Axions (A⁰) and Other Very Light Bosons, Searches for

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

A⁰ (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

These bounds depend on model-dependent assumptions (i.e. — on a combination of axion parameters).

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following	ng data for average	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
>0.2	BARROSO	82	ASTR	Standard Axion	
>0.25	¹ RAFFELT	82	ASTR	Standard Axion	
>0.2	² DICUS	78C	ASTR	Standard Axion	
	MIKAELIAN	78	ASTR	Stellar emission	
>0.3	² SATO	78	ASTR	Standard Axion	
>0.2	VYSOTSKII	78	ASTR	Standard Axion	

¹Lower bound from 5.5 MeV γ -ray line from the sun.

 $^2\,{\rm Lower}$ bound from requiring the red giants' stellar evolution not be disrupted by axion emission.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Hadron Decays

Limits are f	for brar	nching ratios.			
VALUE	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not	use the	e following data for	aver	ages, fits	s, limits, etc. • • •
$< \! 1.5 imes 10^{-6}$	90	¹ ADLARSON	13	WASA	$\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma X^{0} (X^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}),$ $m_{\star c0} = 100 \text{ MeV}$
$<2 \times 10^{-8}$	90	² BABUSCI	13B	KLOE	$\phi \rightarrow \eta X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<2 \times 10^{-15}$	90	⁴ GNINENKO	12 124	RDMP	$\phi \rightarrow \eta X_0, X_0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<3 \times 10^{-14}$	90	⁵ GNINENKO	12B	BDMP	$\eta(\eta') \rightarrow \gamma X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$< 7 \times 10^{-10}$	90	⁶ ADLER	04	B787	$\kappa^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$< 7.3 \times 10^{-11}$	90	⁷ ANISIMOVSK.	04	B949	$\kappa^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \chi^0$
$< 4.5 imes 10^{-11}$	90	⁸ ADLER	02C	B787	$K^+ ightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	⁹ ADLER	01	B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 A^0$
$<$ 4.9 $ imes$ 10 $^{-5}$	90	AMMAR	01 B	CLEO	$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}(\kappa^{\pm})X^{0}$
$< 5.3 imes 10^{-5}$	90	AMMAR	01 B	CLEO	$B^0 \rightarrow \kappa^0_S X^0$
${<}3.3\times10^{-5}$	90	¹⁰ ALTEGOER	98	NOMD	$\pi^{0} ightarrow \gamma ec{\chi^{0}}$, $m_{\chi^{0}} < 120$ MeV
$< 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	90	¹¹ KITCHING	97	B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
$< 5.2 \times 10^{-10}$	90	¹² ADLER	96	B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹³ AMSLER	96 B	CBAR	$\pi^{m 0} ightarrow \gamma X^{m 0}$, $m_{m \chi 0} < 65~{ m MeV}$
$<3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹³ AMSLER	96 B	CBAR	$\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{\chi 0}^{\Lambda} = 50-200$ MeV
$< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹³ AMSLER	96 B	CBAR	$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{\chi 0} = 50-925$ MeV
$< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	90	¹³ AMSLER	94 B	CBAR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{\chi^0} = 65 - 125 \text{ MeV}$

<6	imes 10 ⁻⁵	90	¹³ AMSLER	94 B	CBAR	$\eta ightarrow \gamma X^{0}$, $m_{\chi 0} =$ 200–525 MeV
<7	imes 10 ⁻³	90	¹⁴ MEIJERDREES	594	CNTR	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{\chi 0} = 25$ MeV
<2	imes 10 ⁻³	90	¹⁴ MEIJERDREES	94	CNTR	$\pi^0 ightarrow \gamma X^0$, $m_{\chi 0} = 100$ MeV
<2	imes 10 ⁻⁷	90	¹⁵ ATIYA	93 B	B787	Sup. by ADLER 04
<3	$ imes$ 10 $^{-13}$		¹⁶ NG	93	COSM	$\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma X^{0}$
< 1.1	10^{-8}	90	¹⁷ ALLIEGRO	92	SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0 (X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
<5	imes 10 ⁻⁴	90	¹⁸ ATIYA	92	B787	$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$
<4	imes 10 ⁻⁶	90	¹⁹ MEIJERDREES	592	SPEC	$\pi^0 ightarrow \gamma X^0$, $X^0 ightarrow e^+e^-$,
						$m_{\chi 0}^{}=100~{ m MeV}$
$<\!\!1$	imes 10 ⁻⁷	90	²⁰ ATIYA	90 B	B787	Sup. by KITCHING 97
<1.3	10^{-8}	90	²¹ KORENCHE	87	SPEC	$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0 (A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<\!\!1$	imes 10 ⁻⁹	90	²² EICHLER	86	SPEC	Stopped $\pi^+ ightarrow e^+ u A^0$
<2	$\times 10^{-5}$	90	²³ YAMAZAKI	84	SPEC	For 160< <i>m</i> <260 MeV
<(1.5	5–4) × 10 ^{–6}	90	²³ YAMAZAKI	84	SPEC	K decay, $m_{oldsymbol{\chi}0} \ll 100$ MeV
			²⁴ ASANO	82	CNTR	Stopped $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} X^{0}$
			²⁵ ASANO	81 B	CNTR	Stopped $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$
			²⁶ ZHITNITSKII	79		Heavy axion

 $^1\,{\rm Limits}$ between 2.0 \times 10 $^{-5}$ and 1.5 \times 10 $^{-6}$ are obtained for $m_{\chi 0}$ = 20–100 MeV (see their Fig. 8). Angular momentum conservation requires that $X^{\hat{0}}$ has spin ≥ 1 . ² The limit is for B($\phi \rightarrow \eta X^0$)·B($X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) and applies to $m_{\chi^0} = 410$ MeV. It

is derived by analyzing $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $\pi^- \pi^+ \pi^0$. Limits between 1×10^{-6} and 2×10^{-8} are obtained for $m_{\chi^0} \leq 450$ MeV (see their Fig. 6).

- ³ARCHILLI 12 analyzed $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ decays. Derived limits on $\alpha'/\alpha < 2 \times 10^{-5}$ for $m_{X_0} = 50$ -420 MeV at 90% CL. See their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁴ This limit is for B($\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$)·B($X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0} = 90$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} \simeq 1 \times 10^{-8}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-8} and 2×10^{-15} are obtained for $m_{\chi 0} =$ 3–120 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} = 1 \times 10^{-11}$ –1 sec. See their Fig. 3 for limits at different masses and lifetimes.
- ⁵ This limit is for B($\eta \rightarrow \gamma X^0$)·B($x^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) and applies for $m_{\chi^0} = 100$ MeV and $au_{\chi^0} \simeq 6 imes 10^{-9}$ sec. Limits between 10^{-5} and $3 imes 10^{-14}$ are obtained for $m_{\chi^0} \lesssim$ 550 MeV and $\tau_{\chi 0} = 10^{-10}$ –10 sec. See their Fig. 5 for limits at different mass and lifetime and for η' decays.
- 6 This limit applies for a mass near 180 MeV. For other masses in the range $m_{\chi 0}$ =150-250 MeV the limit is less restrictive, but still improves ADLER 02C and ATIYÁ 93B. ⁷ ANISIMOVSKY 04 bound is for $m_{\chi 0} = 0$.
- ⁸ ADLER 02C bound is for m_{χ^0} <60 MeV. See Fig. 2 for limits at higher masses.
- ⁹ The quoted limit is for $m_{\chi 0} =$ 0–80 MeV. See their Fig. 5 for the limit at higher mass. The branching fraction limit assumes pure phase space decay distributions.

¹⁰ ALTEGOER 98 looked for X^0 from π^0 decay which penetrate the shielding and convert

to π^0 in the external Coulomb field of a nucleus. ¹¹KITCHING 97 limit is for B($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) and applies for $m_{\chi 0} \simeq 50$ MeV, $\tau_{\chi 0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are provided for $0 < m_{\chi 0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{\chi 0} < 10^{-8}$ s.

 12 ADLER 96 looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution. This work is an update of ATIYA 93. The limit is for massless stable X^0 particles and extends to $m_{\chi 0}$ =80 MeV at the same level. See paper for dependence on finite lifetime.

¹³AMSLER 94B and AMSLER 96B looked for a peak in missing-mass distribution.

- ¹⁴ The MEIJERDREES 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of X^0 decay modes. It applies to $\tau(X^0) > 10^{-23}$ sec.
- ¹⁵ ATIYA 93B looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The bound applies for stable X^0 of m_{χ^0} =150-250 MeV, and the limit becomes stronger (10⁻⁸) for m_{χ^0} =180-240 MeV.
- ¹⁶ NG 93 studied the production of X^0 via $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma X^0$ in the early universe at $T \simeq 1$ MeV. The bound on extra neutrinos from nucleosynthesis $\Delta N_{\nu} < 0.3$ (WALKER 91) is employed. It applies to $m_{\chi^0} \ll 1$ MeV in order to be relativistic down to nucleosynthesis temperature. See paper for heavier X^0 .
- 17 ALLIEGRO 92 limit applies for $m_{\chi^0} {=} 150 {-} 340$ MeV and is the branching ratio times the decay probability. Limit is $< 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ at 99%CL.
- ¹⁸ ATIYA 92 looked for a peak in missing mass distribution. The limit applies to m_{χ^0} =0–130 MeV in the narrow resonance limit. See paper for the dependence on
- lifetime. Covariance requires X^0 to be a vector particle. ¹⁹ MEIJERDREES 92 limit applies for $\tau_{X^0} = 10^{-23} - 10^{-11}$ sec. Limits between 2×10^{-4} and 4×10^{-6} are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 25 - 120$ MeV. Angular momentum conservation
- requires that X^0 has spin ≥ 1 .

²⁰ ATIYA 90B limit is for B($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0$)·B($X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$) and applies for $m_{\chi 0} = 50$ MeV, $\tau_{\chi 0} < 10^{-10}$ s. Limits are also provided for $0 < m_{\chi 0} < 100$ MeV, $\tau_{\chi 0} < 10^{-8}$ s. ²¹ KORENCHENKO 87 limit assumes $m_{A^0} = 1.7$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} \lesssim 10^{-12}$ s, and B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = 1.

- ²² EICHLER 86 looked for $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu A^0$ followed by $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of A^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau(A^0) \gtrsim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ²³ YAMAZAKI 84 looked for a discrete line in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$. Sensitive to wide mass range (5–300 MeV), independent of whether X decays promptly or not.
- ²⁴ASANO 82 at KEK set limits for $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0)$ for m_{χ^0} <100 MeV as BR < 4. × 10⁻⁸ for $\tau(X^0 \rightarrow n\gamma's) > 1. \times 10^{-9}$ s, BR < 1.4 × 10⁻⁶ for τ < 1. × 10⁻⁹ s. ²⁵ASANO 81B is KEK experiment. Set $B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X^0) < 3.8 \times 10^{-8}$ at CL = 90%.
- 26 ZHITNITSKII 79 argue that a heavy axion predicted by YANG 78 (3 $<\!m$ $<\!40$ MeV) contradicts experimental muon anomalous magnetic moments.

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Quarkonium Decays

Decay or transition of quarkonium. Limits are for branching ratio.

VALUL	CL / 0	DOCUMENTID		TLUN	COMMENT
• • • We do no	ot use th	ne following data f	or ave	erages, fi	ts, limits, etc. ● ● ●
$< 4.0 imes 10^{-5}$	90	¹ ANTREASYAN	90 C	CBAL	$\Upsilon(1S) ightarrow A^0 \gamma$
$< 5 \times 10^{-5}$	90	² DRUZHININ	87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-)$
$<2 \times 10^{-3}$	90	³ DRUZHININ	87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^{0}\gamma (A^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$
$< 7 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴ DRUZHININ	87	ND	$\phi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma \ (A^0 \rightarrow \text{missing})$
${<}1.4 imes10^{-5}$	90	⁵ EDWARDS	82	CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow A^0 \gamma$

¹ANTREASYAN 90C assume that A^0 does not decay in the detector.

- 2 The first DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when au_{A0}/m_{A0} < $3 imes 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and $m_{\Delta 0}$ < 20 MeV.
- 3 The second DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when $au_{{\cal A}^0}/m_{{\cal A}^0}~<~5 imes 10^{-13}$ s/MeV and m_{A0} < 20 MeV.
- ⁴ The third DRUZHININ 87 limit is valid when au_{A^0}/m_{A^0} > 7 × 10⁻¹² s/MeV and $m_{\Delta 0}$ < 200 MeV.
- ⁵ EDWARDS 82 looked for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma A^0$ decays by looking for events with a single γ [of energy \sim 1/2 the J/ $\psi(1S)$ mass], plus nothing else in the detector. The limit is inconsistent with the axion interpretation of the FAISSNER 81B result.

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Positronium Decays

Decay or transition of positronium. Limits are for branching ratio. VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT CL%

• • • We do no	t use th	e following data	for a	verages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
${<}4.4\times10^{-5}$	90	¹ BADERT	02	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow \gamma X_1 X_2$, $m_{X_1} + m_{X_2} \leq$
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MAENO	95	CNTR	900 keV o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma m_{A^0} = 850 - 1013$ keV
$< 3.0 imes 10^{-4}$	90	² ASAI	94	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma m_{A^0} = 30-500 \text{ keV}$
$< 2.8 imes 10^{-5}$	90	³ AKOPYAN	91	CNTR	$o - Ps \rightarrow A^0 \gamma (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma),$
					$m_{A^0} < 30$ keV
${<}1.1 imes 10^{-6}$	90	⁴ ASAI	91	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 800$ keV
${<}3.8 imes10^{-4}$	90	GNINENKO	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0}^{\gamma} < 30$ keV
$<$ (1–5) \times 10 ⁻⁴	95	⁵ TSUCHIAKI	90	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} = 300-900$ keV
$<\!\!6.4 imes10^{-5}$	90	⁶ ORITO	89	CNTR	o-Ps $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$, $m_{A^0} < 30$ keV
		⁷ AMALDI	85	CNTR	Ortho-positronium
		⁸ CARBONI	83	CNTR	Ortho-positronium

¹BADERTSCHER 02 looked for a three-body decay of ortho-positronium into a photon and two penetrating (neutral or milli-charged) particles.

 2 The ASAI 94 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay

modes. ³ The AKOPYAN 91 limit applies for a short-lived A^0 with $\tau_{A^0} < 10^{-13} m_{A^0}$ [keV] s. ⁴ ASAI 91 limit translates to $g^2_{A^0 e^+ e^-}/4\pi < 1.1 \times 10^{-11}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} < 800$ keV.

⁵ The TSUCHIAKI 90 limit is based on inclusive photon spectrum and is independent of A^0 decay modes.

⁶ ORITO 89 limit translates to $g^2_{A^0 ee}/4\pi < 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$. Somewhat more sensitive limits are obtained for larger m_{A^0} : $B < 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$ at 100 keV.

⁷AMALDI 85 set limits $B(A^0\gamma)'/B(\gamma\gamma\gamma) < (1-5) \times 10^{-6}$ for $m_{A^0} = 900-100$ keV which are about 1/10 of the CARBONI 83 limits.

⁸ CARBONI 83 looked for orthopositronium $\rightarrow A^0 \gamma$. Set limit for A^0 electron coupling squared, $g(eeA^0)^2/(4\pi) < 6. \times 10^{-10}$ -7. $\times 10^{-9}$ for m_{A^0} from 150–900 keV (CL = 99.7%). This is about 1/10 of the bound from g-2 experiments.

A^0 (Axion) Search in Photoproduction

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the follow	ving data for averages, fits,	limits, etc. • • •
	¹ BASSOMPIE 95	$m_{m{A}0}^{}=1.8\pm0.2~{ m MeV}$

¹BASSOMPIERRE 95 is an extension of BASSOMPIERRE 93. They looked for a peak in the invariant mass of e^+e^- pairs in the region $m_{e^+e^-} = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ MeV. They obtained bounds on the production rate A^0 for $\tau(A^0) = 10^{-18}$ – 10^{-9} sec. They also found an excess of events in the range $m_{e^+e^-} = 2.1$ –3.5 MeV.

