$$\Delta$$
(1620) 1/2⁻⁻

 $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2})$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

Δ (1620) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1600 to 1660 (\approx 1630) OUR EST	IMATE			
1600 ± 8	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
1615.2 ± 0.4	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1620 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1610 \pm 7	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
1600 ± 1	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
1625 ± 10	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
1650 ± 25	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
1614.1 ± 1.1	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1612 \pm 2	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
1617 ± 15	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1672 \pm 5	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1617	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1669	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1672 \pm 7	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
1620	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1712.8 ± 6.0	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1786.7 ± 2.0	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1580	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1600	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

△(1620) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
130 to 150 (\approx 140) OUR EST	IMATE			
130 ±11	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
$146.9 \pm \ 1.9$	ARNDT	06	DPWA	π N $ ightarrow$ π N, η N
140 ±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
139 ±18	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the follow	ing data for ave	rages,	fits, lim	its, etc. • • •
112 \pm 2	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
148 ±15	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
250 ±60	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
$141.0\pm \ 6.0$	ARNDT	04	DPWA	π N $ ightarrow$ π N, η N
202 ± 7	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel
143 ±42	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$147 ~\pm~ 8$	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

Citation: J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), PR D86, 010001 (2012) and 2013 partial update for the 2014 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$108 \\ 184 \\ 154 \pm 37 \\ 120 \\ 228.3 \pm 18.0 \\ 30.0 \pm 6.4 \\ 120$	ARNDT LI MANLEY BARNHAM ¹ CHEW ¹ CHEW ² LONGACRE	95 93 92 80 80 80 77	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$ IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N\pi\pi$ IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$ (lower mass) BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$ (higher mass) IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
120	² LONGACRE	77 75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
150	° LONGACRE	75	IPVVA $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

Δ (1620) POLE POSITION

REAL PARI				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1590 to 1610 (≈ 1600) OUR EST	IMATE			
$1597\pm$ 4	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
1595	ARNDT	06	DPWA	π N $ ightarrow$ π N, η N
1608	⁴ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1600 ± 15	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
1587	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
1596± 7	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
1615 ± 25	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
1594	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1607	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1585	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1587	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1583 or 1583	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1575 or 1572	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\frac{VALOL}{(MeV)}$			TLCN	COMMENT
		104		Mariti da en el
130± 9		12A 06		
135		00		$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
110		93		$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 ± 20		00		$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • we do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
107	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
130 ± 10	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
180 ± 35	THOMA	08	DPWA	Multichannel
118	ARNDT	04	DPWA	π N \rightarrow π N, η N
148	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
104	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
120	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
143 or 149	E			
145 01 145	⁵ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

△(1620) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS r				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
18±2	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
15	ARNDT	06	DPWA	π N \rightarrow π N, η N
19	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
15 ± 2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
17	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
14	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
15	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
PHASE θ				
PHASE <i>θ</i> <u>VALUE (°)</u>	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
PHASE # VALUE (°) -100± 5	DOCUMENT ID	12A	<u>TECN</u> DPWA	<u>COMMENT</u> Multichannel
PHASE Ø VALUE (°) -100± 5 - 92	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i> ANISOVICH ARNDT	12A 06	<u>TECN</u> DPWA DPWA	$\frac{COMMENT}{Multichannel}$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
PHASE 0 VALUE (°) - 100 ± 5 - 92 - 95	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i> ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER	12A 06 93	<u>TECN</u> DPWA DPWA SPED	$\frac{COMMENT}{Multichannel}$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
PHASE 0 VALUE (°) - 100 ± 5 - 92 - 95 - 110 ± 20	DOCUMENT ID ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY	12A 06 93 80	<u>TECN</u> DPWA DPWA SPED IPWA	$COMMENT$ Multichannel $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
PHASE θ <u>VALUE (°)</u> -100 ± 5 -92 -95 -110 ± 20 ••• We do not use the following d	DOCUMENT ID ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY ata for averages	12A 06 93 80 , fits,	<u>TECN</u> DPWA DPWA SPED IPWA limits, e	$\frac{COMMENT}{Multichannel}$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ etc. • • •
PHASE θ <u>VALUE (°)</u> -100 ± 5 - 92 - 95 -110 ± 20 • • • We do not use the following d -104	DOCUMENT ID ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY ata for averages ARNDT	12A 06 93 80 5, fits, 04	<u>TECN</u> DPWA DPWA SPED IPWA limits, e DPWA	$\frac{COMMENT}{Multichannel}$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ etc. • • • $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
PHASE 0 $VALUE(^{\circ})$ -100 ± 5 -92 -95 -110 ± 20 ••• We do not use the following d -104 -121	DOCUMENT ID ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY ata for averages ARNDT ARNDT	12A 06 93 80 5, fits, 04 95	TECN DPWA DPWA SPED IPWA limits, e DPWA DPWA	$COMMENT$ Multichannel $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ etc. • • • $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
PHASE 6 <u>VALUE (°)</u> -100 ± 5 -92 -95 -110 ± 20 ••• We do not use the following d -104 -121 -125	DOCUMENT ID ANISOVICH ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY lata for averages ARNDT ARNDT ARNDT	12A 06 93 80 5, fits, 04 95 91	TECN DPWA DPWA SPED IPWA limits, e DPWA DPWA	$COMMENT$ Multichannel $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ http://docs.org/docs/docs/docs/docs/docs/docs/docs/docs

△(1620) INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The "normalized residue" is the residue divided by $\Gamma_{pole}/2.$

