

$\rho(1450)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^- -)$$

See our mini-review under the $\rho(1700)$. **$\rho(1450)$ MASS**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID**1465 ± 25 OUR ESTIMATE** This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values. **$\eta\rho^0$ MODE**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1497 ± 14	¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421 ± 15	² AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470 ± 20	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446 ± 10	FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.² Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed. **$\omega\pi$ MODE**VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1582 ± 17 ± 25	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349 ± 25 $\begin{smallmatrix} +10 \\ -5 \end{smallmatrix}$	341	⁴ ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523 ± 10		⁵ EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^- \nu_\tau$
1463 ± 25		⁶ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
1250		⁷ ASTON 80C	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290 ± 40		⁷ BARBER 80C	SPEC	$3-5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect. **4π MODE**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

1435 ± 40	ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0\pi^+$
1350 ± 50	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1449 ± 4	⁸ ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow p\rho 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

⁸ Not clear whether this observation has $l=1$ or 0.

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1350 ± 20	$\begin{matrix} +20 \\ -30 \end{matrix}$ 63.5k	⁹ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
1493 ± 15		¹⁰ LEES	12G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1446 ± 7	± 28 5.4M	^{11,12} FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		¹³ SCHAEEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	^{11,14} ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 1368		¹⁵ ABELE	99C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-$
1411 ± 14		¹⁶ ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1370 $\begin{matrix} +90 \\ -70 \end{matrix}$		ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1359 ± 40		¹⁴ BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN	97D OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1292 ± 17		¹⁷ KURDADZE	83 OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

⁹ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ - ω interference.

¹⁰ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

¹¹ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

¹² $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

¹³ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

¹⁴ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

¹⁵ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

¹⁶ T-matrix pole.

¹⁷ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	¹⁸ ABELE	99D CBAR	±	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹⁸ K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1505 ± 19 ± 7	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
-------------	-------------

400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
226 ± 44	¹⁹ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211 ± 31	²⁰ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230 ± 30	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15	FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

¹⁹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

²⁰ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

$\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$429 \pm 42 \pm 10$	2382	²¹ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$547 \pm 86^{+46}_{-45}$	341	²² ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		²³ EDWARDS 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		²⁴ CLEGG 94	RVUE	
300		²⁵ ASTON 80C	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		²⁵ BARBER 80C	SPEC	$3-5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

²¹ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

²² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.

²³ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

²⁴ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

²⁵ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
325 ± 100	ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0\pi^+$

$\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$460 \pm 30^{+40}_{-45}$	63.5k	²⁶ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
427 ± 31		²⁷ LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$434 \pm 16 \pm 60$	5.4M	^{28,29} FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
468 ± 41		³⁰ SCHAEEL 05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
455 ± 41	87k	^{28,31} ANDERSON 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
~ 374		³² ABELE 99C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
275 ± 10		BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$

343±20	33 ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310±40	31 BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
236±36	BERTIN	97D OBLX	0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269±31	BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
391±70	DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
218±46	34 KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

²⁶ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting ρ - ω interference.

²⁷ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

²⁸ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

²⁹ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

³⁰ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

³¹ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

³² $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

³³ T-matrix pole.

³⁴ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

146.5±10.5	27k	35 ABELE	99D CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
------------	-----	----------	----------	---	------------------------------------------

³⁵ K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

418±25±4	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
----------	--------	----------	--------------------------------------------------

$\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 4π	seen
Γ_3 $\omega\pi$	
Γ_4 $a_1(1260)\pi$	
Γ_5 $h_1(1170)\pi$	
Γ_6 $\pi(1300)\pi$	
Γ_7 $\rho\rho$	
Γ_8 $\rho(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	
Γ_9 $e^+ e^-$	seen
Γ_{10} $\eta\rho$	possibly seen
Γ_{11} $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen

Γ_{12}	$K\bar{K}$	not seen
Γ_{13}	$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
Γ_{14}	$\eta\gamma$	possibly seen
Γ_{15}	$f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{16}	$f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{17}	$f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{18}	$f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.12	³⁶ DIEKMAN	88	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	³⁷ KURDADZE	83	OLYA $0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
74 ± 20	³⁸ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
91 ± 19	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
<16.4	³⁹ AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	⁴⁰ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$127 \pm 15 \pm 6$	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

³⁶ Using total width = 235 MeV.

³⁷ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

³⁸ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

³⁹ From 2γ decay mode of η using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the $\rho(1450)$ mass and width. Recalculated by us.

⁴⁰ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$. Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4.0	90	ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁹)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<2.6	90	ACHASOV 11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{17}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁹)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<3.5	90	ACHASOV 11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_9/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁹)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.8	90	⁴¹ ACHASOV 11	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

⁴¹ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the $\rho(1450)$ with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37 ± 0.10	^{42,43} ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_3/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV 12	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
~ 0.21		CLEGG 94	RVUE	

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi) \qquad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_3$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
~ 0.32	CLEGG 94	RVUE

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
<0.14	CLEGG 88	RVUE

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.27 ± 0.08	⁴² ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.08 ± 0.04	⁴² ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

Γ_6/Γ_2

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.37±0.13 ⁴² ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$

Γ_7/Γ_2

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11±0.05 ⁴² ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$

Γ_8/Γ_2

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.17±0.09 ⁴² ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.04 DONNACHIE 87B RVUE

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$

Γ_{10}/Γ_3

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.24 ⁴⁴ DONNACHIE 91 RVUE
>2 FUKUI 91 SPEC 8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen AMELIN 00 VES 37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$

Γ_{12}/Γ_3

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.08 ⁴⁴ DONNACHIE 91 RVUE

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen COAN 04 CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

⁴² $\omega\pi$ not included.

⁴³ Using ABELE 97.

⁴⁴ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 140 87.		
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaeel <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JPG 15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMANN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	