$\Upsilon(10860)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(10860)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
10876 ±11 OUR EVALUATION Weighted-average of Belle and BaBar results, but tripling the scaling S-factors applied to the uncertainties to account for model-dependence, handling of radiative corrections, and interference effects.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10879 ± 3	[1,2] CHEN 10	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
$10888.4^{+2.7}_{-2.6} \pm 1.2$	[3] CHEN 10	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
10876 ± 2	[1] AUBERT 09E	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10869 ± 2	[4] AUBERT 09E	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10868 ± 6 ±5	[5] BESSON 85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10845 ±20	[6] LOVELOCK 85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\overline{b}$-continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

[2] The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.

[3] In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.

[4] In a model where a non-resonant $b\overline{b}$-continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

[5] Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R.

[6] In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R.

$\Upsilon(10860)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
55 ±28 OUR EVALUATION Weighted-average of Belle and BaBar results, but tripling the scaling S-factors applied to the uncertainties to account for model-dependence, handling of radiative corrections, and interference effects.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
46^{+9}_{-7}	[7,8] CHEN 10	BELL	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
$30.7^{+8.3}_{-7.0} \pm 3.1$	[9] CHEN 10	BELL	$e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$
43 ± 4	[7] AUBERT 09E	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
74 ± 4	[10] AUBERT 09E	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
112 ±17 ±23	[11] BESSON 85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
110 ±15	[12] LOVELOCK 85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[7] In a model where a flat non-resonant $b\overline{b}$-continuum is incoherently added to a second flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

[8] The parameters of the $\Upsilon(11020)$ are fixed to those in AUBERT 09E.

[9] In a model where a flat nonresonant $\Upsilon(1S, 2S, 3S)\pi^+\pi^-$ continuum interferes with a single Breit-Wigner resonance.

[10] In a model where a non-resonant $b\overline{b}$-continuum represented by a threshold function at $\sqrt{s}=2m_B$ is incoherently added to a flat component interfering with two Breit-Wigner resonances. Not independent of other AUBERT 09E results. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.

[11] Assuming four Gaussians with radiative tails and a single step in R.

[12] In a coupled-channel model with three resonances and a smooth step in R.

Υ(10860) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1	$B\overline{B}X$	$(75.9\ ^{+2.7}_{-4.0})$ %	
Γ_2	$B\overline{B}$	(5.5 ± 1.0) %	
Γ_3	$B\overline{B}^* +$ c.c.	(13.7 ± 1.6) %	
Γ_4	$B^*\overline{B}^*$	(38.1 ± 3.4) %	
Γ_5	$B\overline{B}^{(*)}\pi$	< 19.7 %	90%
Γ_6	$B\overline{B}\pi$	(0.0 ± 1.2) %	
Γ_7	$B^*\overline{B}\pi + B\overline{B}^*\pi$	(7.3 ± 2.3) %	
Γ_8	$B^*\overline{B}^*\pi$	(1.0 ± 1.4) %	
Γ_9	$B\overline{B}\pi\pi$	< 8.9 %	90%
Γ_{10}	$B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)}$	(19.9 ± 3.0) %	
Γ_{11}	$B_s\overline{B}_s$	$(5 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{12}	$B_s\overline{B}_s^* +$ c.c.	(1.34 ± 0.32) %	
Γ_{13}	$B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*$	(17.5 ± 2.6) %	
Γ_{14}	no open-bottom	$(4.2\ ^{+5.0}_{-0.6})$ %	
Γ_{15}	e^+e^-	$(5.6 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{16}	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(5.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{17}	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(7.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{18}	$\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(4.8\ ^{+1.9}_{-1.7}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{19}	$\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$	$(6.1 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{20}	$h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(3.5\ ^{+1.0}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{21}	$h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$(6.0\ ^{+2.1}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-3}$	

Inclusive Decays.

These decay modes are submodes of one or more of the decay modes above.

Γ_{22}	ϕ anything	(13.8 $^{+2.4}_{-1.7}$) %
Γ_{23}	D^0 anything + c.c.	(108 ± 8) %
Γ_{24}	D_s anything + c.c.	(46 ± 6) %
Γ_{25}	J/ψ anything	(2.06 ± 0.21) %
Γ_{26}	B^0 anything + c.c.	(77 ± 8) %
Γ_{27}	B^+ anything + c.c.	(72 ± 6) %

$\Upsilon(10860)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ — Γ_{15}

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.31 ±0.07 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.			
0.22 ±0.05 ±0.07	BESSON	85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.365±0.070	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Upsilon(10860)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

"OUR EVALUATION" is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The averages and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/.

