## Neutrino Properties

### A REVIEW GOES HERE - Check our WWW List of Reviews

#### $\overline{\nu}$ MASS (electron based)

Those limits given below are for the square root of  $m_{
u_e}^{2({\rm eff})} \equiv \sum_i |{\rm U}_{ei}|^2$   $m_{
u_i}^2$ . Limits that come from the kinematics of  ${}^3{\rm H}\beta^-\overline{\nu}$  decay are the square roots of the limits for  $m_{
u_e}^{2({\rm eff})}$ . Obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for " $\overline{\nu}$  Mass Squared," below.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT					
< 2 OUR EVALUAT	ION								
< 2.05	95	<sup>1</sup> ASEEV	11 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
< 2.3	95	<sup>2</sup> KRAUS (	05 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •									
< 5.8	95	<sup>3</sup> PAGLIAROLI :	10 ASTR	SN1987A					
<21.7	90	<sup>4</sup> ARNABOLDI (	03A BOLO	$^{187}$ Re $eta$ -decay					
< 5.7	95		02 ASTR	SN1987A					
< 2.5	95	_	99 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
< 2.8	95	<sup>7</sup> WEINHEIMER 9	99 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
< 4.35	95		95 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
<12.4	95		95 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
<92	95	<sup>10</sup> HIDDEMANN	95 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
$\begin{array}{ccc} 15 & +32 \\ -15 & \end{array}$		HIDDEMANN 9	95 SPEC	$^3$ H $_{eta}$ decay					
<19.6	95	KERNAN 9	95 ASTR	SN 1987A					
< 7.0	95	<sup>11</sup> STOEFFL	95 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
< 7.2	95	<sup>12</sup> WEINHEIMER 9	93 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
<11.7	95	<sup>13</sup> HOLZSCHUH 9	92B SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
<13.1	95	<sup>14</sup> KAWAKAMI 9	91 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
< 9.3	95	<sup>15</sup> ROBERTSON 9	91 SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay					
<14	95	AVIGNONE 9	90 ASTR	SN 1987A					
<16			88 ASTR	SN 1987A					
17 to 40		<sup>16</sup> BORIS	87 SPEC	$^3$ H $_{eta}$ decay					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002 (some of the earlier runs were rejected), using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The fitted value of  $m_{\nu}$ , based on the method of Feldman and Cousins, is obtained from the upper limit of the fit for  $m_{\nu}^2$ . Previous analysis problems were resolved by careful monitoring of the tritium gas column density. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Various sources of systematic uncertainties have been identified and quantified. The background has been reduced compared to the initial running period. A spectral anomaly at the endpoint, reported in LOBASHEV 99, was not observed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PAGLIAROLI 10 is critical of the likelihood method used by LOREDO 02.

- <sup>4</sup> ARNABOLDI 03A *etal.* report kinematical neutrino mass limit using  $\beta$ -decay of <sup>187</sup>Re. Bolometric AgReO<sub>4</sub> micro-calorimeters are used. Mass bound is substantially weaker than those derived from tritium  $\beta$ -decays but has different systematic uncertainties.
- <sup>5</sup>LOREDO 02 updates LOREDO 89.
- <sup>6</sup> LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to  $m_{\nu}^2$ , making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$  Mass Squared."
- $^7$  WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable  $m_{\nu}^2$ . We report the most conservative limit, but the other is nearly the same. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$  Mass Squared."
- <sup>8</sup> BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields  $m_{_{12}}^2=-4.1\pm10.9~\text{eV}^2$ , leading to this Bayesian limit.
- <sup>9</sup> CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of  $m_{\nu}^2$  is given.
- $^{10}$  HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean  $m_{\nu}^2=221\pm4244~{\rm eV}^2$  from the two runs listed below.
- <sup>11</sup>STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the  $m_{\nu}^2$  errors given below but with  $m_{\nu}^2$  set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of  $m_{\nu}^2$  which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.
- <sup>12</sup> WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium  $\beta$  spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- <sup>13</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement  $m_{\nu}^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$  (1 $\sigma$  errors), in eV<sup>2</sup>, using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in  $m_{\nu}$ .
- $^{14}$  KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the  $m_{\nu}^2$  limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.
- $^{15}$  ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that  $m_{\nu}$  lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive  $m^2$  is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.
- <sup>16</sup> See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

### $\overline{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED (electron based)

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of  $m_{\nu_e}^{2({\rm eff})} \equiv \sum_i |{\rm U}_{ei}|^2 \ m_{\nu_i}^2$ , in many experiments, we use only KRAUS 05 and LOBASHEV 99 for our average.

VALU	<i>IE</i> (eV <sup>2</sup> )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
_	0.6 ±	1.9 OUR AVERAGE				
_	$0.67\pm$	2.53	<sup>1</sup> ASEEV	11	SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay
_	0.6 ±	$2.2 \pm 2.1$	<sup>2</sup> KRAUS	05	SPEC	$^3$ H $\beta$ decay

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

```
SPEC ^3H \beta decay
                                             <sup>3</sup> LOBASHEV
                                                                   99
                 3.4 \pm 2.2
                                                                                  ^3H eta decay
                                             <sup>4</sup> WEINHEIMER 99
                 5.3 \pm 2.1
                                                                          SPEC
     3.7 \pm
                                             <sup>5</sup> BELESEV
                                                                                  ^3H \beta decay
                                                                   95
                                                                          SPEC
          \pm
  22
                 4.8
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
                                             <sup>6</sup> HIDDEMANN 95
                                                                         SPEC
  129
          \pm 6010
                                             <sup>6</sup> HIDDEMANN 95
                                                                          SPEC
                                                                                  ^3H \beta decay
  313
          \pm 5994
                                             <sup>7</sup> STOEFFL
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
                                                                   95
                                                                          SPEC
-130
          \pm
                20
                       \pm 15
                                  95
                                             <sup>8</sup> SUN
                                                                   93
                                                                         SPEC
                                                                                   ^3H\beta decay
   31
          \pm
                75
                       \pm 48
                                             <sup>9</sup> WEINHEIMER 93
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
                                                                         SPEC
   39
                34
                       \pm 15
   24
                48
                                            <sup>10</sup> HOLZSCHUH 92B SPEC
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
                       \pm 61
                                            <sup>11</sup> KAWAKAMI
                                                                   91
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
   65
          \pm
                85
                       \pm 65
                                            <sup>12</sup> ROBERTSON 91
                                                                         SPEC
                                                                                   ^3H \beta decay
-147
          \pm
                68
                       \pm 41
```

- <sup>1</sup> ASEEV 11 report the analysis of the entire beta endpoint data, taken with the Troitsk integrating electrostatic spectrometer between 1997 and 2002, using a windowless gaseous tritium source. The analysis does not use the two additional fit parameters (see LOBA-SHEV 99) for a step-like structure near the endpoint. Using only the runs where the tritium gas column density was carefully monitored the need for such parameters was eliminated. Supersedes LOBASHEV 99 and BELESEV 95.
- <sup>2</sup> KRAUS 05 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 99. This result represents the final analysis of data taken from 1997 to 2001. Problems with significantly negative squared neutrino masses, observed in some earlier experiments, have been resolved in this work.
- <sup>3</sup>LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted  $m_{\nu}^2 \approx -(20-10) \text{ eV}^2$ . This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about  $6 \times 10^{-11}$  intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5–15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of  $m_{\nu}^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \, \text{eV}^2$  which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit. However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived  $m_{\nu}^2$  limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult.
- $^4$  WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93 . Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the  $T_2$  film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable  $m_{\nu}^2$  fits and are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most conservative of the two.
- <sup>5</sup> BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7–15 eV below the endpoint.
- <sup>6</sup> HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.
- <sup>7</sup>STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for  $m_{\nu}^2$ . The authors acknowledge that "the negative value for the best fit of  $m_{\nu}^2$  has no physical meaning" and discuss possible explanations for this effect.
- <sup>8</sup>SUN 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.

#### $\nu$ MASS (electron based)

These are measurement of  $m_{\overline{\nu}}$  (in contrast to  $m_{\overline{\nu}}$ , given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of *CPT* invariance. The possible distinction between  $\nu$  and  $\overline{\nu}$  properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

<i>VALUE</i> (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	 TECN	COMMENT
<460 <225	68 95	YASUMI SPRINGER		163 <sub>Ho decay</sub> 163 <sub>Ho decay</sub>

#### $\nu$ MASS (muon based)

Limits given below are for the square root of  $m_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2(\text{eff})} \equiv \sum_{i} |\mathsf{U}_{\mu i}|^2 m_{\nu_{i}}^2$ .

In some of the COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

OUR EVALUATION is based on OUR AVERAGE for the  $\pi^\pm$  mass and the ASSAMAGAN 96 value for the muon momentum for the  $\pi^+$  decay at rest. The limit is calculated using the unified classical analysis of FELDMAN 98 for a Gaussian distribution near a physical boundary. WARNING: since  $m_{\nu_\mu}^{2({\rm eff})}$  is calculated from the differences of large numbers, it and the corresponding limits are extraordinarily sensitive to small changes in the pion mass, the decay muon momentum, and their errors. For example, the limits obtained using JECKELMANN 94, LENZ 98, and the weighted averages are 0.15, 0.29, and 0.19 MeV, respectively.