A⁰ (Axion) Production in Hadron Collisions

	Limits are f	or $\sigma(A^{U})$) / $\sigma(\pi$	⁰).					
VALUE		<u>CL%</u>	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$									
				¹ JAIN	07	CNTR	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
				² AHMAD	97	SPEC	e ⁺ production		
				³ LEINBERGER	97	SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
				⁴ GANZ	96	SPEC	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
				⁵ KAMEL	96	EMUL	³² S emulsion, $A^0 \rightarrow$		
				⁶ BLUEMLEIN	92	RDMP	e^+e^- $A^0 N_{-} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- N_{-}$		
				⁷ MEIJERDREES	592	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow nA^0, A^0 \rightarrow$		
				8 51 115 11 511	0.1	DDMD	$e^{+}e^{-}$		
					91	BDWb	$A^{\circ} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}, 2\gamma$		
				² FAISSNER	89	USPK	Beam dump, $\Delta^0 \rightarrow a^+ a^-$		
				¹⁰ DEBOER	88	RVUE	$A^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma} e^+ e^- e^-$		
				¹¹ EL-NADI	88	EMUL	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
				¹² FAISSNER	88	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$		
				¹³ BADIER	86	BDMP	$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
<2.	imes 10 ⁻¹¹	90	0	¹⁴ BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump		
<1.	imes 10 ⁻¹³	90	0	¹⁴ BERGSMA	85	CHRM	CERN beam dump		
			24	¹⁵ FAISSNER	83	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 ightarrow 2\gamma$		
				¹⁶ FAISSNER	83 B	RVUE	LAMPF beam dump		
				¹⁷ FRANK	83 B	RVUE	LAMPF beam dump		
				¹⁸ HOFFMAN	83	CNTR	$\pi p \rightarrow nA^{0}$		
				¹⁹ FETSCHER	82	RVUF	See FAISSNER 81B		
			12	²⁰ FAISSNER	81	OSPK	CERN PS ν wideband		
			15	²¹ FAISSNER	81 B	OSPK	Beam dump, $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$		
			8	²² KIM	81	OSPK	26 GeV $pN \rightarrow A^0X$		
			0	²³ FAISSNER	80	OSPK	Beam dump,		
~1	× 10 ⁻⁸	00			00		$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$		
<1.	$\times 10^{-14}$	90			00 90		20 Gev protons		
<1.	× 10	90		25 SOLIKAS	80 80		28 GeV n beam dump		
				26 RECHIS	79				
<1.	imes 10 ⁻⁸	90		²⁷ COTEUS	79	OSPK	Beam dump		

<1. imes 1	0 ⁻³	95	28	DISHAW	79	CALO	400 GeV <i>pp</i>
<1. imes 1	0-8	90		ALIBRAN	78	HYBR	Beam dump
<6. ×1	0-9	95		ASRATYAN	78 B	CALO	Beam dump
< 1.5 imes 1	0-8	90	29	BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	Beam dump
${<}5.4 imes1$	0^{-14}	90	29	BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$
$< 4.1 \times 1$	0-9	90	29	BELLOTTI	78	HLBC	$m_{A^0}^{7} = 1 \text{ MeV}$
<1. × 1	0-8	90	30 31	BOSETTI DONNELLY	78в 78	HYBR	Beam dump
<0.5 × 1	0-8	90	32 33	HANSL MICELMAC VYSOTSKII	78D 78 78	WIRE	Beam dump

- ¹ JAIN 07 claims evidence for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ produced in ²⁰⁷Pb collision on nuclear emulsion (Ag/Br) for $m(A^0) = 7 \pm 1$ or 19 ± 1 MeV and $\tau(A^0) \leq 10^{-13}$ s.
- ² AHMAD 97 reports a result of APEX Collaboration which studied positron production in ²³⁸U+²³²Ta and ²³⁸U+¹⁸¹Ta collisions, without requiring a coincident electron. No narrow lines were found for 250 $< E_{p+} < 750$ keV.
- ³LEINBERGER 97 (ORANGE Collaboration) at GSI looked for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- -line at $\sim 635 \text{ keV}$ in $^{238}\text{U}+^{181}\text{Ta}$ collision. Limits on the production probability for a narrow sum-energy e^+e^- line are set. See their Table 2.
- ⁴ GANZ 96 (EPos II Collaboration) has placed upper bounds on the production cross section of e^+e^- pairs from $^{238}U^{+181}Ta$ and $^{238}U^{+232}Th$ collisions at GSI. See Table 2 for limits both for back-to-back and isotropic configurations of e^+e^- pairs. These limits rule out the existence of peaks in the e^+e^- sum-energy distribution, reported by an earlier version of this experiment.
- ⁵ KAMEL 96 looked for e^+e^- pairs from the collision of ³²S (200 GeV/nucleon) and emulsion. No evidence of mass peaks is found in the region of sensitivity $m_{e\,e} > 2$ MeV.
- ⁶ BLUEMLEIN 92 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov with a secondary target to induce Bethe-Heitler production of e^+e^- or $\mu^+\mu^-$ from the produce A^0 . See Fig. 5 for the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane. For the standard axion, 0.3 <x<25 is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, 0.008 <x<32 is excluded.
- is excluded at 95% CL. If combined with BLUEMLEIN 91, 0.008 <x<32 is excluded. 7 MEIJERDREES 92 give $\Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow nA^0) \cdot B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) / \Gamma(\pi^- p \rightarrow all) < 10^{-5}$ (90% CL) for $m_{A^0} = 100$ MeV, $\tau_{A^0} = 10^{-11} - 10^{-23}$ sec. Limits ranging from 2.5 × 10^{-3} to 10^{-7} are given for $m_{A^0} = 25$ -136 MeV.
- ⁸ BLUEMLEIN 91 is a proton beam dump experiment at Serpukhov. No candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$, 2γ are found. Fig. 6 gives the excluded region in m_{A^0} -x plane (x = $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$). Standard axion is excluded for 0.2 < m_{A^0} < 3.2 MeV for most x > 1, 0.2–11 MeV for most x < 1.
- ⁹ FAISSNER 89 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. No excess of events was observed over the background. A standard axion with mass $2m_e$ -20 MeV is excluded. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $\simeq 10^4$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 2m_e$ -20 MeV.
- ¹⁰ DEBOER 88 reanalyze EL-NADI 88 data and claim evidence for three distinct states with mass ~ 1.1, ~ 2.1, and ~ 9 MeV, lifetimes 10^{-16} – 10^{-15} s decaying to $e^+e^$ and note the similarity of the data with those of a cosmic-ray experiment by Bristol group (B.M. Anand, Proc. of the Royal Society of London, Section A **A22** 183 (1953)). For a criticism see PERKINS 89, who suggests that the events are compatible with π^0 Dalitz decay. DEBOER 89B is a reply which contests the criticism.
- 11 EL-NADI 88 claim the existence of a neutral particle decaying into $e^+\,e^-$ with mass 1.60 \pm 0.59 MeV, lifetime (0.15 \pm 0.01) \times 10⁻¹⁴ s, which is produced in heavy ion interactions with emulsion nuclei at \sim 4 GeV/c/nucleon.

- ¹² FAISSNER 88 is a proton beam dump experiment at SIN. They found no candidate event for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. A standard axion decaying to 2γ is excluded except for a region $x \simeq 1$. Lower limit on f_{A^0} of $10^2 - 10^3$ GeV is given for $m_{A^0} = 0.1 - 1$ MeV.
- ¹³ BADIER 86 did not find long-lived A^0 in 300 GeV π^- Beam Dump Experiment that decays into $e^+ e^-$ in the mass range $m_{A^0} = (20-200)$ MeV, which excludes the A^0 decay constant $f(A^0)$ in the interval (60–600) GeV. See their figure 6 for excluded region on $f(A^0)$ - m_{A^0} plane.
- ¹⁴ BERGSMA 85 look for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, e^+e^- , $\mu^+\mu^-$. First limit above is for $m_{A^0} = 1$ MeV; second is for 200 MeV. See their figure 4 for excluded region on $f_{A^0} m_{A^0}$ plane, where f_{A^0} is A^0 decay constant. For Peccei-Quinn PECCEI 77 A^0 , m_{A^0} <180 keV and τ >0.037 s. (CL = 90%). For the axion of FAISSNER 81B at 250 keV, BERGSMA 85 expect 15 events but observe zero.
- 15 FAISSNER 83 observed 19 1- γ and 12 2- γ events where a background of 4.8 and 2.3 respectively is expected. A small-angle peak is observed even if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- ¹⁶ FAISSNER 83B extrapolate SIN γ signal to LAMPF ν experimental condition. Resulting 370 γ 's are not at variance with LAMPF upper limit of 450 γ 's. Derived from LAMPF limit that $[d\sigma(A^0)/d\omega \text{ at } 90^\circ]m_{A^0}/\tau_{A^0} < 14 \times 10^{-35} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ MeV ms}^{-1}$. See comment on FRANK 83B.
- ¹⁷ FRANK 83B stress the importance of LAMPF data bins with negative net signal. By statistical analysis say that LAMPF and SIN-A0 are at variance when extrapolation by phase-space model is done. They find LAMPF upper limit is 248 not 450 γ 's. See comment on FAISSNER 83B.
- ¹⁸ HOFFMAN 83 set CL = 90% limit $d\sigma/dt B(e^+e^-) < 3.5 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}^2$ for 140 $< m_{A^0} < 160 \text{ MeV}$. Limit assumes $\tau(A^0) < 10^{-9} \text{ s}$.
- ¹⁹ FETSCHER 82 reanalyzes SIN beam-dump data of FAISSNER 81. Claims no evidence for axion since 2- γ peak rate remarkably decreases if iron wall is set in front of the decay region.
- $^{20}\,{\rm FAISSNER}$ 81 see excess $\mu\,e$ events. Suggest axion interactions.
- ²¹ FAISSNER 81B is SIN 590 MeV proton beam dump. Observed 14.5 ± 5.0 events of 2γ decay of long-lived neutral penetrating particle with $m_{2\gamma} \lesssim 1$ MeV. Axion interpretation with η - A^0 mixing gives $m_{A^0} = 250 \pm 25$ keV, $\tau_{(2\gamma)} = (7.3 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-3}$ s from above rate. See critical remarks below in comments of FETSCHER 82, FAISSNER 83B, FRANK 83B, and BERGSMA 85. Also see in the next subsection ALEK-SEEV 82B, CAVAIGNAC 83, and ANANEV 85.
- 22 KIM 81 analyzed 8 candidates for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ obtained by Aachen-Padova experiment at CERN with 26 GeV protons on Be. Estimated axion mass is about 300 keV and lifetime is $(0.86\sim5.6)\times10^{-3}$ s depending on models. Faissner (private communication), says axion production underestimated and mass overestimated. Correct value around 200 keV.
- ²³ FAISSNER 80 is SIN beam dump experiment with 590 MeV protons looking for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay. Assuming $A^0/\pi^0 = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$, obtained decay rate limit 20/(A^0 mass) MeV/s (CL = 90%), which is about 10^{-7} below theory and interpreted as upper limit to $m_{A^0} < 2m_{e^-}$.
- ²⁴ JACQUES 80 is a BNL beam dump experiment. First limit above comes from nonobservation of excess neutral-current-type events $[\sigma(\text{production})\sigma(\text{interaction}) < 7. \times 10^{-68} \text{ cm}^4$, CL = 90%]. Second limit is from nonobservation of axion decays into 2γ 's or e^+e^- , and for axion mass a few MeV.

 25 SOUKAS 80 at BNL observed no excess of neutral-current-type events in beam dump.

- ²⁶ BECHIS 79 looked for the axion production in low energy electron Bremsstrahlung and the subsequent decay into either 2γ or e^+e^- . No signal found. CL = 90% limits for model parameter(s) are given.
- ²⁷ COTEUS 79 is a beam dump experiment at BNL.
- ²⁸ DISHAW 79 is a calorimetric experiment and looks for low energy tail of energy distributions due to energy lost to weakly interacting particles.
- ²⁹ BELLOTTI 78 first value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Second value comes from search for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$, assuming mass $<2m_{e^-}$. For any mass satisfying this, limit is above value×(mass⁻⁴). Third value uses data of PL 60B 401 and quotes σ (production) σ (interaction) $< 10^{-67}$ cm⁴.

³⁰ BOSETTI 78B quotes σ (production) σ (interaction) $< 2. \times 10^{-67}$ cm⁴.

- ³¹ DONNELLY 78 examines data from reactor neutrino experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74 as well as SLAC beam dump experiment. Evidence is negative.
- ³² MICELMACHER 78 finds no evidence of axion existence in reactor experiments of REINES 76 and GURR 74. (See reference under DONNELLY 78 below).
- ³³ VYSOTSKII 78 derived lower limit for the axion mass 25 keV from luminosity of the sun and 200 keV from red supergiants.

A^0 (Axion) Searches in Reactor Experiments

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the f	ollowing data for average	es, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
	¹ CHANG	07		Primakoff or Compton
	² ALTMANN	95	CNTR	Reactor; $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$
	³ KETOV	86	SPEC	Reactor, $A^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
	⁴ КОСН	86	SPEC	Reactor; $A^0 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$
	⁵ DATAR	82	CNTR	Light water reactor
	⁶ VUILLEUMIE	R 81	CNTR	Reactor, $A^{f 0} o 2\gamma$
1				

¹ CHANG 07 looked for monochromatic photons from Primakoff or Compton conversion of axions from the Kuo-Sheng reactor due to axion coupling to photon or electron, respectively. The search places model-independent limits on the products $G_{A\gamma\gamma}G_{ANN}$

and $G_{Aee}G_{ANN}$ for $m(A^0)$ less than the MeV range.

- ² ALTMANN 95 looked for A^0 decaying into e^+e^- from the Bugey 5 nuclear reactor. They obtain an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma) \times B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 10^{-16}$ for $m_{A^0} = 1.5$ MeV at 90% CL. The limit is weaker for heavier A^0 . In the case of a standard axion, this limit excludes a mass in the range $2m_e < m_{A^0} < 4.8$ MeV at 90% CL. See Fig. 5 of their paper for exclusion limits of axion-like resonances Z^0 in the (m_{X^0}, f_{X^0}) plane.
- ³KETOV 86 searched for A^0 at the Rovno nuclear power plant. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production probability of 0.8 $[100 \text{ keV}/m_{A^0}]^6 \times 10^{-6}$ per fission. In the standard axion model, this corresponds to $m_{A^0} > 150$ keV. Not valid for $m_{A^0} \gtrsim 1$ MeV.
- ⁴ KOCH 86 searched for $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at nuclear power reactor Biblis A. They found an upper limit on the A^0 production rate of $\omega(A^0)/\omega(\gamma(M1)) < 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ (CL=95%). Standard axion with $m_{A^0} = 250$ keV gives 10^{-5} for the ratio. Not valid for $m_{A^0} > 1022$ keV.

⁵ DATAR 82 looked for $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in neutron capture $(np \rightarrow dA^0)$ at Tarapur 500 MW reactor. Sensitive to sum of I = 0 and I = 1 amplitudes. With ZEHNDER 81 [(I = 0) - (I = 1)] result, assert nonexistence of standard A^0 .

 6 VUILLEUMIER 81 is at Grenoble reactor. Set limit $m_{A^{0}}~<\!\!280$ keV.

A^0 (Axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches in Nuclear Transitions

Limits are for branching ratio.									
VALUE	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT				
• • • We do not us	e the fo	llowing data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. • • •				
$< 8.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹ DERBIN	02	CNTR	125m Te decay				
		² DEBOER	97 C	RVUE	M1 transitions				
$<$ 5.5 $ imes$ 10 $^{-10}$	95	³ TSUNODA	95	CNTR	²⁵² Cf fission, $A^0 \rightarrow ee$				
$< 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁴ MINOWA	93	CNTR	139 La* \rightarrow 139 La 0				
$< 2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	⁵ HICKS	92	CNTR	³⁵ S decay, $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$				
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	95	⁶ ASANUMA	90	CNTR	²⁴¹ Am decay				
$<$ (0.4–10) \times 10 ⁻³	95	⁷ DEBOER	90	CNTR	$^{8}\text{Be}^{*} \rightarrow {}^{8}\text{Be}A^{0}$,				
`´´´		0			$A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$				
$<$ (0.2–1) \times 10 ⁻³	90	⁸ BINI	89	CNTR	$^{16}O^* \rightarrow ^{16}OX^0$,				
		9 000000	00		$X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$				
		^o AVIGNONE	88	CNTR	$Cu^{\gamma} \rightarrow CuA^{\gamma} (A^{\gamma} \rightarrow 2\gamma,$				
< 1 F × 10 ⁻⁴	00		00		$A^{\circ} e \rightarrow \gamma e, A^{\circ} Z \rightarrow \gamma Z$) $12c_{*} \qquad 12c_{*} 0$				
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-1}$	90	-• DATAR	88	CNTR	$A^0 \rightarrow A^+ a^-$				
$< 5 \times 10^{-3}$	90	¹¹ DEBOER	88C	CNTR	$16^{A^*}_{O^*} \rightarrow 16^{e}_{O}X^{0}$				
o	~-	12	~ ~		$X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$				
$< 3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	95	¹² DOEHNER	88	SPEC	$^{2}H^{*}, A^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$				
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	95	¹³ SAVAGE	88	CNTR	Nuclear decay (isovector)				
$< 3 \times 10^{-5}$	95	¹³ SAVAGE	88	CNIR	Nuclear decay (isoscalar)				
$<10.6 \times 10^{-2}$	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86	SPEC	^O Li isovector decay				
<10.8	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86	SPEC	¹⁰ B isoscalar decays				
< 2.2	90	¹⁴ HALLIN	86	SPEC	¹⁴ N isoscalar decays				
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹⁵ SAVAGE	86 B	CNTR	¹⁴ N*				
		¹⁶ ANANEV	85	CNTR	Li [*] , deut [*] $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$				
		¹⁷ CAVAIGNAC	83	CNTR	⁹⁷ Nb [*] , deut [*] transition				
		10			$A^0 ightarrow 2\gamma$				
		¹⁸ ALEKSEEV	82B	CNTR	Li [*] , deut [*] transition A^0				
		¹⁹ LEHMANN	82	CNTR	$Cu^* \rightarrow Cu A^0 (A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$				
		²⁰ ZEHNDER	82	CNTR	Li*, Nb* decay, <i>n</i> -capt.				
		²¹ ZEHNDER	81	CNTR	$Ba^* \rightarrow BaA^0 (A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$				
		²² CALAPRICE	79		Carbon				

- $^1\,{\sf DERBIN}$ 02 looked for the axion emission in an M1 transition in $^{125m}{\sf Te}$ decay. They looked for a possible presence of a shifted energy spectrum in gamma rays due to the undetected axion. $^2\,{\sf DEBOER}$ 97C reanalyzed the existent data on Nuclear M1 transitions and find that a
- ² DEBOER 97C reanalyzed the existent data on Nuclear M1 transitions and find that a 9 MeV boson decaying into e⁺e⁻ would explain the excess of events with large opening angles. See also DEBOER 01 for follow-up experiments.
 ³ TSUNODA 95 looked for axion emission when ²⁵²Cf undergoes a spontaneous fission,
- ³TSUNODA 95 looked for axion emission when ²⁵²Cf undergoes a spontaneous fission, with the axion decaying into e^+e^- . The bound is for m_{A0} =40 MeV. It improves to 2.5×10^{-5} for m_{A0} =200 MeV.
- ⁴ MINOWA 93 studied chain process, ¹³⁹Ce \rightarrow ¹³⁹La^{*} by electron capture and M1 transition of ¹³⁹La^{*} to the ground state. It does not assume decay modes of A^0 . The bound applies for $m_{A0} < 166$ keV.

