Neutrino Properties

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS (electron based)

Those limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$. Limits that come from the kinematics of ${}^3\text{H}\beta^-\overline{\nu}$ decay are the square roots of the limits for $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})}$. Obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared," below.

VALUE (e	eV)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 2	OUR EVALUATI	ON					
< 2.05		95	1	ASEEV	11	SPEC	3 H eta decay
< 2.3		95	2	KRAUS	05	SPEC	3 H eta decay
• • • V	Ve do not use the	following	g d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
< 5.8		95	3	PAGLIAROLI	10	ASTR	SN1987A
<21.7		90	4	ARNABOLDI	03 A	BOLO	187 Re eta -decay
< 5.7		95		LOREDO	02	ASTR	SN1987A
< 2.5		95		LOBASHEV	99	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 2.8		95	7	WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC	3 H β decay
< 4.35		95	8	BELESEV	95	SPEC	³ H β decay
<12.4		95	9	CHING	95	SPEC	${}^{3}Heta$ decay
<92		95	10	HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
15	+32 -15			HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<19.6		95		KERNAN	95	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 7.0		95	11	STOEFFL	95	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 7.2		95	12	WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC	3 H β decay
<11.7		95	13	HOLZSCHUH	9 2B	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<13.1		95	14	KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC	3 H eta decay
< 9.3		95	15	ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<14		95		AVIGNONE	90	ASTR	SN 1987A
<16				SPERGEL	88	ASTR	SN 1987A
17	to 40		16	BORIS	87	SPEC	${}^{3}Heta$ decay

¹ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002 (some of the earlier runs were rejected), using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The fitted value of m_{ν} , based on the method of Feldman and Cousins, is obtained from the upper limit of the fit for m_{ν}^2 . Previous analysis problems were resolved by careful monitoring of the tritium gas column density. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

² KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Various sources of systematic uncertainties have been identified and quantified. The background has been reduced compared to the initial running period. A spectral anomaly at the endpoint, reported in LOBASHEV 99, was not observed.

³ PAGLIAROLI 10 is critical of the likelihood method used by LOREDO 02.

 4 ARNABOLDI 03A *etal*. report kinematical neutrino mass limit using eta-decay of 187 Re. Bolometric AgReO₄ micro-calorimeters are used. Mass bound is substantially weaker than those derived from tritium β -decays but has different systematic uncertainties.

⁵ LOREDO 02 updates LOREDO 89.

- ⁶LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to m_{ν}^2 , making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."
- 7 WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable m_{ν}^2 . We report the most conservative limit, but the other is nearly the same. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."
- ⁸BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields $m_{\nu}^2 = -4.1 \pm 10.9 \text{ eV}^2$, leading to this Bayesian limit.
- 9 CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of m_{ν}^2 is given.
- $^{10}\,$ HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean $m_{\mu}^2 = 221 \pm 4244 \text{ eV}^2$ from the two runs listed below.
- $^{11}\,{\rm STOEFFL}$ 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_{ν}^2 errors given below but with $m_{
 u}^2$ set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of m_{ν}^2 which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.
- 12 WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium eta spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- ¹³ HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement $m_{\chi}^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$ $(1\sigma \text{ errors})$, in eV², using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in m_{μ} .

- 14 KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the $m_{
 u}^2$ limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.
- 15 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ij} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of

a positive m^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature. 16 See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED (electron based)

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of $m_{\nu_e}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$, in many experiments, we use only KRAUS 05 and LOBASHEV 99 for our average.

VAL	. <i>UE</i> (eV ²)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
_	0.6 ±	1.9 OUR AVERAGE				
_	$0.67\pm$	2.53				3 H eta decay
_	$0.6~\pm$	$2.2~\pm~2.1$	² KRAUS	05	SPEC	3 H eta decay

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

- 1.9	± 3.4	1 ± 2.2		³ LOBASHEV	99		3 H eta decay
- 3.7	± 5.3	$3~\pm~2.1$		⁴ WEINHEIMER	99		3 H eta decay
- 22	± 4.8	3		⁵ BELESEV	95		3 H eta decay
129	± 6010			⁶ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
313	± 5994			⁶ HIDDEMANN	95		3 H eta decay
-130	\pm 20	± 15	95	⁷ STOEFFL	95		3 H eta decay
- 31	\pm 75	± 48		⁸ SUN	93		${}^{3}Heta$ decay
- 39	\pm 34	± 15		⁹ WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 24	\pm 48	± 61		¹⁰ HOLZSCHUH			
- 65	\pm 85	± 65		11 KAWAKAMI			3 H eta decay
-147	\pm 68	± 41		¹² ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	3 H eta decay

- ¹ ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002, using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The analysis does not use the two additional fit parameters (see LOBA-SHEV 99) for a step-like structure near the endpoint. Using only the runs where the tritium gas column density was carefully monitored the need for such parameters was eliminated. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.
- ² KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Problems with significantly negative squared neutrino masses, observed in some earlier experiments, have been resolved in this work.
- ³LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted $m_{\nu}^2 \approx -(20-10) \text{ eV}^2$. This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about 6×10^{-11} intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5–15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of $m_{\nu}^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ eV}^2$ which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit. However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived m_{ν}^2 limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult.
- 4 WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93 . Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the T_2 film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable m_{ν}^2 fits and are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most _ conservative of the two.
- ⁵ BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7–15 eV below the endpoint.
- ⁶ HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.
- ⁷ STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for m_{ν}^2 . The authors acknowledge that "the negative value for the best fit of m_{ν}^2 has no physical meaning" and discuss possible explanations for this effect.

⁸SUN 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.

⁹WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.

 10 HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.

¹¹KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.

 12 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ν} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m_{ν}^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

ν MASS (electron based)

These are measurement of m_{ν} (in contrast to $m_{\overline{\nu}}$, given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of CPT invariance. The possible distinction between ν and $\overline{\nu}$ properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<460 <225	68 95	YASUMI SPRINGER		¹⁶³ Ho decay ¹⁶³ Ho decay

ν MASS (muon based)

Limits given below are for the square root of $m_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_{i} |\mathsf{U}_{\mu i}|^2 m_{\nu_{i}}^2$.

In some of the COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

OUR EVALUATION is based on OUR AVERAGE for the π^{\pm} mass and the ASSAMAGAN 96 value for the muon momentum for the π^+ decay at rest. The limit is calculated using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98 for a Gaussian distribution near a physical boundary. WARNING: since $m_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2(\text{eff})}$ is calculated from the differences of large numbers, it and the corresponding limits are extraordinarily sensitive to small changes in the pion mass, the decay muon momentum, and their errors. For example, the limits obtained using JECKELMANN 94, LENZ 98, and the weighted averages are 0.15, 0.29, and 0.19 MeV, respectively.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.19 (CL = 90%) (DUR EVALU	ATION			
<0.17	90	¹ ASSAMAGAN	96	SPEC	$m_{ m u}^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use	the followin	g data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<0.15		² DOLGOV	95	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.48		³ ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.3		⁴ FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
<0.42		⁴ LAM	91		Nucleosynthesis
<0.50	90	⁵ ANDERHUB	82	SPEC	$m_{ m v}^2 = -0.14 \pm 0.20$
<0.65	90	CLARK	74	ASPK	$\kappa_{\mu 3}^{'}$ decay

- $^1\,{\rm ASSAMAGAN}$ 96 measurement of \textit{p}_{μ} from $\pi^+ \rightarrow ~\mu^+ \nu$ at rest combined with JECK-ELMANN 94 Solution B pion mass yields $m_{\nu}^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$ with corresponding Bayesian limit listed above. If Solution A is used, $m_{\nu}^2 = -0.143 \pm 0.024$ MeV². Replaces ASSAMAGAN 94.
- ²DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below T_{QCD} for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits.
- ³ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, $\sim 1\,\text{s.}$

⁴Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos only. See also ENQVIST 93.

⁵ ANDERHUB 82 kinematics is insensitive to the pion mass.

ν MASS (tau based)

The limits given below are the square roots of limits for $m_{\nu_{\tau}}^{2(\text{eff})}$ $\sum_i |\mathsf{U}_{\tau i}|^2 m_{\nu_i}^2$.