VALUE (MeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.19 (CL = 90%) OUI	R EVALUA	ATION			
< 0.17	90	<sup>1</sup> ASSAMAGAN	96	SPEC	$m_{\nu}^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$
● ● We do not use the	e following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 0.15		<sup>2</sup> DOLGOV	95		Nucleosynthesis
< 0.48		<sup>3</sup> ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.3		<sup>4</sup> FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42		<sup>4</sup> LAM	91		Nucleosynthesis
< 0.50	90	<sup>5</sup> ANDERHUB	82	SPEC	$m_{\nu}^2 = -0.14 \pm 0.20$
< 0.65	90	CLARK	74	ASPK	$K_{\mu 3}^{\nu}$ decay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium  $\beta$  spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.

 $<sup>^{</sup>m 10}$  HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$ KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that  $m_{\nu}$  lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive  $m_{\nu}^2$  is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

 $^2$  DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below  $T_{\rm QCD}$  for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringer than the set of th

gent limits.

#### $\nu$ MASS (tau based)

The limits given below are the square roots of limits for  $m_{
u_{ au}}^{2({\rm eff})}\equiv\sum_i |{\rm U}_{ au i}|^2 \ m_{
u_i}^2.$ 

In some of the ASTR and COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

<i>VALUE</i> (MeV)	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 18.2	95		$^{ m 1}$ BARATE	98F	ALEP	1991-1995 LEP runs
$\bullet$ $\bullet$ We do not	use the	e followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
< 28	95		<sup>2</sup> ATHANAS	00	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}=10.6~{\rm GeV}$
< 27.6	95		<sup>3</sup> ACKERSTAFF	98T	OPAL	1990-1995 LEP runs
< 30	95	473	<sup>4</sup> AMMAR	98	CLEO	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 10.6 \; GeV$
< 60	95		<sup>5</sup> ANASTASSOV	97	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{\it ee}=10.6~{\rm GeV}$
< 0.37  or  > 22			<sup>6</sup> FIELDS	97	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 68	95		<sup>7</sup> SWAIN	97	THEO	$\emph{m}_{ au},  au_{ au},  au$ partial widths
< 29.9	95		<sup>8</sup> ALEXANDER	96M	OPAL	1990–1994 LEP runs
<149			<sup>9</sup> BOTTINO	96	THEO	$\pi$ , $\mu$ , $ au$ leptonic decays
< 1  or  > 25			<sup>10</sup> HANNESTAD	<b>96</b> C	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 71	95		<sup>11</sup> SOBIE	96	THEO	$m_{\tau}^{}$ , $\tau_{\tau}^{}$ , $B(\tau^{-}  ightarrow$
						$e^-\overline{ u}_e u_{ au})$
< 24	95	25	<sup>12</sup> BUSKULIC	95H	ALEP	1991-1993 LEP runs
< 0.19			<sup>13</sup> DOLGOV	95	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 3			14 SIGL	95	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 0.4  or  > 30			15 DODELSON	94		Nucleosynthesis
$<0.1 \ or > 50$			<sup>16</sup> KAWASAKI	94	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
155-225			<sup>17</sup> PERES	94	THEO	$\pi$ , $K$ , $\mu$ , $ au$ weak decays
< 32.6	95	113	<sup>18</sup> CINABRO	93	CLEO	$E_{ m cm}^{\it ee} pprox $ 10.6 GeV
< 0.3  or > 35			<sup>19</sup> DOLGOV	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.74			<sup>20</sup> ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 31	95	19	<sup>21</sup> ALBRECHT	92M	ARG	$E_{cm}^{ee} = 9.4-10.6 \text{ GeV}$
< 0.3			<sup>22</sup> FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.5  or  > 25			<sup>23</sup> KOLB	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42			<sup>22</sup> LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 5

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  ASSAMAGAN 96 measurement of  $p_\mu$  from  $\pi^+\to\mu^+\nu$  at rest combined with JECK-ELMANN 94 Solution B pion mass yields  $m_\nu^2=-0.016\pm0.023$  with corresponding Bayesian limit listed above. If Solution A is used,  $m_\nu^2=-0.143\pm0.024~{\rm MeV^2}.$  Replaces ASSAMAGAN 94.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time,  $\sim 1\,\mathrm{s}$ .

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos only. See also ENQVIST 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> ANDERHUB 82 kinematics is insensitive to the pion mass.

- $^1$  BARATE 98F result based on kinematics of 2939  $\tau^-\to 2\pi^-\pi^+\nu_\tau$  and 52  $\tau^-\to 3\pi^-2\pi^+(\pi^0)\nu_\tau$  decays. If possible 2.5% excited  $a_1$  decay is included in 3-prong sample analysis, limit increases to 19.2 MeV.
- <sup>2</sup> ATHANAS 00 bound comes from analysis of  $\tau^- \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$  decays.
- $^3$  ACKERSTAFF 98T use  $\tau\to 5\pi^\pm\nu_\tau$  decays to obtain a limit of 43.2 MeV (95%CL). They combine this with ALEXANDER 96M value using  $\tau\to 3h^\pm\nu_\tau$  decays to obtain quoted limit.
- $^4$  AMMAR 98 limit comes from analysis of  $\tau^-\to 3\pi^-2\pi^+\nu_\tau$  and  $\tau^-\to 2\pi^-\pi^+2\pi^0\nu_\tau$  decay modes.
- $^5$  ANASTASSOV 97 derive limit by comparing their  $m_{\mathcal{T}}$  measurement (which depends on  $m_{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{T}}}$ ) to BAI 96  $m_{\mathcal{T}}$  threshold measurement.
- $^6$  FIELDS 97 limit for a Dirac neutrino. For a Majorana neutrino the mass region <0.93 or  $>\!31$  MeV is excluded. These bounds assume  $N_{\nu}$  <4 from nucleosynthesis; a wider excluded region occurs with a smaller  $N_{\nu}$  upper limit.
- $^7$  SWAIN 97 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationships between the tau mass, lifetime, branching fractions for  $\tau^- \to e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_\tau$ ,  $\tau^- \to \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$ ,  $\tau^- \to \pi^- \nu_\tau$ , and  $\tau^- \to K^- \nu_\tau$ , and the muon mass and lifetime by assuming lepton universality and using world average values. Limit is reduced to 48 MeV when the CLEO  $\tau$  mass measurement (BALEST 93) is included; see CLEO's more recent  $m_{\nu_\tau}$  limit (ANASTASSOV 97). Consideration of mixing with a fourth generation heavy neutrino yields  $\sin^2\!\theta_L < 0.016$  (95%CL).
- <sup>8</sup>ALEXANDER 96M bound comes from analyses of  $\tau^-\to 3\pi^-2\pi^+\nu_{\tau}$  and  $\tau^-\to h^-h^-h^+\nu_{\tau}$  decays.
- <sup>9</sup> BOTTINO 96 assumes three generations of neutrinos with mixing, finds consistency with massless neutrinos with no mixing based on 1995 data for masses, lifetimes, and leptonic partial widths.
- $^{10}$  HANNESTAD 96C limit is on the mass of a Majorana neutrino. This bound assumes  $N_{\nu} <$  4 from nucleosynthesis. A wider excluded region occurs with a smaller  $N_{\nu}$  upper limit. This paper is the corrected version of HANNESTAD 96; see the erratum: HANNESTAD 96B.
- <sup>11</sup> SOBIE 96 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationship between the tau mass, lifetime, and leptonic branching fraction, and the muon mass and lifetime, by assuming lepton universality and using world average values.
- <sup>12</sup> BUSKULIC 95H bound comes from a two-dimensional fit of the visible energy and invariant mass distribution of  $\tau \to 5\pi (\pi^0) \nu_{\tau}$  decays. Replaced by BARATE 98F.
- $^{13}$  DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below  $T_{\rm QCD}$  for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits. DOLGOV 96 argues that a possible window near 20 MeV is excluded.
- $^{14}$  SIGL 95 exclude massive Dirac or Majorana neutrinos with lifetimes between  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{8}$  seconds if the decay products are predominantly  $\gamma$  or  $e^{+}\,e^{-}$ .
- $^{15}$  DODELSON 94 calculate constraints on  $\nu_{\tau}$  mass and lifetime from nucleosynthesis for 4 generic decay modes. Limits depend strongly on decay mode. Quoted limit is valid for all decay modes of Majorana neutrinos with lifetime greater than about 300 s. For Dirac neutrinos limits change to < 0.3 or > 33.
- $^{16}$  KAWASAKI 94 excluded region is for Majorana neutrino with lifetime >1000 s. Other limits are given as a function of  $\nu_{\tau}$  lifetime for decays of the type  $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow ~\nu_{\mu} \phi$  where  $\phi$  is a Nambu-Goldstone boson.
- $^{17}$  PERES 94 used PDG 92 values for parameters to obtain a value consistent with mixing. Reexamination by BOTTINO 96 which included radiative corrections and 1995 PDG parameters resulted in two allowed regions,  $m_3 < 70$  MeV and 140 MeV  $m_3 < 149$  MeV
- $^{18}$  CINABRO 93 bound comes from analysis of  $\tau^-\to 3\pi^-2\pi^+\nu_\tau$  and  $\tau^-\to 2\pi^-\pi^+2\pi^0\nu_\tau$  decay modes.

- <sup>19</sup> DOLGOV 93 assumes neutrino lifetime >100 s. For Majorana neutrinos, the low mass limit is 0.5 MeV. KAWANO 92 points out that these bounds can be overcome for a Dirac neutrino if it possesses a magnetic moment. See also DOLGOV 96.
- $^{20}\,\text{ENQVIST}$  93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time,  $\sim 1\,\text{s}$ .
- <sup>21</sup> ALBRECHT 92M reports measurement of a slightly lower  $\tau$  mass, which has the effect of reducing the  $\nu_{\tau}$  mass reported in ALBRECHT 88B. Bound is from analysis of  $\tau^- \to 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$  mode.
- $^{22}$  Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos. See also ENQVIST 93.
- $^{23}$  KOLB 91 exclusion region is for Dirac neutrino with lifetime >1 s; other limits are given.