 5 HICKS 92 bound is applicable for $\tau_{~\chi^{0}}~<$ 4 $\times\,10^{-11}$ sec.

- ⁶ The ASANUMA 90 limit is for the branching fraction of X^0 emission per ²⁴¹Am α decay and valid for $\tau_{X^0} < 3 \times 10^{-11}$ s.
- ⁷ The DEBOER 90 limit is for the branching ratio ⁸Be^{*} (18.15 MeV, 1⁺) \rightarrow ⁸Be A^0 , $A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for the mass range $m_{A0} = 4-15$ MeV.
- ⁸ The BINI 89 limit is for the branching fraction of ¹⁶O*(6.05 MeV, 0⁺) \rightarrow ¹⁶OX⁰, $X^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ for $m_X = 1.5$ -3.1 MeV. $\tau_{X^0} \lesssim 10^{-11}$ s is assumed. The spin-parity of X is restricted to 0⁺ or 1⁻.
- of X is restricted to 0⁺ or 1⁻. ⁹AVIGNONE 88 looked for the 1115 keV transition C^{*} \rightarrow Cu A^0 , either from $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ in-flight decay or from the secondary A^0 interactions by Compton and by Primakoff processes. Limits for axion parameters are obtained for $m_{A^0} < 1.1$ MeV.
- ¹⁰ DATAR 88 rule out light pseudoscalar particle emission through its decay $A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^$ in the mass range 1.02–2.5 MeV and lifetime range 10^{-13} – 10^{-8} s. The above limit is for $\tau = 5 \times 10^{-13}$ s and m = 1.7 MeV; see the paper for the τ -m dependence of the limit.
- ¹¹ The limit is for the branching fraction of ¹⁶O*(6.05 MeV, 0⁺) \rightarrow ¹⁶OX⁰, X⁰ \rightarrow e^+e^- against internal pair conversion for $m_{X^0} = 1.7$ MeV and $\tau_{X^0} < 10^{-11}$ s. Similar limits are obtained for $m_{X^0} = 1.3$ -3.2 MeV. The spin parity of X⁰ must be either 0⁺ or 1⁻. The limit at 1.7 MeV is translated into a limit for the X⁰-nucleon coupling constant: $g_{X^0NN}^2/4\pi < 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$.
- 12 The DOEHNER 88 limit is for $m_{A^0}=$ 1.7 MeV, $\tau(A^0)<10^{-10}$ s. Limits less than 10^{-4} are obtained for $m_{A^0}=$ 1.2–2.2 MeV.
- ¹³ SAVAGE 88 looked for A^{0} that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P = 2^+$ state in ¹⁴N, 17.64 MeV state $J^P = 1^+$ in ⁸Be, and the 18.15 MeV state $J^P = 1^+$ in ⁸Be. This experiment constrains the isovector coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0} = (1.1 \rightarrow 2.2)$ MeV and the isoscalar coupling of A^0 to hadrons, if $m_{A^0} = (1.1 \rightarrow 2.6)$ MeV. Both limits are valid only if $\tau(A^0) \lesssim 1 \times 10^{-11}$ s.
- $^{14}\,{\rm Limits}$ are for $\Gamma(A^0(1.8~{\rm MeV}))/\Gamma(\pi{\rm M1});$ i.e., for 1.8 MeV axion emission normalized to the rate for internal emission of e^+e^- pairs. Valid for $\tau_{A^0} < 2 \times 10^{-11}{\rm s}$. $^6{\rm Li}$ isovector decay data strongly disfavor PECCEI 86 model I, whereas the $^{10}{\rm B}$ and $^{14}{\rm N}$ isoscalar decay data strongly reject PECCEI 86 model II and III.
- ¹⁵ SAVAGE 86B looked for A^0 that decays into e^+e^- in the decay of the 9.17 MeV $J^P = 2^+$ state in ¹⁴N. Limit on the branching fraction is valid if $\tau_{A^0} \lesssim 1. \times 10^{-11}$ s for $m_{A^0} = (1.1-1.7)$ MeV. This experiment constrains the iso-vector coupling of A^0 to hadrons. ¹⁶ ANANEV 85 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% masses below 470 keV (Li^{*} decay) and below $2m_e$ for deuteron^{*} decay.
- ¹⁷ CAVAIGNAC 83 at Bugey reactor exclude axion at any m_{97} Nb*decay and axion with m_{A0} between 275 and 288 keV (deuteron* decay).
- ¹⁸ ALEKSEEV 82 with IBR-2 pulsed reactor exclude standard A^0 at CL = 95% mass-ranges $m_{\Delta 0}$ <400 keV (Li^{*} decay) and 330 keV < $m_{\Delta 0}$ <2.2 MeV. (deuteron* decay).
- ¹⁹LEHMANN 82 obtained $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ rate $< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$ /s (CL = 95%) excluding m_{A^0} between 100 and 1000 keV.
- ²⁰ ZEHNDER 82 used Gosgen 2.8GW light-water reactor to check A^0 production. No 2γ peak in Li^{*}, Nb^{*} decay (both single *p* transition) nor in *n* capture (combined with previous Ba^{*} negative result) rules out standard A^0 . Set limit m_{A^0} <60 keV for any A^0 .

²¹ZEHNDER 81 looked for Ba^{*} $\rightarrow A^0$ Ba transition with $A^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Obtained 2γ coincidence rate $< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$ /s (CL = 95%) excluding $m_{A^0} > 160$ keV (or 200 keV depending on Higgs mixing). However, see BARROSO 81.

A^0 (Axion) Limits from Its Electron Coupling

Limits are for $\tau(A^0 \rightarrow e^{-1})$	e ⁺ e ⁻).				
VALUE (s)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the follow	ving data	for averages, fits,	limits	, etc. •	• •
none $4 \times 10^{-16} - 4.5 \times 10^{-12}$	90	¹ BROSS	91	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$
		² GUO	90	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$
		³ BJORKEN	88	CALO	$A \xrightarrow{2\gamma} e^+ e^-$ or
		⁴ BLINOV	88	MD1	$ee eeA^0$ $(A^0 ee)$
none $1\times10^{-14}1\times10^{-10}$	90	⁵ RIORDAN	87	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$
none $1\times10^{-14}1\times10^{-11}$	90	⁶ BROWN	86	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$
none $6\times10^{-14}9\times10^{-11}$	95	⁷ DAVIER	86	BDMP	$e N \rightarrow e A^0 N$ $(A^0 \rightarrow e e)$
none $3\times10^{-13}1\times10^{-7}$	90	⁸ KONAKA	86	BDMP	$e \stackrel{\bullet}{N} \xrightarrow{\bullet} e \stackrel{\bullet}{A} \stackrel{\bullet}{N} (A^0 \xrightarrow{\bullet} e e)$

¹ The listed BROSS 91 limit is for $m_{A^0} = 1.14 \text{ MeV}$. B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$) = 1 assumed. Excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0} - m_{A^0}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 7 \text{ MeV}$ (see Fig. 5). Combining with electron g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- ruled out for $m_{A^0} < 4.8 \text{ MeV}$ (90% CL).

- ² GUO 90 use the same apparatus as BROWN 86 and improve the previous limit in the shorter lifetime region. Combined with g-2 constraint, axions coupling only to e^+e^- are ruled out for $m_{A0} < 2.7$ MeV (90% CL).
- ³ BJORKEN 88 reports limits on axion parameters (f_A , m_A , τ_A) for m_{A^0} < 200 MeV from electron beam-dump experiment with production via Primakoff photoproduction, bremsstrahlung from electrons, and resonant annihilation of positrons on atomic electrons.
- ⁴ BLINOV 88 assume zero spin, m = 1.8 MeV and lifetime $< 5 \times 10^{-12}$ s and find $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-) < 2 \text{ eV} (CL=90\%).$
- ⁵ Assumes $A^0 \gamma \gamma$ coupling is small and hence Primakoff production is small. Their figure 2 shows limits on axions for $m_{\Delta 0}$ < 15 MeV.
- ⁶ Uses electrons in hadronic showers from an incident 800 GeV proton beam. Limits for m_{A0} < 15 MeV are shown in their figure 3.
- $^7 m_{A^0} = 1.8$ MeV assumed. The excluded domain in the $\tau_{A^0} m_{A^0}$ plane extends up to $m_{A^0} \approx 14$ MeV, see their figure 4.
- ⁸ The limits are obtained from their figure 3. Also given is the limit on the $A^0 \gamma \gamma A^0 e^+ e^-$ coupling plane by assuming Primakoff production.

²² CALAPRICE 79 saw no axion emission from excited states of carbon. Sensitive to axion mass between 1 and 15 MeV.

Search for A^0 (Axion) Resonance in Bhabha Scattering

	The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0)[{ m B}(A^0 ightarrow e^+e^-)]^2.$								
VALU	$JE (10^{-3} \text{ eV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT			
••	• We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •			
<	1.3	97	¹ HALLIN	92	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.75 - 1.88 \text{ MeV}$			
none	e 0.0016–0.47	90	² HENDERSON	92C	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.5 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$			
<	2.0	90	³ WU	92	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.56 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$			
<	0.013	95	TSERTOS	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$			
none	e 0.19–3.3	95	⁴ WIDMANN	91	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$			
<	5	97	BAUER	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$			
none	e 0.09–1.5	95	⁵ JUDGE	90	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832$ MeV,			
<	1.9	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	elastic $m_{A0} = 1.82 \text{ MeV}$			
<(1	0–40)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{A0}^{A^{\circ}} = 1.51 - 1.65 \text{ MeV}$			
<(1-	-2.5)	97	⁶ TSERTOS	89	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0}^{A^*} = 1.80 - 1.86 \text{ MeV}$			
<	31	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.646 \text{ MeV}$			
<	94	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.726 \text{ MeV}$			
<	23	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$			
<	19	95	LORENZ	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.837 \text{ MeV}$			
<	3.8	97	⁷ TSERTOS	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$			
<25	500	90	⁸ VANKLINKEN ⁹ MAIER MILLS	88 87 87	CNTR CNTR CNTR	$m_{\star 0} = 1.8 \; { m MeV}$			
			¹⁰ VONWIMMER	. 87	CNTR	A ^v			

¹HALLIN 92 quote limits on lifetime, $8 \times 10^{-14} - 5 \times 10^{-13}$ sec depending on mass, assuming $B(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) = 100\%$. They say that TSERTOS 91 overstated their sensitivity by a factor of 3.

 2 HENDERSON 92C exclude axion with lifetime $\tau_{\ {\it A}0}{=}1.4\times10^{-12}$ – 4.0×10^{-10} s, assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$)=100%. HENDERSON 92C also exclude a vector boson with τ =1.4 × 10⁻¹² - 6.0 × 10⁻¹⁰ s.

³WU 92 quote limits on lifetime > 3.3×10^{-13} s assuming B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$)=100%. They say that TSERTOS 89 overestimate the limit by a factor of $\pi/2$. WU 92 also quote a bound for vector boson, $\tau > 8.2 \times 10^{-13}$ s.

⁴WIDMANN 91 bound applies exclusively to the case B($A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$)=1, since the detection efficiency varies substantially as $\Gamma(A^0)_{total}$ changes. See their Fig. 6.

⁵ JUDGE 90 excludes an elastic pseudoscalar e^+e^- resonance for $4.5 imes 10^{-13}$ s $< \tau(A^0)$ $<~7.5\times10^{-12}\,{\rm s}$ (95% CL) at $m_{\,{\rm \Delta}0}\,=\,1.832$ MeV. Comparable limits can be set for $m_{A0} = 1.776 - 1.856$ MeV.

⁶ See also TSERTOS 88B in references.
⁷ The upper limit listed in TSERTOS 88 is too large by a factor of 4. See TSERTOS 88B, footnote 3.

⁸ VANKLINKEN 88 looked for relatively long-lived resonance ($\tau = 10^{-10}$ – 10^{-12} s). The sensitivity is not sufficient to exclude such a narrow resonance.

⁹ MAIER 87 obtained limits $R\Gamma \lesssim 60 \text{ eV}$ (100 eV) at $m_{A^0} \simeq 1.64 \text{ MeV}$ (1.83 MeV) for energy resolution $\Delta E_{\rm cm} \simeq 3$ keV, where R is the resonance cross section normalized to that of Bhabha scattering, and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{e\,e}^2/\Gamma_{total}.$ For a discussion implying that $\Delta E_{\rm cm}~\simeq~10$ keV, see TSERTOS 89.

 10 VONWIMMERSPERG 87 measured Bhabha scattering for $E_{\rm cm}=$ 1.37–1.86 MeV and found a possible peak at 1.73 with $\int \sigma dE_{\rm cm}=$ 14.5 \pm 6.8 keV·b. For a comment and a reply, see VANKLINKEN 88B and VONWIMMERSPERG 88. Also see CONNELL 88.

Search for A° (Axion) Resonance in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ The limit is for $\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-)\cdot\Gamma(A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{total}$							
<i>VALUE</i> (10 ⁻³ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	-	TECN	COMMENT		
• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •							
< 0.18	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$		
< 1.5	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$		
<12	95	VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$		
< 6.6	95	¹ TRZASKA	91	CNTR	$m_{A0} = 1.8 \text{ MeV}$		
< 4.4	95	WIDMANN	91	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0}^{\prime} = 1.78 - 1.92 \text{ MeV}$		
		² FOX	89	CNTR	7		
< 0.11	95	³ MINOWA	89	CNTR	$m_{A^0}=1.062~{ m MeV}$		
<33	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.580 \text{ MeV}$		
<42	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{A^0} = 1.642 \text{ MeV}$		
<73	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.782 \text{ MeV}$		
<79	97	CONNELL	88	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 1.832 \text{ MeV}$		
¹ TRZASKA 91 a 1.6–2.0 MeV.	ilso give limi	ts in the range (6.	6–30)	× 10 ⁻³	eV (95%CL) for $m_{A^0} =$		

 2 FOX 89 measured positron annihilation with an electron in the source material into two photons and found no signal at 1.062 MeV (< 9×10^{-5} of two-photon annihilation at rest).

³Similar limits are obtained for $m_{A^0} = 1.045 - 1.085$ MeV.

Search for X^0 (Light Boson) Resonance in $e^+e^- ightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$

The limit is f	or $\Gamma(X^0 o \epsilon)$	$e^+ e^-) \cdot \Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow 0)$	$\gamma \gamma \gamma)/$	Г _{total} . С	C invariance forbids spin-0
X^0 coupling	to both e^+e^-	$^-$ and $\gamma\gamma\gamma$.			
VALUE (10^{-3} eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID)	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not us	se the followir	ng data for averag	es, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.2	95	¹ VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\chi 0} = 1.1 - 1.9 \text{ MeV}$
< 1.0	95	² VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\chi 0}^{\prime} = 1.1 \text{ MeV}$
< 2.5	95	² VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\chi 0}^{\prime} = 1.4 \text{ MeV}$
<120	95	² VO	94	CNTR	$m_{\chi 0} = 1.7 \text{ MeV}$
< 3.8	95	³ SKALSEY	92	CNTR	$m_{\chi 0}^{\chi} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}$
¹ VO 94 looked fo Fig. 2(b) in pap	or $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	γ decaying at rest	. The p	orecise lin	nits depend on m_{χ^0} . See
2 VO 94 looked for	or $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	γ decaving in flig	ht.		
³ SKALSEY 92 al	so give limits	4.3 for $m_{\chi 0} = 1$.	54 and	7.5 for 1	.64 MeV. The spin of X^0
is assumed to b	e one.	×°			

Light Boson (X^0) Search in Nonresonant e^+e^- Annihilation at Rest

Limits are for the ratio of $n\gamma + X^{U}$ production relative to $\gamma\gamma$.						
VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁶)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●	
< 4.2	90	¹ MITSUI	96	CNTR	γX^{0}	
< 4	68	² SKALSEY	95	CNTR	γX^{0}	
<40	68	³ SKALSEY	95	RVUE	γX^{0}	
< 0.18	90	⁴ ADACHI	94	CNTR	$\gamma \gamma X^{0}$, $X^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	
< 0.26	90	⁵ ADACHI	94	CNTR	$\gamma \gamma X^0$, $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	
< 0.33	90	⁶ ADACHI	94	CNTR	γX^0 , $X^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	

¹MITSUI 96 looked for a monochromatic γ . The bound applies for a vector X^0 with C=-1 and $m_{\chi^0} <200$ keV. They derive an upper bound on eeX^0 coupling and hence on the branching ratio B(o-Ps $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma X^0$)< 6.2×10^{-6} . The bounds weaken for heavier X^0 .

²SKALSEY 95 looked for a monochromatic γ without an accompanying γ in e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C = -1 and $m_{\chi^0} = 100-1000$ keV.

³SKALSEY 95 reinterpreted the bound on γA^0 decay of o-Ps by ASAI 91 where 3% of delayed annihilations are not from ${}^{3}S_{1}$ states. The bound applies for scalar and vector X^0 with C = -1 and $m_{\chi^0} = 0$ -800 keV.

⁴ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi 0} = 70-800$ keV.

⁵ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing-mass mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for m_{χ^0} <800 keV.

⁶ ADACHI 94 looked for a peak in the missing mass distribution in $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ channel, using $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production from e^+e^- annihilation. The bound applies for $m_{\chi^0} = 200-900$ keV.