In some of the ASTR and COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 18.2	95		¹ BARATE	98F	ALEP	1991–1995 LEP runs
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not	use the	e followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. ● ● ●
< 28	95		² ATHANAS	00	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 10.6 { m GeV}$
< 27.6	95		³ ACKERSTAFF	98T	OPAL	1990–1995 LEP runs
< 30	95	473	⁴ AMMAR	98	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee}=10.6~{ m GeV}$
< 60	95		⁵ ANASTASSOV	97	CLEO	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 10.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 0.37 or > 22			⁶ FIELDS	97	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 68	95		⁷ SWAIN	97	THEO	$m_{ au}^{}$, $ au_{ au}^{}$, $ au$ partial widths
< 29.9	95		⁸ ALEXANDER	96M	OPAL	1990–1994 LEP runs
<149			⁹ BOTTINO	96	THEO	π , μ , $ au$ leptonic decays
$<\!\!1$ or $>\!\!25$			¹⁰ HANNESTAD	96C	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 71	95		¹¹ SOBIE	96	THEO	$m_{ au}$, $ au_{ au}$, B($ au^ ightarrow$
						$e^-\overline{\nu}_e\nu_{\tau})$
< 24	95	25	¹² BUSKULIC	95H	ALEP	
< 0.19			¹³ DOLGOV	95	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 3			¹⁴ SIGL	95	ASTR	
< 0.4 or > 30			¹⁵ DODELSON	94		Nucleosynthesis
$< 0.1 ext{ or } > 50$			¹⁶ KAWASAKI	94		Nucleosynthesis
155–225			¹⁷ PERES	94		π , K , μ , $ au$ weak decays
< 32.6	95	113	¹⁸ CINABRO	93		$E_{ m cm}^{ee}pprox$ 10.6 GeV
< 0.3 or > 35			¹⁹ DOLGOV	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.74			²⁰ ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 31	95	19	²¹ ALBRECHT	92M	ARG	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 9.4 - 10.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 0.3			²² FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.5 or > 25			²³ KOLB	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42			²² LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Created: 8/21/2014 12:57

- ¹BARATE 98F result based on kinematics of 2939 $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and 52 $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ (\pi^0)\nu_{\tau}$ decays. If possible 2.5% excited a_1 decay is included in 3-prong sample analysis, limit increases to 19.2 MeV.
- ²ATHANAS 00 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decays.
- ³ACKERSTAFF 98T use $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain a limit of 43.2 MeV (95%CL). They combine this with ALEXANDER 96M value using $\tau \rightarrow 3h^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain quoted limit.
- ⁴ AMMAR 98 limit comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.
- ⁵ ANASTASSOV 97 derive limit by comparing their m_{τ} measurement (which depends on $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$) to BAI 96 m_{τ} threshold measurement.
- ⁶ FIELDS 97 limit for a Dirac neutrino. For a Majorana neutrino the mass region < 0.93 or >31 MeV is excluded. These bounds assume $N_{\nu} < 4$ from nucleosynthesis; a wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit.
- ⁷ SWAIN 97 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationships between the tau mass, lifetime, branching fractions for $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$, and

 $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_{\tau}$, and the muon mass and lifetime by assuming lepton universality and using world average values. Limit is reduced to 48 MeV when the CLEO τ mass measurement (BALEST 93) is included; see CLEO's more recent $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$ limit (ANASTASSOV 97).

Consideration of mixing with a fourth generation heavy neutrino yields $\sin^2\theta_L < 0.016$ (95%CL).

- ⁸ALEXANDER 96M bound comes from analyses of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^- h^+ \nu_{\tau}$ decays.
- ⁹ BOTTINO 96 assumes three generations of neutrinos with mixing, finds consistency with massless neutrinos with no mixing based on 1995 data for masses, lifetimes, and leptonic partial widths.
- ¹⁰ HANNESTAD 96C limit is on the mass of a Majorana neutrino. This bound assumes $N_{\nu} < 4$ from nucleosynthesis. A wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit. This paper is the corrected version of HANNESTAD 96; see the erratum: HANNESTAD 96B.
- ¹¹ SOBIE 96 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationship between the tau mass, lifetime, and leptonic branching fraction, and the muon mass and lifetime, by assuming lepton universality and using world average values.
- ¹² BUSKULIC 95H bound comes from a two-dimensional fit of the visible energy and invariant mass distribution of $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi(\pi^0)\nu_{\tau}$ decays. Replaced by BARATE 98F.
- 13 DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below $T_{\rm QCD}$ for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits. DOLGOV 96 argues that a possible window near 20 MeV is excluded.
- ¹⁴SIGL 95 exclude massive Dirac or Majorana neutrinos with lifetimes between 10^{-3} and 10^{8} seconds if the decay products are predominantly γ or $e^{+}e^{-}$.
- 15 DODELSON 94 calculate constraints on ν_{τ} mass and lifetime from nucleosynthesis for 4 generic decay modes. Limits depend strongly on decay mode. Quoted limit is valid for all decay modes of Majorana neutrinos with lifetime greater than about 300 s. For Dirac neutrinos limits change to < 0.3 or > 33.
- ¹⁶ KAWASAKI 94 excluded region is for Majorana neutrino with lifetime >1000 s. Other limits are given as a function of ν_{τ} lifetime for decays of the type $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} \phi$ where ϕ is a Nambu-Goldstone boson.
- ¹⁷ PERES 94 used PDG 92 values for parameters to obtain a value consistent with mixing. Reexamination by BOTTINO 96 which included radiative corrections and 1995 PDG parameters resulted in two allowed regions, $m_3 < 70$ MeV and 140 MeV $m_3 < 149$ \sim MeV.
- ¹⁸ CINABRO 93 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.

- ¹⁹ DOLGOV 93 assumes neutrino lifetime >100 s. For Majorana neutrinos, the low mass limit is 0.5 MeV. KAWANO 92 points out that these bounds can be overcome for a Dirac neutrino if it possesses a magnetic moment. See also DOLGOV 96.
- 20 ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, $\sim 1\,{\rm s}.$
- ²¹ ALBRECHT 92M reports measurement of a slightly lower τ mass, which has the effect of reducing the ν_{τ} mass reported in ALBRECHT 88B. Bound is from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ mode.

 $^{22}\operatorname{Assumes}$ neutrino lifetime $>\!\!1\,\text{s.}$ For Dirac neutrinos. See also ENQVIST 93.

 23 KOLB 91 exclusion region is for Dirac neutrino with lifetime >1 s; other limits are given.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

SUM OF THE NEUTRINO MASSES, m_{tot}

(Defined in the above note), of effectively stable neutrinos (i.e., those with mean lives greater than or equal to the age of the universe). These papers assumed Dirac neutrinos. When necessary, we have generalized the results reported so they apply to $m_{\rm tot}$. For other limits, see SZA-LAY 76, VYSOTSKY 77, BERNSTEIN 81, FREESE 84, SCHRAMM 84, and COWSIK 85.