#### A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

#### SUM OF THE NEUTRINO MASSES, $m_{\text{tot}}$

(Defined in the above note), of effectively stable neutrinos (i.e., those with mean lives greater than or equal to the age of the universe). These papers assumed Dirac neutrinos. When necessary, we have generalized the results reported so they apply to  $m_{\rm tot}$ . For other limits, see SZA-LAY 76, VYSOTSKY 77, BERNSTEIN 81, FREESE 84, SCHRAMM 84, and COWSIK 85.

<i>VALUE</i> (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use	the follo	owing data for avera	ges,	fits, limit	ts, etc. • • •
< 0.24	68	<sup>1</sup> MORESCO	12	COSM	
< 0.60	95	<sup>2</sup> RIEMER-SOR	.12	COSM	
< 0.29	95	<sup>3</sup> XIA	12	COSM	
< 0.81	95	<sup>4</sup> SAITO	11	COSM	SDSS
< 0.44	95	<sup>5</sup> HANNESTAD	10	COSM	
< 0.6	95	<sup>6</sup> SEKIGUCHI	10	COSM	
< 0.28	95	<sup>7</sup> THOMAS	10	COSM	
< 1.1		<sup>8</sup> ICHIKI	09	COSM	
< 1.3	95	<sup>9</sup> KOMATSU	09	COSM	WMAP
< 1.2		<sup>10</sup> TERENO	09	COSM	
< 0.33		<sup>11</sup> VIKHLININ	09	COSM	
< 0.28		<sup>12</sup> BERNARDIS	80	COSM	
< 0.17-2.3		<sup>13</sup> FOGLI	07	COSM	
< 0.42	95	<sup>14</sup> KRISTIANSEN	07	COSM	
< 0.63-2.2		<sup>15</sup> ZUNCKEL	07	COSM	
< 0.24	95	<sup>16</sup> CIRELLI	06	COSM	
< 0.62	95	<sup>17</sup> HANNESTAD	06	COSM	
< 1.2		<sup>18</sup> SANCHEZ	06	COSM	
< 0.17	95	<sup>16</sup> SELJAK	06	COSM	
< 2.0	95	<sup>19</sup> ICHIKAWA	05	COSM	
< 0.75		<sup>20</sup> BARGER	04	COSM	
< 1.0		<sup>21</sup> CROTTY	04	COSM	
< 0.7		<sup>22</sup> SPERGEL	03	COSM	WMAP

< 0.9	<sup>23</sup> LEWIS	02	COSM
< 4.2	<sup>24</sup> WANG	02	COSM CMB
< 2.7	<sup>25</sup> FUKUGITA	00	COSM
< 5.5	<sup>26</sup> CROFT	99	ASTR Ly $\alpha$ power spec
<180	SZALAY	74	COSM
<132	COWSIK	72	COSM
<280	MARX	72	COSM
<400	GERSHTEIN	66	COSM

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from observational Hubble parameter data with seven-year WMAP data and the most recent estimate of  $H_0$ .

- <sup>12</sup> Constraints the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and SOSS LRG power spectrum data along with bias mass relations from SDSS, DEEP2, and Lyman-Break Galaxies. It assumes ΛCDM model. Limit degrades to 0.59 eV in a more general wCDM model.
- <sup>13</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from neutrino oscillation experiments and cosmological data. The most conservative limit uses only WMAP three-year data, while the most stringent limit includes CMB, large-scale structure, supernova, and Lyman-alpha data.
- <sup>14</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, SN1a, and baryon acoustic oscillation data. The limit relaxes to 1.75 when WMAP data alone is used with no prior. Paper shows results with several combinations of data sets. Supersedes KRISTIANSEN 06.
- <sup>15</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the large scale structure data. The most conservative limit is obtained when generic initial conditions are allowed.
- $^{16}$  Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB, large scale structure, Lymanalpha forest, and SN1a data.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the WiggleZ high redshift galaxy sample when combined with seven-year WMAP data. Limit is improved to < 0.29 eV when further combined with a prior on the Hubble parameter and baryon acoustic oscillations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CFHTLS combined with seven-year WMAP data and a prior on the Hubble parameter. Limit is relaxed to 0.41 eV when small scales affected by non-linearities are removed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the five-year WMAP data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the 7-year WMAP data including SDSS and HST data. Limit relaxes to 1.19 eV when CMB data is used alone. Supersedes HANNESTAD 06.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from a combination of CMB data, a recent measurement of  $H_0$  (SHOES), and baryon acoustic oscillation data from SDSS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from SDSS MegaZ LRG DR7 galaxy clustering data combined with CMB, HST, supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation data. Limit relaxes to 0.47 eV when the equation of state parameter,  $w \neq 1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Assumes ΛCDM model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from five-year WMAP data. Limit improves to 0.67 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. Limits quoted assume the ΛCDM model. Supersedes SPERGEL 07.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from weak lensing measurements when combined with CMB. Limit improves to 0.03  $< \Sigma m_{\nu} <$  0.54 eV when supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillation observations are included. The slight preference for massive neutrinos at the two-sigma level disappears when systematic errors are taken into account. Assumes  $\Lambda \text{CDM}$  model.

<sup>11</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent Chandra X-ray observations of galaxy clusters when combined with CMB, supernovae, and baryon acoustic oscillation measurements. Assumes flat universe and constant dark-energy equation of state, w.

- $^{17}$  Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from recent CMB and large scale structure data. See also GOOBAR 06. Superseded by HANNESTAD 10.
- 18 Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB and the final 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey.
- $^{19}$  Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the CMB experiments alone, assuming  $\Lambda$ CDM Universe. FUKUGITA 06 show that this result is unchanged by the 3-year WMAP data.
- Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and 27 other CMB experiments and measurements by the HST Key project.
- <sup>21</sup> Constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, the 2dF galaxy redshift survey, WMAP and ACBAR. The limit is strengthened to 0.6 eV when measurements by the HST Key project and supernovae data are included.
- <sup>22</sup> Constrains the fractional contribution of neutrinos to the total matter density in the Universe from WMAP data combined with other CMB measurements, the 2dfGRS data, and Lyman  $\alpha$  data. The limit does not noticeably change if the Lyman  $\alpha$  data are not used.
- 23 LEWIS 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB, HST Key project, 2dF galaxy redshift survey, supernovae type Ia, and BBN.
- $^{24}$  WANG 02 constrains the total mass of neutrinos from the power spectrum of fluctuations derived from the CMB and other cosmological data sets such as galaxy clustering and the Lyman  $\alpha$  forest.
- $^{25}$  FUKUGITA 00 is a limit on neutrino masses from structure formation. The constraint is based on the clustering scale  $\sigma_8$  and the COBE normalization and leads to a conservative limit of 0.9 eV assuming 3 nearly degenerate neutrinos. The quoted limit is on the sum of the light neutrino masses.
- $^{26}$  CROFT 99 result based on the power spectrum of the Ly  $\alpha$  forest. If  $\Omega_{\rm matter} <$  0.5, the limit is improved to  $m_{\nu} <$  2.4  $(\Omega_{\rm matter}/0.17\text{--}1)$  eV.

# Limits on MASSES of Light Stable Right-Handed $\nu$ (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT I	D	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use th	ne following data for avera	ges, fits	, limits, e	etc. • • •
<100-200	<sup>1</sup> OLIVE	82	COSM	Dirac $ u$
<200-2000	$^{ m 1}$ OLIVE	82	COSM	Majorana $ u$
$^{ m 1}$ Depending on intera	action strength $G_R$ where	$G_R < 0$	Gϝ.	

# Limits on MASSES of Heavy Stable Right-Handed $\nu$ (with necessarily suppressed interaction strengths)

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_	,		
VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT I	D	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the follow	wing data for avera	ges, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •	
> 10	$^{ m 1}$ OLIVE	82	COSM	$G_R/G_F$ <0.1	
>100	$^{ m 1}$ OLIVE	82	COSM	$G_R/G_F$ < 0.01	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These results apply to heavy Majorana neutrinos and are summarized by the equation:  $m_{\nu} > 1.2 \text{ GeV } (G_F/G_R)$ . The bound saturates, and if  $G_R$  is too small no mass range is allowed.

#### $\nu$ CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge	e) <i>CL%</i>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use th	e following	g data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$< 3.7 \times 10^{-12}$	90	<sup>1</sup> GNINENKO		RVUE	Nuclear reactor
$< 2 \times 10^{-14}$		<sup>2</sup> RAFFELT		ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$		<sup>3</sup> RAFFELT		ASTR	Solar cooling
$<4 \times 10^{-4}$		<sup>4</sup> BABU		RVUE	BEBC beam dump
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$		<sup>5</sup> DAVIDSON			$SLAC\ e^-$ beam dump
$< 2 \times 10^{-15}$		<sup>6</sup> BARBIELLINI	87	ASTR	SN 1987A
$< 1 \times 10^{-13}$		<sup>7</sup> BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar energy losses

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  GNINENKO 07 use limit on  $\overline{\nu}_e$  magnetic moment from LI 03B to derive this result. The limit is considerably weaker than the limits on the charge of  $\nu_e$  and  $\overline{\nu}_e$  from various astrophysics considerations.

#### u (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures  $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \; \Gamma_j \; m_j\right]^{-1}$ , where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. Some of the limits constrain the radiative decay and are based on the limit of the corresponding photon flux. Other apply to the decay of a heavier neutrino into the lighter one and a Majoron or other invisible particle. Many of these limits apply to any  $\nu$  within the indicated mass range.