Searches for Goldstone Bosons (X^0)

(Including	Horizontal	Bosons and Majorons.)	Limits are	e for branching ratios.
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not	use the fo	llowing data for average	s, fits, lim	iits, etc. ● ● ●

		¹ LATTANZI ² LESSA	13 07	COSM RVUE	Majoron dark matter decay Meson, ℓ decays to Majoron
		³ DIAZ	98	THEO	$H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0, A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 Y^0$ Majoron
		⁴ BOBRAKOV	91		Electron quasi-magnetic in-
$< 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$	95	⁵ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	$\tau \rightarrow \mu X^0$. Familon
$< 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$	95	⁵ ALBRECHT	90E	ARG	$ au ightarrow e X^0$. Familon
$< 6.4 \times 10^{-9}$	90	⁶ ATIYA	90	B787	$K^+ ightarrow \pi^+ X^0$. Familon
$< 1.1 imes 10^{-9}$	90	⁷ BOLTON	88	CBOX	$\mu^+ ightarrow e^+ \gamma X^0$. Familon
		⁸ CHANDA	88	ASTR	Sun, Majoron
		⁹ CHOI	88	ASTR	Majoron, SN 1987A
$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹⁰ РІССІОТТО	88	CNTR	$\pi ightarrow e u X^0$, Majoron

$< 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$	90	¹¹ GOLDMAN	87	CNTR	$\mu \rightarrow e\gamma X^0$. Familon
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹² BRYMAN	86 B	RVUE	$\mu \rightarrow \ e X^0$. Familon
$< 1 \times 10^{-10}$	90	¹³ EICHLER	86	SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familor
$< 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	¹⁴ JODIDIO	86	SPEC	$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$. Familor
		¹⁵ BALTRUSAIT.	85	MRK3	$ au ightarrow \ \ell X^0$. Familon
		¹⁶ DICUS	83	COSM	$\nu(hvy) \rightarrow \nu(light)X^0$

¹ LATTANZI 13 use WMAP 9 year data as well as X-ray and γ -ray observations to derive limits on decaying majoron dark matter. A limit on the decay width $\Gamma(X^0 \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu}) < 6.4 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 95% CL is found if majorons make up all of the dark matter. ² LESSA 07 consider decays of the form Meson $\rightarrow \ell \nu$ Majoron and $\ell \rightarrow \ell' \nu \overline{\nu}$ Majoron and use existing data to derive limits on the neutrino-Majoron Yukawa couplings $g_{\alpha\beta}$ $(\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau)$. Their best limits are $|g_{e\alpha}|^2 < 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$, $|g_{\mu\alpha}|^2 < 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$,

$$|g_{\tau,\alpha}|^2 < 5.5 \times 10^{-2}$$
 at CL = 90%.

- ³DIAZ 98 studied models of spontaneously broken lepton number with both singlet and triplet Higgses. They obtain limits on the parameter space from invisible decay $Z \rightarrow H^0 A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z H^0$ with $H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0$.
- $H^0 A^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0 X^0$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z H^0$ with $H^0 \rightarrow X^0 X^0$. ⁴BOBRAKOV 91 searched for anomalous magnetic interactions between polarized electrons expected from the exchange of a massless pseudoscalar boson (arion). A limit $x_e^2 < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ (95%CL) is found for the effective anomalous magneton parametrized as $x_e (G_F / 8\pi \sqrt{2})^{1/2}$.
- ⁵ ALBRECHT 90E limits are for B($\tau \rightarrow \ell X^0$)/B($\tau \rightarrow \ell \nu \overline{\nu}$). Valid for $m_{\chi^0} < 100$ MeV. The limits rise to 7.1% (for μ), 5.0% (for e) for $m_{\chi^0} = 500$ MeV.
- ⁶ ATIYA 90 limit is for $m_{\chi^0} = 0$. The limit $B < 1 \times 10^{-8}$ holds for $m_{\chi^0} < 95$ MeV. For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of χ^0 , see their Fig. 3.
- For the reduction of the limit due to finite lifetime of X^0 , see their Fig. 3. ⁷ BOLTON 88 limit corresponds to $F > 3.1 \times 10^9$ GeV, which does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling.
- ⁸CHANDA 88 find v_T < 10 MeV for the weak-triplet Higgs vacuum expectation value in Gelmini-Roncadelli model, and v_S > 5.8 × 10⁶ GeV in the singlet Majoron model.
- ⁹ CHOI 88 used the observed neutrino flux from the supernova SN 1987A to exclude the neutrino Majoron Yukawa coupling *h* in the range $2 \times 10^{-5} < h < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ for the interaction $L_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} i h \overline{\psi}_{\nu}^{c} \gamma_{5} \psi_{\nu} \phi_{X}$. For several families of neutrinos, the limit applies for $(\Sigma h_{i}^{4})^{1/4}$.
- ¹⁰ PICCIOTTO 88 limit applies when $m_{\chi^0} < 55$ MeV and $\tau_{\chi^0} > 2$ ns, and it decreases to 4×10^{-7} at $m_{\chi^0} = 125$ MeV, beyond which no limit is obtained.
- ¹¹ GOLDMAN 87 limit corresponds to $F > 2.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale from the Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F)\overline{\psi}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}$ $(a+b\gamma_5) \psi_e \partial_{\mu}\phi_{\chi 0}$ with $a^2+b^2 = 1$. This is not as sensitive as the limit $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV derived from the search for $\mu^+ \rightarrow$

 $e^+ X^0$ by JODIDIO 86, but does not depend on the chirality property of the coupling. ¹² Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow eX^0)/\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\overline{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{\chi^0} = 0$ -93.4, 98.1-103.5

- ¹² Limits are for $\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow eX^0)/\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\overline{\nu})$. Valid when $m_{\chi^0} = 0-93.4$, 98.1–103.5 MeV. ¹³ EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the
- ¹³ EICHLER 86 looked for $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ X^0$ followed by $X^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$. Limits on the branching fraction depend on the mass and and lifetime of X^0 . The quoted limits are valid when $\tau_{\chi 0} \lesssim 3. \times 10^{-10}$ s if the decays are kinematically allowed.
- ¹⁴ JODIDIO 86 corresponds to $F > 9.9 \times 10^9$ GeV for the family symmetry breaking scale with the parity-conserving effective Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = (1/F) \overline{\psi}_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{e} \partial^{\mu} \phi_{\chi 0}$.
- ¹⁵ BALTRUSAITIS 85 search for light Goldstone boson(X^0) of broken U(1). CL = 95% limits are B($\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ X^0$)/B($\tau \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu \nu$) <0.125 and B($\tau \rightarrow e^+ X^0$)/B($\tau \rightarrow e^+ \nu \nu$) <0.04. Inferred limit for the symmetry breaking scale is m > 3000 TeV.

¹⁶ The primordial heavy neutrino must decay into ν and familon, f_A , early so that the red-shifted decay products are below critical density, see their table. In addition, $K \rightarrow \pi f_A$ and $\mu \rightarrow e f_A$ are unseen. Combining these excludes $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 5×10^{-4} MeV (μ decay) and $m_{\text{heavy}\nu}$ between 5×10^{-5} and 0.1 MeV (K-decay).

Majoron Searches in Neutrinoless Double β Decay

Limits are for the half-life of neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay with a Majoron emission. No experiment currently claims any such evidence. Only the best or comparable limits for each isotope are reported. Also see the reviews ZUBER 98 and FAESSLER 98B.

$t_{1/2}$	2(10 ²¹ yr)	CL%	ISOTOPE	TRANSITION	METHOD	DOCUMENT ID	
>7	7200	90	128 _{Te}	data for average	CNTR	¹ BERNATOW	92
		00	136 _{Vo}		Kaml AND Zan		12
~ ~	16	90	130 Te	$0\nu\chi$			11
<	10	90	96 7	$0\nu\chi$			10
<	1.9	90	150 M.J	$2\nu 1\chi$			10
~	1.52	90	100	$0\nu 1\chi$	NEMO 2	6 ADNOLD	09
>	27	90	82c	$0\nu 1\chi$	NEMO-3	³ ARNOLD	06
>	15	90	⁰⁻ Se	$0\nu 1\chi$	NEMO-3	⁹ ARNOLD	06
>	14	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	$0\nu 1\chi$	NEMO-3		04
>	12	90	⁰² Se 130—	$0\nu 1\chi$	NEMO-3	⁹ ARNOLD	04
>	2.2	90	¹³⁰ Te	$0 u1\chi$	Cryog. det.	¹⁰ ARNABOLDI	03
>	0.9	90	¹⁵⁰ Te	$0 u 2\chi$	Cryog. det.	11 ARNABOLDI	03
>	8	90	¹¹⁰ Cd	$0 u1\chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	¹² DANEVICH	03
>	0.8	90	¹¹⁶ Cd	$0 u 2\chi$	CdWO ₄ scint.	¹³ DANEVICH	03
>	500	90	¹³⁶ Xe	$0 u\chi$	Liquid Xe Scint.	¹⁴ BERNABEI	0 2D
>	5.8	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	$0 u\chi$	ELEGANT V	¹⁵ FUSHIMI	02
>	0.32	90	¹⁰⁰ Mo	$0 u\chi$	Liq. Ar ioniz.	¹⁶ ASHITKOV	01
>	0.0035	90	¹⁶⁰ Gd	$0 u \chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	¹⁷ DANEVICH	01
>	0.013	90	160 Gd	$0\nu 2\chi$	¹⁶⁰ Gd ₂ SiO ₅ :Ce	¹⁸ DANEVICH	01
>	2.3	90	⁸² Se	$0\nu\chi$	NEMO 2	¹⁹ ARNOLD	00
>	0.31	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$0\nu\chi$	NEMO 2	²⁰ ARNOLD	00
>	0.63	90	⁸² Se	$0\nu 2\chi$	NEMO 2	²¹ ARNOLD	00
>	0.063	90	⁹⁶ Zr	$0\nu 2\chi$	NEMO 2	²¹ ARNOLD	00
>	0.16	90	100 _{Mo}	$0\nu 2\chi$	NEMO 2	²¹ ARNOLD	00
>	2.4	90	⁸² Se	$0\nu\chi$	NEMO 2	²² ARNOLD	98
>	7.2	90	136 _{Xe}	$0\nu 2\gamma$	TPC	²³ LUESCHER	98
>	7.91	90	⁷⁶ Ge		SPEC	²⁴ GUENTHER	96
>	17	90	76 _{Ge}		CNTR	BECK	93

 1 BERNATOWICZ 92 studied double- β decays of ^{128}Te and ^{130}Te , and found the ratio $\tau(^{130}\text{Te})/\tau(^{128}\text{Te})=(3.52\pm0.11)\times10^{-4}$ in agreement with relatively stable theoretical predictions. The bound is based on the requirement that Majoron-emitting decay cannot be larger than the observed double-beta rate of ^{128}Te of $(7.7\pm0.4)\times10^{24}$ year. We calculated 90% CL limit as $(7.7-1.28\times0.4{=}7.2)\times10^{24}$.

 2 GANDO 12 use the KamLAND-Zen detector to obtain the limit on the $0\nu\chi$ decay with Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\chi}<~0.8-1.6\times10^{-5}$ depending on the nuclear matrix elements used.

- 3 ARNOLD 11 use the NEMO-3 detector to obtain the reported limit on Majoron emission. It implies that the coupling constant $g_{\nu\nu} < 0.6-1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ depending on the nuclear matrix element used. Supercedes ARNABOLDI 03.
- ⁴ARGYRIADES 10 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector and ⁹⁶Zr to derive the reported limit. No limit for the Majoron electron coupling is given.
- ⁵ARGYRIADES 09 use ¹⁵⁰Nd data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 1.7-3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of nuclear matrix elements that include the effect of nuclear deformation. ⁶ARNOLD 06 use ¹⁰⁰Mo data taken with the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The reported limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < (0.4-1.8) \times 10^{-4}$ using a range of matrix element calculation.
- lations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.
- 7 NEMO-3 tracking calorimeter is used in ARNOLD 06 . Reported half-life limit for 82 Se corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu \, \chi}
 angle \, <$ (0.66–1.9)×10⁻⁴ using a range of matrix element calculations. Supersedes ARNOLD 04.
- 8 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{\nu\,\chi}\rangle~<$ $(0.5-0.9)10^{-4}$ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIV-ITARESE 03.
- 9 ARNOLD 04 use the NEMO-3 tracking detector. The limit corresponds to $\langle g_{
 u\,\chi}
 angle\,\,<\,$ (0.7-1.6)10⁻⁴ using the matrix elements of SIMKOVIC 99, STOICA 01 and CIV-ÎTARESÉ 03.
- 10 Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Array of TeO₂ crystals in high resolution cryogenic calorimeter. Some enriched in ¹³⁰Te. Derive $\langle g_{\nu\gamma} \rangle < 17$ -33 $\times 10^{-5}$ depending on matrix element.
- ¹¹Supersedes ALESSANDRELLO 00. Cryogenic calorimeter search.
- 12 Limit for the 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 116 Cd using enriched CdWO4 scintillators. $\langle g_{
 u\chi}
 angle <$ 4.6–8.1 imes 10 $^{-5}$ depending on the matrix element. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.
- ¹³Limit for the $0\nu 2\chi$ decay of ¹¹⁶Cd. Supersedes DANEVICH 00.
- 14 BERNABEI 02D obtain limit for 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using liquid Xe scintillation detector. They derive $\langle g_{\nu \, \chi}
 angle <$ 2.0–3.0 imes 10⁻⁵ with several nuclear matrix elements.
- 15 Replaces TANAKA 93. FUSHIMI 02 derive half-life limit for the 0 $\nu\chi$ decay by means of tracking calorimeter ELEGANT V. Considering various matrix element calculations, a range of limits for the Majoron-neutrino coupling is given: $\langle g_{\nu \chi} \rangle < (6.3-360) \times 10^{-5}$.
- 16 ASHITKOV 01 result for 0 $u\chi$ of 100 Mo is less stringent than ARNOLD 00.
- 17 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission of 160 Gd using Gd₂SiO₅:Ce crystal scintillators.
- 18 DANEVICH 01 obtain limit for the 0u2 χ decay with 2 Majoron emission of 160 Gd.
- 19 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the 0 $u\chi$ decay with Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2. Using 82 Se source: $\langle g_{\nu\,\chi}\rangle < 1.6\times 10^{-4}.$ Matrix element from GUENTHER 96. 20 Using 96 Zr source: $\langle g_{\nu\chi} \rangle < 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$. Matrix element from ARNOLD 99.
- 21 ARNOLD 00 reports limit for the 0 $u 2\chi$ decay with two Majoron emission derived from tracking calorimeter NEMO 2.
- 22 ARNOLD 98 determine the limit for 0 u_{χ} decay with Majoron emission of 82 Se using the NEMO-2 tracking detector. They derive $\left< g_{\nu_{\gamma}} \right> <$ 2.3–4.3 \times 10⁻⁴ with several nuclear matrix elements.
- 23 LUESCHER 98 report a limit for the 0u decay with Majoron emission of 136 Xe using Xe TPC. This result is more stringent than BARABASH 89. Using the matrix elements of ENGEL 88, they obtain a limit on $\langle g_{\nu\,\chi}\rangle$ of 2.0 $\times\,10^{-4}.$

 24 See Table 1 in GUENTHER 96 for limits on the Majoron coupling in different models.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) MASS LIMITS from Astrophysics and Cosmology

v ₁ lir	$v_1 = v_2$ is usually assumed (v_i = vacuum expectation values). For a review of these limits, see RAFFELT 91 and TURNER 90. In the comment lines below, D and K refer						
to	DFSZ and KSV	Z axion t	ype	es, discussed in t	he ab	ove min	ireview.
VALUE (e	V)	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • W	/e do not use the	following	g da	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
< 0.6	7	95	1	ARCHIDIACO	.13A	COSM	K, hot dark matter
none 0.7	$7-3 imes 10^{5}$		2	CADAMURO	11	COSM	D abundance
<105		90	3	DERBIN	11A	CNTR	D, solar axion
			4	ANDRIAMON	.10	CAST	K, solar axions
< 0.72	2	95	5	HANNESTAD	10	COSM	K, hot dark matter
			6	ANDRIAMON	.09	CAST	K, solar axions
<191		90	7	DERBIN	09A	CNTR	K, solar axions
<334		95	8	KEKEZ	09	HPGE	K, solar axions
< 1.02	2	95	9	HANNESTAD	08	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 1.2		95	10	HANNESTAD	07	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 0.42	2	95	11	MELCHIORRI	07A	COSM	K, hot dark matter
< 1.0	5	95	12	HANNESTAD	05A	COSM	K, hot dark matter
3	to 20		13	MOROI	98	COSM	K. hot dark matter
< 0.0	07		14	BORISOV	97	ASTR	D. neutron star
< 4			15	KACHELRIESS	97	ASTR	D. neutron star cooling
<(0.5-6	$) \times 10^{-3}$		16	KFII	97	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 0.0	18		17	RAFFFIT	95	ASTR	D red giant
< 0.0	10		18	ALTHERR	94	ASTR	D red giants white
< 0.0	10				51	/.5///	dwarfs
			19	CHANG	93	ASTR	K, SN 1987A
< 0.0	1			WANG	92	ASTR	D, white dwarf
< 0.0	3			WANG	92C	ASTR	D, C-O burning
none 3-	8		20	BERSHADY	91	ASTR	D, K,
			21				intergalactic light
< 10			21	KIM	91C	COSM	D, K, mass density of
							the universe, super-
			22	RAFFELT	01R	Δςτρ	$D K SN 1987\Delta$
/ 1	× 10 [−] 3		23	RESSELL	01	ASTR	K intergalactic light
nono 10	-3_{2}^{-10}				00	ASTR	
none 10	-5		24		90	ASTR	D K SN 1097A
< 0.0'	n		25		90 00p	ASTR	D, K, SN 1907A
< 0.0.	∠ 10−3		26		900	ASIR	D, red giant
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$		27	BURROWS	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
<(1.4-1	$(0) \times 10^{-4}$		28	ERICSON	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 3.6	× 10 +		20	MAYLE	89	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
< 12	2			CHANDA	88	ASTR	D, Sun
< 1	$\times 10^{-3}$		20	RAFFELT	88	ASTR	D,K, SN 1987A
			29	RAFFELT	88 B	ASTR	red giant
< 0.0	7		20	FRIEMAN	87	ASTR	D, red giant
< 0.7			30	RAFFELT	87	ASTR	K, red giant
< 2–5			21	TURNER	87	COSM	K, thermal production
< 0.0	1		31	DEARBORN	86	ASTR	D, red giant

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 18

<	0.06			RAFFELT	86	ASTR	D, red giant
<	0.7		32	RAFFELT	86	ASTR	K, red giant
<	0.03			RAFFELT	86 B	ASTR	D, white dwarf
<	1		33	KAPLAN	85	ASTR	K, red giant
< 0	.003–0	0.02		IWAMOTO	84	ASTR	D, K, neutron star
>	1	× 10 ⁻⁵		ABBOTT	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
>	1	$\times 10^{-5}$		DINE	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
<	0.04			ELLIS	83 B	ASTR	D, red giant
>	1	imes 10 ⁻⁵		PRESKILL	83	COSM	D,K, mass density of the universe
<	0.1			BARROSO	82	ASTR	D, red giant
<	1		34	FUKUGITA	82	ASTR	D, stellar cooling
<	0.07			FUKUGITA	8 2B	ASTR	D, red giant

 1 ARCHIDIACONO 13A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A. The limit is based on the CMB temperature power spectrum of the Planck data, the CMB polarization from the WMAP 9-yr data, the matter power spectrum from SDSS-DR7, and the local Hubble parameter measurement by the Carnegie Hubble program.