VALUE (eV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use	the follo	wing data for avera	ges, f	fits, limit	cs, etc. ● ● ●
< 0.39	95	¹ GIUSARMA	13	COSM	
< 0.24	68	² MORESCO	12	COSM	
< 0.60	95	³ RIEMER-SOR	.12	COSM	
< 0.29	95	⁴ XIA	12	COSM	
< 0.81	95	⁵ SAITO	11	COSM	SDSS
< 0.44	95	⁶ HANNESTAD	10	COSM	
< 0.6	95	⁷ SEKIGUCHI	10	COSM	
< 0.28	95	⁸ THOMAS	10	COSM	
< 1.1		⁹ ICHIKI	09	COSM	
< 1.3	95	¹⁰ KOMATSU	09	COSM	WMAP
< 1.2		¹¹ TERENO	09	COSM	
< 0.33		¹² VIKHLININ	09	COSM	
< 0.28		¹³ BERNARDIS	08	COSM	
< 0.17–2.3		¹⁴ FOGLI	07	COSM	
< 0.42	95	¹⁵ KRISTIANSEN	07	COSM	
< 0.63–2.2		¹⁶ ZUNCKEL	07	COSM	
< 0.24	95	¹⁷ CIRELLI	06	COSM	
< 0.62	95	¹⁸ HANNESTAD	06	COSM	
< 1.2		¹⁹ SANCHEZ	06	COSM	
< 0.17	95	¹⁷ SELJAK	06	COSM	
< 2.0	95	²⁰ ICHIKAWA	05	COSM	
< 0.75		²¹ BARGER	04	COSM	
< 1.0		²² CROTTY	04	COSM	
< 0.7		²³ SPERGEL	03	COSM	WMAP

< 0.9 < 4.2 < 2.7	²⁴ LEWIS ²⁵ WANG ²⁶ FUKUGITA ²⁷ CROFT	02 02 00	COSM COSM CMB COSM
< 5.5 <180	SZALAY	99 74	ASTR Ly α power spec COSM
<132	COWSIK	74 72	COSM
<280	MARX	72	COSM
<400	GERSHTEIN	66	COSM

¹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from Planck CMB data combined with galaxy clustering data from BOSS. Limit is relaxed to 0.49 eV if the dark energy equation of state is allowed to vary.

² Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from observational Hubble parameter data with seven-year WMAP data and the most recent estimate of H_0 .

³Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the WiggleZ high redshift galaxy sample when combined with seven-year WMAP data. Limit is improved to < 0.29 eV when further combined with a prior on the Hubble parameter and baryon acoustic oscillations.

⁴ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CFHTLS combined with seven-year WMAP data and a prior on the Hubble parameter. Limit is relaxed to 0.41 eV when small scales affected by non-linearities are removed.

 5 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the five-year $_$ WMAP data.

⁶Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the 7-year WMAP data including SDSS and HST data. Limit relaxes to 1.19 eV when CMB data is used alone. Supersedes _ HANNESTAD 06.

⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from a combination of CMB data, a recent measurement of H_0 (SHOES), and baryon acoustic oscillation data from SDSS.

⁸ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from SDSS MegaZ LRG DR7 galaxy clustering data combined with CMB, HST, supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation data. Limit relaxes to 0.47 eV when the equation of state parameter, $w \neq 1$.

⁹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Assumes ACDM model.

 10 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from five-year WMAP data. Limit improves to 0.67 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Limits quoted assume the ΛCDM model. Supersedes SPERGEL 07.

 11 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.03 $< \Sigma m_{\nu} <$ 0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. The slight preference for massive neutrinos at the two-sigma level disappears when systematic errors are taken into account. Assumes ΛCDM model.

¹² Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent Chandra X-ray observations of galaxy clusters when combined with CMB, supernovae, and baryon acoustic oscillation measurements. Assumes flat universe and constant dark-energy equation of state, w.

¹³ Constraints the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and SOSS LRG power spectrum data along with bias mass relations from SDSS, DEEP2, and Lyman-Break Galaxies. It assumes ACDM model. Limit degrades to 0.59 eV in a more general wCDM model.

¹⁴ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from neutrino oscillation experiments and cosmological data. The most conservative limit uses only WMAP three-year data, while the most stringent limit includes CMB, large-scale structure, supernova, and Lyman-alpha data.

¹⁵ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, SN1a, and baryon acoustic oscillation data. The limit relaxes to 1.75 when WMAP data alone is used with no prior. Paper shows results with several combinations of data sets. Supersedes KRISTIANSEN 06.

¹⁶ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the large scale structure data. The most conservative limit is obtained when generic initial conditions are allowed.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 8

- ¹⁷ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, Lymanalpha forest, and SN1a data.
- ¹⁸ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and large scale structure data. See also GOOBAR 06. Superseded by HANNESTAD 10.
- ¹⁹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the final 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey.
- ²⁰ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB experiments alone, assuming ΛCDM Universe. FUKUGITA 06 show that this result is unchanged by the 3-year WMAP data.
- ²¹ Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and 27 other CMB experiments and measurements by the HST Key project.
- ²² Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and ACBAR. The limit is strengthened to 0.6 eV when measurements by the HST Key project and supernovae data are included.
- ²³ Constrains the fractional contribution of neutrinos to the total matter density in the Universe from WMAP data combined with other CMB measurements, the 2dfGRS data, and Lyman α data. The limit does not noticeably change if the Lyman α data are not used.
- ²⁴ LEWIS 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB, HST Key project, 2dF galaxy redshift survey, supernovae type Ia, and BBN.
- 25 WANG 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB and other cosmological data sets such as galaxy clustering and the Lyman α forest.
- 26 FUKUGITA 00 is a limit on neutrino masses from structure formation. The constraint is based on the clustering scale σ_8 and the COBE normalization and leads to a conservative limit of 0.9 eV assuming 3 nearly degenerate neutrinos. The quoted limit is on the sum of the light neutrino masses.
- ²⁷ CROFT 99 result based on the power spectrum of the Ly α forest. If $\Omega_{matter} < 0.5$, the limit is improved to $m_{\nu} < 2.4 (\Omega_{matter}/0.17-1) \text{ eV}$.

Limits on MASSES of Light Stable Right-Handed ν (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

VALUE (eV)		-	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the	e following data for averag	ges, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
<100-200	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM	Dirac ν	
<200–2000	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM	Majorana $ u$	

¹ Depending on interaction strength G_R where $G_R < G_F$.

Limits on MASSES of Heavy Stable Right-Handed ν (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

(/		
VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT	ID	TECN	COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following	wing data for avera	ages, fits,	limits, e	etc. ● ● ●	
> 10	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM	G_{R}/G_{F} <0.1	
>100	¹ OLIVE	82	COSM	$G_R/G_F < 0.01$	
1					

¹ These results apply to heavy Majorana neutrinos and are summarized by the equation: $m_{\nu} > 1.2 \text{ GeV} (G_F/G_R)$. The bound saturates, and if G_R is too small no mass range is allowed.

ν CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$< 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	¹ GNINENKO	07	RVUE	Nuclear reactor
$<2 \times 10^{-14}$		² RAFFELT			Red giant luminosity
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$		³ RAFFELT			
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$		⁴ BABU	94	RVUE	BEBC beam dump
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$		⁵ DAVIDSON	91	RVUE	SLAC e ⁻ beam dump
$< 2 \times 10^{-15}$		⁶ BARBIELLINI	87	ASTR	SN 1987A
$<1 \times 10^{-13}$		⁷ BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar energy losses

¹GNINENKO 07 use limit on $\overline{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment from LI 03B to derive this result. The limit is considerably weaker than the limits on the charge of ν_e and $\overline{\nu}_e$ from various astrophysics considerations.

 2 This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.

³ This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.

 4 BABU 94 use COOPER-SARKAR 92 limit on ν magnetic moment to derive quoted result. It applies to $\nu_{\tau}.$

⁵ DAVIDSON 91 use data from early SLAC electron beam dump experiment to derive charge limit as a function of neutrino mass. It applies to ν_{τ} .

⁶ Exact BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field. It applies to ν_e .

⁷ The limit applies to all flavors.

ν (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. Some of the limits constrain the radiative decay and are based on the limit of the corresponding photon flux. Other apply to the decay of a heavier neutrino into the lighter one and a Majoron or other invisible particle. Many of these limits apply to any ν within the indicated mass range.

Limits on the radiative decay are either directly based on the limits of the corresponding photon flux, or are derived from the limits on the neutrino magnetic moments. In the later case the transition rate for $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_i + \gamma$

is constrained by $\Gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)^3}{m_i^3} \mu_{ij}^2$ where μ_{ij} is the neutrino transition moment in the mass eigenstates basis. Typically, the limits on lifetime based on the magnetic moments are many orders of magnitude

lifetime based on the magnetic moments are many orders of magnitude more restrictive than limits based on the nonobservation of photons.