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.759^{+0.027}_{-0.040}$ OUR EVALUATION					
0.71 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE					
0.737±0.032±0.051	1063	[13] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^+X,\ B^0X$
0.589±0.100±0.092		[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$5.5^{+1.0}_{-0.9}\pm0.4$		[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^+X,\ B^0X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<13.8	90	[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B})/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_2/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.22	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{total}$ — Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.137±0.016 OUR AVERAGE				
0.137±0.013±0.011	[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^+X,\ B^0X$
0.143±0.053±0.027	[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.24±0.09±0.03	10	AQUINES	06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B^*\overline{B}^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.381±0.034 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.375^{+0.021}_{-0.019}\pm0.030$	[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^+X,\ B^0X$
$0.436\pm0.083\pm0.072$	[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B^*\overline{B}^*)/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_4/Γ_1

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.74±0.15±0.08	31	AQUINES	06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.197	90	[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}^{(*)}\pi)/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_5/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.32	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.0±1.2±0.3	0	[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

$\left[\Gamma(B^*\overline{B}\pi) + \Gamma(B\overline{B}^*\pi)\right]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{7.3^{+2.3}_{-2.1}\pm0.8}$	38	[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

$\Gamma(B^*\overline{B}^*\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_8/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{1.0^{+1.4}_{-1.3}\pm0.4}$	5	[15] DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^{+,0}\pi^- X$

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.089	90	[14] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B\overline{B}\pi\pi)/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_9/Γ_1

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.14	90	AQUINES	06	CLE3	$\Upsilon(5S) \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma_{total}$ — $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_{11}+\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13})/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.199±0.030 OUR EVALUATION				
$0.189^{+0.027}_{-0.021}$ OUR AVERAGE				
0.172±0.030	[16] ESEN	13	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to D^0 X$, $D_s X$
$0.21\ ^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$	[17] HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to D_s X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.180±0.013±0.032	[18] DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to D^0 X$, $D_s X$
0.160±0.026±0.058	[19] ARTUSO	05B	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to D_x X$

$\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})/\Gamma(B\overline{B}X)$ — Γ_{10}/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
$0.262^{+0.051}_{-0.043}$ OUR EVALUATION	

$\Gamma(B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ — $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{13}/(\Gamma_{11}+\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13})$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
87.8±1.5 OUR AVERAGE					
87.0±1.7		[20,21] ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+$
90.5±3.2±0.1	227	[21,22] LI	12	BELL	$B_s^0 \to J/\psi \eta^{(\prime)}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$90.1^{+3.8}_{-4.0}\pm0.2$		[23] LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+e^- \to B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)}$
$93\ ^{+7}_{-9}\ \pm1$		[23] DRUTSKOY	07A	BELL	Superseded by LOUVOT 09

$\Gamma(B_s\overline{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ — $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{11}/(\Gamma_{11}+\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13})$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$2.6^{+2.6}_{-2.5}$	LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+e^- \to B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)}$

$\Gamma(B_s\overline{B}_s)/\Gamma(B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*)$ — Γ_{11}/Γ_{13}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.16	90	BONVICINI	06	CLE3	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(B_s\overline{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ — $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_{10} = \Gamma_{12}/(\Gamma_{11}+\Gamma_{12}+\Gamma_{13})$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
6.7±1.2 OUR AVERAGE					
7.3±1.4		[20,21] ESEN	13	BELL	$B_s^0 \to D_s^- \pi^+$
4.9±2.5±0.0	227	[21,22] LI	12	BELL	$B_s^0 \to J/\psi \eta^{(\prime)}$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$7.3^{+3.3}_{-3.0}\pm0.1$		LOUVOT	09	BELL	10.86 $e^+e^- \to B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)}$

$\Gamma(B_s\overline{B}_s^* + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*)$ — Γ_{12}/Γ_{13}

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.16	90	BONVICINI	06	CLE3	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\text{no open-bottom})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
$0.042^{+0.046}_{-0.006}$ **OUR EVALUATION**	