 $^2\,{\rm CADAMURO}$ 11 use the deuterium abundance to show that the m_{A^0} range 0.7 eV – 300 keV is excluded for axions, complementing HANNESTAD 10.

³DERBIN 11A look for solar axions produced by Compton and bremsstrahlung processes, in the resonant excitation of 169 Tm, constraining the axion-electron \times axion nucleon couplings.

⁴ANDRIAMONJE 10 search for solar axions produced from ⁷Li (478 keV) and $D(p,\gamma)^3$ He (5.5 MeV) nuclear transitions. They show limits on the axion-photon coupling for two reference values of the axion-nucleon coupling for $m_A < 100$ eV.

⁵ This is an update of HANNESTAD 08 including 7 years of WMAP data.

⁶ANDRIAMONJE 09 look for solar axions produced from the thermally excited 14.4 keV level of 57 Fe. They show limits on the axion-nucleon imes axion-photon coupling assuming $m_A < 0.03 \text{ eV}.$

⁷DERBIN 09A look for Primakoff-produced solar axions in the resonant excitation of 169 Tm, constraining the axion-photon imes axion-nucleon couplings.

 8 KEKEZ 09 look at axio-electric effect of solar axions in HPGe detectors. The one-loop axion-electron coupling for hadronic axions is used.

 9 This is an update of HANNESTAD 07 including 5 years of WMAP data.

 10 This is an update of HANNESTAD 05A with new cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years) and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). Lyman- α data are left out, in contrast to HANNESTAD 05A and MELCHIORRI 07A, because it is argued that systematic errors are large. It uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component.

 11 MELCHIORRI 07A is analogous to HANNESTAD 05A, with updated cosmological data, notably WMAP (3 years). Uses Bayesian statistics and marginalizes over a possible neutrino hot dark matter component. Leaving out Lyman-lpha data, a conservative limit is 1.4 eV.

 12 HANNESTAD 05A puts an upper limit on the mass of hadronic axion because in this mass range it would have been thermalized and contribute to the hot dark matter component of the universe. The limit is based on the CMB anisotropy from WMAP, SDSS large scale structure, Lyman α , and the prior Hubble parameter from HST Key Project. A χ^2 statistic is used. Neutrinos are assumed not to contribute to hot dark matter.

 13 MOROI 98 points out that a KSVZ axion of this mass range (see CHANG 93) can be a viable hot dark matter of Universe, as long as the model-dependent $g_{A\gamma}$ is accidentally small enough as originally emphasized by KAPLAN 85; see Fig. 1.

¹⁴ BORISOV 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-13}$ from the photoproduction of axions off of magnetic fields in the outer layers of neutron stars.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 19 Created: 8/21/2014 12:56

- ¹⁵ KACHELRIESS 97 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1 \times 10^{-10}$ from the production of axions in strongly magnetized neutron stars. The authors also quote a stronger limit, $g_{ae} < 9 \times 10^{-13}$ which is strongly dependent on the strength of the magnetic field in white dwarfs.
- 16 KEIL 97 uses new measurements of the axial-vector coupling strength of nucleons, as well as a reanalysis of many-body effects and pion-emission processes in the core of the neutron star, to update limits on the invisible-axion mass.
- $^{17}\,
 m RAFFELT$ 95 reexamined the constraints on axion emission from red giants due to the axion-electron coupling. They improve on DEARBORN 86 by taking into proper account degeneracy effects in the bremsstrahlung rate. The limit comes from requiring the red giant core mass at helium ignition not to exceed its standard value by more than 5% (0.025 solar masses).
- ¹⁸ ALTHERR 94 bound is on the axion-electron coupling $g_{ae} < 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$, from energy loss via axion emission.
- ¹⁹ CHANG 93 updates ENGEL 90 bound with the Kaplan-Manohar ambiguity in $z=m_u/m_d$ (see the Note on the Quark Masses in the Quark Particle Listings). It leaves the window $f_A = 3 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^6$ GeV open. The constraint from Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis is satisfied in this window as well.
- ²⁰ BERSHADY 91 searched for a line at wave length from 3100–8300 Å expected from 2γ decays of relic thermal axions in intergalactic light of three rich clusters of galaxies.
- 21 KIM 91C argues that the bound from the mass density of the universe will change drastically for the supersymmetric models due to the entropy production of saxion (scalar component in the axionic chiral multiplet) decay. Note that it is an upperbound rather than a lowerbound.
- ²² RAFFELT 91B argue that previous SN 1987A bounds must be relaxed due to corrections to nucleon bremsstrahlung processes.
- ²³ RESSELL 91 uses absence of any intracluster line emission to set limit. ²⁴ ENGEL 90 rule out $10^{-10} \lesssim g_{AN} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, which for a hadronic axion with EMC motivated axion-nucleon couplings corresponds to 2.5×10^{-3} eV $\lesssim m_{A^0} \lesssim 2.5 \times$ 10^4 eV. The constraint is loose in the middle of the range, i.e. for $g_{AN} \sim 10^{-6}$. ²⁵ RAFFELT 90D is a re-analysis of DEARBORN 86.

- 26 The region $m_{A0} \gtrsim 2$ eV is also allowed.
- 27 ERICSON 89 considered various nuclear corrections to axion emission in a supernova core, and found a reduction of the previous limit (MAYLE 88) by a large factor.
- 28 MAYLE 89 limit based on naive quark model couplings of axion to nucleons. Limit based on couplings motivated by EMC measurements is 2-4 times weaker. The limit from axion-electron coupling is weak: see HATSUDA 88B.
- ²⁹ RAFFELT 88B derives a limit for the energy generation rate by exotic processes in heliumburning stars $\epsilon < 100 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which gives a firmer basis for the axion limits based on red giant cooling.
- $^{30}\,{\rm RAFFELT}$ 87 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma}~<~1\times 10^{-10}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}.$
- ³¹ DEARBORN 86 also gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. ³² RAFFELT 86 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma} < 1.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ from red giants and $< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$
- $^{\rm GeV^{-1}}_{\rm 33\,KAPLAN~85~says}$ $m_{A^0}~<$ 23 eV is allowed for a special choice of model parameters.
- 34 FUKUGITA 82 gives a limit $g_{A\gamma}$ < 2.3×10^{-10} GeV $^{-1}$.

Search for Relic Invisible Axions

 $L_{\rm int} = - \frac{G_{A\gamma\gamma}}{4} \phi_A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = G_{A\gamma\gamma} \phi_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \text{ and } \rho_A \text{ is the axion energy density near the earth.}$ $VALUE \qquad CL\% \qquad DOCUMENT TO$ • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 20

		¹ BECK	13	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.11 \text{ meV}$
$< 3.5 imes 10^{-43}$		² HOSKINS	11	ADMX $m_{\Delta 0} = 3.3 - 3.69 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.9 \times 10^{-43}$	90	³ ASZTALOS	10	ADMX $m_{A0}^{7} = 3.34 - 3.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.9 imes 10^{-43}$	97.7	⁴ DUFFY	06	ADMX $m_{A0} = 1.98-2.17 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 5.5 imes 10^{-43}$	90	⁵ ASZTALOS	04	ADMX $m_{A0} = 1.9-3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
		⁶ KIM	98	THEO
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$		⁷ HAGMANN	90	CNTR $m_{A0} = (5.4-5.9)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 1.3 \times 10^{-42}$	95	⁸ WUENSCH	89	CNTR $m_{A^0} = (4.5-10.2)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 2 \times 10^{-41}$	95	⁸ WUENSCH	89	CNTR $m_{\Delta 0} = (11.3 - 16.3)10^{-6} \text{ eV}$

¹ BECK 13 argues that dark-matter axions passing through Earth may generate a small observable signal in resonant S/N/S Josephson junctions. A measurement by HOFF-MANN 04 [Physical Review **B70** 180503 (2004)] is interpreted in terms of subdominant dark matter axions with $m_{A^0} = 0.11$ meV.

- 2 HOSKINS 11 is analogous to DUFFY 06. See Fig. 4 for the mass-dependent limit in terms of the local density.
- ³ASZTALOS 10 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04 to search for halo axions. See their Fig. 5 for the m_{A^0} dependence of the limit.
- ⁴ DUFFY 06 used the upgraded detector of ASZTALOS 04, while assuming a smaller velocity dispersion than the isothermal model as in Eq. (8) of their paper. See Fig. 10 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- ⁵ ASZTALOS 04 looked for a conversion of halo axions to microwave photons in magnetic field. At 90% CL, the KSVZ axion cannot have a local halo density more than 0.45 GeV/cm^3 in the quoted mass range. See Fig. 7 of their paper on the axion mass dependence of the limit.
- ⁶ KIM 98 calculated the axion-to-photon couplings for various axion models and compared them to the HAGMANN 90 bounds. This analysis demonstrates a strong model dependence of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ and hence the bound from relic axion search.
- ⁷HAGMANN 90 experiment is based on the proposal of SIKIVIE 83.
- ⁸ WUENSCH 89 looks for condensed axions near the earth that could be converted to photons in the presence of an intense electromagnetic field via the Primakoff effect, following the proposal of SIKIVIE 83. The theoretical prediction with $[G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0}]^2 = 2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ MeV}^{-4}$ (the three generation DFSZ model) and $\rho_A = 300 \text{ MeV/cm}^3$ that makes up galactic halos gives $(G_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_{A^0})^2 \rho_A = 4 \times 10^{-44}$. Note that our definition of $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ is $(1/4\pi)$ smaller than that of WUENSCH 89.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Photon Coupling

Limits are for the axion-two-photon coupling $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ defined by $L = G_{A\gamma\gamma}\phi_A \mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}$. For scalars S^0 the limit is on the coupling constant in $L = G_{S\gamma\gamma}\phi_S(\mathbf{E}^2-\mathbf{B}^2)$.

VALUE (GeV $^{-1}$)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
$< 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹ ABRAMOWSK	I 13A	IACT	$m_{\Delta 0} = 15$ –60 neV
$<\!\!2.15 imes 10^{-9}$	95	² ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	$m_{\Delta 0}^{\prime} < 200 \text{ eV}$
$< 4.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	³ BETZ	13	LSW	$m_{\Delta 0} = 7.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$
$< 8 \times 10^{-11}$		⁴ FRIEDLAND	13	ASTR	Red giants
$>2 \times 10^{-11}$		⁵ MEYER	13	ASTR	$m_{\Delta 0} < 1 imes 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$
		⁶ CADAMURO	12	COSM	Axion-like particles
HTTP://PDG.LBL.G	OV	Page 21		Creat	ed: 8/21/2014 12:56

${<}2.5\ \times10^{-13}$	95	⁷ PAYEZ	12	ASTR	$m_{A0} < 4.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ eV}$
${<}2.3\ \times10^{-10}$	95	⁸ ARIK	11	CAST	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.39 - 0.64 \text{ eV}$
$< 6.5 \times 10^{-8}$	95	⁹ EHRET	10	ALPS	$m_{A^0}^{7} < 0.7 \text{ meV}$
$< 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	95	¹⁰ AHMED	09A	CDMS	$m_{\Delta 0}^{\prime} < 100 \text{ eV}$
$< 1.2 - 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$	LO 95	¹¹ ARIK	09	CAST	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.02 - 0.39 \text{ eV}$
		¹² CHOU	09		Chameleons
$< 7 \times 10^{-10}$		¹³ GONDOLO	09	ASTR	$m_{A^0} < \text{few keV}$
${<}1.3\ \times10^{-6}$	95	¹⁴ AFANASEV	08		$m_{S^0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$<3.5 imes 10^{-7}$	99.7	¹⁵ CHOU	08		$m_{A^0} < 0.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.1 imes 10^{-6}$	99.7	¹⁶ FOUCHE	08		$m_{\Delta 0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 5.6 - 13.4 imes 10^{-1}$	-10 95	¹⁷ INOUE	08		$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.84 - 1.00 \text{ eV}$
$< 5 \times 10^{-7}$		¹⁸ ZAVATTINI	08		$m_{A0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$< 8.8 \times 10^{-11}$	95	¹⁹ ANDRIAMON.	07	CAST	$m_{\Delta 0} < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
$< \! 1.25 imes 10^{-6}$	95	²⁰ ROBILLIARD	07		$m_{\Lambda 0} < 1 \text{ meV}$
$2-5 imes 10^{-6}$		²¹ ZAVATTINI	06		$m_{A0} = 1 - 1.5 \text{ meV}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-9}$	95	²² INOUE	02		$m_{A0} = 0.05 - 0.27 \text{ eV}$
$<\!\!2.78 imes 10^{-9}$	95	²³ MORALES	0 2B		$m_{A0} < 1 \text{ keV}$
$< 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	90	²⁴ BERNABEI	01 B		m_{A0}^{\prime} <100 eV
$< 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	²⁵ ASTIER	00 B	NOMD	$m_{\Delta 0}^{\prime}$ <40 eV
		²⁶ MASSO	00	THEO	induced γ coupling
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-9}$	95	²⁷ AVIGNONE	98	SLAX	$m_{\Delta 0} < 1 \mathrm{keV}$
$< 6.0 \times 10^{-10}$	95	²⁸ MORIYAMA	98		$m_{\Delta 0}^{\prime} < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
$<3.6 \times 10^{-7}$	95	²⁹ CAMERON	93		$m_{A0}^{2} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$
7		20			optical rotation
$< 6.7 \times 10^{-7}$	95	³⁰ CAMERON	93		$m_{A^0} < 10^{-3} \text{ eV},$
$<3.6 \times 10^{-9}$	00.7	31 I AZARUS	02		photon regeneration $m \sim < 0.03 \text{ eV}$
$< 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$	99.1 00 7	31 1 1 7 1 0 1 0	92 00		$^{\prime\prime\prime}A^0 = 0.03 \text{ ev}$
$< 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$	99.7	32 DUOCO	92		$m_{A^0} = 0.03 - 0.11 \text{ eV}$
<1.1 × 10 '	99	~- KUUSU	92		$m_{A^0} < 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$
$< 2.5 \times 10^{-0}$		33 SEMERTZIDIS	90		$m_{A^0} < 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}$

¹ ABRAMOWSKI 13A look for irregularities in the energy spectrum of the BL Lac object PKS 2155–304 measured by H.E.S.S. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field around the source. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.

² ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to AVIGNONE 98. See Fig. 6 for the limit.

³BETZ 13 performed a microwave-based light shining through the wall experiment. See their Fig. 13 for mass-dependent limits.

⁴ FRIEDLAND 13 derived the limit by considering blue-loop suppression of the evolution of red giants with 7–12 solar masses.

⁵ MEYER 13 attributed to axion-photon oscillations the observed excess of very high-energy γ -rays with respect to predictions based on extragalactic background light models. See their Fig.4 for mass-dependent lower limits for various magnetic field configurations.

⁶CADAMURO 12 derived cosmological limits on $G_{A\gamma\gamma}$ for axion-like particles. See their Fig. 1 for mass-dependent limits.

⁷ PAYEZ 12 derive limits from polarization measurements of quasar light (see their Fig. 3). The limits depend on assumed magnetic field strength in galaxy clusters. The limits depend on assumed magnetic field and electron density in the local galaxy supercluster.

- ⁸ ARIK 11 search for solar axions using ³He buffer gas in CAST, continuing from the ⁴He version of ARIK 09. See Fig. 2 for the exact mass-dependent limits.
- ⁹ALPS is a photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits on scalar and pseudoscalar bosons.
- 10 AHMED 09A is analogous to AVIGNONE 98.
- $^{11}\,\rm ARIK$ 09 is the $^{4}\rm He$ filling version of the CAST axion helioscope in analogy to INOUE 02 and INOUE 08. See their Fig. 7 for mass-dependent limits.
- $^{12}\,{\rm CHOU}$ 09 use the GammeV apparatus in the afterglow mode to search for chameleons, (pseudo)scalar bosons with a mass depending on the environment. For pseudoscalars they exclude at 3σ the range $2.6\times10^{-7}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}<~G_{A\gamma\gamma}<~4.2\times10^{-6}~{\rm GeV}^{-1}$ for vacuum m_{A^0} roughly below 6 meV for density scaling index exceeding 0.8.
- ¹³ GONDOLO 09 use the all-flavor measured solar neutrino flux to constrain solar interior temperature and thus energy losses.
- 14 LIPSS photon regeneration experiment, assuming scalar particle S^0 . See Fig. 4 for massdependent limits.
- ¹⁵ CHOU 08 perform a variable-baseline photon regeneration experiment. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06.
- ¹⁶ FOUCHE 08 is an update of ROBILLIARD 07. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent 17 limits.
- ¹⁷ INOUE 08 is an extension of INOUE 02 to larger axion masses, using the Tokyo axion helioscope. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- ¹⁸ZAVATTINI 08 is an upgrade of ZAVATTINI 06, see their Fig. 8 for mass-dependent limits. They now exclude the parameter range where ZAVATTINI 06 had seen a positive signature.
- ¹⁹ ANDRIAMONJE 07 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 9T superconducting magnet into X-rays. Supersedes ZIOUTAS 05.
- ²⁰ ROBILLIARD 07 perform a photon regeneration experiment with a pulsed laser and pulsed magnetic field. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits. Excludes the PVLAS result of ZAVATTINI 06 with a CL exceeding 99.9%.
- ²¹ ZAVATTINI 06 propagate a laser beam in a magnetic field and observe dichroism and birefringence effects that could be attributed to an axion-like particle. This result is now excluded by ROBILLIARD 07, ZAVATTINI 08, and CHOU 08.
- 22 INOUE 02 looked for Primakoff conversion of solar axions in 4T superconducting magnet into X ray.
- ²³ MORALES 02B looked for the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in Germanium detector.
- ²⁴ BERNABEI 01B looked for Primakoff coherent conversion of solar axions into photons via Bragg scattering in Nal crystal in DAMA dark matter detector.
- ²⁵ ASTIER 00B looked for production of axions from the interaction of high-energy photons with the horn magnetic field and their subsequent re-conversion to photons via the interaction with the NOMAD dipole magnetic field.
- 26 MASSO 00 studied limits on axion-proton coupling using the induced axion-photon coupling through the proton loop and CAMERON 93 bound on the axion-photon coupling using optical rotation. They obtained the bound $g_p^2/4\pi < 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ for the coupling

 $g_{p}\overline{p}\gamma_{5}p\phi_{A}$

- 27 AVIGNONE 98 result is based on the coherent conversion of solar axions to photons via the Primakoff effect in a single crystal germanium detector.
- 28 Based on the conversion of solar axions to X-rays in a strong laboratory magnetic field.

