$K_0^*(1430)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

See our minireview in the 1994 edition and in this edition under the $f_0(500)$.

K₀^{*}(1430) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1425 ± 50 OUR E	ESTIMA	TE				
ullet $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
1427 \pm 4 \pm 13		¹ BUGG	10	RVUE		S-matrix pole
$1466.6 \pm \ 0.7 \pm \ 3.4$	141k	² BONVICINI	08A	CLEO		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
\sim 1412		³ LINK	07	FOCS	0	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$
$1461.0\pm~4.0\pm~2.1$	54k	⁴ LINK	07 B	FOCS		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
1406 ±29		⁵ BUGG	06	RVUE		
1435 \pm 6		⁶ ZHOU	06	RVUE		$Kp \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}n$
1455 ± 20 ± 15		ABLIKIM	0 5Q	BES2		$\psi(2S) ightarrow$
		_				$\gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
$1456 ~\pm~ 8$		⁷ ZHENG	04	RVUE		$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
\sim 1419		⁸ BUGG	03	RVUE		$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1440		⁹ LI	03	RVUE		$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
1459 \pm 9	15k	¹⁰ AITALA	02	E791		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 1440		¹¹ JAMIN	00	RVUE		$K p \rightarrow K p$
$1436~\pm~8$		¹² BARBERIS	98E	OMEG		450 $pp \rightarrow$
						p _f p _s K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁺ π ⁻
$1415 \pm 25 $		⁸ ANISOVICH	97 C	RVUE		$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1450		¹³ TORNQVIST	96	RVUE		$\pi\pi ightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$, $K\pi$
1412 \pm 6		¹⁴ ASTON	88	LASS	0	$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1430		BAUBILLIER	84 B	HBC	_	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
~ 1425	15	^{9,16} ESTABROOKS	578	ASPK		13 $K^{\pm} p \rightarrow$
						$K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}(n,\Delta)$
~ 1450.0		MARTIN	78	SPEC		10 $K^{\pm} p \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi p$
						~

¹S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds. ² From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ .

- ³ From a non-parametric analysis.
- ⁴ A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

⁵S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an *s*-dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold.

⁶S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$, $K_0^*(1950)$.

⁷ Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$.

⁸ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

⁹Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88.

¹⁰ Assuming a low-mass scalar $K\pi$ resonance, $\kappa(800)$.

 11 T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88.

 $^{12}J^P$ not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$.

¹³T-matrix pole.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

 $^{14}\,\text{Uses}$ a model for the background, without this background they get a mass 1340 MeV, where the phase shift passes 90° .

¹⁵ Mass defined by pole position.

¹⁶ From elastic $K\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

К*(1430) WIDTH						
VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
270 ±80 OUR ESTIMATE						
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
$270 \hspace{0.1in} \pm 10 \hspace{0.1in} \pm 40$		¹⁷ BUGG	10	RVUE		S-matrix pole
$174.2 \pm 1.9 \pm 3.2$	141k	¹⁸ BONVICINI	08A	CLEO		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 500		¹⁹ LINK	07	FOCS	0	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$
$177.0\pm \ 8.0\pm \ 3.4$	54k	²⁰ LINK	07 B	FOCS		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
350 ± 40		²¹ BUGG	06	RVUE		
288 ±22		²² ZHOU	06	RVUE		$Kp \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}n$
$270 \pm 45 \ +30 \ -35$		ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S) \rightarrow \psi(2S)$
217 + 31		23 THENG	04	RVUE		$\gamma \pi + \pi K + K$ $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ p$
~ 316		²⁴ BUGG	03	RVUF		$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 350		25 _{LI}	03	RVUE		$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
175 + 17	15k	²⁶ AITALA	02	E791		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 300		²⁷ JAMIN	00	RVUE		$Kp \rightarrow Kp$
196 ± 45		²⁸ BARBERIS	98E	OMEG		450 $pp \rightarrow$
						$p_{f} p_{s} K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
330 ± 50		²⁴ ANISOVICH	97 C	RVUE		$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
\sim 320		²⁹ TORNQVIST	96	RVUE		$\pi\pi ightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$, $K\pi$
294 ± 23		ASTON	88	LASS	0	$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 200		BAUBILLIER	84 B	HBC	_	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \overline{K}^0 \pi^- p$
200 to 300		³⁰ ESTABROOKS	5 78	ASPK		13 $K^{\pm} p \rightarrow$
						$K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}(n,\Delta)$

¹⁷S-Matrix pole. Supersedes BUGG 06. Combined analysis of ASTON 88, ABLIKIM 06C, AITALA 06, and LINK 09 using an s-dependent width with couplings to $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$, and the Adler zero near thresholds. ¹⁸ From the isobar model with a complex pole for the κ .

¹⁹ From a non-parametric analysis.

²⁰ A Breit-Wigner mass and width.

²¹S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an s-dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold.

²²S-matrix pole. Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$, $K_0^*(1950)$.

²³ Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$.

²⁴ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

²⁵ Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88.

²⁶ Assuming a low-mass scalar $K\pi$ resonance, $\kappa(800)$.

²⁷ T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88.

 $^{28} J^P$ not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$.

²⁹ T-matrix pole.

³⁰ From elastic $K\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

K^{*}₀(1430) DECAY MODES

Mode	9	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)					
Γ ₁ <i>Κ</i> π		(93±10) %					
K [*] ₀ (1430) BRANCHING RATIOS							
$\Gamma(\kappa \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$							
0.93±0.04±	≘0.09	ASTON	88 LASS 0	$11 \ K^- p \rightarrow \ K^- \pi^+ n$			
K ₀ (1430) REFERENCES							
BUGG LINK BONVICINI LINK ABLIKIM AITALA Also BUGG ZHOU ABLIKIM ZHENG BUGG LI AITALA JAMIN BARBERIS ANISOVICH TORNQVIST ASTON BAUBILLIER ESTABROOKS MARTIN	10 09 08A 07 07B 06C 06 06 05Q 04 03 03 02 00 98E 97C 96 88 84B 78 78	PR D81 014002 PL B681 14 PR D78 052001 PL B648 156 PL B653 1 PL B633 681 PR D73 032004 PR D74 059901 (errat.) PL B632 471 NP A775 212 PR D72 092002 NP A733 235 PL B572 1 PR D67 034025 PRL 89 121801 NP B587 331 PL B436 204 PL B413 137 PRL 76 1575 NP B296 493 ZPHY C26 37 NP B133 490 NP B134 392	 D.V. Bugg J.M. Link et al. G. Bonvicini et al. J.M. Link et al. J.M. Link et al. M. Ablikim et al. E.M. Aitala et al. E.M. Aitala et al. E.M. Aitala et al. D.V. Bugg Z.Y. Zhou, H.Q. Zheng M. Ablikim et al. H.Q. Zheng et al. D.V. Bugg L. Li, B. Zou, G. Li E.M. Aitala et al. D. Barberis et al. A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sara N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos D. Aston et al. M. Baubillier et al. P.G. Estabrooks et al. A.D. Martin et al. 	(LOQM) (FNAL FOCUS Collab.) (CLEO Collab.) (FNAL FOCUS Collab.) (FNAL FOCUS Collab.) (FNAL FOTUS Collab.) (FNAL E791 Collab.) (FNAL E791 Collab.) (LOQM) (BES Collab.) (BES Collab.)			

 $\mathsf{HTTP:}//\mathsf{PDG.LBL.GOV}$