

$f_2(1565)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen mostly in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation. Needs confirmation in other channels.

 $f_2(1565)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1562 ± 13 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 2.1. See the ideogram below.		
1590 ± 10	¹ AMELIN	06 VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
1552 ± 13	² AMSLER	02 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
1550 ± 10 ± 20	AMELIN	00 VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1575 ± 18	BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1507 ± 15	² BERTIN	97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1565 ± 20	MAY	90 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1560 ± 15	³ ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	0.0 $\bar{p} p, \pi N$
1598 ± 11 ± 9	BAKER	99B SPEC	0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \omega \omega \pi^0$
1534 ± 20	⁴ ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
~ 1552	⁵ AMSLER	95D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
1598 ± 72	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
1566 ⁺⁸⁰ ₋₅₀	⁶ ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
1502 ± 9	ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1488 ± 10	⁷ ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1508 ± 10	⁷ ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1525 ± 10	⁷ ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 1504	⁸ WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p} N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
1540 ± 15	⁷ ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
1515 ± 10	⁹ AKER	91 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1477 ± 5	BRIDGES	86C DBC	0.0 $\bar{p} N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

¹ Supersedes the $\omega\omega$ state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the $f_2(1640)$.

² T-matrix pole.

³ On sheet II in a two-pole solution.

⁴ T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.


⁵ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

⁶ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$ including AKER 91 data.

⁷ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

⁸ J^P not determined.

⁹ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.



$f_2(1565)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
134 ± 8 OUR AVERAGE			
140 ± 11	10 AMELIN	06 VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
113 ± 23	11 AMSLER	02 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
$130 \pm 20 \pm 40$	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$
119 ± 24	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
130 ± 20	11 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
170 ± 40	MAY	90 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
280 ± 40	12 ANISOVICH	09 RVUE	$0.0 \bar{p} p, \pi N$
180 ± 60	13 ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
~ 142	14 AMSLER	95D CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
263 ± 101	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166^+_{-20}	15 ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$
130 ± 10	16 ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
148 ± 27	17 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103 ± 15	17 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
111 ± 10	17 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~ 206	18 WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p} N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132 ± 37	17 ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
120 ± 10	19 AKER	91 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
116 ± 9	BRIDGES	86C DBC	$0.0 \bar{p} N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

- ¹⁰Supersedes the $\omega\omega$ state of BELADIDZE 92B earlier assigned to the $f_2(1640)$.
- ¹¹T-matrix pole.
- ¹²On sheet II in a two-pole solution.
- ¹³T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.
- ¹⁴Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.
- ¹⁵From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$ including AKER 91 data.
- ¹⁶Supersedes ADAMO 92.
- ¹⁷ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.
- ¹⁸ J^P not determined.
- ¹⁹Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

$f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $\pi\pi$	seen
Γ_2 $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Γ_3 $\pi^0\pi^0$	seen
Γ_4 $\rho^0\rho^0$	seen
Γ_5 $2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen
Γ_6 $\eta\eta$	seen
Γ_7 $a_2(1320)\pi$	
Γ_8 $\omega\omega$	seen
Γ_9 $K\bar{K}$	
Γ_{10} $\gamma\gamma$	

$f_2(1565)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)$					Γ_6
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1.2 ± 0.3	870	²⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma(K\bar{K})$					Γ_9
<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
2.0 ± 1.0	870	²⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					Γ_{10}
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.70 ± 0.14	870	²⁰ SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$	
²⁰ From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $f_2(1565)$ mass of 1570 MeV, width of 160 MeV, $\Gamma(\pi\pi) = 25$ MeV, and SU(3) relations.					

$f_2(1565)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
seen	BAKER	99B SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
seen	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
not seen	²¹ ANISOVICH	94B RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
seen	MAY	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
²¹ ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.			

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AMSLER	95B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_4

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.042 ± 0.013	BRIDGES	86B DBC	$\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

 $\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$ Γ_6/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$0.024 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.012$	²² ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
²² J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.			

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
seen	BAKER	99B SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

 $f_2(1565)$ REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
AMELIN	06	PAN 69 690	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 69 715.		
SCHEGELSKY	06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>	
AMSLER	02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
BAKER	99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN	95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
		Translated from YAF 58 50.		

AMSLER	94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG	93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER	93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO	92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
AKER	91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY	90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY	89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES	86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES	86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)