²⁹ Experiment based on proposal by MAIANI 86.

- $\frac{30}{30}$ Experiment based on proposal by VANBIBBER 87.
- 31 LAZARUS 92 experiment is based on proposal found in VANBIBBER 89.
- 32 RUOSO 92 experiment is based on the proposal by VANBIBBER 87.

```
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV
```

³³SEMERTZIDIS 90 experiment is based on the proposal of MAIANI 86. The limit is obtained by taking the noise amplitude as the upper limit. Limits extend to $m_{A^0} =$

 $4 imes 10^{-3}$ where ${\it G}_{{\it A}\,\gamma\gamma}~<~1 imes 10^{-4}~{
m GeV}^{-1}.$

Limit on Invisible A^0 (Axion) Electron Coupling

	The limit is for G	Aee $^{\partial}\mu^{\phi}$	PA	$\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}\gamma_5 e$ in GeV	⁻ , c	or equiva	alently, the dipole-dipole
	potential $\frac{G_{Aee}^2}{4\pi}$ (($\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)$	-3	$B(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) \; (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{n})$	n))/r ³	where <i>I</i>	n=r /r.
VALUE	(GeV^{-1})	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the	following	g d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
<5.3	$\times 10^{-8}$	90	1	ABE	13D	XMAS	Solar axions
<1.05	$5 imes 10^{-9}$	90	2	ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	$m_{A0}^{}=12.5~{ m keV}$
<2.53	3×10^{-8}	90	3	ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	Solar axion
			4	BARTH	13	CAST	Solar axions
< 1.4-	$-9.5 imes 10^{-4}$	90	5	DERBIN	13	CNTR	$m_{A0}^{}=0.11~\mathrm{MeV}$
<2.9	imes 10 ⁻⁵	68	6	HECKEL	13		$m_{A0}^{\prime} \leq 0.1 \ \mu \text{eV}$
<4.2	$\times 10^{-10}$	95	7	VIAUX	13A	ASTR	Low-mass red giants
<7	imes 10 ⁻¹⁰	95	8	CORSICO	12	ASTR	White dwarf cooling
<2.2	imes 10 ⁻⁷	90	9	DERBIN	12	CNTR	Solar axions
< 0.02	$2-1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	10	AALSETH	11	CNTR	$m_{\Delta 0} = 0.3$ –8 keV
<1.4	imes 10 ⁻⁹	90	11	AHMED	09A	CDMS	$m_{\Lambda 0} = 2.5 \text{ keV}$
<3	$\times 10^{-6}$		12	DAVOUDIASL	09	ASTR	Earth cooling
<5.3	imes 10 ⁻⁵	66	13	NI	94		Induced magnetism
<6.7	imes 10 ⁻⁵	66	13	CHUI	93		Induced magnetism
<3.6	imes 10 ⁻⁴	66	14	PAN	92		Torsion pendulum
<2.7	imes 10 ⁻⁵	95	13	BOBRAKOV	91		Induced magnetism
<1.9	$\times 10^{-3}$	66	15	WINELAND	91	NMR	-
<8.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	66	14	RITTER	90		Torsion pendulum
<6.6	imes 10 ⁻⁵	95	13	VOROBYOV	88		Induced magnetism
-							

¹ABE 13D is analogous to DERBIN 12, using the XMASS detector.

- 4 BARTH 13 search for solar axions produced by axion-electron coupling, and obtained the limit, ${\cal G}_{A\,e\,e}\cdot{\cal G}_{A\,\gamma\,\gamma}<~7.9\times10^{-20}~{\rm GeV}^{-2}$ at 95%CL.
- ⁵DERBIN 13 looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} A^{0}$ in a BGO detector through the axioelectric effect. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.
- 6 HECKEL 13 studied the influence of 2 or 4 stationary sources each containing 6.0×10^{24} polarized electrons, on a rotating torsion pendulum containing 9.8×10^{24} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

⁸ CORSICO 12 attributed the excessive cooling rate of the pulsating white dwarf R548 to emission of axions with $G_{Aee} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-10}$.

⁹ DERBIN 12 look for solar axions with the axio-electric effect in a Si(Li) detector. The solar production is based on Compton and bremsstrahlung processes.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

 $^{^2}$ ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to AALSETH 11. See their Fig. 10 for limits between 3 keV $< m_{A0} <$ 100 keV.

³ ARMENGAUD 13 is similar to DERBIN 12, and take account of axio-recombination and axio-deexcitation effects. See their Fig. 12 for mass-dependent limits.

⁷VIAUX 13A constrain axion emission using the observed brightness of the tip of the red-giant branch in the globular cluster M5.

 $\frac{10}{11}$ AALSETH 11 is analogous to AHMED 09A. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

¹¹ AHMED 09A assume keV-mass pseudoscalars are the local dark matter and constrain the axio-electric effect in the CDMS detector. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.

 12 DAVOUDIASL 09 use geophysical constraints on Earth cooling by axion emission.

- ¹³ These experiments measured induced magnetization of a bulk material by the spindependent potential generated from other bulk material with aligned electron spins, where the magnetic field is shielded with superconductor.
- ¹⁴ These experiments used a torsion pendulum to measure the potential between two bulk matter objects where the spins are polarized but without a net magnetic field in either of them.
- ¹⁵ WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine splitting using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Invisible A^0 (Axion) Limits from Nucleon Coupling

Limits are for the axion mass in eV.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
$< 2.50 imes 10^2$	95	¹ ALESSANDRIA	13	CNTR	Solar axion
$< 1.55 \times 10^{2}$	90	² ARMENGAUD	13	EDEL	Solar axion
$< 8.6 \times 10^{3}$	90	³ BELLI	12	CNTR	Solar axion
$< 1.41 \times 10^{2}$	90	⁴ BELLINI	12B	BORX	Solar axion
$< 1.45 \times 10^{2}$	95	⁵ DERBIN	11	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁶ BELLINI	08	CNTR	Solar axion
		⁷ ADELBERGER	07		Test of Newton's law

- ¹ ALESSANDRIA 13 used the CUORE experiment to look for 14.4 keV solar axions produced from the M1 transition of thermally excited ⁵⁷Fe nuclei in the solar core, using the axio-electric effect. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 4 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ² ARMENGAUD 13 is analogous to ALESSANDRIA 13. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Fig. 8 for the limit on product of axion couplings to electrons and nucleons.
- ³ BELLI 12 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of ⁷Li^{*} (478 keV) after the electron capture of ⁷Be, using the resonant excitation ⁷Li in the LiF crystal. The mass bound assumes $m_{\mu}/m_{d} = 0.55$, $m_{\mu}/m_{s} = 0.029$, and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element S = 0.4.
- ⁴ BELLINI 12B looked for 5.5 MeV solar axions produced in the $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} A^{0}$. The limit assumes the hadronic axion model. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- ⁵ DERBIN 11 looked for solar axions emitted by the M1 transition of thermally excited 57 Fe nuclei in the Sun, using their possible resonant capture on 57 Fe in the laboratory. The mass bound assumes $m_u/m_d = 0.56$ and the flavor-singlet axial vector matrix element $S = 3F D \simeq 0.5$.
- ⁶ BELLINI 08 consider solar axions emitted in the M1 transition of ⁷Li^{*} (478 keV) and look for a peak at 478 keV in the energy spectra of the Counting Test Facility (CTF), a Borexino prototype. For $m_{A^0} < 450$ keV they find mass-dependent limits on products of axion couplings to photons, electrons, and nucleons.
- ⁷ ADELBERGER 07 use precision tests of Newton's law to constrain a force contribution from the exchange of two pseudoscalars. See their Fig. 5 for limits on the pseudoscalar coupling to nucleons, relevant for m_{A0} below about 1 meV.

Axion Limits from *T*-violating Medium-Range Forces

The limit is for the coupling $g = g_p g_s$ in a *T*-violating potential between nucleons or nucleon and electron of the form $V = \frac{g\hbar^2}{8\pi m_p} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\hat{r}}) \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda r}\right) e^{-r/\lambda}$, where g_p and g_s are dimensionless scalar and pseudoscalar coupling constants and $\lambda = \hbar/(m_A c)$ is the range of the force.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not	t use the following data fo	or ave	erages, fi	ts, limits, etc. ● ● ●
	¹ BULATOWICZ	13	NMR	polarized 129 Xe and 131 Xe
	² CHU	13		polarized ³ He
	³ RAFFELT	12		stellar energy loss
	⁴ HOEDL	11		torsion pendulum
	⁵ PETUKHOV	10		polarized ³ He
	⁶ SEREBROV	10		ultracold neutrons
	⁷ IGNATOVICH	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
	⁸ SEREBROV	09	RVUE	ultracold neutrons
	⁹ BAESSLER	07		ultracold neutrons
	¹⁰ HECKEL	06		torsion pendulum
	¹¹ NI	99		paramagnetic Tb F3
	¹² POSPELOV	98	THEO	neutron EDM
	¹³ YOUDIN	96		
	¹⁴ RITTER	93		torsion pendulum
	¹⁵ VENEMA	92		nuclear spin-precession frequencies
	16 WINELAND	01	NMR	· · ·

- ¹ BULATOWICZ 13 looked for NMR frequency shifts in polarized ¹²⁹Xe and ¹³¹Xe when a zirconia rod is positioned near the NMR cell, and find $g < 1 \times 10^{-19}$ -1 $\times 10^{-24}$ for $\lambda = 0.01$ -1 cm. See their Fig. 4 for their limits.
- ² CHU 13 look for a shift of the spin precession frequency of polarized ³He in the presence of an unpolarized mass, in analogy to YOUDIN 96. See Fig. 3 for limits on g in the approximate $m_{\Delta 0}$ range 0.02–2 meV.
- ³ RAFFELT 12 show that the pseudoscalar couplings to electron and nucleon and the scalar coupling to nucleon are individually constrained by stellar energy-loss arguments and searches for anomalous monopole-monopole forces, together providing restrictive constraints on *g*. See their Figs. 2 and 3 for results.
- ⁴ HOEDL 11 use a novel torsion pendulum to study the force by the polarized electrons of an external magnet. In their Fig. 3 they show restrictive limits on g in the approximate $m_{\Delta 0}$ range 0.03–10 meV.
- ⁵ PETUKHOV 10 use spin relaxation of polarized ³He and find $g < 3 \times 10^{-23} \text{ (cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- ⁶SEREBROV 10 use spin precession of ultracold neutrons close to bulk matter and find $g < 2 \times 10^{-21} \text{ (cm}/\lambda)^2$ at 95% CL for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –1 cm.
- ⁷ IGNATOVICH 09 use data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons in material traps. They show λ -dependent limits in their Fig. 1.
- ⁸SEREBROV 09 uses data on depolarization of ultracold neutrons stored in material traps and finds $g < 2.96 \times 10^{-21} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for the force range $\lambda = 10^{-3}$ –1 cm and $g < 3.9 \times 10^{-22} (\text{cm}/\lambda)^2$ for $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ –10⁻³ cm, each time at 95% CL, significantly improving on BAESSLER 07.
- ⁹ BAESSLER 07 use the observation of quantum states of ultracold neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field to constrain g for an interaction range 1 μ m–a few mm. See their Fig. 3 for results.

- ¹⁰ HECKEL 06 studied the influence of unpolarized bulk matter, including the laboratory's surroundings or the Sun, on a torsion pendulum containing about 9×10^{22} polarized electrons. See their Fig. 4 for limits on g as a function of interaction range.
- 11 NI 99 searched for a T-violating medium-range force acting on paramagnetic Tb F_3 salt. See their Fig. 1 for the result.
- ¹² POSPELOV 98 studied the possible contribution of *T*-violating Medium-Range Force to the neutron electric dipole moment, which is possible when axion interactions violate *CP*. The size of the force among nucleons must be smaller than gravity by a factor of $2 \times 10^{-10} (1 \text{ cm}/\lambda_A)$, where $\lambda_A = \hbar/m_A c$.
- ¹³YOUDIN 96 compared the precession frequencies of atomic ¹⁹⁹Hg and Cs when a large mass is positioned near the cells, relative to an applied magnetic field. See Fig. 3 for their limits.
- ¹⁴ RITTER 93 studied the influence of bulk mass with polarized electrons on an unpolarized torsion pendulum, providing limits in the interaction range from 1 to 100 cm.
- ¹⁵ VENEMA 92 looked for an effect of Earth's gravity on nuclear spin-precession frequencies of ¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg atoms.
- ¹⁶ WINELAND 91 looked for an effect of bulk matter with aligned electron spins on atomic hyperfine resonances in stored ⁹Be⁺ ions using nuclear magnetic resonance.

Hidden Photons: Kinetic Mixing Parameter Limits

Hidden photons limits are listed for the first time, including only the most recent papers. Suggestions for previous important results are welcome. Limits are on the kinetic mixing parameter χ which is defined by the Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\chi}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{\gamma'}}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu},$$

where A_{μ} and A'_{μ} are the photon and hidden-photon fields with field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F'_{\mu\nu}$, respectively, and $m_{\gamma'}$ is the hidden-photon mass.

VALUE			<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do	not use	the following	g data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<3	$\times 10^{-}$	15		¹ AN	13 B	ASTR	$m_{\gamma^\prime}=2~{ m keV}$
<7	$\times 10^{-}$	14		² AN	13C	XE10	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{'}=100~{ m eV}$
<2.2	$\times 10^{-1}$	13		³ HORVAT	13	HPGE	$m_{\gamma'}^{'}=230~{ m eV}$
<8.06	6×10^{-1}	-5	95	⁴ INADA	13	LSW	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 0.04 \text{ eV} - 26 \text{ keV}$
<2	$\times 10^{-1}$	10	95	⁵ MIZUMOTO	13		$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{'}=1~{ m eV}$
<1.7	$\times 10^{-1}$	7		⁶ PARKER	13	LSW	$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{'}=$ 53 μ eV
< 5.32	2×10^{-1}	15		⁷ PARKER	13		$m_{\gamma^\prime}^{'}=53~\mu\mathrm{eV}$
<1	$\times 10^{-}$	15		⁸ REDONDO	13	ASTR	$m_{\gamma'}^{'} = 2 \text{ keV}$
<1	$\times 10^{-1}$	15		⁸ REDONDO	13	ASTR	$m_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{'}=2$ keV

¹ AN 13B examined the stellar production of hidden photons, correcting an important error of the production rate of the longitudinal mode which now dominates. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits based on solar energy loss.

 2 AN 13C use the solar flux of hidden photons to set a limit on the atomic ionization rate in the XENON10 experiment. They find $\chi~<~3\times10^{-12}~(m_{\gamma'}/1~{\rm eV})$ for $m_{\gamma'}<1~{\rm eV}$. See their Fig. 2 for mass-dependent limits.

³ HORVAT 13 look for hidden-photo-electric effect in HPGe detectors induced by solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 3 for mass-dependent limits.

⁴ INADA 13 search for hidden photons using an intense X-ray beamline at SPring-8. See their Fig. 4 for mass-dependent limits.

- ⁵ MIZUMOTO 13 look for solar hidden photons. See their Fig. 5 for mass-dependent limits.
 ⁶ PARKER 13 look for hidden photons using a cryogenic resonant microwave cavity. See
- ^o PARKER 13 look for hidden photons using a cryogenic resonant microwave cavity. See their Fig.5 for mass-dependent limits.
- ⁷ PARKER 13 derived a limit for the hidden photon CDM with a randomly oriented hidden photon field.
- ⁸ REDONDO 13 examined the solar emission of hidden photons including the enhancement factor for the longitudinal mode pointed out by AN 13B, and also updated stellar-energy loss arguments. See their Fig.3 for mass-dependent limits, including a review of the currently best limits from other arguments.