VALUE (s/eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 15.4	90	¹ KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	$ u_{\mu}$, $\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ at LAMPF
> 7 × 10 ⁹		² RAFFELT		ASTR	
> 300	90	³ REINES	74	CNTR	$\overline{\nu}_e$

 \bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

•••								
> 1	$0^{5} - 10^{6}$	010	95	4 CECCHINI 11 ASTR $ u_2 ightarrow u_1$ radiative decay				
			90	⁵ MIRIZZI 07 CMB radiative decay				
			90	⁶ MIRIZZI 07 CIB radiative decay				
				⁷ WONG 07 CNTR Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$				
>	0.11		90	⁸ XIN 05 CNTR Reactor ν_e				
				⁹ XIN 05 CNTR Reactor ν_{ρ}				
>	0.004		90	¹⁰ AHARMIM 04 SNO quasidegen. ν masses				
>	4.4	imes 10 ⁻⁵	90	10 AHARMIM 04 SNO hierarchical $ u$ masses				
\gtrsim	100		95	$\begin{array}{ccc} 11 \text{ CECCHINI} & 04 & \text{ASTR} & \text{Radiative decay for } \nu \\ & \text{mass} > 0.01 \text{ eV} \end{array}$				
>	0.067	7	90	¹² EGUCHI 04 KLND quasidegen. ν masses				
>	1.1	imes 10 ⁻³	90	¹² EGUCHI 04 KLND hierarchical ν masses				
>	8.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	99	¹³ BANDYOPA 03 FIT nonradiative decay				
\geq 42			90	¹⁴ DERBIN 02B CNTR Solar pp and Be ν				
>	2.8	imes 10 ⁻⁵	99	15 JOSHIPURA 02B FIT nonradiative decay				
	2.0	× 10	55	¹⁶ DOLGOV 99 COSM				
				17				
	2.8	imes 10 ¹⁵						
>	2.8	$^{\times 10^{20}}_{2} - 5 \times 10^{4}$		^{18,19} BLUDMAN 92 ASTR $m_{\nu} < 50 \text{ eV}$				
				$\begin{array}{c} 20 \text{ DODELSON} 92 \text{ASTR} m_{\nu} = 1 - 300 \text{ keV} \\ 20 \text{ DODELSON} 92 \text{ASTR} m_{\nu} = 1 - 300 \text{ keV} \\ \end{array}$				
< 1	10-12	or $> 5 imes 10^4$		20 DODELSON 92 ASTR $m_{\nu} = 1-300 \text{ keV}$				
				²¹ GRANEK 91 COSM Decaying L^0				
>	6.4	15	90	²² KRAKAUER 91 CNTR ν_e at LAMPF				
>	1.1	imes 10 ¹⁵		²³ WALKER 90 ASTR $m_{\nu} = 0.03 - \sim 2 \text{ MeV}$				
>	6.3	imes 10 ¹⁵		^{19,24} CHUPP 89 ASTR m_{ν}^{ν} < 20 eV				
>	1.7	imes 10 ¹⁵		¹⁹ KOLB 89 ASTR m_{ν} < 20 eV				
				²⁵ RAFFELT 89 RVUE $\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac, Majorana)				
				²⁶ RAFFELT 89B ASTR				
>	8.3	imes 10 ¹⁴		27 VONFEILIT 88 ASTR				
>	22		68	²⁸ OBERAUER 87 $\overline{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)				
>	38		68	²⁸ OBERAUER 87 $\overline{\nu}$ (Majorana)				
>	59		68	28 OBERAUER 87 $\overline{\nu}_{I}$ (Dirac)				
>	30		68	KETOV 86 CNTR $\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac)				
>	20		68	KETOV 86 CNTR $\overline{\nu}$ (Majorana)				
	20		00	29 BINETRUY 84 COSM $m_{\nu} \sim 1$ MeV				
>	0.11		90	30 FRANK 81 CNTR $\nu \overline{\nu}$ LAMPF				
	2	\times 10 ²¹	90	01				
>		$\times 10 \times 10^{-2}$	00	³¹ STECKER 80 ASTR m_{ν} = 10–100 eV ³⁰ BLIETSCHAU 78 HLBC ν_{μ} , CERN GGM				
>	1.0		90	<i>P</i>				
>	1.7	× 10 ⁻²	90	³⁰ BLIETSCHAU 78 HLBC $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$, CERN GGM				
<	3	$\times 10^{-11}$		³² FALK 78 ASTR m_{ν} <10 MeV				
>	2.2	imes 10 ⁻³	90	³⁰ BARNES 77 DBC ν , ANL 12-ft				
		10-3		³³ COWSIK 77 ASTR				
>	3.	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	³⁰ BELLOTTI 76 HLBC ν , CERN GGM				
>	1.3	imes 10 ⁻²	90	³⁰ BELLOTTI 76 HLBC $\overline{\nu}$, CERN GGM				
1	1 KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit $ au/m_{ u_{1}}$ $>$ (0.75 a^{2} $+$ 21.65 a $+$ 26.3) s/eV, where a							

is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta)$ The parameter a = 0 for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).

- ² RAFFELT 85 limit on the radiative decay is from solar x- and γ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on ν flux from pp, now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be > 0.5 of expectation.
- ³ REINES 74 looked for ν of nonzero mass decaying radiatively to a neutral of lesser mass $+ \gamma$. Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime 6×10^7 s or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV. To obtain the limit 6×10^7 s REINES 74 assumed that the full $\overline{\nu}_e$ reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval 0.1 MeV 0.5 MeV. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.
- ⁴ CECCHINI 11 search for radiative decays of solar neutrinos into visible photons during the 2006 total solar eclipse. The range of (mean life)/mass values corresponds to a range of ν_1 masses between 10⁻⁴ and 0.1 eV.
- ⁵ MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the maximum allowed distortion of the CMB spectrum as measured by the COBE/FIRAS. For the decay $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ the lifetime limit is $\lesssim 4 \times 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference the lifetime limit is $\sim 2 \times 10^{19}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV and $\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \gtrsim 0.14$ eV.
- ⁶ MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) using the Spitzer Observatory data. For transition with the $|\Delta m_{31}|$ mass difference they obtain the lifetime limit $\sim 10^{20}$ s for $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$ eV.
- ⁷ WONG 07 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m_{13}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 3.2 \times 10^{27} \text{ s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} .
- 8 XIN 05 search for the γ from radiative decay of ν_e produced by the electron capture on $^{51}{\rm Cr.}$ No events were seen and the limit on τ/m_{ν} was derived. This is a weaker limit on the decay of ν_e than KRAKAUER 91.
- 9 XIN 05 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment of ν_e together with the assumed experimental value of $\Delta m_{1,3}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \, {\rm eV}^2$ to obtain $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 1 \times 10^{23} \, {\rm s/eV}^3$ for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for τ_{23} and τ_{21} . Again, this limit is specific for ν_e .
- ¹⁰AHARMIM 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the SNO measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹¹ CECCHINI 04 obtained this bound through the observations performed on the occasion of the 21 June 2001 total solar eclipse, looking for visible photons from radiative decays of solar neutrinos. Limit is a τ/m_{ν_2} in $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1 \gamma$. Limit ranges from \sim 100 to

10' s/eV for
$$0.01 < m_{\nu_1}^2 < 0.1$$
 eV.

- ¹² EGUCHI 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the KamLAND measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ¹³ The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by BANDYOPADHYAY 03 is for ν_2 . They obtained this result using the following solar-neutrino data: total rates measured in Cl and Ga experiments, the Super-Kamiokande's zenith-angle spectra, and SNO's day and night spectra. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative Majoron emission process, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu_1} + J$, or through nonradiative process with all the final state particles being sterile. The best fit is obtained in the region of the LMA solution.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 12

- 14 DERBIN 02B (also BACK 03B) obtained this bound for the radiative decay from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). The laboratory gamma spectrum is given as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2) (1 + 1/2) (1$ $\alpha \cos\theta$) with $\alpha=0$ for a Majorana neutrino, and α varying to -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The listed bound is for the case of α =0. The most conservative bound 1.5×10^3 s eV⁻¹ is obtained for the case of $\alpha = -1$.
- 15 The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by JOSHIPURA 02B is for ν_2 . They obtained this result from the total rates measured in all solar neutrino experiments. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative process like Majoron emission decay, $u_2
 ightarrow
 u_1' + J$ where

 u_1' state is sterile. The exact limit depends on the specific solution of the solar neutrino problem. The quoted limit is for the LMA solution.

