## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE $\left(\Lambda_{b}, \overline{\bar{Z}}_{b}, \Sigma_{b}, \Omega_{b}\right)$

## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE

Each measurement of the $b$-baryon mean life is an average over an admixture of various $b$ baryons which decay weakly. Different techniques emphasize different admixtures of produced particles, which could result in a different $b$-baryon mean life. More $b$-baryon flavor specific channels are not included in the measurement.
"OUR EVALUATION" is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.


-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - •
$1.218_{-0.115}^{+0.130} \pm 0.042$
$1.22 \underset{-0.18}{+0.22} \pm 0.04$
$1.14 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$
$1.46 \begin{array}{ll}+0.22 & +0.07 \\ -0.21 & -0.09\end{array}$
$1.27 \underset{-0.29}{+0.35} \pm 0.09$
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## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE DECAY MODES <br> $\left(\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \Sigma_{b}, \Omega_{b}\right)$

These branching fractions are actually an average over weakly decaying $b$ baryons weighted by their production rates at the LHC, LEP, and Tevatron, branching ratios, and detection efficiencies. They scale with the $b$-baryon production fraction $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$.

The branching fractions $\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $)$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything) are not pure measurements because the underlying measured products of these with $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon) were used to determine $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$, as described in the note "Production and Decay of $b$-Flavored Hadrons."

For inclusive branching fractions, e.g., $B \rightarrow D^{ \pm}$anything, the values usually are multiplicities, not branching fractions. They can be greater than one

Mode
Fraction $\left(\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma\right)$
$\Gamma_{1} \quad p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}$ anything
$\Gamma_{2} p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything
$\Gamma_{3}$ panything
$\Gamma_{4} \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything
$(5.3+2.2) \%$
( $5.1 \pm 1.2$ ) \%
(64 $\pm 21$ ) \%
( $3.5 \pm 0.6$ ) \%
$\Gamma_{5} \quad \Lambda \ell^{+} \nu_{\ell}$ anything
$\Gamma_{6}$ イanything
$\Gamma_{7} \quad \Lambda_{c}^{+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything
$\Gamma_{8} \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything
(36 $\pm 7$ ) \%
$\Gamma_{9} \quad \bar{E}^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything
$(6.0 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-3}$
$b$-baryon ADMIXTURE ( $\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \Sigma_{b}, \Omega_{b}$ ) BRANCHING RATIOS

| $\Gamma\left(p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT |  | TECN | COMMENT |  |
| $0.053{ }_{-0.017}^{ \pm 0.020} \pm 0.009$ | 125 | 14 ABREU | 95S | DLPH | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow$ |  |

${ }^{14}$ ABREU 95S reports $\left[\Gamma\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.0049 \pm 0.0011_{-0.0011}^{+0.0015}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

## $\Gamma\left(p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$

$15 \frac{\text { DOCUMENT ID }}{\text { BARATE } 98 v} \frac{\text { TECN }}{\text { ALEP }} \frac{\Gamma_{2} / \boldsymbol{\Gamma}}{\frac{\text { COMMENT }}{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z}}$
${ }^{15}$ BARATE 98 V reports $\left[\Gamma\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=(4.72 \pm 0.66 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-3}$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$ $=(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
$\Gamma$ ( $p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything) $/ \Gamma$ (panything)
VALUE
$0.080 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.014$
$\frac{\text { DOCUMENT ID }}{\text { BARATE } 98 \mathrm{~V}}$

TECN
$\frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{2}} / \Gamma_{\mathbf{3}}}{\text { COMMENT }}$
$\Gamma\left(\Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$
$\Gamma 4 / \Gamma$
The values and averages in this section serve only to show what values result if one assumes our $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$. They cannot be thought of as measurements since the underlying product branching fractions were also used to determine $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$ as described in the note on "Production and Decay of $b$-Flavored Hadrons."

| LUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID |  | TECN | COMMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5} \pm 0.006$ OUR AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.035 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006$ |  | 16 BARATE | 98D |  | ALEP | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$ |
| $0.032 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005$ |  | 17 AKERS | 96 | OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\wedge \ell^{+}$ |
| $0.033 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.005$ | 262 | 18 Abreu | 95s | DLPH | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |
| $0.066 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.011$ | 290 | 19 BUSKULIC | 95L | ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |
| - - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - - - |  |  |  |  |  |
| seen | 157 | 20 AKERS | 93 | OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |
| $0.076 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.012$ | 101 | 21 BUSKULIC | 921 | ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |

${ }^{16}$ BARATE 98D reports [ $\left[\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.00326 \pm 0.00016 \pm 0.00039$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$ $=(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Measured using the excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$, lepton impact parameter.
${ }^{17}$ AKERS 96 reports $\left[\Gamma\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]=$ $0.00291 \pm 0.00023 \pm 0.00025$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{18}$ ABREU 955 reports $\left[\Gamma\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.0030 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0004$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{19}$ BUSKULIC 95L reports [ $\Gamma\left(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.0061 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0010$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{20}$ AKERS 93 superseded by AKERS 96.
${ }^{21}$ BUSKULIC 921 reports $\left[\Gamma\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.0070 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0018$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Superseded by BUSKULIC 95L.
$\Gamma\left(\Lambda \ell^{+} \nu_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma($ lanything $)$
$\Gamma_{5} / \Gamma_{6}$
$\frac{\text { VALUE }}{\mathbf{0 . 0 8 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 1 2} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}} \quad \frac{\text { DOCUMENT ID }}{\text { ABBIENDI 99L }} \frac{\text { TECN }}{\text { OPAL }} \frac{\text { COMMENT }}{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z}$

-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - - -
$0.070 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$ ACKERSTAFF 97n OPAL Repl. by ABBI-
ACKERSTAFF 97N OPAL Repl. by ABB


## $\Gamma(\Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$

$\frac{\text { VALUE }}{0.36 \pm 0.07 \text { OUR AVERAGE }}$
$0.38 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$
$0.24_{-0.08}^{+0.13} \pm 0.04$

-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - -
$0.43 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.07 \quad{ }^{24}$ ACKERSTAFF 97N OPAL Repl. by ABBI-
ENDI 99L
${ }^{22}$ ABBIENDI 99L reports $\left[\Gamma(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ $=0.035 \pm 0.0032 \pm 0.0035$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{23}$ ABREU 95 C reports $0.28_{-0.12}^{+0.17}$ from a measurement of $[\Gamma(b$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $) /$ $\left.\Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]$ assuming $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=0.08 \pm 0.02$, which we rescale to our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{24}$ ACKERSTAFF 97 N reports $\left[\Gamma(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b-$ baryon $)]=0.0393 \pm 0.0046 \pm 0.0037$ which we divide by our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$ baryon $)=(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

| $\Gamma\left(\right.$ 三- $\ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VALUE | DOCUMENT ID | TECN | COMMENT |  |
| $0.0060 \pm 0.0016$ OUR AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |
| $0.0059 \pm 0.0015 \pm 0.0010$ | 25 BUSKULIC | ALEP | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess } \overline{\bar{Z}} \\ \ell^{+} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $0.0064 \pm 0.0025 \pm 0.0010$ | 26 ABREU | DLPH | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excess } \bar{\equiv} \\ \equiv-\ell^{+} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| ${ }^{25}$ BUSKULIC 96T reports [ $\Gamma\left(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Xi^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $\left.) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}\right] \times[\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b-$ baryon $)]=0.00054 \pm 0.00011 \pm 0.00008$ which we divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow$ $b$-baryon $)=(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{26}$ ABREU 95V reports [ $\Gamma$ ( $b$-bary $=0.00059 \pm 0.00021 \pm 0.000$ $(9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$. Our fir the systematic error from usin | 1 which we divid t error is their ex g our best value. | g) $/ \Gamma_{\text {to }}$ <br> best <br> t's err | al $] \times[B(\bar{b}$ lue $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow$ and our s | ryon)] <br> on) $=$ <br> rror is |
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| BUSKULIC | 95L | PL B357 685 | D. Buskulic et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| ABREU | 93F | PL B311 379 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
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