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{16}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
5.3±0.3±0.5	325	[24] CHEN	08	BELL	10.87 $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
7.8±0.6±1.1	186	[24] CHEN	08	BELL	10.87 $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{4.8^{+1.8}_{-1.5}\pm0.7}$	10	[24] CHEN	08	BELL	10.87 $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(3S)\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{6.1^{+1.6}_{-1.4}\pm1.0}$	20	[24] CHEN	08	BELL	10.87 $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)K^+K^-$

$\Gamma(h_b(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{20}/Γ_{17}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.45\pm0.08^{+0.07}_{-0.12}}$	ADACHI	12	BELL	10.86 $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(h_b(2P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)$ — Γ_{21}/Γ_{17}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.77\pm0.08^{+0.22}_{-0.17}}$	ADACHI	12	BELL	10.86 $e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\phi\text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.138\pm0.007^{+0.023}_{-0.015}}$	HUANG	07	CLEO	$\Upsilon(5S) \to \phi X$

$\Gamma(D^0\text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.076±0.040±0.068	DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to D^0 X$

$\Gamma(D_s\text{ anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ — Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.46 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE				
0.472±0.024±0.072	[18] DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to D_s X$
0.44 ±0.09 ±0.04	[25] ARTUSO	05B	CLE3	$e^+e^- \to D_X X$

$\Gamma\big(J/\psi$ anything$\big)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.060±0.160±0.134	DRUTSKOY	07	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to J/\psi X$

$\Gamma\big(B^0$ anything + c.c.$\big)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.770^{+0.058}_{-0.056}\pm0.061}$	352	DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^0 X$

$\Gamma\big(B^+$ anything + c.c.$\big)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\mathbf{0.721^{+0.039}_{-0.038}\pm0.050}$	711	DRUTSKOY	10	BELL	$\Upsilon(5S) \to B^+ X$

[13] Not independent of DRUTSKOY 10 values for $\Upsilon(5S) \to B^{\pm,0}$ anything.
[14] Using measurements or limits from AQUINES 06.
[15] Assuming isospin conservation.
[16] Supersedes DRUTSKOY 07.
[17] Supersedes ARTUSO 05B. Combining inclusive ϕ, D_s, and B measurements. Using $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = 4.4 \pm 0.6\%$ from PDG 06.
[18] Using $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = (4.4 \pm 0.6)\%$ from PDG 06.
[19] Uses a model-dependent estimate $B(B_s \to D_s X) = (92 \pm 11)\%$.
[20] Supersedes LOUVOT 09.
[21] With $N(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)}) = (7.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^6$.
[22] The ratios $N(B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*)$ / $N(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ and $N(B_s^*\overline{B}_s^0)$ / $N(B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ are measured with a correlation coefficient of -0.72.
[23] From a measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \to B_s^*\overline{B}_s^*)$ / $\sigma(e^+e^- \to B_s^{(*)}\overline{B}_s^{(*)})$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.86$ GeV.
[24] Assuming that the observed events are solely due to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance.
[25] ARTUSO 05B reports $[\Gamma(\Upsilon(10860) \to D_s$ anything + c.c.$)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+)] = 0.0198 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0038$ which we divide by our best value $B(D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

Υ(10860) REFERENCES

ESEN	13	PR D87 031101	S. Esen et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
ADACHI	12	PRL 108 032001	I. Adachi et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
LI	12	PRL 108 181808	J. Li et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	10	PR D82 091106	K.-F. Chen et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	10	PR D81 112003	A. Drutskoy et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	09E	PRL 102 012001	B. Aubert et al.	(BABAR Collab.)
LOUVOT	09	PRL 102 021801	R. Louvot et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
CHEN	08	PRL 100 112001	K.-F. Chen et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07	PRL 98 052001	A. Drutskoy et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
DRUTSKOY	07A	PR D76 012002	A. Drutskoy et al.	(BELLE Collab.)
HUANG	07	PR D75 012002	G.S. Huang et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
AQUINES	06	PRL 96 152001	O. Aquines et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
BONVICINI	06	PRL 96 022002	G. Bonvicini et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao et al.	(PDG Collab.)
ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson et al.	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock et al.	(CUSB Collab.)