- 16 DOLGOV 99 places limits in the (Majorana) $\tau\textsc{-associated}\,\nu$ mass-lifetime plane based on nucleosynthesis. Results would be considerably modified if neutrino oscillations exist.
- 17 BILLER 98 use the observed TeV γ -ray spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows $au_{
 u}/{
 m B}_{\gamma}>0.15 imes10^{21}$ s at 0.05 eV, $>1.2\times10^{21}$ s at 0.17 eV, $>3\times10^{21}$ s at 1 eV, where $\mathsf{B}_{\gamma}^{'}$ is the branching ratio to photons.
- $^{18}\,$ BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.
- 19 Limit on the radiative decay based on nonobservation of γ 's in coincidence with u 's from SN 1987A.
- 20 DODELSON 92 range is for wrong-helicity keV mass Dirac ν 's from the core of neutron star in SN 1987A decaying to ν 's that would have interacted in KAM2 or IMB detectors.
- ²¹GRANEK 91 considers heavy neutrino decays to $\gamma \nu_L$ and $3\nu_L$, where m_{ν_L} <100 keV. Lifetime is calculated as a function of heavy neutrino mass, branching ratio into $\gamma \nu_I$, and m_{ν_l} .
- 22 KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit for $\nu_e, \, \tau/m_\nu \, > (0.3a^2 \, + \, 9.8a + 15.9)\, {\rm s/eV}$, where a is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the radiative neutrino decay defined as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta) a = 0$ for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).
- $^{23}\mathrm{WALKER}$ 90 uses SN 1987A γ flux limits after 289 days.
- 24 CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.
- 25 RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain $\tau m^3 > 3 \times 10^{18}$ s eV³ (based on $\overline{\nu}_e \, e^-$ cross sections). The bound for the radiative decay is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.
- 26 RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region 3 imes 10 12 $\,<\, au m^3$
- $<3\times10^{21}\,\text{s\,eV}^3.$ 27 Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos. Quoted limit is for $\left[\sum_{i} |U_{\ell i}|^2 \Gamma_{i} m_{i}\right]^{-1}$, where $\ell = \mu$, τ . Limit is 3.3×10^{14} s/eV for $\ell = e$.
- 28 OBERAUER 87 looks for photons and e^+e^- pairs from radiative decays of reactor neutrinos. ²⁹ BINETRUY 84 finds $\tau < 10^8$ s for neutrinos in a radiation-dominated universe. ³⁰ These experiments look for $\nu_k \rightarrow \nu_j \gamma$ or $\overline{\nu}_k \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_j \gamma$.

³¹STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is $\tau > 4 \times 10^{22}$ s at m_{ij} =20 eV. 32 FALK 78 finds lifetime constraints based on supernova energetics.

 $^{33}\,{\rm COWSIK}$ 77 considers variety of scenarios. For neutrinos produced in the big bang, present limits on optical photon flux require $\tau\,>\,10^{23}\,{\rm s}$ for $m_{\nu}\,\sim\,1$ eV. See also COWSIK 79 and GOLDMAN 79.

ν MAGNETIC MOMENT

The coupling of neutrinos to an electromagnetic field is a characterized by a 3×3 matrix λ of the magnetic (μ) and electric (d) dipole moments ($\lambda = \mu - id$). For Majorana neutrinos the matrix λ is antisymmetric and only transition moments are allowed, while for Dirac neutrinos λ is a general 3×3 matrix. In the standard electroweak theory extended to include neutrino masses (see FUJIKAWA 80) $\mu_{\nu} = 3eG_F m_{\nu}/(8\pi^2\sqrt{2}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-19} (m_{\nu}/\text{eV})\mu_B$, i.e. it is unobservably small given the known small neutrino masses. In more general models there is no longer a proportionality between neutrino mass and its magnetic moment, even though only massive neutrinos have nonvanishing magnetic moments without fine tuning.

Laboratory bounds on λ are obtained via elastic $\nu\text{-}e$ scattering, where the scattered neutrino is not observed. The combinations of matrix elements of λ that are constrained by various experiments depend on the initial neutrino flavor and on its propagation between source and detector (e.g., solar ν_e and reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ do not constrain the same combinations). The listings below therefore identify the initial neutrino flavor.

Other limits, e.g. from various stellar cooling processes, apply to all neutrino flavors. Analogous flavor independent, but weaker, limits are obtained from the analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ collider experiments.

VAL	UE (10 $^{-10}$ μ_B)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<	0.29	90	¹ BEDA	13	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{e}$
<	6.8	90	² AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e, \nu_\mu e$ scattering
<	3900	90	³ SCHWIENHO	01		$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
• •	• We do not use	the followir	ng data for averages	, fits,		
<	0.045	95	⁴ VIAUX	13A	ASTR	Globular cluster M5
<	0.32	90	⁵ BEDA	10	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	2.2	90	⁶ DENIZ	10		Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{e}$
< 0	.011–0.027		⁷ KUZNETSOV	09	ASTR	
<	0.54	90	⁸ ARPESELLA	08A	BORX	Solar ν spectrum shape
<	0.58	90	⁹ BEDA	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{e}$
<	0.74	90	¹⁰ WONG	07		Reactor $\overline{\nu_e}$
<	0.9	90	¹¹ DARAKTCH	05		Reactor $\overline{\nu_e}$
<	130	90	¹² XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor ν_e
<	37	95	¹³ GRIFOLS	04	FIT	Solar ⁸ B ν (SNO NC)
<	3.6	90	14 LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum shape
<	1.1	90	¹⁵ LIU	04	SKAM	Solar $ u$ spectrum shape (LMA region)
<	5.5	90	¹⁶ BACK	03 B	CNTR	Solar pp and Be ν
<	1.0	90	¹⁷ DARAKTCH	03		Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	1.3	90	¹⁸ LI	03 B	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_{e}$
<	2	90	¹⁹ GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar + reactor (Majo- rana $ u$)
<8	0000	90	²⁰ ТАNIMOTO	00	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
< 0	.01–0.04		²¹ AYALA	99	ASTR	$\nu_I \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
<	1.5	90	²² BEACOM	99	SKAM	ν spectrum shape
<	0.03		²³ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
<	4		²⁴ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
ΗT	TP://PDG.LB	L.GOV	Page 14		Creat	ed: 8/21/2014 12:57

<44	000	90		ABREU	97J	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<33	000	90	25	ACCIARRI	97 Q	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ \nu \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<	0.62		26	ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
<27	000	95	27	ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu)$ at LEP
<	30	90		VILAIN	95 B	CHM2	$ u_{\mu} e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e$
<55	000	90		GOULD	94		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ at LEP
<	1.9	95	28	DERBIN	93	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
< 5	400	90	29	COOPER	92	BEBC	$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
<	2.4	90	30	⁾ VIDYAKIN	92		Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
<56	000	90		DESHPANDE	91	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
<	100	95	31	DORENBOS	91	CHRM	$ u_{\mu} e ightarrow u_{\mu} e$
<	8.5	90		AHRENS	90		$ u_{\mu} e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e$
<	10.8	90	32	KRAKAUER	90		LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
<	7.4	90		KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF ($ u_{\mu}, \overline{ u}_{\mu}) e$
							elast.
<	0.02		20	RAFFELT	90	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
<	0.1		25	RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
			20	FUKUGITA	88		Primordial magn. fields
<40		90	24	GROTCH	88	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
\leq	.3		24	RAFFELT	88 B	ASTR	0
<	0.11		27	FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	8 8
<	0.0006		51	NUSSINOV	87	ASTR	Cosmic EM back- grounds
< 0.2	L—0.2			MORGAN	81	COSM	⁴ He abundance
<	0.85			BEG	78	ASTR	Stellar plasmons
<	0.6			SUTHERLAND	76	ASTR	Red giants + degener- ate dwarfs
<	81		39	KIM	74	RVUE	$\overline{ u}_{\mu} e ightarrow \overline{ u}_{\mu} e$
<	1			BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar cooling
<	14			COWAN	57	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}$

¹ BEDA 13 report $\overline{\nu}_e e^-$ scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.5 and 55 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. Supersedes BEDA 10. This is the most stringent limit on the magnetic moment of reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$.