Limits on the radiative decay are either directly based on the limits of the corresponding photon flux, or are derived from the limits on the neutrino magnetic moments. In the later case the transition rate for  $\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_i + \gamma$ 

is constrained by 
$$\Gamma_{ij}=rac{1}{ au_{ij}}=rac{(m_i^2-m_j^2)^3}{m_i^3}~\mu_{ij}^2$$
 where  $\mu_{ij}$  is the neutrino

transition moment in the mass eigenstates basis. Typically, the limits on lifetime based on the magnetic moments are many orders of magnitude more restrictive than limits based on the nonobservation of photons.

VALUE (s/eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 15.4	90	<sup>1</sup> KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	$\overline{ u_{\mu},\overline{ u}_{\mu}}$ at LAMPF
> 7 × 10 <sup>9</sup>		<sup>2</sup> RAFFELT		ASTR	r r
> 300	90	<sup>3</sup> REINES	74	CNTR	$\overline{ u}_{e}$

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  BABU 94 use COOPER-SARKAR 92 limit on  $\nu$  magnetic moment to derive quoted result. It applies to  $\nu_\tau.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> DAVIDSON 91 use data from early SLAC electron beam dump experiment to derive charge limit as a function of neutrino mass. It applies to  $\nu_{\tau}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Exact BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field. It applies to  $\nu_{\rm p}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The limit applies to all flavors.

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •  $\frac{105}{100}$   $\frac{1010}{100}$   $\frac{4}{100}$   $\frac{4}{100}$   $\frac{4}{100}$   $\frac{11}{100}$   $\frac{11}{100}$   $\frac{4}{100}$   $\frac{11}{100}$   $\frac{11}{1$ 

> 1	$0^5 - 10^{-1}$	<sub>)</sub> 10	95	<sup>4</sup> CECCHINI	11	ASTR	$\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$ radiative decay
			90	<sup>5</sup> MIRIZZI	07	CMB	radiative decay
			90	<sup>6</sup> MIRIZZI	07	CIB	radiative decay
				<sup>7</sup> WONG	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{ u}_e$
>	0.11		90	<sup>8</sup> XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor $\nu_e$
				<sup>9</sup> XIN	05	CNTR	Reactor $\nu_e$
>	0.004		90	<sup>10</sup> AHARMIM	04	SNO	quasidegen. $ u$ masses
>	4.4	$\times$ 10 <sup>-5</sup>	90	<sup>10</sup> AHARMIM	04	SNO	hierarchical $ u$ masses
$\gtrsim$	100		95	<sup>11</sup> CECCHINI	04	ASTR	Radiative decay for $ u$ mass $> 0.01$ eV
>	0.067		90	12 EGUCHI	04	KLND	quasidegen. $ u$ masses
>	1.1	$\times 10^{-3}$	90	12 EGUCHI	04	KLND	hierarchical $ u$ masses
>	8.7	$\times 10^{-5}$	99	13 BANDYOPA		FIT	nonradiative decay
$\geq 4$	200	-	90	14 DERBIN	<b>02</b> B	CNTR	Solar $pp$ and Be $\nu$
>	2.8	$\times 10^{-5}$	99	15 JOSHIPURA	<b>02</b> B	FIT	nonradiative decay
				16 DOLGOV	99	COSM	
		15		<sup>17</sup> BILLER	98	ASTR	$m_{ u} = 0.05 1 \text{ eV}$
>	2.8	$\times 10^{15}$		18,19 BLUDMAN	92	ASTR	$m_{ m  u} <$ 50 eV
		$^{2} - 5 \times 10^{4}$		<sup>20</sup> DODELSON	92	ASTR	$m_{ u}$ =1-300 keV
< :	$10^{-12}$	or $> 5 \times 10^4$		<sup>20</sup> DODELSON	92	ASTR	$m_{\nu}$ =1–300 keV
				<sup>21</sup> GRANEK	91	COSM	Decaying $L^0$
>	6.4		90	<sup>22</sup> KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	$ u_{m{e}}$ at LAMPF
>	1.1	$\times 10^{15}$		<sup>23</sup> WALKER	90	ASTR	$m_{ u} = 0.03 - \sim 2 \; \mathrm{MeV}$
>	6.3	$\times$ 10 <sup>15</sup>		<sup>19,24</sup> CHUPP	89	ASTR	$m_{ u} < 20 \; \mathrm{eV}$
>	1.7	$\times$ 10 <sup>15</sup>		<sup>19</sup> KOLB	89	ASTR	$m_{ u} < 20 \; \mathrm{eV}$
				<sup>25</sup> RAFFELT	89	RVUE	$\overline{ u}$ (Dirac, Majorana)
				<sup>26</sup> RAFFELT	<b>89</b> B	ASTR	
>	8.3	$\times$ 10 <sup>14</sup>		<sup>27</sup> VONFEILIT	88	ASTR	
>	22		68	<sup>28</sup> OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)
>	38		68	<sup>28</sup> OBERAUER	87		$\overline{ u}$ (Majorana)
>	59		68	<sup>28</sup> OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_L$ (Dirac)
>	30		68	KETOV	86		$\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac)
>	20		68	KETOV	86		$\overline{ u}$ (Majorana)
				<sup>29</sup> BINETRUY	84		$m_{ m  u} \sim ~1~{ m MeV}$
>	0.11	21	90	30 FRANK	81		$ u \overline{ u}$ LAMPF
>	2	$\times 10^{21}$		31 STECKER	80		$m_{ u} =$ 10–100 eV
>	1.0	$\times 10^{-2}$	90	30 BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC	$ u_{\mu}$ , CERN GGM
>	1.7	$\times$ 10 <sup>-2</sup>	90	<sup>30</sup> BLIETSCHAU	78	HLBC	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}$ , CERN GGM
<	3	$ imes$ 10 $^{-11}$		<sup>32</sup> FALK	78	ASTR	$m_{\nu}$ <10 MeV
>	2.2	$\times$ 10 <sup>-3</sup>	90	30 BARNES	77	DBC	ν, ANL 12-ft
				<sup>33</sup> COWSIK	77	ASTR	
>	3.	$\times$ 10 <sup>-3</sup>	90	<sup>30</sup> BELLOTTI	76	HLBC	u, CERN GGM
>	1.3	$\times$ 10 <sup>-2</sup>	90	<sup>30</sup> BELLOTTI	76	HLBC	
1					2		

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit  $\tau/m_{\nu_1}>(0.75a^2+21.65a+26.3)\,\mathrm{s/eV},$  where a is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as  $dN_{\gamma}/d\mathrm{cos}\theta=(1/2)(1+a\cos\theta)$  The parameter a=0 for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a=-1).

- <sup>2</sup> RAFFELT 85 limit on the radiative decay is from solar x- and  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on  $\nu$  flux from  $p\,p$ , now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be > 0.5 of expectation.
- $^3$  REINES 74 looked for  $\nu$  of nonzero mass decaying radiatively to a neutral of lesser mass  $+~\gamma.$  Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime  $6\times10^7$  s or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV. To obtain the limit  $6\times10^7$  s REINES 74 assumed that the full  $\overline{\nu}_e$  reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval 0.1 MeV 0.5 MeV. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.
- $^4$  CECCHINI 11 search for radiative decays of solar neutrinos into visible photons during the 2006 total solar eclipse. The range of (mean life)/mass values corresponds to a range of  $\nu_1$  masses between  $10^{-4}$  and 0.1 eV.
- $^5$  MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the maximum allowed distortion of the CMB spectrum as measured by the COBE/FIRAS. For the decay  $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1$  the lifetime limit is  $\lesssim 4 \times 10^{20}$  s for  $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$  eV. For transition with the  $|\Delta m_{31}|$  mass difference the lifetime limit is  $\sim 2 \times 10^{19}$  s for  $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$  eV and  $\sim 5 \times 10^{20}$  s for  $m_{min} \gtrsim 0.14$  eV.
- <sup>6</sup> MIRIZZI 07 determine a limit on the neutrino radiative decay from analysis of the cosmic infrared background (CIB) using the Spitzer Observatory data. For transition with the  $|\Delta m_{31}|$  mass difference they obtain the lifetime limit  $\sim 10^{20}$  s for  $m_{min} \lesssim 0.14$  eV.
- $^7$  WONG 07 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment together with the assumed experimental value of  $\Delta m_{13}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{eV}^2$  to obtain  $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 3.2 \times 10^{27} \ \text{s/eV}^3$  for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for  $\tau_{23}$  and  $\tau_{21}$ .
- $^8$  XIN 05 search for the  $\gamma$  from radiative decay of  $\nu_e$  produced by the electron capture on  $^{51}{\rm Cr.}$  No events were seen and the limit on  $\tau/m_{\nu}$  was derived. This is a weaker limit on the decay of  $\nu_e$  than KRAKAUER 91.
- $^9$  XIN 05 use their limit on the neutrino magnetic moment of  $\nu_e$  together with the assumed experimental value of  $\Delta m_{1,3}^2 \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{eV^2}$  to obtain  $\tau_{13}/m_1^3 > 1 \times 10^{23} \, \mathrm{s/eV^3}$  for the radiative decay in the case of the inverted mass hierarchy. Similarly to RAFFELT 89 this limit can be violated if electric and magnetic moments are equal to each other. Analogous, but numerically somewhat different limits are obtained for  $\tau_{23}$  and  $\tau_{21}$ . Again, this limit is specific for  $\nu_e$ .
- $^{10}$  AHARMIM 04 obtained these results from the solar  $\overline{\nu}_e$  flux limit set by the SNO measurement assuming  $\nu_2$  decay through nonradiative process  $\nu_2 \to \overline{\nu}_1 X$ , where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- $^{11}$  CECCHINI 04 obtained this bound through the observations performed on the occasion of the 21 June 2001 total solar eclipse, looking for visible photons from radiative decays of solar neutrinos. Limit is a  $\tau/m_{\nu_2}$  in  $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1 \gamma.$  Limit ranges from  $\sim 100$  to  $10^7$  s/eV for  $0.01 < m_{\nu_1} < 0.1$  eV.
- <sup>12</sup> EGUCHI 04 obtained these results from the solar  $\overline{\nu}_e$  flux limit set by the KamLAND measurement assuming  $\nu_2$  decay through nonradiative process  $\nu_2 \to \overline{\nu}_1 X$ , where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- $^{13}$  The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by BANDYOPADHYAY 03 is for  $\nu_2$ . They obtained this result using the following solar-neutrino data: total rates measured in Cl and Ga experiments, the Super-Kamiokande's zenith-angle spectra, and SNO's day and night spectra. They assumed that  $\nu_1$  is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and  $\nu_2$  decays through nonradiative Majoron emission process,  $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 + J$ , or through nonradiative process with all the final state particles being sterile. The best fit is obtained in the region of the LMA solution.

