

$\Lambda(1670) 1/2^-$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: * * * *

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1974 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

 $\Lambda(1670)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1660 to 1680 (≈ 1670) OUR ESTIMATE			
1677.5 \pm 0.8	¹ GARCIA-REC...03	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1673 \pm 2	MANLEY 02	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1670.8 \pm 1.7	KOISO 85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
1667 \pm 5	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1671 \pm 3	ALSTON-... 78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1670 \pm 5	GOPAL 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1675 \pm 2	HEPP 76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
1679 \pm 1	KANE 74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
1665 \pm 5	PREVOST 74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1668.9 \pm 2.0	ABAEV 96	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$
1664	² MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 $\Lambda(1670)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
25 to 50 (≈ 35) OUR ESTIMATE			
29.2 \pm 1.4	¹ GARCIA-REC...03	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
23 \pm 6	MANLEY 02	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
34.1 \pm 3.7	KOISO 85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
29 \pm 5	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
29 \pm 5	ALSTON-... 78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
45 \pm 10	GOPAL 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
46 \pm 5	HEPP 76B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
40 \pm 3	KANE 74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
19 \pm 5	PREVOST 74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
21.1 \pm 3.6	ABAEV 96	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$
12	² MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1670)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$	20–30 %
Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$	25–55 %
Γ_3 $\Lambda\eta$	10–25 %
Γ_4 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(1670)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.20 to 0.30 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.37 ± 0.07	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.18 ± 0.03	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.17 ± 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.20 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA See GOPAL 80
0.15	² MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.30 ± 0.08	ABAEV	96	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.38 ± 0.03	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.26 ± 0.02	KOISO	85	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
-0.31 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.29 ± 0.03	HEPP	76B	DPWA $K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
-0.23 ± 0.03	LONDON	75	HLBC $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0$
-0.27 ± 0.02	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.13	² MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$+0.24 \pm 0.04$	MANLEY	02	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
$+0.20 \pm 0.05$	BAXTER	73	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow$ neutrals
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.24	KIM	71	DPWA K-matrix analysis
0.26	ARMENTEROS69C	HBC	
0.20 or 0.23	BERLEY	65	HBC

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1670) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
-0.17 ± 0.06	MANLEY 02 DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.18 ± 0.05	PREVOST 74 DPWA $K^-N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

$\Lambda(1670)$ FOOTNOTES

- ¹ GARCIA-RECIO 03 gives pole, not Breit-Wigner, parameters, but the narrow width of the $\Lambda(1670)$ means there will be little difference.
² MARTIN 77 obtains identical resonance parameters from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

$\Lambda(1670)$ REFERENCES

GARCIA-REC... 03	PR D67 076009	C. Garcia-Recio <i>et al.</i>	(GRAN, VALE)
MANLEY 02	PRL 88 012002	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL Crystal Ball Collab.)
ABAEV 96	PR C53 385	V.V. Abaev, B.M.K. Nefkens	(UCLA)
KOISO 85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG 82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL 80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-... 78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also	PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
GOPAL 77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN 77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also	NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also	NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEPP 76B	PL 65B 487	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
LONDON 75	NP B85 289	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CERN, EPOL+)
KANE 74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST 74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
BAXTER 73	NP B67 125	D.F. Baxter <i>et al.</i>	(OXF) IJP
KIM 71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Also	Duke Conf. 161	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Armenteros 69C	Lund Paper 229	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) IJP
Values are quoted in LEVI-SETTI 69.			
BERLEY 65	PRL 15 641	D. Berley <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) IJP