) relations.

#### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ $\Gamma_7$

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.0 <sup>+2.0</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub>	870	<sup>1</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	0	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
-------------------------------------	-----	-----------------------------	------	---	----------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using  $\Gamma(a_2(1320) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.91$  keV and SU(3) relations.

### $\Gamma(\pi^\pm\gamma)$

$\Gamma_9$

VALUE (keV)    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

#### **311 ± 25 OUR AVERAGE**

358 ± 6 ± 42		<sup>1</sup> ADOLPH	14	COMP	-	190 $\pi^-$ Pb → $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ Pb'
284 ± 25 ± 25	7.1k	MOLCHANOV	01	SELX		600 $\pi^-$ A → $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ A
295 ± 60		CIHANGIR	82	SPEC	+	200 $\pi^+$ A

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

461 ± 110		<sup>2</sup> MAY	77	SPEC	±	9.7 $\gamma$ A
-----------	--	------------------	----	------	---	----------------

<sup>1</sup> Primakoff reaction using  $a_2(1320) \rightarrow 3\pi$  branching ratio of 70.1%.

<sup>2</sup> Assuming one-pion exchange.

### $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$

$\Gamma_{10}$

VALUE (keV)    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

#### **1.00 ± 0.06 OUR AVERAGE**

0.98 ± 0.05 ± 0.09		ACCIARRI	97T	L3		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
0.96 ± 0.03 ± 0.13		ALBRECHT	97B	ARG		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.26 ± 0.26 ± 0.18	36	BARU	90	MD1		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.00 ± 0.07 ± 0.15	415	BEHREND	90C	CELL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.03 ± 0.13 ± 0.21		BUTLER	90	MRK2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1.01 ± 0.14 ± 0.22	85	OEST	90	JADE		$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$
0.90 ± 0.27 ± 0.15	56	<sup>1</sup> ALTHOFF	86	TASS	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-3\pi$
1.14 ± 0.20 ± 0.26		<sup>2</sup> ANTREASYAN	86	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$
1.06 ± 0.18 ± 0.19		BERGER	84C	PLUT	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.81 ± 0.19 $^{+0.42}_{-0.11}$	35	<sup>1</sup> BEHREND	83B	CELL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-3\pi$
0.77 ± 0.18 ± 0.27	22	<sup>2</sup> EDWARDS	82F	CBAL	0	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\eta$

<sup>1</sup> From  $\rho\pi$  decay mode.

<sup>2</sup> From  $\eta\pi^0$  decay mode.

### $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$

$\Gamma_{11}$

VALUE (eV)    CL%    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

< 0.56	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
--------	----	---------	-----	-----	--	---------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 25	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$
------	----	----------	----	----	--	--------------------------------

### $a_2(1320) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

### $\Gamma(3\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)    EVTS    DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.65 ± 0.02 ± 0.02	18k	<sup>1</sup> SCHEGELSKY	06	RVUE		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
--------------------	-----	-------------------------	----	------	--	--------------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

$\Gamma(\eta\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)                      DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.145<sup>+0.097</sup><sub>-0.034</sub>                      <sup>1</sup>UEHARA    09A    BELL     $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup>From the  $D_2$ -wave. The fraction of the  $D_0$ -wave is  $3.4^{+2.3}_{-1.1}\%$ .

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)                      DOCUMENT ID    TECN    COMMENT

**0.126±0.007±0.028**                      <sup>1</sup>ALBRECHT    90G    ARG     $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.081±0.006±0.027                      <sup>2</sup>ALBRECHT    90G    ARG     $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K^+K^-$

<sup>1</sup>Using an incoherent background.

<sup>2</sup>Using a coherent background.

**$a_2(1320)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

$[\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi) + \Gamma(\rho(1450)\pi)]/\Gamma(\rho(770)\pi)$   $(\Gamma_3+\Gamma_4)/\Gamma_2$

VALUE                      CL%                      DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

**<0.12**                      90                      ABRAMOVI...    70B    HBC    -     $3.93 \pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$

VALUE                      EVTS                      DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

**0.207±0.018 OUR FIT**

**0.213±0.020 OUR AVERAGE**

0.18 ±0.05                      FORINO    76    HBC                      11  $\pi^- p$

0.22 ±0.05                      52                      ANTIPOV    73    CNTR    -    40  $\pi^- p$

0.211±0.044                      149                      CHALOUPKA    73    HBC    -    3.9  $\pi^- p$

0.246±0.042                      167                      ALSTON-...    71    HBC    +    7.0  $\pi^+ p$

0.25 ±0.09                      15                      BOECKMANN    70    HBC    +    5.0  $\pi^+ p$

0.23 ±0.08                      22                      ASCOLI    68    HBC    -    5  $\pi^- p$

0.12 ±0.08                      CHUNG    68    HBC    -    3.2  $\pi^- p$

0.22 ±0.09                      CONTE    67    HBC    -    11.0  $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_1$