- $^{14}$  DERBIN 02B (also BACK 03B) obtained this bound for the radiative decay from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). The laboratory gamma spectrum is given as  $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2) (1+$  $\alpha\cos\theta$ ) with  $\alpha=0$  for a Majorana neutrino, and  $\alpha$  varying to -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The listed bound is for the case of  $\alpha$ =0. The most conservative bound  $1.5 \times 10^3$  s eV<sup>-1</sup> is obtained for the case of  $\alpha = -1$ .
- $^{15}$  The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by JOSHIPURA 02B is for  $\nu_2$ . They obtained this result from the total rates measured in all solar neutrino experiments. They assumed that  $\nu_1$  is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and  $\nu_2$ decays through nonradiative process like Majoron emission decay,  $u_2 
  ightarrow \ 
  u_1' + \ J$  where  $u_1'$  state is sterile. The exact limit depends on the specific solution of the solar neutrino problem. The quoted limit is for the LMA solution.
- $^{16}$  DOLGOV 99 places limits in the (Majorana) au-associated u mass-lifetime plane based on nucleosynthesis. Results would be considerably modified if neutrino oscillations exist.
- $^{17}$ BILLER 98 use the observed TeV  $\gamma$ -ray spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows  $au_
  u/{\sf B}_\gamma>0.15 imes10^{21}$  s at 0.05 eV,  $> 1.2 \times 10^{21}$  s at 0.17 eV,  $> 3 \times 10^{21}$  s at 1 eV, where B<sub> $\gamma$ </sub> is the branching ratio to photons.
- $^{
  m 18}$  BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.
- $^{19}$  Limit on the radiative decay based on nonobservation of  $\gamma$ 's in coincidence with u's from
- $^{20}$  DODELSON 92 range is for wrong-helicity keV mass Dirac u's from the core of neutron star in SN 1987A decaying to  $\nu$ 's that would have interacted in KAM2 or IMB detectors.
- <sup>21</sup> GRANEK 91 considers heavy neutrino decays to  $\gamma \nu_L$  and  $3 \nu_L$ , where  $m_{\nu_L} <$ 100 keV. Lifetime is calculated as a function of heavy neutrino mass, branching ratio into  $\gamma \nu_I$ ,
- $^{22}\,\text{KRAKAUER}$  91 quotes the limit for  $\nu_{e},\,\tau/m_{\nu}\,>\,(0.3a^2\,+\,9.8a\,+\,15.9)\,\text{s/eV},$  where a is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the radiative neutrino decay defined as  $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1+a\cos\theta)$  a=0 for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1to  $\dot{1}$  for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).
- $^{23}\mbox{WALKER}$  90 uses SN 1987A  $\gamma$  flux limits after 289 days.
- $^{24}$  CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.
- $^{25}$  RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain  $\tau m^3>3\times 10^{18}\,{\rm s}$  eV $^3$  (based on  $\overline{\nu}_e\,e^-$  cross sections). The bound for the radiative decay is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.
- $^{26}$  RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region 3 imes 10 $^{12}$  ~< au  $m^3$
- $_{27}\,<\,3\times10^{21}\,\text{s}\,\text{eV}^3.$  Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos. Quoted limit is for  $\left[\sum_{j}|U_{\ell j}|^{2}\Gamma_{j}m_{j}\right]^{-1}$ , where  $\ell=\mu$ ,  $\tau$ . Limit is  $3.3\times10^{14}$  s/eV for  $\ell=e$ .
- <sup>28</sup> OBERAUER 87 looks for photons and  $e^+e^-$  pairs from radiative decays of reactor neutrinos. 
  29 BINETRUY 84 finds  $\tau < 10^8$  s for neutrinos in a radiation-dominated universe. 
  30 These experiments look for  $\nu_k \to \nu_j \gamma$  or  $\overline{\nu}_k \to \overline{\nu}_j \gamma$ .

- <sup>31</sup> STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is  $\tau > 4 \times 10^{22}$  s at  $m_{\tau} = 20$  eV.
- $^{
  m 32}$  FALK 78 finds lifetime constraints based on supernova energetics.
- <sup>33</sup>COWSIK 77 considers variety of scenarios. For neutrinos produced in the big bang, present limits on optical photon flux require  $\tau > 10^{23}\,\mathrm{s}$  for  $m_{\nu} \sim 1$  eV. See also COWSIK 79 and GOLDMAN 79.

#### $\nu$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

The coupling of neutrinos to an electromagnetic field is a characterized by a  $3\times3$  matrix  $\lambda$  of the magnetic  $(\mu)$  and electric (d) dipole moments  $(\lambda=\mu$ - id). For Majorana neutrinos the matrix  $\lambda$  is antisymmetric and only transition moments are allowed, while for Dirac neutrinos  $\lambda$  is a general  $3\times3$  matrix. In the standard electroweak theory extended to include neutrino masses (see FUJIKAWA 80)  $\mu_{\nu}=3eG_{F}m_{\nu}/(8\pi^{2}\sqrt{2})=3.2\times10^{-19}(m_{\nu}/\text{eV})\mu_{B}$ , i.e. it is unobservably small given the known small neutrino masses. In more general models there is no longer a proportionality between neutrino mass and its magnetic moment, even though only massive neutrinos have nonvanishing magnetic moments without fine tuning.

Laboratory bounds on  $\lambda$  are obtained via elastic  $\nu\text{-}e$  scattering, where the scattered neutrino is not observed. The combinations of matrix elements of  $\lambda$  that are constrained by various experiments depend on the initial neutrino flavor and on its propagation between source and detector (e.g., solar  $\nu_e$  and reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e$  do not constrain the same combinations). The listings below therefore identify the initial neutrino flavor.

Other limits, e.g. from various stellar cooling processes, apply to all neutrino flavors. Analogous flavor independent, but weaker, limits are obtained from the analysis of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$  collider experiments.

VALU	$\rm JE(10^{-10}\;\mu_B)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<	0.32	90	<sup>1</sup> BEDA	10	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	6.8	90	<sup>2</sup> AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e$ , $\nu_\mu e$ scattering
< 3	3900	90	<sup>3</sup> SCHWIENHO.	.01	DONU	$\nu_{\tau}  \mathrm{e}^- \stackrel{'}{ o}  \nu_{\tau}  \mathrm{e}^-$
• •	• We do not use the	followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
<	2.2	90	<sup>4</sup> DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
< 0.	011-0.027		<sup>5</sup> KUZNETSOV	09	ASTR	$\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN1987A
<	0.54	90	<sup>6</sup> ARPESELLA	08A	BORX	Solar $\nu$ spectrum shape
<	0.58	90	<sup>7</sup> BEDA	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{ u}_e$
<	0.74	90	<sup>8</sup> WONG	07	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{ u}_e$
<	0.9	90	<sup>9</sup> DARAKTCH	05		Reactor $\overline{ u}_e$
<	130	90	<sup>10</sup> XIN	05	CNTR	
<	37	95	<sup>11</sup> GRIFOLS	04	FIT	Solar $^8$ B $^{ u}$ (SNO NC)
<	3.6	90	<sup>12</sup> LIU	04	SKAM	Solar $\nu$ spectrum shape
<	1.1	90	<sup>13</sup> LIU	04	SKAM	Solar $\nu$ spectrum shape (LMA region)
<	5.5	90	<sup>14</sup> BACK	<b>03</b> B	CNTR	
<	1.0	90	<sup>15</sup> DARAKTCH	03		Reactor $\overline{ u}_e$
<	1.3	90	16 <sub>LI</sub>	<b>03</b> B	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
<	2	90	<sup>17</sup> GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar $+$ reactor (Majorana $\nu$ )
<80	0000	90	<sup>18</sup> TANIMOTO	00	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
< 0.	01-0.04		<sup>19</sup> AYALA	99	ASTR	$\nu_I \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
<	1.5	90	<sup>20</sup> BEACOM	99	SKAM	u spectrum shape
<	0.03		<sup>21</sup> RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
<	4		<sup>22</sup> RAFFELT	99	ASTR	9
<44	1000	90	ABREU	97J	DLPH	$e^+e^-  ightarrow  u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<33	3000	90	<sup>23</sup> ACCIARRI	97Q	L3	$e^+e^-  o  u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
НΤ	TP://PDG.LBL.G	SOV	Page 14		Creat	ed: 7/12/2013 14:52