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Axions (A^0) and Other Very Light Bosons

ABE	13D	PL B724 46	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(XMASS Collab.)
ABRAMOWSKI	13A	PR D88 102003	A. Abramowski <i>et al.</i>	(H.E.S.S. Collab.)
ADLARSON	13	PL B726 187	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(WASA-at-COSY Collab.)
ALESSANDRIA	13	JCAP 1305 007	F. Alessandria <i>et al.</i>	CUORE Collab.)
AN	13B	PL B725 190	H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradle	r
AN	13C	PRL 111 041302	H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradle	r
ARCHIDIACO	13A	JCAP 1310 020	M. Archidiacono et al.	
ARMENGAUD	13	JCAP 1311 067	E. Armengaud <i>et al.</i>	(EDELWEISS-II Collab.)
BABUSCI	13B	PL B720 111	D. Babusci <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BARTH	13	JCAP 1305 010	K. Barth <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
BECK	13	PRL 111 231801	C. Beck	
BETZ	13	PR D88 075014	M. Betz <i>et al.</i>	(CROWS Collab.)
BULATOWICZ	13	PRL 111 102001	M. Bulatowicz <i>et al.</i>	
CHU	13	PR D87 011105	PH. Chu <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, IND, SJTU)
DERBIN	13	EPJ C73 2490	A. V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	
FRIEDLAND	13	PRL 110 061101	A. Friedland, M. Giannotti, M.	Wise
HECKEL	13	PRL 111 151802	B. R. Heckel <i>et al.</i>	
HORVAT	13	PL B721 220	R. Horvat <i>et al.</i>	
INADA	13	PL B722 301	T. Inada <i>et al.</i>	
LATTANZI	13	PR D88 063528	M. Lattanzi <i>et al.</i>	
MEYER	13	PR D87 035027	M. Meyer, D. Horns, M. Raue	
MIZUMOTO	13	JCAP 1307 013	I. Mizumoto <i>et al.</i>	
PARKER	13	PR D88 112004	S. Parker <i>et al.</i>	
REDONDO	13	JCAP 1308 034	J. Redondo, G. Raffelt	
VIAUX	13A	PRL 111 231301	N. Viaux <i>et al.</i>	
ARCHILLI	12	PL B706 251	F. Archilli <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE-2 Collab.)
BELLI	12	PL B/11 41	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA-KIEV)
BELLINI	12B	PR D85 092003	G. Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino Collab.)
CADAMURU	12	JCAP 1202 032	D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i>	
CORSICO	12	JCAP 1212 010	A.H. Corsico et al.	(LAPL, RGSUL, WASH+)
DERBIN	12	JEIPL 95 339 Translated from 7ETEP (A.V. Derdin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
GANDO	12	PR C86 021601	Δ Gando et al	(Kaml AND-Zen, Collab.)
GNINENKO	12A	PR D85 055027	S N Gninenko	(INRM)
GNINENKO	12R	PI B713 244	S N Gninenko	(INRM)
PAYEZ	12	ICAP 1207 041	A Pavez et al	(LIEG)
RAFFFIT	12	PR D86 015001	G Raffelt	(MPIM)
AALSETH	11	PRL 106 131301	C.E. Aalseth <i>et al.</i>	(CoGeNT Collab.)
ARIK	11	PRL 107 261302	M. Arik et al.	(CAST Collb.)
ARNOLD	11	PRL 107 062504	R. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)
CADAMURO	11	JCAP 1102 003	D. Cadamuro <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM. AARHUS)
DERBIN	11	PAN 74 596	A.V. Derbin et al.	(PNPI)
		Translated from YAF 74	620.	()
DERBIN	11A	PR D83 023505	A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
HOEDL	11	PRL 106 041801	S.A. Hoedl <i>et al.</i>	(WASH)
HOSKINS	11	PR D84 121302	J. Hoskins <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
ANDRIAMON	10	JCAP 1003 032	S. Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	(CAST Collab.)
ARGYRIADES	10	NP A847 168	J. Argyriades <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3 Collab.)
ASZTALOS	10	PRL 104 041301	S.J. Asztalos <i>et al.</i>	(ADMX Collab.)
EHRET	10	PL B689 149	K. Ehret <i>et al.</i>	(ALPS Collab.)
HANNESTAD	10	JCAP 1008 001	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>	
PETUKHOV	10	PRL 105 170401	A.K. Petukhov <i>et al.</i>	
SEREBROV	10	JEIPL 91 6	A. Serebrov <i>et al.</i>	
		translated from ZETEP 9	0.	

AHMED	09A	PRL 103 141802	Z.	Ahmed <i>et al.</i>	(CDMS	Collab.)
ANDRIAMON	09	JCAP 0912 002	S.	Andriamonje <i>et al.</i>	··· · •	
ARGYRIADES	09	PR C80 032501	J.	Argyriades <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3	Collab.)
ARIK	09	JCAP 0902 008	E.	Arik et al.	(CAST	Collab.)
	09	PRL 102 030402	А.: Ц	b. Chou <i>et al.</i>	(Gammev	Collab.)
DAVOUDIASL	09	PK D/9 095024 DI R678 181	п. ^ \	/ Dorbin of al		
	09A	PR D70 107301	P.	Condolo C Roffelt	(ПТАН	MPIM)
IGNATOVICH	09	FP1 C64 19	V I	(Ignatovich YN Pokotil	ovski	(IINR)
KEKEZ	09	PL B671 345	D.	Kekez <i>et al.</i>	01311	(51111)
SEREBROV	09	PL B680 423	A.	Serebrov		(PNPI)
AFANASEV	08	PRL 101 120401	Α.	Afanasev <i>et al.</i>		()
BELLINI	08	EPJ C54 61	G.	Bellini <i>et al.</i>	(Borexino	Collab.)
CHOU	08	PRL 100 080402	Α.5	5. Chou <i>et al.</i>	(GammeV	Collab.)
FOUCHE	08	PR D78 032013	Μ.	Fouche <i>et al.</i>		
HANNESTAD	08	JCAP 0804 019	S.	Hannestad <i>et al.</i>		
INOUE	08	PL B668 93	Υ.	Inoue <i>et al.</i>		C III I I
	08	PR D77 032006	E.	Zavattını <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS	Collab.)
ADELBERGER	07	PRL 98 131104	E.C	Adelberger <i>et al.</i>	(CAST	Callah)
RAESSI ED	07	DR D75 075006	э. с	Baasslor at al	(CAST	Collab.)
CHANG	07	PR D75 052004	э. Н I	M Chang et al		Collab)
HANNESTAD	07	ICAP 0708 015	ς	Hannestad et al		conab.)
IAIN	07	IP G34 129	PI	lain G Singh		
LESSA	07	PR D75 094001	A.I	P. Lessa, O.L.G. Peres		
MELCHIORRI	07A	PR D76 041303	Α.	Melchiorri, O. Mena, A. S	Slosar	
ROBILLIARD	07	PRL 99 190403	C.	Robilliard et al.		
ARNOLD	06	NP A765 483	R.	Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-3	Collab.)
DUFFY	06	PR D74 012006	L.E	D. Duffy <i>et al.</i>	,	,
HECKEL	06	PRL 97 021603	B.I	R. Heckel <i>et al.</i>		
ZAVATTINI	06	PRL 96 110406	Ε.	Zavattini <i>et al.</i>	(PVLAS	Collab.)
HANNESTAD	05A	JCAP 0507 002	S.	Hannestad, A. Mirizzi, G.	Raffelt	
ZIOUTAS	05	PRL 94 121301	K.	Zioutas <i>et al.</i>	(CAST	Collab.)
ADLER	04	PR D70 037102	S.	Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787	Collab.)
ANISIMOVSK	04	PRL 93 031801	۷.۷	Anisimovsky <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E949	Collab.)
ARNOLD	04	JEIPL 80 3/7 Translated from 7ETEP 8	К. 0 /	Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO3 Detector	Collab.)
ASZTALOS	04	PR D69 011101	5 4 5	Asztalos <i>et al</i>		
HOFFMANN	04	PR B70 180503	C.3	Hoffmann <i>et al</i>		
ARNABOLDI	03	PL B557 167	С.	Arnaboldi <i>et al.</i>		
CIVITARESE	03	NP A729 867	0.	Civitarese. J. Suhonen		
DANEVICH	03	PR C68 035501	F.A	A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>		
ADLER	02C	PL B537 211	S.	Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787	Collab.)
BADERT	02	PL B542 29	Α.	Badertscher et al.		
BERNABEI	02D	PL B546 23	R.	Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA	Collab.)
DERBIN	02	PAN 65 1302	A.\	/. Derbin <i>et al.</i>		
FUCIUM	00	Iranslated from YAF 65]	33	D. Fuchimi et el		
	02	PL D531 190 DI D526 19	n. V	Fushimi et al.	(ELEGANT V	Collab.)
MORALES	02 02B	ASD 16 325	Τ. Λ	Moralos et al	(COSME	Collab)
	020	PR D63 032004	ς.	Adler et al	(BNI E787	Collab.)
AMMAR	01B	PRI 87 271801	R.	Ammar et al		Collab.)
ASHITKOV	01	JETPL 74 529	V.I	D. Ashitkov <i>et al.</i>	(0220	condust)
	-	Translated from ZETFP 7	46	01.		
BERNABEI	01B	PL B515 6	R.	Bernabei <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA	Collab.)
DANEVICH	01	NP A694 375	F./	A. Danevich <i>et al.</i>		
DEBOER	01	JP G27 L29	F.\	V.N. de Boer <i>et al.</i>		
STOICA	01	NP A694 269	S.	Stoica, H.V. Klapdor-Klein	igrothous	
ALESSAND	00	PL B486 13	A.	Alessandrello <i>et al.</i>		
ARNOLD	00	NP A678 341	R.	Arnold et al.		
ASTIER	008	PL B479 371	Р.	Astier et al.	(NOMAD	Collab.)
MASSO	00	PR C02 045501 PP D61 011701	Г. <i>Р</i>	Masso		
	00	NP 4658 200	L. R	Arnold et al	(NEMO	Collab)
NI	99	PRI 82 2430	W	-T Ni et al		collab.)
SIMKOVIC	99	PR C60 055502	F.	Simkovic et al		
ALTEGOER	98	PL B428 197	J.	Altegoer <i>et al.</i>		
ARNOLD	98	NP A636 209	R.	Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(NEMO-2	Collab.)
AVIGNONE	98	PRL 81 5068	F.1	T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(Solar Axion Exp	eriment)
DIAZ	98	NP B527 44	M.	A. Diaz <i>et al.</i>	X F	,
FAESSLER	98B	JP G24 2139	Α.	Faessler, F. Simkovic		
KIM	98	PR D58 055006	J.E	. Kim		

Page 29

	00	DI D424 407		
	98	PL B434 407	R. Luescher <i>et al.</i>	
	98	PL B434 147	5. Morriyama <i>et al.</i>	
	90	PL D440 09 DD D59 007702	M. Dospolov	
7UBER	90	PRPI 305 295	K Zuber	
AHMAD	97	PRI 78 618	I Ahmad <i>et al</i>	(APEX Collab.)
BORISOV	97	IFTP 83 868	A V Borisov V Y Grishin	ia (MOSU)
DEBOER	97C	IP G23 1 85	FWN de Boer <i>et al</i>	
KACHELRIESS	97	PR D56 1313	M. Kachelriess, C. Wilke.	G. Wunner (BOCH)
KEIL	97	PR D56 2419	W. Keil <i>et al.</i>	
KITCHING	97	PRL 79 4079	P. Kitching <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
LEINBERGER	97	PL B394 16	U. Leinberger <i>et al.</i>	(ORANGE Collab.)
ADLER	96	PRL 76 1421	S. Adler <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
AMSLER	96B	ZPHY C70 219	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
GANZ	96	PL B389 4	R. Ganz et al.	(GSI, HEID, FRAN, JAGL+)
GUENTHER	96	PR D54 3641	M. Gunther <i>et al.</i>	(MPIH, SASSO)
KAMEL	96	PL B368 291	S. Kamel	(SHAMS)
MITSUI	96	EPL 33 111	T. Mitsui <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
YOUDIN	96	PRL 77 2170	A.N. Youdin <i>et al.</i>	(AMHT, WASH)
ALTMANN	95	ZPHY C68 221	M. Altmann <i>et al.</i>	(MUNT, LAPP, CPPM)
BASSOMPIE	95	PL B355 584	G. Bassompierre et al.	(LAPP, LCGT, LYON)
MAENO	95	PL B351 574	T. Maeno <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
RAFFELT	95	PR D51 1495	G. Raffelt, A. Weiss	(MPIM, MPIG)
SKALSEY	95	PR D51 6292	M. Skalsey, R.S. Conti	(MICH)
TSUNODA	95	EPL 30 273	T. Tsunoda <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
ADACHI	94	PR A49 3201	S. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TMU)
ALTHERR	94	ASP 2 175	T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard,	T. del Rio Gaztelurrutia
AMSLER	94B	PL B333 271	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ASAI	94	PL B323 90	S. Asai <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY)
MEIJERDREES	94	PR D49 4937	M.R. Drees <i>et al.</i>	(BRCO, OREG, TRIU)
NI	94	Physica B194 153	W.T. Ni <i>et al.</i>	(NTHU)
VO	94	PR C49 1551	D.T. Vo <i>et al.</i>	(ISU, LBL, LLNL, UCD)
ATIYA	93	PRL 70 2521	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
Also		PRL 71 305 (erratum)	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
ATTYA	93B	PR D48 R1	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
BASSOMPIE	93	EPL 22 239	G. Bassompierre <i>et al.</i>	(LAPP, TORI, LYON)
BECK	93	PRL 70 2853	M. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MPIH, KIAE, SASSO)
CAMERON	93	PR D47 3707	R.E. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL+)
CHANG	93	PL B316 51	S. Chang, K. Choi	
	93	PRL /1 324/	T.C.P. Chui, VV.T. INI	
MINOWA	93	PRL /1 4120	IVI. IVIInowa <i>et al.</i>	(IUKY)
	93	PR D48 2941	K.VV. Ng	(AST)
	93	PRL /U /UI	R.C. Ritter <i>et al.</i>	
	95	PR D40 3412	J. Tariaka, H. Ejiri	
	92	DDI 60 722	M S Ativo at al	(BNL, FNAL, FSI+)
	92	PPI 60 2341	T Bornatowicz at al	(DNL, LANL, TANL)
BLUEMLEN	92	ΙΜΡ Δ7 3835	I Blumlein et al	(WOSE, TATA)
	02	PR D45 3055	ΔI Hollin et al.	(DEILE, DODA, SINT+)
HENDERSON	92C	PRI 69 1733	S.D. Henderson et al	(YALE BNL)
HICKS	92	PL B276 423	K H Hicks D F Alburger	(OHIO BNL)
LAZARUS	92	PRI 69 2333	DM Lazarus et al	(BNI ROCH ENAL)
MELIERDREES	92	PRI 68 3845	R Meijer Drees et al	(SINDRUM Collab.)
PAN	92	MPL A7 1287	S.S. Pan, W.T. Ni, S.C. (Chen (NTHU)
RUOSO	92	ZPHY C56 505	G. Ruoso <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, BNL, FNAL, TRST)
SKALSEY	92	PRL 68 456	M. Skalsev. J.J. Kolata	(MICH. NDAM)
VENEMA	92	PRL 68 135	B.J. Venema <i>et al.</i>	
WANG	92	MPL A7 1497	J. Wang	(ILL)
WANG	92C	PL B291 97	J. Wang	(ILL)
WU	92	PRL 69 1729	X.Y. Wu et al.	(BNL, YALE, CÙNY)
AKOPYAN	91	PL B272 443	M.V. Akopyan <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)
ASAI	91	PRL 66 2440	S. Asai et al.	(ÎCEPP)
BERSHADY	91	PRL 66 1398	M.A. Bershady, M.T. Ress	sell, M.S. Turner (CHIC+)
BLUEMLEIN	91	ZPHY C51 341	J. Blumlein <i>et al.</i>	(BERL, BUDA, JINR+)
BOBRAKOV	91	JETPL 53 294	V.F. Bobrakov <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
55000	~ ~	Translated from ZETFP 5	3 283.	/
BROSS	91	PRL 67 2942	A.D. Bross <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, ILL)
KIM	91C	PRL 6/ 3465	J.E. Kim	(SEOUL)
KAFFELI	91	PKPL 198 1	G.G. Kattelt	(MPIM)
KAFFELI	91 91R	PKL 0/ 2005	G. Kattelt, D. Seckel	(MPIM, BART)
KESSELL	91	rк D44 3001	IVI. I. Kessell	(CHIC, FNAL)