Normalized res	sidue in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta$	$\Delta(1620) \rightarrow \Delta \pi, D$ -	wave	
MODULUS (%)	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
38±9	-85 ± 30	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel

△(1620) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Г1	$N\pi$	20–30 %
Г2	$N\pi\pi$	70–80 %
Γ ₃	$\Delta\pi$	30–60 %
Γ ₄	$arDelta(1232)\pi$, D -wave	
Г ₅	N ho	7–25 %
Г ₆	$N ho$, S ${=}1/2$, S-wave	
Г ₇	N ho, S=3/2, D-wave	
Г ₈	$N(1440)\pi$	
Г9	$N\gamma$	0.03–0.10 %
Γ ₁₀	N γ , helicity=1/2	0.03–0.10 %

△(1620) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$				Г	_/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
20 to 30 OUR ESTIMATE					
28 ± 3	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel	
$31.5\pm$ 0.1	ARNDT	06	DPWA	π N $ ightarrow$ π N, η N	
25 ± 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
35 ± 6	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • We do not use the follow	ing data for ave	rages,	fits, lim	its, etc. • • •	_
33 ± 2	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel	
23 ± 5	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel	
22 ± 12	THOMA	80	DPWA	Multichannel	
$31.0\pm$ 0.4	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi {\sf N} ightarrow \pi {\sf N}$, $\eta {\sf N}$	
34 ± 1	PENNER	02C	DPWA	Multichannel	
45 ± 5	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	
29	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$	
9 ± 2	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$	
60 1	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$ (lower mag	ss)
36 1	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$ (higher matrix	ass)

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(16)$	520) → <i>Δ</i> (1232	<u>2</u>)π,	D-wave	e (Γ ₁ Γ ₄) ^½ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	-	TECN	COMMENT
-0.36 to -0.28 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.33 ± 0.06	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
-0.39 2	^{,6} LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
-0.40	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
-0.24 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$				Γ ₄ /Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
60±17	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
39± 2	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
32± 2	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
48±25	THOMA	80	DPWA	Multichannel
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(16)$	520) $\rightarrow N\rho$, S=	=1/2	, <i>S</i> -wave	e (Γ ₁ Γ ₆) ^½ /Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.12 to +0.22 OUR ESTIMATE				
$+0.40\pm0.10$	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
+0.08 2	^{,6} LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
+0.28	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
$+0.15 \pm 0.02$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV	Page 4		Creat	ed: 7/12/2013 14:49

$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, S-wave)/\Gamma_{total}$					Г ₆ /Г
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
14 ± 3	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •	
26±2	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel	
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(162)$	$20) \rightarrow N\rho, S=$	=3/2,	, D-wav	e (Γ ₁	Г ₇) ^½ /Г
-0.15 to -0.03 OUR ESTIMATE	DOCOMENT ID		TLCN	COMMENT	
-0.13 2,6	LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$	
• • • We do not use the following d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •	
-0.03 ± 0.01	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel	
-0.06 ± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \&$	Νππ
$\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, D-wave)/\Gamma_{total}$			TECH		Г ₇ /Г
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
2 ± 1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(162)$	20) → N(1440))π	TECN	(Г ₁	Г ₈) ^½ /Г
0.11 ± 0.05	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$	
$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	Г ₈ /Г
<u>VALUE (%)</u>	DOCUMENT ID				
0 ± 1	VKANA	00 fite	DPWA	Multichannel	
• • • vie do not use the following d 9 ± 1 19 ± 12	SHRESTHA THOMA	, fits, 12A 08	DPWA DPWA	Multichannel Multichannel Multichannel	I

△(1620) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on γN amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

Δ (1620) $\rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.027±0.011 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.052 ± 0.005	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
0.029 ± 0.003	WORKMAN	12A	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow N \pi$
0.050 ± 0.002	DUGGER	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.035 ± 0.010	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.010 ± 0.015	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following data	ata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
-0.003 ± 0.003	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA	Multichannel
0.063 ± 0.012	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA	Multichannel
0.066	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.050	PENNER	0 2D	DPWA	Multichannel
0.035 ± 0.020	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.042 ± 0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.066	WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering

Δ (1620) FOOTNOTES

- $^1\,{\rm CHEW}$ 80 reports two S_{31} resonances at somewhat higher masses than other analyses. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.
- ² LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ⁴ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁵ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

⁶LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

Δ (1620) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ANISOVICH	12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich et al.	(BONN, PNPI)
SHRESTHA	12A	PR C86 055203	M. Shrestha, D.M. Manley	(KSU)
WORKMAN	12A	PR C86 015202	R. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
ANISOVICH	10	EPJ A44 203	A.V. Anisovich et al.	(BONN, PNPI)
THOMA	08	PL B659 87	U. Thoma <i>et al.</i>	(CB-ELSA Collab.)
DRECHSEL	07	EPJ A34 69	D. Drechsel, S.S. Kamalov,	L. Tiator (MAINZ, JINR)
DUGGER	07	PR C76 025211	M. Dugger <i>et al.</i>	(Jefferson Lab CLAS Collab.)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	WM. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,,	ГS.H. Lee (PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky,	R.L. Workman (VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	π N Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KSA) IJF
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley et al.	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt et al.	(VPI, TELE) IJF
WADA	84	NP B247 313	Y. Wada <i>et al.</i>	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Mortor	n (GLAS)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2	G. Hohler	(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
BARNHAM	80	NP B168 243	K.W.J. Barnham <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJF
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJF
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky et al.	(CMU, LBL) IJF
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJF
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJF
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJF
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJF
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre et al.	(LBL, SLAC) IJF