<	0.62		<sup>24</sup> ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
<2	7000	95	<sup>25</sup> ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu)$ at LEP
<	30	90	VILAIN	<b>95</b> B		$ u_{\mu}e ightarrow u_{\mu}e$
<5!	5000	90	GOULD	94	RVUE	${ m e^+e^-}  ightarrow   u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
<	1.9	95	<sup>26</sup> DERBIN	93	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}  e \to \overline{\nu}  e$
< !	5400	90	<sup>27</sup> COOPER	92	BEBC	$ u_{\scriptscriptstyle T}{ m e}^-  ightarrow   u_{\scriptscriptstyle T}{ m e}^-$
<	2.4	90	<sup>28</sup> VIDYAKIN	92		Reactor $\overline{\nu}e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}e$
< 56	5000	90	DESHPANDE	91	RVUE	$e^+e^-  ightarrow  u \overline{ u} \gamma$
<	100	95	<sup>29</sup> DORENBOS	91	CHRM	$ u_{\mu}e  ightarrow   u_{\mu}e$
<	8.5	90	AHRENS	90	CNTR	$ u_{\mu}e ightarrow u_{\mu}e$
<	10.8	90	<sup>30</sup> KRAKAUER	90		LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
<	7.4	90	<sup>30</sup> KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $(\nu_{\mu},  \overline{ u}_{\mu})  e$
			21			elast.
<	0.02		31 RAFFELT	90	ASTR	,
<	0.1		32 RAFFELT	<b>89</b> B		Cooling helium stars
			33 FUKUGITA	88		Primordial magn. fields
<40	0000	90	34 GROTCH	88		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
$\leq$	.3		32 RAFFELT	88B	ASTR	He burning stars
<	0.11		<sup>32</sup> FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
<	0.0006		<sup>35</sup> NUSSINOV	87	ASTR	
	1 0 0		MODGAN	0.1	60614	grounds
	1-0.2		MORGAN	81		<sup>4</sup> He abundance
<	0.85		BEG	78	ASTR	
<	0.6		<sup>36</sup> SUTHERLAND	76	ASTR	Red giants + degenerate dwarfs
<	81		<sup>37</sup> KIM	74	RVUE	$\overline{ u}_{\mu}e ightarrow\overline{ u}_{\mu}e$
<	1		BERNSTEIN	63		Solar cooling
<	14		COWAN	57	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{ u}$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  BEDA 10 report  $\overline{\nu}_e\,e^-$  scattering results, using the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant and a shielded Ge detector. The recoil electron spectrum is analyzed between 2.9 and 45 keV. Supersedes BEDA 07. This is the most stringent limit on the magnetic moment of reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e$ .

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  AUERBACH 01 limit is based on the LSND  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_\mu$  electron scattering measurements. The limit is slightly more stringent than KRAKAUER 90.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  SCHWIENHORST 01 quote an experimental sensitivity of  $4.9 \times 10^{-7}$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DENIZ 10 observe reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e \, e$  scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using Csl(Tl) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on  $\overline{\nu}_e$  magnetic moment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> KUZNETSOV 09 obtain a limit on the flavor averaged magnetic moment of Dirac neutrinos from the time averaged neutrino signal of SN1987A. Improves and supersedes the analysis of BARBIERI 88 and AYALA 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ARPESELLA 08A obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Borexino 192 live days of solar neutrino data.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  BEDA 07 performed search for electromagnetic  $\overline{\nu}_{e^-}e$  scattering at Kalininskaya nuclear reactor. A Ge detector with active and passive shield was used and the electron recoil spectrum between 3.0 and 61.3 keV analyzed. Superseded by BEDA 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> WONG 07 performed search for non-standard  $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield is used. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment of reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e$ . Supersedes LI 03B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> DARAKTCHIEVA 05 present the final analysis of the search for non-standard  $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction of both the kinetic energy above 700 keV and scattering angle of the recoil electron, by

- use of TPC. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic moment. Supersedes DARAK-TCHIEVA 03.
- 10 XIN 05 evaluated the  $\nu_e$  flux at the Kuo-Sheng nuclear reactor and searched for non-standard  $\nu_e$ -e scattering. Ge detector equipped with active anti-Compton shield was used. This laboratory limit on magnetic moment is considerably less stringent than the limits for reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e$ , but is specific to  $\nu_e$ .
- <sup>11</sup> GRIFOLS 04 obtained this bound using the SNO data of the solar <sup>8</sup>B neutrino flux measured with deuteron breakup. This bound applies to  $\mu_{\rm eff} = (\mu_{21}^2 + \mu_{22}^2 + \mu_{23}^2)^{1/2}$ .
- <sup>12</sup> LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 days of solar neutrino data. Neutrinos are assumed to have only diagonal magnetic moments,  $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$ . This limit corresponds to the oscillation parameters in the vacuum oscillation region.
- $^{13}$  LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 live-day solar neutrino data, by limiting the oscillation parameter region in the LMA region allowed by solar neutrino experiments plus KamLAND.  $\mu_{\nu 1}=\mu_{\nu 2}$  is assumed. In the LMA region, the same limit would be obtained even if neutrinos have off-diagonal magnetic moments.
- $^{14}$  BACK 03B obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). Standard Solar Model flux was assumed. This  $\mu_{\nu}$  can be different from the reactor  $\mu_{\nu}$  in certain oscillation scenarios (see BEACOM 99).
- $^{15}$  DARAKTCHIEVA 03 searched for non-standard  $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction by use of TPC. Superseded by DARAKTCHIEVA 05.
- $^{16}$  LI 03B used Ge detector in active shield near nuclear reactor to test for nonstandard  $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering.
- $^{17}$  GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of  $6.3\times 10^{-10}\mu_{B}$  is obtained.
- <sup>18</sup> TANIMOTO 00 combined  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$  data from VENUS, TOPAZ, and AMY.
- <sup>19</sup> AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.
- <sup>20</sup> BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This  $\mu_{IJ}$  can be different from the reactor  $\mu_{IJ}$  in certain oscillation scenarios.
- <sup>21</sup> RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- $^{22}\,\text{RAFFELT}$  99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- <sup>23</sup> ACCIARRI 97Q result applies to both direct and transition magnetic moments and for  $q^2$ =0.
- <sup>24</sup> ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- <sup>25</sup> Applies to absolute value of magnetic moment.
- <sup>26</sup> DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as (1.28  $\pm$  0.63)  $\times$   $\sigma_{\rm weak}$ . However, the (reactor on reactor off)/(reactor off) is only  $\sim$  1/100.
- <sup>27</sup>COOPER-SARKAR 92 assume  $f_{D_S}/f_\pi=2$  and  $D_S$ ,  $\overline{D}_S$  production cross section = 2.6  $\mu b$  to calculate  $\nu$  flux.

- $^{28}$  VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a  $e\overline{\nu}_e$  elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses  $\sin^2\!\theta_W=0.23$  as input.
- <sup>29</sup> DORENBOSCH 91 corrects an incorrect statement in DORENBOSCH 89 that the  $\nu$  magnetic moment is  $< 1 \times 10^{-9}$  at the 95%CL. DORENBOSCH 89 measures both  $\nu_{\mu}$  e and  $\overline{\nu}e$  elastic scattering and assume  $\mu(\nu) = \mu(\overline{\nu})$ .
- <sup>30</sup> KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- $^{31}$  RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives < 1.4  $\times$  10 $^{-12}$ . Limit at 95%CL obtained from  $\delta M_{C}$ .
- <sup>32</sup> Significant dependence on details of stellar models.
- <sup>33</sup> FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by  $\mu < 10^{-16} \ [10^{-9} \ G/B_0]$  where  $B_0$  is the present-day intergalactic field strength.
- $^{34}\,\mbox{GROTCH}$  88 combined data from MAC, ASP, CELLO, and Mark J.
- $^{35}$  For  $m_{\nu}=$  8–200 eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for  $\nu_{\mu}\to\nu_{e}$  and obtain < 3  $\times$  10 $^{-15}$  for  $m_{\nu}$  > 16 eV and < 6  $\times$  10 $^{-14}$  for  $m_{\nu}$  > 4 eV.
- $^{36}$  We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit f < 1/3.
- $^{37}\,\mathrm{KIM}$  74 is a theoretical analysis of  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  reaction data.

#### **NEUTRINO CHARGE RADIUS SQUARED**

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FUJIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

$VALUE (10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
-2.1 to 3.3	90	<sup>1</sup> DENIZ	10	TEXO	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$ e	
● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ●						
-0.53 to $0.68$	90	<sup>2</sup> HIRSCH	03		$ u_{\mu}$ e scat.	
-8.2 to $9.9$	90	<sup>3</sup> HIRSCH	03		anomalous $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$	
-2.97 to $4.14$	90	<sup>4</sup> AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e \rightarrow \nu_e e$	
-0.6 to $0.6$	90	VILAIN	<b>95</b> B	CHM2	$ u_{\mu} e$ elastic scat.	
$0.9 \pm 2.7$		ALLEN	93	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu  e  ightarrow  \nu  e$	
< 2.3	95	MOURAO	92	ASTR	HOME/KAM2 $ u$ rates	
< 7.3	90	<sup>5</sup> VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}e \rightarrow \overline{\nu}e$	
$1.1 \pm 2.3$		ALLEN	91	CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93	
$-1.1\ \pm1.0$		<sup>6</sup> AHRENS	90	CNTR	$ u_{\mu}e$ elastic scat.	
$-0.3\ \pm1.5$		<sup>6</sup> DORENBOS	89		$\nu_{\mu}^{F}$ e elastic scat.	
		<sup>7</sup> GRIFOLS	<b>89</b> B		SN 1987A	