Page 30

TRZASKA	91	PL B269 54	W.H. Trzaska <i>et al</i>	(TAMU)
TCEDTOC	01			
ISERIUS	91	PL B200 259	H. Isertos <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, GSI)
WALKER	91	APJ 376 51	T.P. Walker <i>et al.</i>	(HSCA, OSU, CHIC+)
WIDMANN	91	ZPHY A340 209	E. Widmann <i>et al.</i>	(STUT. GSI. STUTM)
WINELAND	01	PRI 67 1735	D Wineland et al	(NBSB)
	00	DL D046 070		
ALBRECHT	90E	PL B240 278	H. Albrecht et al.	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANTREASYAN	90C	PL B251 204	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ASANUMA	90	PL B237 588	T Asanuma et al	(TOKY)
ΛΤΙΥΛ	00	DRI 64 21	MS Ativo ot ol	(BNI E787 Collab.)
	90	DDL 65 1100	M.S. Aliya et al.	(DNL ETOT COND.)
ATIYA	90B	PRL 65 1188	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E787 Collab.)
BAUER	90	NIM B50 300	W. Bauer <i>et al.</i>	(STUT, VILL, GSI)
BURROWS	90	PR D42 3297	A Burrows MT Ressell MS	Turner (ARIZ+)
	00		$\Gamma M M$ de Beer I Jehmenn I	
DEBOEK	90	JF GIU LI	F.W.IN. de Doer, J. Lemmann, J.	Steyaert (LOUV)
ENGEL	90	PRL 65 960	J. Engel, D. Seckel, A.C. Hayes	(BART, LANL)
GNINENKO	90	PL B237 287	S.N. Gninenko <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)
GUO	90	PR D41 2924	R Guo et al (NIU	IANI ENAL CASE+
	00	DD D42 12021	C Harmann at al	
HAGINAININ	90	PR D42 1297	C. Hagmann et al.	(FLOR)
JUDGE	90	PRL 65 972	S.M. Judge <i>et al.</i>	(ILLG, GSI)
RAFFELT	90D	PR D41 1324	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
RITTER	90	PR D42 977	RC Ritter et al	` (ΠΛΔ)
	00		VIC Concentration of all	
SEIVIERIZIDIS	90	PRL 04 2988	r.K. Semertzidis <i>et al.</i>	(RUCH, BINL, FINAL+)
TSUCHIAKI	90	PL B236 81	M. Tsuchiaki <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP)
TURNER	90	PRPL 197 67	M.S. Turner	(FNAL)
RARARASH	80	PL B223 273	AS Barabash et al	(ITEP INRM)
DANADAJI	09	TE D223 273		
BINI	89	PL B221 99	M. Bini <i>et al.</i>	(FIRZ, CERN, AARH)
BURROWS	89	PR D39 1020	A. Burrows, M.S. Turner, R.P. B	rinkmann (ARIZ+)
Also		PRI 60 1797	M.S. Turner	(FNÀL FFI)
	00D	DDI 62 2620	EWN do Poor P von Dontzig	
DEBOER	090	FRE 02 2039	F.W.N. de Boer, R. Vall Dalitzig	
ERICSON	89	PL B219 507	I.E.O. Ericson, J.F. Mathiot	(CERN, IPN)
FAISSNER	89	ZPHY C44 557	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, PSI)
FOX	89	PR (39 288	LD Fox et al	È (ESU)
	00	DI D010 E1E	B. Mayle at a/	
	09	FL B219 515	R. Mayle et al. (LLL,	CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
Also		PL B203 188	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i> (LLL,	CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
MINOWA	89	PRL 62 1091	H. Minowa <i>et al.</i>	(ICEPP)
ORITO	89	PRI 63 597	S Orito et al	(ICEPP)
	00			
FLICKING	09	FRE 02 2030		
ISERIOS	89	PR D40 1397	H. Isertos <i>et al.</i>	(GSI, ILLG)
VANBIBBER	89	PR D39 2089	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, TAMU, LBL)
WUENSCH	89	PR D40 3153	WII Wuensch et al	(ROCH BNI ENAL)
Alee	05	DDI E0 920	S de Denfilie et el	
Also		PRL 59 839	5. de Panfilis <i>et al.</i>	(RUCH, BNL, FNAL)
AVIGNONE	88	PR D37 618	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, SCUC, ORNL+)
BJORKEN	88	PR D38 3375	J.D. Biorken <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, SLAC, VPI)
RI INOV	88	SINP 47 563	A F Blinov et al	
DLINOV	00	Translated from VAE 47		(10000)
DOLTON	00	Translated from TAF 47	009.	
BOLION	88	PR D38 2077	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 56 2461	R.D. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, STAN, CHIC+)
Also		PRL 57 3241	D. Grosnick <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC. LANL. STAN+)
CHANDA	88	PR D37 2714	R Chanda JE Nievos P.B. Pal	
CHANDA	00	TR D37 2714	K. Chanda, J.L. Mieves, L.D. Lai	
CHUI	88	PR D37 3225	K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(JHU)
CONNELL	88	PRL 60 2242	S.H. Connell <i>et al.</i>	(WITW)
DATAR	88	PR C37 250	V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i>	(IPN)
DEBOER	88	PRI 61 1274	FWN de Boer R van Dantzig	(ÀNIK)
Ales	00	$DDI = 62, 2644 \ (sum strong)$	EWN de Doer, R. van Dantzig	
Also		PRL 62 2644 (erratum)	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ANIK)
Also		PRL 62 2638	D.H. Perkins	(OXF)
Also		PRL 62 2639	F.W.N. de Boer, R. van Dantzig	(ÁNIK)
DEBOER	880	IP G14 131	FWN de Boer et al	άουν
	000			
DOEHNER	88	PR D38 2722	J. Donner <i>et al.</i>	(HEIDP, ANL, ILLG)
EL-NADI	88	PRL 61 1271	M. el Nadi, O.E. Badawy	(CAIR)
ENGEL	88	PR C37 731	J. Engel, P. Vogel, M.R. Zirnbau	er
FAISSNER	88	7PHY (37 231	H Faissner et al	(AACH3 RERI SINI)
	00D	DI B203 460	T Hatsuda M Vachimuma	
LODENT	00D	L D203 409		(NEK)
LOKENZ	88	PL B214 10	E. Lorenz <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, PSI)
MAYLE	88	PL B203 188	R. Mayle <i>et al.</i> (LLL,	CERN, MINN, FNAL+)
PICCIOTTO	88	PR D37 1131	C.E. Picciotto et al	(TRIU CNRC)
DAFEFIT	88	PPI 60 1702	C Paffelt D Sackal	
		DD D27 540		(UCD, LLL, UCOC)
RAFFELI	бод	FK U31 549	G.G. Karreit, D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)
SAVAGE	88	PR D37 1134	M.J. Savage, B.W. Filippone, L.V	V. Mitchell (CIT)
TSERTOS	88	PL B207 273	A. Tsertos <i>et al.</i>	(GSI. ILLGÍ
TSERTOS	88R	7PHY A331 103	A Tsertos et al	
	00	DI DOG 002	L von Klinkon et el	
VANKLINKEN	00	FL D203 223	J. van Kinken <i>et al.</i>	(GRUN, GSI)
VANKLINKEN	88B	PKL 60 2442	J. van Klinken	(GRON)

Page 31

VONWIMMER.	.88	PRL 60 2443	U. von Wimmersperg	(BNL)
VOROBYOV	88	PL B208 146	P.V. Vorobiev, Y.I. Gitarts	(ΝΟΛΟ)
DRUZHININ	87	7PHY C37 1	V P Druzhinin <i>et al</i>	(NOVO)
FRIEMAN	87	PR D36 2201	LA Frieman S Dimonoulos MS	Turner $(SLAC \perp)$
	07	DD D26 1542	T. Coldman at al	ANI CHIC STANI
	07	FR D30 1343 SIND 46 100	I. Goldman <i>et al.</i> (L	ANE, CHIC, STAN $+$) (IND)
KURENCHE	01	Translated from VAE 46	5.W. Korenchenko <i>el al.</i>	(JINK)
	07	TRANSIALED FOR TAF 40 3	DID. K. Majar at al	
	07	DD D26 707	A.D. Mille, L. Lour	
	07	PR D30 707	A.P. MIIIS, J. LEVY	(DELL)
RAFFELI	87	PR D36 2211	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(LLL, UCB)
RIORDAN	87	PRL 59 755	E.M. Riordan <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH, CIT+)
TURNER	87	PRL 59 2489	M.S. Turner	(FNAL, EFI)
VANBIBBER	87	PRL 59 759	K. van Bibber <i>et al.</i>	(LLL, CIT, MIT+)
VONWIMMER.	.87	PRL 59 266	U. von Wimmersperg <i>et al.</i>	(WITW)
BADIER	86	ZPHY C31 21	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(NA3 Collab.)
BROWN	86	PRL 57 2101	C.N. Brown et al. (FN/	AL, WASH, KYOT+)
BRYMAN	86B	PRL 57 2787	D.A. Bryman, E.T.H. Clifford	(TRIU)
DAVIER	86	PL B180 295	M. Davier, J. Jeanjean, H. Nguyen	Ngoc (LALO)
DEARBORN	86	PRL 56 26	D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm, G.	. Šteigman (LLL+)
EICHLER	86	PL B175 101	R.A. Eichler <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
HALLIN	86	PRI 57 2105	A I Hallin et al	(PRIN)
	86	PR D34 1967	Δ lodidio et al	(I BL NWES TRILL)
Also	00	PR D37 237 (erratum)	A lodidio et al	(I BI NWES TRIII)
	96	IETDI 44 146	S.N. Kotov ot al	
KLIOV	00	Translated from 7ETED A	J.N. RELOV <i>et al.</i>	(RIAL)
KOCH	96	NC 06A 192	4 114. UP Kach OW/P Schult	
KONAKA	00 96	DDI 57 650	A Konaka et al	(KVOT KEK)
	00	DL D175 250	A. Nollaka et al.	
	80	PL B1/5 359	L. Malani, R. Petronzio, E. Zavattin	II (CERN)
PECCEI	80	PL B1/2 435	R.D. Peccei, T.T. VVu, T. Yanagida	(DESY)
RAFFELI	86	PR D33 897	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
RAFFELT	86B	PL 166B 402	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
SAVAGE	86B	PRL 57 178	M.J. Savage <i>et al.</i>	(CIT)
AMALDI	85	PL 153B 444	U. Amaldi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
ANANEV	85	SJNP 41 585	V.D. Ananev et al.	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 41 9	912.	<i></i>
BALTRUSAIT	85	PRL 55 1842	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BERGSMA	85	PL 157B 458	F Bergsma et al	(CHADM Callah)
				(CHARIN Collab.)
KAPLAN	85	NP B260 215	D.B. Kaplan	(HARV)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO	85 84	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto	(UCSB, WUSL)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI	85 84 84	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i>	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT	85 84 84 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie	(HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI	85 84 84 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme	(HARW Collad.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC	85 84 84 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i>	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS	85 84 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.I. Teplitz	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, LIMD)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINF	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M Dine W Fischler 	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Fllis, K.A. Olive	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS EAISSNER	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1108	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Esisener <i>et al.</i>	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER EAISSNEP	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PP D28 1277	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(HARM Conad.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1700	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 160	 N. Ivamoto D.B. Kaplan N. Ivamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> ((UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN DDECKUL	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 127	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> (C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> Wirze F. Wilcock 	(ULANM COND.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PR D21 51 415	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek 	(ULANM CONAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKILL	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie	(ULANM COND.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum)	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie	(ULANM CONAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) (LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 1218 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591	 N. Ivamoto D.B. Kaplan N. Ivamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANM CONAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1600 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82	 N. Ivamoto D.B. Kaplan N. Ivamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 TETPL 36 116	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 	(ULAKWI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G.M. K. K.	(ULANM CONAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1777 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 113B 195	D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 6 94. Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, T	(HARW Cond.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 1218 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 116B 247	 N. Ivamoto D.B. Kaplan N. Ivamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> G.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 6 94. Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, TA. Barroso, G.C. Branco 	(UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 6 94. Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, TA. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) (
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1600 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Freskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, TA. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1600 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, TA. Asano <i>et al.</i> C. Edwards <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G. Hoarroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł 	(ULAKWI CUIAL) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1777 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 26 1840	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G.Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> KEK, T.A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosl M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosl 	(ULAKWI CUIAL) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA EHMANN	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 1218 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 115B 270	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 6 94. Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> KEK, T.A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł P. Lehmann <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANNI CUIAL) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (AACH3) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK) himura (KEK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 160 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 119B 323	 N. Jorgania et al. D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki et al. L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac et al. D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner et al. H. Faissner et al. J.S. Frank et al. J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva et al. 1007. G.D. Alekseev et al. 6 94. Y. Asano et al. KEK, T.A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar et al. C. Edwards et al. W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł 	(ULAKWI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK) himura (KEK) (SACL) (MPIM)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEL FUKUGITA FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER	85 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1600 PL 120B 127 PR D28 1600 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 115B 270 PL 119B 323 PL 110B 419	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Freskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> KEK, T. A. Barroso, G.C. Branco V.M. Datar <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł P. Lehmann <i>et al.</i> G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky A. Zehnder, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Vui 	(ULAKWI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (BHAB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK) (MPIM) illeumier (ETH+)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO	85 84 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 160 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 119B 323 PL 119B 323 PL 10B 419 PL 107B 159	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki et al. L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac et al. D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner et al. H. Faissner et al. J.S. Frank et al. Q.M. Hoffman et al. J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie P. Sikivie P. Alekseeva et al. 1007. G.D. Alekseev et al. 6 94. Y. Asano et al. C. Edwards et al. W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Asano et al. (KEK, T 	(ULAKWI CUIAL) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH) (AACH) (AACH3) LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (ETH) himura (KEK) himura (KEK) (MPIM) illeumier (ETH+) TOKY, INUS, OSAK)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FAISSNER FANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE Also ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO BARROSO	 85 84 83 82 81 	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 123B 349 PL 121B 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1777 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 119B 323 PL 110B 419 PL 107B 159 PL 106B 91	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G. Edwards <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Fahren, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Vui Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, T. A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhvav 	(ULAKWI CUIAL) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH3) (CERN) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (SIN)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO FTSCHER FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO BARROSO FAISSNER	 85 84 83 82 81 81 	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 1218 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1198 PR D28 1777 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PR D28 160 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PR D28 160 PR D28 160 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PR D28 1790 PR D28 160 PL 107B 159 PL 106B 91 ZPHY C10 95	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J. S. Frank <i>et al.</i> (C.M. Hoffman <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> 6 94. Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosl M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosl P. Lehmann <i>et al.</i> G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhyay H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH3) (LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK) himura (KEK) (MPIM) illeumier (ETH+) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (SIN) (AACH3)
KAPLAN IWAMOTO YAMAZAKI ABBOTT CARBONI CAVAIGNAC DICUS DINE ELLIS FAISSNER FAISSNER FRANK HOFFMAN PRESKILL SIKIVIE AISO ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ALEKSEEV ASANO BARROSO DATAR EDWARDS FETSCHER FUKUGITA LEHMANN RAFFELT ZEHNDER ASANO BARROSO FAISSNER FAISSNER	 85 84 83 82 81 81 	NP B260 215 PRL 53 1198 PRL 52 1089 PL 120B 133 PL 1218 193 PR D28 1778 PL 120B 137 NP B223 252 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1787 PR D28 1790 PR D28 660 PL 120B 127 PRL 51 1415 PRL 52 695 (erratum) JETP 55 591 Translated from ZETF 82 JETPL 36 116 Translated from ZETFP 3 PL 113B 195 PL 116B 247 PL 114B 63 PRL 48 903 JP G8 L147 PRL 48 1522 PR D26 1840 PL 119B 323 PL 110B 419 PL 107B 159 PL 106B 91 ZPHY C10 95 PL 103B 234	 D.B. Kaplan N. Iwamoto T. Yamazaki <i>et al.</i> L.F. Abbott, P. Sikivie G. Carboni, W. Dahme J.F. Cavaignac <i>et al.</i> D.A. Dicus, V.L. Teplitz M. Dine, W. Fischler J. Ellis, K.A. Olive H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i> J. Preskill, M.B. Wise, F. Wilczek P. Sikivie P. Sikivie E.A. Alekseeva <i>et al.</i> 1007. G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> G.D. Alekseev <i>et al.</i> Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> W. Fetscher M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł M. Fukugita, S. Watamura, M. Yosł P. Lehmann <i>et al.</i> G. Raffelt, L. Stodolsky A. Zehnder, K. Gabathuler, J.L. Vui Y. Asano <i>et al.</i> (KEK, T A. Barroso, N.C. Mukhopadhyay H. Faissner <i>et al.</i> 	(ULANNI CUIAD.) (HARV) (UCSB, WUSL) (INUS, KEK) (BRAN, FLOR) (CERN, MUNI) (ISNG, LAPP) (TEXA, UMD) (IAS, PENN) (CERN) (AACH3) (AACH3) (LANL, YALE, LBL+) (LANL, ARZS) (HARV, UCSBT) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (FLOR) (KIAE) (MOSU, JINR) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (LISB) (Crystal Ball Collab.) (ETH) himura (KEK) himura (KEK) (MPIM) illeumier (ETH+) TOKY, INUS, OSAK) (SIN) (AACH3) (AACH3)

Page 32

VUILLEUMIER	81	PL 101B 341	J.L. Vuilleumier <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, MUNI)
ZEHNDER	81	PL 104B 494	A. Zehnder	(ETH)
FAISSNER	80	PL 96B 201	H. Faissner <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3)
JACQUES	80	PR D21 1206	P.F. Jacques <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, STEV, COLU)
SOUKAS	80	PRL 44 564	A. Soukas et al. (BNL,	HARV, ORNL, PENN)
BECHIS	79	PRL 42 1511	D.J. Bechis <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, COLU, AFRR)
CALAPRICE	79	PR D20 2708	F.P. Calaprice <i>et al.</i>) (PRIN)
COTEUS	79	PRL 42 1438	P. Coteus <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, BNL)
DISHAW	79	PL 85B 142	J.P. Dishaw <i>et al.</i>	SLAC, CIT)
ZHITNITSKII	79	SJNP 29 517	A.R. Zhitnitsky, Y.I. Skovpen	(NOVO)
		Translated from YAF 2	29 1001.	()
ALIBRAN	78	PL 74B 134	P. Alibran <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
ASRATYAN	78B	PL 79B 497	A.E. Asratyan <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, SERP)
BELLOTTI	78	PL 76B 223	E. Bellotti, E. Fiorini, L. Zanotti	` (MILA)
BOSETTI	78B	PL 74B 143	P.C. Bosetti <i>et al.</i>	(BEBC Collab.)
DICUS	78C	PR D18 1829	D.A. Dicus <i>et al.</i>	(TEXÀ, VPI, STAN)
DONNELLY	78	PR D18 1607	T.W. Donnelly <i>et al.</i>) (STAN)
Also		PRL 37 315	F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel) (UCI)
Also		PRL 33 179	H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)
HANSL	78D	PL 74B 139	T. Hansl <i>et al.</i>	(CDHS Collab.)
MICELMAC	78	LNC 21 441	G.V. Mitselmakher, B. Pontecorvo) (JINR)
MIKAELIAN	78	PR D18 3605	K.O. Mikaelian	(FNAL, NWES)
SATO	78	PTP 60 1942	K. Sato	` (KYOT)
VYSOTSKII	78	JETPL 27 502	M.I. Vysotsky <i>et al.</i>	(ASCI)
		Translated from ZETF	P 27 533.	()
YANG	78	PRL 41 523	T.C. Yang	(MASA)
PECCEI	77	PR D16 1791	R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)
Also		PRL 38 1440	R.D. Peccei, H.R. Quinn	(STAN, SLAC)
REINES	76	PRL 37 315	F. Reines, H.S. Gurr, H.W. Sobel) (UCI)
GURR	74	PRL 33 179	H.S. Gurr, F. Reines, H.W. Sobel	(UCI)
ANAND	53	PRSL A22 183	B.M. Anand	、

Citation: K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C38, 090001 (2014) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

- OTHER RELATED PAPERS -

SREDNICKI	85	NP B260 689	M. Srednicki	(UCSB)
BARDEEN	78	PL 74B 229	W.A. Bardeen, SH.H. Tye	(FNAL)