VALUE                      EVTS                      DOCUMENT ID    TECN    CHG    COMMENT

**0.15±0.05 OUR FIT**    Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

**0.15±0.05 OUR AVERAGE**    Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.

0.28±0.09                      60                      DIAZ    74    DBC    0    6  $\pi^+ n$

0.18±0.08                      <sup>1</sup>KARSHON    74    HBC                      Avg. of above two

0.10±0.05                      279                      <sup>2</sup>CHALOUPKA    73    HBC    -    3.9  $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •


0.29±0.08                      140                      <sup>1</sup>KARSHON    74    HBC    0    4.9  $\pi^+ p$

0.10±0.04                      60                      <sup>1</sup>KARSHON    74    HBC    +    4.9  $\pi^+ p$

0.19±0.08                      DEFOIX    73    HBC    0    0.7  $\bar{p}p$



- <sup>1</sup> KARSHON 74 suggest an additional  $I = 0$  state strongly coupled to  $\omega\pi\pi$  which could explain discrepancies in branching ratios and masses. We use a central value and a systematic spread.  
<sup>2</sup> Decays to  $b_1(1040)\pi$ ,  $b_1 \rightarrow \omega\pi$ . Error increased to account for possible systematic errors of complicated analysis.



### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(3\pi)$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>0.070 \pm 0.012</math></b>					<b>OUR FIT</b>
<b><math>0.078 \pm 0.017</math></b>		CHABAUD 78	RVUE		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$0.011 \pm 0.003$		<sup>1</sup> BERTIN 98B	OBLX		$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S \pi^\mp$
$0.056 \pm 0.014$	50	<sup>2</sup> CHALOUPKA 73	HBC	-	$3.9 \pi^- p$
$0.097 \pm 0.018$	113	<sup>2</sup> ALSTON-... 71	HBC	+	$7.0 \pi^+ p$
$0.06 \pm 0.03$		<sup>2</sup> ABRAMOVI... 70B	HBC	-	$3.93 \pi^- p$
$0.054 \pm 0.022$		<sup>2</sup> CHUNG 68	HBC	-	$3.2 \pi^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $4\pi$  data from BERTIN 97D.

<sup>2</sup> Included in CHABAUD 78 review.

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_5$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.08 \pm 0.02$	<sup>1</sup> BERTIN 98B	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S \pi^\mp$
<sup>1</sup> Using $\eta\pi\pi$ data from AMSLER 94D.			

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)/[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$   $\Gamma_5/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.162±0.012 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.140±0.028 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.13 ±0.04		ESPIGAT 72	HBC	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p$
0.15 ±0.04	34	BARNHAM 71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+p$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/[\Gamma(3\pi) + \Gamma(\eta\pi) + \Gamma(K\bar{K})]$   $\Gamma_7/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_5+\Gamma_7)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.054±0.009 OUR FIT</b>					
<b>0.048±0.012 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.05 ±0.02		TOET 73	HBC	+	5 $\pi^+p$
0.09 ±0.04		TOET 73	HBC	0	5 $\pi^+p$
0.03 ±0.02	8	<sup>1</sup> DAMERI 72	HBC	-	11 $\pi^-p$
0.06 ±0.03	17	BARNHAM 71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.020±0.004		<sup>2</sup> ESPIGAT 72	HBC	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p$

<sup>1</sup> Montanet agrees. Vlada.

<sup>2</sup> Not averaged because of discrepancy between masses from  $K\bar{K}$  and  $\rho\pi$  modes.

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<0.006	95	ALDE 92B	GAM2		38,100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta'\pi^0n$
<0.02	97	BARNHAM 71	HBC	+	3.7 $\pi^+p$
0.004±0.004		<sup>1</sup> BOESEBECK 68	HBC	+	8 $\pi^+p$

<sup>1</sup> No longer valid since  $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(3\pi)$  value has changed (MORRISON 71).

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(3\pi)$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<0.011	90	EISENSTEIN 73	HBC	-	5 $\pi^-p$
<0.04		ALSTON-... 71	HBC	+	7.0 $\pi^+p$
0.04 $\begin{smallmatrix} +0.03 \\ -0.04 \end{smallmatrix}$		BOECKMANN 70	HBC	0	5.0 $\pi^+p$

$\Gamma(\eta'(958)\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi)$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_5$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.038±0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.05 ±0.02	ADOLPH 15	COMP	191 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)}\pi^-p$
0.032±0.009	ABELE 97C	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\eta'$
0.047±0.010±0.004	<sup>1</sup> BELADIDZE 93	VES	37 $\pi^-N \rightarrow a_2^-N$
0.034±0.008±0.005	BELADIDZE 92	VES	36 $\pi^-C \rightarrow a_2^-C$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = 0.441$ ,  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 0.389$  and  $B(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = 0.236$ .