- <sup>1</sup> DENIZ 10 observe reactor  $\overline{\nu}_e \, e$  scattering with recoil kinetic energies 3–8 MeV using CsI(TI) detectors. The observed rate and spectral shape are consistent with the Standard Model prediction, leading to the reported constraint on  $\overline{\nu}_e$  charge radius.
- $^2$  Based on analysis of CCFR 98 results. Limit is on  $\langle {\rm r}_V^2 \rangle + \langle {\rm r}_A^2 \rangle$ . The CHARM II and E734 at BNL results are reanalyzed, and weaker bounds on the charge radius squared than previously published are obtained. The NuTeV result is discussed; when tentatively interpreted as  $\nu_\mu$  charge radius it implies  $\langle {\rm r}_V^2 \rangle + \langle {\rm r}_A^2 \rangle = (4.20 \pm 1.64) \times 10^{-33} \ {\rm cm}^2$ .
- <sup>3</sup> Results of LEP-2 are interpreted as limits on the axial-vector charge radius squared of a Majorana  $\nu_{\tau}$ . Slightly weaker limits for both vector and axial-vector charge radius squared are obtained for the Dirac case, and somewhat weaker limits are obtained from the analysis of lower energy data (LEP-1.5 and TRISTAN).
- $^4$  AUERBACH 01 measure  $\nu_e\,e$  elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.
- <sup>5</sup> VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a  $e\overline{\nu}$  elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses  $\sin^2\theta_W=0.23$  as input.
- <sup>6</sup> Result is obtained from reanalysis given in ALLEN 91, followed by our reduction to obtain  $1 \sigma$  errors.
- $^7$  GRIFOLS 89B sets a limit of  $\langle r^2 \rangle < 0.2 \times 10^{-32} \, {\rm cm}^2$  for right-handed neutrinos.

#### **REFERENCES FOR Neutrino Properties**

MORESCO	12	JCAP 1207 053	M. Moresco <i>et al</i> .	
RIEMER-SOR		PR D85 081101	S. Riemer-Sorensen <i>et al.</i>	
XIA	12	JCAP 1206 010	JQ. Xia et al.	
ASEEV	11	PR D84 112003	V.N. Aseev et al.	
CECCHINI	11	ASP 34 486	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>	
SAITO	11	PR D83 043529	S. Saito, M. Takada, A. Taruya	
BEDA	10	PPNL 7 406	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	(GEMMA Collab.)
DENIZ	10	PR D81 072001	M. Deniz <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)
HANNESTAD	10	JCAP 1008 001	S. Hannestad <i>et al.</i>	(TEXOTTO COND.)
PAGLIAROLI	10	ASP 33 287	G. Pagliaroli, F. Rossi-Torres, E. Viss	ani (INFN+)
SEKIGUCHI	10	JCAP 1003 015	T. Sekiguchi <i>et al.</i>	(111111)
THOMAS	10	PRL 105 031301	S.A. Thomas, F.B. Abdalla, O. Laha	v (LOUC)
ICHIKI	09	PR D79 023520	K. Ichiki, M. Takada, T. Takahashi	(====)
KOMATSU	09	APJS 180 330	E. Komatsu <i>et al.</i>	
KUZNETSOV	09	IJMP A24 5977	A.V. Kuznetsov, N.V. Mikheev, A.A.	Okrugin (YARO)
TERENO	09	AA 500 657	I. Tereno <i>et al.</i>	
VIKHLININ	09	APJ 692 1060	A. Vikhlinin et al.	
ARPESELLA	08A	PRL 101 091302	C. Arpesella <i>et al</i> .	(Borexino Collab.)
BERNARDIS	08	PR D78 083535	F. De Bernardis <i>et al.</i>	( ,
BEDA	07	PAN 70 1873	A.G. Beda <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 70	1925.	
FOGLI	07	PR D75 053001	G.L. Fogli <i>et al.</i>	
GNINENKO	07	PR D75 075014	S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, A. R	lubbia
KRISTIANSEN	07	PR D75 083510	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Dahle	
MIRIZZI	07	PR D76 053007	A. Mirizzi, D. Montanino, P.D. Serpi	СО
SPERGEL	07	APJS 170 377	D.N. Spergel et al.	
WONG	07	PR D75 012001	H.T. Wong <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)
ZUNCKEL	07	JCAP 0708 004	C. Zunckel, P. Ferreira	
CIRELLI	06	JCAP 0612 013	M. Cirelli <i>et al.</i>	
FUKUGITA	06	PR D74 027302	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>	
GOOBAR	06	JCAP 0606 019	A. Goobar <i>et al.</i>	
HANNESTAD	06	JCAP 0611 016	S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt	
KRISTIANSEN	06	PR D74 123005	J. Kristiansen, O. Elgaroy, H. Erikser	1
SANCHEZ	06	MNRAS 366 189	A.G. Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	
SELJAK	06	JCAP 0610 014	U. Seljak, A. Slosar, P. McDonald	
DARAKTCH	05	PL B615 153	Z. Daraktchieva et al.	(MUNU Collab.)
ICHIKAWA	05	PR D71 043001	K. Ichikawa, M. Fukugita, M. Kawas	aki (ICRR)
KRAUS	05	EPJ C40 447	Ch. Kraus <i>et al.</i>	
XIN	05	PR D72 012006	B. Xin et al.	(TEXONO Collab.)
AHARMIM	04	PR D70 093014	B. Aharmim et al.	(SNO Collab.)

BARGER	04	PL B595 55	V. Barger, D. Marfatia, A. Tregre
CECCHINI	04	ASP 21 183	S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i> (BGNA+)
CROTTY	04	PR D69 123007	P. Crotty, J. Lesgourgues, S. Pastor
EGUCHI	04	PRL 92 071301	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i> (KamLAND Collab.)
GRIFOLS	04	PL B587 184	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso, S. Mohanty (BARC, AHMED)
LIU	04	PRL 93 021802	D.W. Liu <i>et al.</i> (Super-Kamiokande Collab.)
ARNABOLDI	03A	PRL 91 161802	C. Arnaboldi et al.
BACK	03A	PL B563 35	H.O. Back <i>et al.</i> (Borexino Collab.)
BANDYOPA	036	PL B555 33	
BERNABEU	03		A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami (SAHA+)
		hep-ph/0303202	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal
DARAKTCH	03	PL B564 190	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i> (MUNU Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	03	hep-ph/0303188	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock
HIRSCH	03	PR D67 033005	M. Hirsch et al.
LI	03B	PRL 90 131802	H.B. Li et al. (TEXONO Collab.)
SPERGEL	03	APJS 148 175	D.N. Spergel et al.
BERNABEU	02	PRL 89 101802	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal
Also	000	PRL 89 229902 (errat)	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal
DERBIN	02B	JETPL 76 409	A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov
CDIMILIC	02	Translated from ZETFP	
GRIMUS	02	NP B648 376	W. Grimus et al.
JOSHIPURA	02B	PR D66 113008	A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty
LEWIS	02	PR D66 103511	A. Lewis, S. Bridle
LOREDO	02	PR D65 063002	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb
WANG	02	PR D65 123001	X. Wang, M. Tegmark, M. Zaldarriaga
AUERBACH	01	PR D63 112001	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i> (LSND Collab.)
SCHWIENHO		PL B513 23	R. Schwienhorst <i>et al.</i> (DONUT Collab.)
ATHANAS	00	PR D61 052002	M. Athanas <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)
BERNABEU	00	PR D62 113012	J. Bernabeu <i>et al.</i>
FUKUGITA	00	PRL 84 1082	M. Fukugita, G.C. Liu, N. Sugiyama
TANIMOTO	00	PL B478 1	N. Tanimoto <i>et al.</i>
AYALA	99	PR D59 111901	A. Ayala, J.C. D'Olivo, M. Torres
BEACOM	99	PRL 83 5222	J.F. Beacom, P. Vogel
CROFT	99	PRL 83 1092	R.A.C. Croft, W. Hu, R. Dave
DOLGOV	99	NP B548 385	A.D. Dolgov et al.
LOBASHEV	99	PL B460 227	V.M. Lobashev et al.
RAFFELT	99	PRPL 320 319	G.G. Raffelt
WEINHEIMER	99	PL B460 219	Ch. Weinheimer et al.
ACKERSTAFF	98T	EPJ C5 229	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> (OPAL Collab.)
AMMAR	98	PL B431 209	R. Ammar et al. (CLEO Collab.)
BARATE	98F	EPJ C2 395	R. Barate et al. (ALEPH Collab.)
BILLER	98	PRL 80 2992	S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPPLE Collab.)
FELDMAN	98	PR D57 3873	G.J. Feldman, R.D. Cousins
LENZ	98	PL B416 50	S. Lenz et al.
ABREU	97 J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu et al. (DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97Q	PL B412 201	M. Acciarri et al. (L3 Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	97	PR D55 2559	A. Anastassov et al. (CLEO Collab.)
Also		PR D58 119903 (erratun	n A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i> (CLEO Collab.)
ELMFORS	97	NP B503 3	P. Elmfors et al.
<b>ESCRIBANO</b>	97	PL B395 369	R. Escribano, E. Masso (BARC, PARIT)
FIELDS	97	ASP 6 169	B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive (NDAM+)
SWAIN	97	PR D55 R1	J. Swain, L. Taylor (NEAS)
ALEXANDER	96M	ZPHY C72 231	G. Alexander et al. (OPAL Collab.)
ASSAMAGAN	96	PR D53 6065	K.A. Assamagan <i>et al.</i> (PSI, ZURI, VILL+)
BAI	96	PR D53 20	J.Z. Bai et al. (BES Collab.)
BOTTINO	96	PR D53 6361	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i>
DOLGOV	96	PL B383 193	A.D. Dolgov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle (IFIC, VALE)
HANNESTAD	96	PRL 76 2848	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH)
HANNESTAD	96B	PRL 77 5148 (erratum)	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH)
HANNESTAD	96C	PR D54 7894	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen (AARH)
SOBIE	96	ZPHY C70 383	R.J. Sobie, R.K. Keeler, I. Lawson (VICT)
BELESEV	95	PL B350 263	A.I. Belesev <i>et al.</i> (INRM, KIAE)
BUSKULIC	95H	PL B349 585	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> (ALEPH Collab.)
CHING	95	IJMP A10 2841	C.R. Ching et al. (CST, BEIJT, CIAE)
DOLGOV	95	PR D51 4129	A.D. Dolgov, K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein (MICH+)
HIDDEMANN	95	JPG 21 639	K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwentker (MUNT)
KERNAN	95	NP B437 243	P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss (CASE)
·-·······			
SIGL		PR D51 1499	G. Sigl. M.S. Turner (FNAL FFI)
SIGL STOFFFI	95	PR D51 1499 PRI 75 3237	G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (FNAL, EFI) W. Stoeffl, D. I. Decman (LLNL)
STOEFFL	95 95	PRL 75 3237	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman (LLNL)
STOEFFL VILAIN	95 95 95B	PRL 75 3237 PL B345 115	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman (LLNL) P. Vilain et al. (CHARM II Collab.)
STOEFFL	95 95	PRL 75 3237	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman (LLNL)