 2 AUERBACH 01 limit is based on the LSND ν_e and ν_μ electron scattering measurements. The limit is slightly more stringent than KRAKAUER 90.

³SCHWIENHORST 01 quote an experimental sensitivity of 4.9×10^{-7} .

⁴ VIAUX 13A constrains the neutrino magnetic moment from observations of the globular cluster M5.

⁵ BEDA 10 report $\overline{\nu}_e e^-$ scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.9 and 45 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. Superseded by BEDA 13.

⁶ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using Csl(Tl) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\overline{\nu}_e$ magnetic moment.

⁷ KUZNETSOV 09 obtain a limit on the flavor averaged magnetic moment of Dirac neutrinos from the time averaged neutrino signal of SN1987A. Improves and supersedes the analysis of BARBIERI 88 and AYALA 99.

⁸ ARPESELLA 08A obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Borexino 192 live days of solar neutrino data.

- ⁹BEDA 07 performed search for electromagnetic $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at Kalininskaya nuclear reactor. A Ge detector with active and passive shield was used and the electron recoil spectrum between 3.0 and 61.3 keV analyzed. Superseded by BEDA 10.
- 10 WONG 07 performed search for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield is used. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment of reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$. Supersedes LI 03B.
- ¹¹ DARAKTCHIEVA 05 present the final analysis of the search for non-standard v_e-e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction of both the kinetic energy above 700 keV and scattering angle of the recoil electron, by use of TPC. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment. Supersedes DARAK-TCHIEVA 03.
- ¹² XIN 05 evaluated the ν_e flux at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor and searched for nonstandard ν_e -e scattering. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield was used. This laboratory limit on magnetic moment is considerably less stringent than the limits for reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$, but is specific to ν_e .
- ¹³GRIFOLS 04 obtained this bound using the SNO data of the solar ⁸B neutrino flux measured with deuteron breakup. This bound applies to $\mu_{eff} = (\mu_{21}^2 + \mu_{22}^2 + \mu_{23}^2)^{1/2}$.
- ¹⁴ LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 days of solar neutrino data. Neutrinos are assumed to have only diagonal magnetic moments, $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$. This limit corresponds to the oscillation parameters in the vacuum oscillation region.
- ¹⁵LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 live-day solar neutrino data, by limiting the oscillation parameter region in the LMA region allowed by solar neutrino experiments plus KamLAND. $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$ is assumed. In the LMA region, the same limit would be obtained even if neutrinos have off-diagonal magnetic moments.
- 16 BACK 03B obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). Standard Solar Model flux was assumed. This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios (see BEACOM 99).
- 17 DARAKTCHIEVA 03 searched for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction by use of TPC. Superseded by DARAKTCHIEVA 05.
- $^{18}\,{\rm LI}$ 03B used Ge detector in active shield near nuclear reactor to test for nonstandard $\overline{\nu}_e\text{-}e$ scattering.
- ¹⁹ GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ is obtained.
- ²⁰ TANIMOTO 00 combined $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ data from VENUS, TOPAZ, and AMY.
- ²¹ AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.
- 22 BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios.
- 23 RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- 24 RAFFELT 99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- ²⁵ ACCIARRI 97Q result applies to both direct and transition magnetic moments and for $q^2=0$.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 16

- ²⁶ ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- ²⁷ Applies to absolute value of magnetic moment.
- ²⁸ DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as (1.28 \pm 0.63) × σ_{weak} . However, the (reactor on reactor off)/(reactor off) is only ~ 1/100.
- ²⁹ COOPER-SARKAR 92 assume $f_{D_s}/f_{\pi} = 2$ and D_s , \overline{D}_s production cross section = 2.6 μ b to calculate ν flux.
- ³⁰ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}_e$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- ³¹ DORENBOSCH 91 corrects an incorrect statement in DORENBOSCH 89 that the ν magnetic moment is $< 1 \times 10^{-9}$ at the 95%CL. DORENBOSCH 89 measures both $\nu_{\mu} e$ and $\overline{\nu} e$ elastic scattering and assume $\mu(\nu) = \mu(\overline{\nu})$.
- 32 KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- 33 RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives $<1.4\times10^{-12}$. Limit at 95%CL obtained from δM_c .
- ³⁴Significant dependence on details of stellar models.

 35 FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by $\mu~<~10^{-16}~[10^{-9}~G/B_0]$ where B_0 is the present-day intergalactic field strength. 36 GROTCH 88 combined data from MAC, ASP, CELLO, and Mark J. 37 For m_{ν} = 8–200 eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow$

³⁷ For $m_{\nu} = 8-200$ eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for $\nu_{\mu} - \nu_{e}$ and obtain $< 3 \times 10^{-15}$ for $m_{\nu} > 16$ eV and $< 6 \times 10^{-14}$ for $m_{\nu} > 4$ eV. ³⁸ We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit f < 1/3.

 39 KIM 74 is a theoretical analysis of $\overline{
u}_{\mu}$ reaction data.

NEUTRINO CHARGE RADIUS SQUARED

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FU-JIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

$VALUE (10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
-2.1 to 3.3	90	¹ DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$		
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet							
-0.53 to 0.68	90	² HIRSCH	03		$ u_{\mu} e$ scat.		
-8.2 to 9.9	90	³ HIRSCH	03		anomalous $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$		
-2.97 to 4.14	90	⁴ AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e \rightarrow \nu_e e$		
-0.6 to 0.6	90	VILAIN	95 B	CHM2	$ u_{\mu} e$ elastic scat.		
0.9 ± 2.7		ALLEN	93	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$		
< 2.3	95	MOURAO	92	ASTR	HOME/KAM2 $ u$ rates		
< 7.3	90	⁵ VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$		
1.1 ± 2.3		ALLEN	91	CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93		
-1.1 ±1.0		⁶ AHRENS	90	CNTR	$ u_{\mu} e$ elastic scat.		
-0.3 ± 1.5		⁶ DORENBOS	89		$\nu_{\mu}^{r} e$ elastic scat.		
		⁷ GRIFOLS	89 B		SN 1987A		

```
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV
```

- ¹ DENIZ 10 observe reactor $\overline{\nu}_e e$ scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(TI) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on $\overline{\nu}_e$ charge radius.
- ² Based on analysis of CCFR 98 results. Limit is on $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle$. The CHARM II and E734 at BNL results are reanalyzed, and weaker bounds on the charge radius squared than previously published are obtained. The NuTeV result is discussed; when tentatively interpreted as ν_{μ} charge radius it implies $\langle r_V^2 \rangle + \langle r_A^2 \rangle = (4.20 \pm 1.64) \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^2$.
- ³ Results of LEP-2 are interpreted as limits on the axial-vector charge radius squared of a Majorana ν_{τ} . Slightly weaker limits for both vector and axial-vector charge radius squared are obtained for the Dirac case, and somewhat weaker limits are obtained from the analysis of lower energy data (LEP-1.5 and TRISTAN).
- ⁴ AUERBACH 01 measure $\nu_e e$ elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.
- ⁵ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- ⁶ Result is obtained from reanalysis given in ALLEN 91, followed by our reduction to obtain 1σ errors.

⁷ GRIFOLS 89B sets a limit of $\langle r^2 \rangle < 0.2 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$ for right-handed neutrinos.

REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Properties

BEDA	13	PPNL 10 139	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	(GEMMA	Collab.)
GIUSARMA	13	PR D88 063515	E. Giusarma <i>et al.</i>		,
VIAUX	13A	PRL 111 231301	N. Viaux <i>et al.</i>		
MORESCO	12	JCAP 1207 053	M. Moresco <i>et al.</i>		
RIEMER-SOR	. 12	PR D85 081101	S. Riemer-Sorensen et al.		
XIA	12	JCAP 1206 010	JQ. Xia <i>et al.</i>		
ASEEV	11	PR D84 112003	V.N. Aseev et al.		
CECCHINI	11	ASP 34 486	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>		
SAITO	11	PR D83 043529	S. Saito, M. Takada, A. Taruya		
BEDA	10	PPNL 7 406	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	(GEMMA	Collab.)
DENIZ	10	PR D81 072001	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	
HANNESTAD	10	JCAP 1008 001	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>	(,
PAGLIAROLI	10	ASP 33 287	G. Pagliaroli, F. Rossi-Torres, E. Viss	ani (INFN+)
SEKIGUCHI	10	JCAP 1003 015	T. Sekiguchi <i>et al.</i>	(
THOMAS	10	PRL 105 031301	S.A. Thomas, F.B. Abdalla, O. Lahav	v	(LOUC)
ICHIKI	09	PR D79 023520	K. Ichiki, M. Takada, T. Takahashi		()
KOMATSU	09	APJS 180 330	E. Komatsu <i>et al.</i>		
KUZNETSOV	09	IJMP A24 5977	A.V. Kuznetsov, N.V. Mikheev, A.A.	Okrugin	(YARO)
TERENO	09	AA 500 657	I. Tereno <i>et al.</i>		(-)
VIKHLININ	09	APJ 692 1060	A. Vikhlinin et al.		
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
BERNARDIS	08	PR D78 083535	F. De Bernardis <i>et al.</i>	(,
BEDA	07	PAN 70 1873	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>		
		Translated from YAF 70	1925.		
FOGLI	07	PR D75 053001	G.L. Fogli <i>et al.</i>		
GNINENKO	07	PR D75 075014	S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, A. R	ubbia	
KRISTIANSEN	07	PR D75 083510	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Dahle		
MIRIZZI	07	PR D76 053007	A. Mirizzi, D. Montanino, P.D. Serpi	со	
SPERGEL	07	APJS 170 377	D.N. Spergel et al.		
WONG	07	PR D75 012001	H.T. Wong <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO	Collab.)
ZUNCKEL	07	JCAP 0708 004	C. Zunckel, P. Ferreira		
CIRELLI	06	JCAP 0612 013	M. Cirelli <i>et al.</i>		
FUKUGITA	06	PR D74 027302	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>		
GOOBAR	06	JCAP 0606 019	A. Goobar <i>et al.</i>		
HANNESTAD	06	JCAP 0611 016	S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt		
KRISTIANSEN	06	PR D74 123005	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Eriksen	l	
SANCHEZ	06	MNRAS 366 189	A.G. Sanchez <i>et al.</i>		
SELJAK	06	JCAP 0610 014	U. Seljak, A. Slosar, P. McDonald		
DARAKTCH	05	PL B615 153	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i>	(MUNU	Collab.)
ICHIKAWA	05	PR D71 043001	K. Ichikawa, M. Fukugita, M. Kawasa	aki	(ICRR)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 18

KRAUS EPJ C40 447 Ch. Kraus et al. 05 PR D72 012006 (TEXONO Collab.) XIN 05 B. Xin et al. AHARMIM PR D70 093014 B. Aharmim et al. (SNO Collab.) 04 BARGER PL B595 55 V. Barger, D. Marfatia, A. Tregre 04 CECCHINI 04 ASP 21 183 (BGNA+) S. Cecchini et al. PR D69 123007 CROTTY 04 P. Crotty, J. Lesgourgues, S. Pastor EGUCHI 04 PRL 92 071301 K. Eguchi et al. (KamLAND Collab.) PL B587 184 (BARC, AHMED) GRIFOLS 04 J.A. Grifols, E. Masso, S. Mohanty D.W. Liu et al. ТU 04 PRL 93 021802 (Super-Kamiokande Collab.) ARNABOLDI PRL 91 161802 03A C. Arnaboldi et al. PL B563 35 BACK 03B H.O. Back et al. (Borexino Collab.) BANDYOPA ... PL B555 33 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+) 03 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal BERNABEU hep-ph/0303202 03 (MUNU Collab.) DARAKTCH. 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktchieva et al. FUJIKAWA hep-ph/0303188 03 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock HIRSCH 03 PR D67 033005 M. Hirsch et al. LI 03B PRL 90 131802 H.B. Li et al. (TEXONO Collab.) APJS 148 175 D.N. Spergel et al. SPERGEL 03 PRL 89 101802 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal BERNABEU 02 Also PRL 89 229902 (errat) J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal DERBIN 02B JETPL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. GRIMUS 02 NP B648 376 W. Grimus et al. JOSHIPURA 02B PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty LEWIS 02 PR D66 103511 A. Lewis, S. Bridle PR D65 063002 LOREDO 02 T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb WANG 02 PR D65 123001 X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga AUERBACH 01 PR D63 112001 L.B. Auerbach et al. (LSND Collab.) SCHWIENHO ... 01 PL B513 23 R. Schwienhorst et al. (DONUT Collab.) (CLEO Collab.) ATHANAS 00 PR D61 052002 M. Athanas et al. BERNABEU PR D62 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. 00 FUKUGITA PRL 84 1082 M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama 00 TANIMOTO 00 PL B478 1 N. Tanimoto et al. PR D59 111901 A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres AYALA 99 BEACOM PRL 83 5222 J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel 99 R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave CROFT 99 PRL 83 1092 DOLGOV 99 NP B548 385 A.D. Dolgov et al. LOBASHEV PL B460 227 V.M. Lobashev et al. 99 RAFFELT 99 PRPL 320 319 G.G. Raffelt WEINHEIMER 99 PL B460 219 Ch. Weinheimer et al. ACKERSTAFF 98T EPJ C5 229 K. Ackerstaff et al. (OPAL Collab.) AMMAR 98 PL B431 209 R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.) BARATE 98F EPJ C2 395 R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.) PRL 80 2992 BILLER S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.) 98 FELDMAN 98 PR D57 3873 G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins LENZ 98 PL B416 50 S. Lenz et al. ZPHY C74 577 P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.) ABREU 97 I ACCIARRI PL B412 201 M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.) 97Q ANASTASSOV (CLÈO Collab.) 97 PR D55 2559 A. Anastassov et al. Also PR D58 119903 (erratum)A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.) **ELMFORS** 97 NP B503 3 P. Elmfors et al. **ESCRIBANO** 97 PL B395 369 R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT) (NDAM+) FIELDS 97 ASP 6 169 B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive PR D55 R1 **SWAIN** 97 J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS) ALEXANDER (OPAL Collab.) ZPHY C72 231 96M G. Alexander et al. ASSAMAGAN 96 PR D53 6065 K.A. Assamagan et al. (PSI, ZURI, VILL+ BAI 96 PR D53 20 J.Z. Bai et al. (BES Collab.) BOTTINO 96 PR D53 6361 A. Bottino et al. DOLGOV 96 PL B383 193 A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle (IFIC, VALE) HANNESTAD PRL 76 2848 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen 96 (AARH) PRL 77 5148 (erratum) HANNESTAD 96B S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) HANNESTAD 96C PR D54 7894 S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH) ZPHY C70 383 R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson SOBIE 96 (VICT) PL B350 263 (INRM, KIAE) BELESEV 95 A.I. Belesev et al. BUSKULIC 95H PL B349 585 D. Buskulic et al. (ALEPH Collab.) (CST, BEIJT, CIAE CHING 95 IJMP A10 2841 C.R. Ching et al. DOLGOV PR D51 4129 95 A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+)HIDDEMANN 95 JP G21 639 K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker (MUNT) KERNAN 95 NP B437 243 (CASE) P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss G. Sigl, M.S. Turner SIGL 95 PR D51 1499 (FNAL. EFI) STOEFFL 95 PRL 75 3237 W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman (LLNL)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 19