$\Gamma(\pi^\pm \gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
$0.005^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$	<sup>1</sup> EISENBERG	72	HBC	4.3,5.25,7.5	$\gamma p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>1</sup> Pion-exchange model used in this estimation.

$\Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<6	90	ACHASOV	00K	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

## $a_2(1320)$ REFERENCES

ADOLPH	15	PL B740 303	M. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ADOLPH	14	EPJ A50 79	C. Adolph <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ALEKSEEV	10	PRL 104 241803	M.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	(COMPASS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
UEHARA	09A	PR D80 032001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
SCHEGELSKY	06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
CHUNG	02	PR D65 072001	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
IVANOV	01	PRL 86 3977	E.I. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
MOLCHANOV	01	PL B521 171	V.V. Molchanov <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)
ACHASOV	00K	PL B492 8	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
BARBERIS	00H	PL B488 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98B	PL B422 399	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97C	PL B404 179	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALBRECHT	97B	ZPHY C74 469	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
THOMPSON	97	PRL 79 1630	D.R. Thompson <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
AMELIN	96	ZPHY C70 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, TBIL)
AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AOYAGI	93	PL B314 246	H. Aoyagi <i>et al.</i>	(BKEI Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
BELADIDZE	93	PL B313 276	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
CONDO	93	PR D48 3045	G.T. Condo <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Hybrid Collab.)
ALDE	92B	ZPHY C54 549	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
BELADIDZE	92	ZPHY C54 235	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ALBRECHT	90G	ZPHY C48 183	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	90	ZPHY C48 213	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun, W. Beusch	(WA76 Coll.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BEHREND	90C	ZPHY C46 583	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ALTHOFF	86	ZPHY C31 537	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	86	PR D33 1847	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BERGER	84C	PL 149B 427	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BEHREND	83B	PL 125B 518 (erratum)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
CIHANGIR	82	PL 117B 123	S. Cihangir <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, MINN, ROCH)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
EDWARDS	82F	PL 110B 82	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
DELFOSSÉ	81	NP B183 349	A. Delfosse <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, LAUS)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
CHABAUD	80	NP B175 189	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, AMST)
DAUM	80C	PL 89B 276	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
BALTAY	78B	PR D17 62	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING)
CHABAUD	78	NP B145 349	V. Chabaud <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
FERRERSORIA	78	PL 74B 287	A. Ferrer Soria <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY, CERN, CDEF+)

HYAMS	78	NP B146 303	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM, ATEN)
MARTIN	78D	PL 74B 417	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA) JP
MAY	77	PR D16 1983	E.N. May <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CORN)
FORINO	76	NC 35A 465	A. Forino <i>et al.</i>	(BGNA, FIRZ, GENO, MILA+)
MARGULIE	76	PR D14 667	M. Margulies <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
EMMS	75	PL 58B 117	M.J. Emms <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, DURH, RHEL) JP
WAGNER	75	PL 58B 201	F. Wagner, M. Tabak, D.M. Chew	(LBL) JP
DIAZ	74	PRL 32 260	J. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
KARSHON	74	PRL 32 852	U. Karshon <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
ANTIPOV	73	NP B63 175	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP
ANTIPOV	73C	NP B63 153	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, SERP) JP
CHALOUPKA	73	PL 44B 211	V. Chaloupka <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
CONFORTO	73	PL 45B 154	G. Conforto <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, FNAL, TATO+)
DEFOIX	73	PL 43B 141	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF)
EISENSTEIN	73	PR D7 278	L. Eisenstein <i>et al.</i>	(ILL)
KEY	73	PRL 30 503	A.W. Key <i>et al.</i>	(TATO, EFI, FNAL, WISC)
TOET	73	NP B63 248	D.Z. Toet <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BONN, DURH, TORI)
DAMERI	72	NC 9A 1	M. Dameri <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, MILA, SACL)
EISENBERG	72	PR D5 15	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO, SLAC, TELA)
ESPIGAT	72	NP B36 93	P. Espigat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF)
FOLEY	72	PR D6 747	K.J. Foley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY)
ALSTON-...	71	PL 34B 156	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
BARNHAM	71	PRL 26 1494	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
BINNIE	71	PL 36B 257	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
BOWEN	71	PRL 26 1663	D.R. Bowen <i>et al.</i>	(NEAS, STON)
GRAYER	71	PL 34B 333	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
ABRAMOVI...	70B	NP B23 466	M. Abramovich <i>et al.</i>	(CERN) JP
ALSTON-...	70	PL 33B 607	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
BOECKMANN	70	NP B16 221	K. Boeckmann <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, DURH, NIJM+)
ASCOLI	68	PRL 20 1321	G. Ascoli <i>et al.</i>	(ILL) JP
BOESEBECK	68	NP B4 501	K. Boesebeck <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN)
CHUNG	68	PR 165 1491	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
CONTE	67	NC 51A 175	F. Conte <i>et al.</i>	(GENO, HAMB, MILA, SACL)