DODELSON GOULD JECKELMANN KAWASAKI PERES YASUMI ALLEN BALEST CINABRO DERBIN	94 94 94 94 94 93 93 93	PR D49 5068 PL B333 545 PL B335 326 NP B419 105 PR D50 513 PL B334 229 PR D47 11 PR D47 R3671 PRL 70 3700 JETPL 57 768	S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M.S. Tur T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein B. Jeckelmann, P.F.A. Goudsmit M. Kawasaki <i>et al.</i> O.L.G. Peres, V. Pleitez, R. Zuk S. Yasumi <i>et al.</i> R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i> D. Cinabro <i>et al.</i> A.V. Derbin <i>et al.</i>	`(JHU, MICH) , H.J. Leisi (WABRN+) (OSU)
DOLGOV		Translated from ZETFP !	57 755.	,
ENQVIST	93 93	PRL 71 476 PL B301 376	A.D. Dolgov, I.Z. Rothstein K. Enqvist, H. Uibo	(MICH) (NORD)
SUN	93	CJNP 15 261	H.C. Sun <i>et al.</i>	(CIAE, CST, BEIJT)
WEINHEIMER		PL B300 210	C. Weinheimer et al.	(MANZ)
ALBRECHT	92M	PL B292 221	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BLUDMAN COOPER	92 92	PR D45 4720 PL B280 153	S.A. Bludman	(CFPA) (BEBC WA66 Collab.)
DODELSON	92	PRL 68 2572	A.M. Cooper-Sarkar <i>et al.</i> S. Dodelson, J.A. Frieman, M.S.	`
HOLZSCHUH	92B	PL B287 381	E. Holzschuh, M. Fritschi, W. K	`
KAWANO	92	PL B275 487	L.H. Kawano <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, UCSD, LLL+)
MOURAO	92	PL B285 364	A.M. Mourao, J. Pulido, J.P. Ra	
PDG	92	PR D45 S1	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)
VIDYAKIN	92	JETPL 55 206	G.S. Vidyakin et al.	` (KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP !		, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
ALLEN	91	PR D43 R1	R.C. Allen et al.	(UCI, LANL, UMD)
DAVIDSON	91	PR D43 2314	S. Davidson, B.A. Campbell, D.	
DESHPANDE	91 91	PR D43 943	N.G. Deshpande, K.V.L. Sarma	(CHARM Callah)
DORENBOS FULLER	91	ZPHY C51 142 (erratum PR D43 3136	G.M. Fuller, R.A. Malaney	(CHARM Collab.) (UCSD)
GRANEK	91	IJMP A6 2387	H. Granek, B.H.J. McKellar	(MELB)
KAWAKAMI	91	PL B256 105		(INUS, TOHOK, TINT+)
KOLB	91	PRL 67 533	E.W. Kolb <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, CHIC)
KRAKAUER	91	PR D44 R6	D.A. Krakauer et al.	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
LAM	91	PR D44 3345	W.P. Lam, K.W. Ng	(AST)
ROBERTSON	91	PRL 67 957	R.G.H. Robertson et al.	(LASL, LLL)
AHRENS	90	PR D41 3297	L.A. Ahrens et al.	(BNL, BROW, HIRO+)
AVIGNONE	90	PR D41 682	F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar	(SCUC)
KRAKAUER	90	PL B252 177	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
RAFFELT	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
WALKER CHUPP	90 89	PR D41 689	T.P. Walker	(HARV)
DORENBOS	89	PRL 62 505 ZPHY C41 567	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C. J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	Reppin (UNH, MPIM) (CHARM Collab.)
GRIFOLS	89B	PR D40 3819	J.A. Grifols, E. Masso	(BARC)
KOLB	89	PRL 62 509	E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, FNAL)
LOREDO	89	ANYAS 571 601	T.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	` (CHIC)
RAFFELT	89	PR D39 2066	G.G. Raffelt	(PRIN, UCB)
RAFFELT	89B	APJ 336 61	G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk	(UCB, LLL)
ALBRECHT	88B	PL B202 149	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARBIERI	88	PRL 61 27	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra	(PISA, UMD)
BORIS	88	PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
FUKUGITA GROTCH	88 88	PRL 60 879 ZPHY C39 553	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i> H. Grotch, R.W. Robinett	(KYOTU, MPIM, UCB) (PSU)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt, D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB, LLL)
SPERGEL	88	PL B200 366	D.N. Spergel, J.N. Bahcall	(IAS)
VONFEILIT	88	PL B200 580	F. von Feilitzsch, L. Oberauer	(MUNT)
BARBIELLINI	87	NAT 329 21	G. Barbiellini, G. Cocconi	`(CERN)
BORIS	87	PRL 58 2019	S.D. Boris et al.	(ITEP, ASCI)
Also		PRL 61 245 (erratum)	S.D. Boris et al.	(ITEP, ASCI)
BORIS	87B	JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
ELIKLICITA	07	Translated from ZETFP	45 267. M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki	(KYOTU, TOKY)
FUKUGITA NUSSINOV	87 87	PR D36 3817 PR D36 2278	S. Nussinov, Y. Rephaeli	(KTOTO, TOKT) (TELA)
OBERAUER	87	PL B198 113	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch,	
SPRINGER	87	PR A35 679	P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i>	(LLNL)
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov et al.	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP	44 114.	,

COWSIK	85	PL 151B 62	R. Cowsik	(TATA)
RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
BINETRUY	84	PL 134B 174	P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati	(LAPP)
FREESE	84	NP B233 167	K. Freese, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC, FNAL)
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev	(SOFI)
SCHRAMM	84	PL 141B 337	D.N. Schramm, G. Steigman	(FNAL, BART)
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel	, ,
ANDERHUB	82	PL 114B 76	H.B. Anderhub <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, SIN)
OLIVE	82	PR D25 213	K.A. Olive, M.S. Turner	(CHIC, ÚCSBÍ
BERNSTEIN	81	PL 101B 39	J. Bernstein, G. Feinberg	(STEV, COLU)
FRANK	81	PR D24 2001	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, YALE, MIT+)
MORGAN	81	PL 102B 247	J.A. Morgan	(SUSS)
FUJIKAWA	80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(ŠTON)
LUBIMOV	80	PL 94B 266	V.A. Lyubimov et al.	`(ITEP)
STECKER	80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Štecker	(NASA)
COWSIK	79	PR D19 2219	R. Cowsik	(TATA)
GOLDMAN	79	PR D19 2215	T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson	(LASL)
BEG	78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M.	Ruderman $(ROCK+)$
BLIETSCHAU	78	NP B133 205	J. Blietschau <i>et al.</i>	(Gargamelle Collab.)
FALK	78	PL 79B 511	S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm	` (CHIC)
BARNES	77	PRL 38 1049	V.E. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(PURD, ANL)
COWSIK	77	PRL 39 784	R. Cowsik	(MPIM, TATA)
LEE	77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock	(STON)
VYSOTSKY	77	JETPL 26 188	M.I. Vysotsky, A.D. Dolgov, Y.B.	Zeldovich (ITEP)
		Translated from ZETFP		
BELLOTTI	76	LNC 17 553	E. Bellotti <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)
SUTHERLAND		PR D13 2700	P. Sutherland <i>et al.</i>	(PENN, COLU, NYU)
SZALAY	76	AA 49 437	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)
CLARK	74	PR D9 533	A.R. Clark et al.	(LBL)
KIM	74	PR D9 3050	J.E. Kim, V.S. Mathur, S. Okubo	
REINES	74	PRL 32 180	F. Reines, H.W. Sobel, H.S. Gurr	( ,
SZALAY	74	APAH 35 8	A.S. Szalay, G. Marx	(EOTV)
COWSIK	72	PRL 29 669	R. Cowsik, J. McClelland	(UCB)
MARX	72	Nu Conf. Budapest	G. Marx, A.S. Szalay	(EOTV)
GERSHTEIN	66	JETPL 4 120	S.S. Gershtein, Y.B. Zeldovich	(KIAM)
BERNSTEIN	63	Translated from ZETFP PR 132 1227		oinhora (NVIII)
COWAN	57	PR 132 1227 PR 107 528	J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman, G. F. C.L. Cowan, F. Reines	einberg (NYU+) (LANL)
COVVAIN	31	FN 101 320	C.L. COWAII, F. Reilles	(LANL)