Created: 8/21/2014 12:57

VILAIN	95B	PL B345 115	P. Vilain <i>et al.</i> (CHARM II Collab.)
ASSAMAGAN	94	PL B335 231	K.A. Assamagan <i>et al.</i> (PSI, ZURI, VILL+)
BABU	94	PL B321 140	K.S. Babu, T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein (BART+)
DODELSON	94	PR D49 5068	S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M.S. Turner (FNAL, CHIC+)
GOULD	94	PL B333 545	T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein (JHU, MICH)
JECKELMANN	-	PL B335 326	B. Jeckelmann, P.F.A. Goudsmit, H.J. Leisi (WABRN+)
KAWASAKI	94	NP B419 105	M. Kawasaki <i>et al.</i> (OSU)
PERES	94	PR D50 513	O.L.G. Peres, V. Pleitez, R. Zukanovich Funchal
YASUMI	94	PL B334 229	S. Yasumi <i>et al.</i> (KEK, TSUK, KYOT+)
ALLEN	93	PR D47 11	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i> (UCI, LANL, ANL+)
BALEST	93	PR D47 R3671	R. Balest <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)
CINABRO	93	PRL 70 3700	D. Cinabro <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)
DERBIN	93	JETPL 57 768	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i> (PNPI)
		Translated from ZETFP	57 755.
DOLGOV	93	PRL 71 476	A.D. Dolgov, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH)
ENQVIST	93	PL B301 376	K. Enqvist, H. Uibo (NORD)
SUN	93	CJNP 15 261	H.C. Sun <i>et al.</i> (CIAE, CST, BEIJT)
WEINHEIMER		PL B300 210	C. Weinheimer <i>et al.</i> (MANZ)
ALBRECHT	92M	PL B292 221	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (ARGUS Collab.)
BLUDMAN	92	PR D45 4720	S.A. Bludman (CFPA)
COOPER	92	PL B280 153	A.M. Cooper-Sarkar <i>et al.</i> (BEBC WA66 Collab.)
DODELSON	92	PRL 68 2572	S. Dodelson, J.A. Frieman, M.S. Turner (FNAL+)
HOLZSCHUH	92B	PL B287 381	E. Holzschuh, M. Fritschi, W. Kundig (ZURI)
KAWANO	92	PL B275 487	L.H. Kawano <i>et al.</i> (CIT, UCSD, LLL+)
MOURAO	92	PL B285 364	A.M. Mourao, J. Pulido, J.P. Ralston (LISB, LISBT+)
PDG	92	PR D45 S1	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i> (KEK, LBL, BOST+)
VIDYAKIN	92	JETPL 55 206	G.S. Vidyakin <i>et al.</i> (KIAE)
ALLEN	91	Translated from ZETFP PR D43 R1	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i> (UCI, LANL, UMD)
DAVIDSON	91 91	PR D43 2314	S. Davidson, B.A. Campbell, D. Bailey (ALBE+)
DESHPANDE	91	PR D43 943	N.G. Deshpande, K.V.L. Sarma (OREG, TATA)
DORENBOS	91	ZPHY C51 142 (erratum	
FULLER	91	PR D43 3136	G.M. Fuller, R.A. Malaney (UCSD)
GRANEK	91	IJMP A6 2387	H. Granek, B.H.J. McKellar (MELB)
KAWAKAMI	91	PL B256 105	H. Kawakami <i>et al.</i> (INUS, TOHOK, TINT+)
KOLB	91	PRL 67 533	E.W. Kolb <i>et al.</i> (FNAL, CHIC)
KRAKAUER	91	PR D44 R6	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i> (LAMPF E225 Collab.)
LAM	91	PR D44 3345	W.P. Lam, K.W. Ng (AST)
ROBERTSON	91	PRL 67 957	R.G.H. Robertson <i>et al.</i> (LASL, LLL)
AHRENS	90	PR D41 3297	L.A. Ahrens <i>et al.</i> (BNL, BROW, HIRO+)
AVIGNONE	90	PR D41 682	F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar (SCUC)
KRAKAUER	90	PL B252 177	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i> (LAMPF E225 Collab.)
RAFFELT	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt (MPIM)
WALKER	90	PR D41 689	T.P. Walker (HARV)
CHUPP	89	PRL 62 505	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C. Reppin (UNH, MPIM)
DORENBOS	89	ZPHY C41 567	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i> (CHARM Collab.)
GRIFOLS	89B	PR D40 3819	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso (BARC)
KOLB	89	PRL 62 509	E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner (CHIC, FNAL)
LOREDO	89	ANYAS 571 601	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb (CHIC)
RAFFELT	89	PR D39 2066	G.G. Raffelt (PRIN, UCB)
RAFFELT	89B	APJ 336 61	G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk (UCB, LLL)
ALBRECHT	88B	PL B202 149	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i> (ARGUS Collab.)
BARBIERI	88	PRL 61 27	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra (PISA, UMD)
BORIS	88	PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, ASCI)
FUKUGITA	88	PRL 60 879	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i> (KYOTU, MPIM, UCB)
GROTCH	88	ZPHY C39 553	H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett (PSU)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn (UCB, LLL)
SPERGEL	88	PL B200 366	D.N. Spergel, J.N. Bahcall (IAS)
VONFEILIT	88	PL B200 580	F. von Feilitzsch, L. Oberauer (MUNT)
BARBIELLINI	87	NAT 329 21	G. Barbiellini, G. Cocconi (CERN)
BORIS	87	PRL 58 2019	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, ASCI)
Also	070	PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i> (ITEP, ASCI)
BORIS	87B	JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i> (ITEP)
FUKUGITA	87	Translated from ZETFP PR D36 3817	45 207. M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki (KYOTU, TOKY)
NUSSINOV	87	PR D36 2278	S. Nussinov, Y. Rephaeli (TELA)
OBERAUER	87	PL B198 113	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch, R.L. Mossbauer
SPRINGER	87	PR A35 679	P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i> (LLNL)
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i> (KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP	

COWSIK	85	PL 151B 62	R. Cowsik	(TATA)
RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
BINETRUY	84	PL 134B 174	P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati	(LAPP)
FREESE	84	NP B233 167	K. Freese, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC, FNAL)
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev) (SOFI)
SCHRAMM	84	PL 141B 337	D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman	(FNAL, BART)
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel	
ANDERHUB	82	PL 114B 76	H.B. Anderhub <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN)
OLIVE	82	PR D25 213	K.A. Olive, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, ÚCSB)
BERNSTEIN	81	PL 101B 39	J. Bernstein, G. Feinberg	(STEV, COLU)
FRANK	81	PR D24 2001	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, YALE, MIT+)
MORGAN	81	PL 102B 247	J.A. Morgan	(SUSS)
FUJIKAWA	80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(STON)
LUBIMOV	80	PL 94B 266	V.A. Lyubimov <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
STECKER	80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Stecker	(ŇASA)
COWSIK	79	PR D19 2219	R. Cowsik	(TATA)
GOLDMAN	79	PR D19 2215	T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson	(LASL)
BEG	78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M. F	Ruderman (RÒCK+)
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
FALK	78	PL 79B 511	S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC)
BARNES	77	PRL 38 1049	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL)
COWSIK	77	PRL 39 784	R. Cowsik	(MPIM, TATA)
LEE	77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock	(STON)
VYSOTSKY	77	JETPL 26 188	M.I. Vysotsky, A.D. Dolgov, Y.B.	Zeldovich (ITEP)
		Translated from ZETFP		
BELLOTTI	76	LNC 17 553	E. Bellotti <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)
SUTHERLAND		PR D13 2700	P. Sutherland <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, COLU, NYU)
SZALAY	76	AA 49 437	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)
CLARK	74	PR D9 533	A.R. Clark <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
KIM	74	PR D9 3050	J.E. Kim, V.S. Mathur, S. Okubo	(ROCH)
REINES	74	PRL 32 180	F. Reines, H.W. Sobel, H.S. Gurr	(UCI)
SZALAY	74	APAH 35 8	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)
COWSIK	72	PRL 29 669	R. Cowsik, J. McClelland	(UCB)
MARX	72	Nu Conf. Budapest	G. Marx, A.S. Szalay	(EOTV)
GERSHTEIN	66	JETPL 4 120 Translated from ZETFP	S.S. Gershtein, Y.B. Zeldovich	(KIAM)
BERNSTEIN	63	PR 132 1227	J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman, G. Fe	inberg (NYU+)
COWAN	57	PR 107 528	C.L. Cowan, F. Reines	(LANL)
		-	, , ,	()

 $\mathsf{HTTP:}//\mathsf{PDG.LBL.GOV}$