



$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

e MASS (atomic mass units u)

The primary determination of an electron's mass comes from measuring the ratio of the mass to that of a nucleus, so that the result is obtained in u (atomic mass units). The conversion factor to MeV is more uncertain than the mass of the electron in u; indeed, the recent improvements in the mass determination are not evident when the result is given in MeV. In this datablock we give the result in u, and in the following datablock in MeV.

<u>VALUE (10^{-6} u)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
548.57990946 ± 0.00000022	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
548.57990943 ± 0.00000023	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
548.57990945 ± 0.00000024	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
548.5799092 ± 0.0000004	¹ BEIER	02	CNTR Penning trap
548.5799110 ± 0.0000012	MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
548.5799111 ± 0.0000012	² FARNHAM	95	CNTR Penning trap
548.579903 ± 0.000013	COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value

¹ BEIER 02 compares Larmor frequency of the electron bound in a $^{12}\text{C}^{5+}$ ion with the cyclotron frequency of a single trapped $^{12}\text{C}^{5+}$ ion.

² FARNHAM 95 compares cyclotron frequency of trapped electrons with that of a single trapped $^{12}\text{C}^{6+}$ ion.

e MASS

2010 CODATA (MOHR 12) gives the conversion factor from u (atomic mass units, see the above datablock) to MeV as 931.494 061 (21). Earlier values use the then-current conversion factor. The conversion error dominates the uncertainty of the masses given below.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.510998928 ± 0.00000011	MOHR	12	RVUE 2010 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.510998910 ± 0.00000013	MOHR	08	RVUE 2006 CODATA value
0.510998918 ± 0.00000044	MOHR	05	RVUE 2002 CODATA value
0.510998901 ± 0.00000020	^{3,4} BEIER	02	CNTR Penning trap
0.510998902 ± 0.00000021	MOHR	99	RVUE 1998 CODATA value
0.510998903 ± 0.00000020	^{3,5} FARNHAM	95	CNTR Penning trap
0.510998895 ± 0.00000024	³ COHEN	87	RVUE 1986 CODATA value
0.5110034 ± 0.000014	COHEN	73	RVUE 1973 CODATA value

³ Converted to MeV using the 1998 CODATA value of the conversion constant, 931.494013 ± 0.000037 MeV/u.

⁴ BEIER 02 compares Larmor frequency of the electron bound in a $^{12}\text{C}^{5+}$ ion with the cyclotron frequency of a single trapped $^{12}\text{C}^{5+}$ ion.

⁵ FARNHAM 95 compares cyclotron frequency of trapped electrons with that of a single trapped $^{12}\text{C}^{6+}$ ion.

$$(m_{e^+} - m_{e^-}) / m_{\text{average}}$$

A test of *CPT* invariance.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<8 × 10⁻⁹	90	⁶ FEE	93	CNTR Positronium spectroscopy
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<4 × 10 ⁻⁸	90	CHU	84	CNTR Positronium spectroscopy
⁶ FEE 93 value is obtained under the assumption that the positronium Rydberg constant is exactly half the hydrogen one.				

$$|q_{e^+} + q_{e^-}|/e$$

A test of *CPT* invariance. See also similar tests involving the proton.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<4 × 10⁻⁸	⁷ HUGHES	92	RVUE
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
<2 × 10 ⁻¹⁸	⁸ SCHAEFER	95	THEO Vacuum polarization
<1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸	⁹ MUELLER	92	THEO Vacuum polarization
⁷ HUGHES 92 uses recent measurements of Rydberg-energy and cyclotron-frequency ratios.			
⁸ SCHAEFER 95 removes model dependency of MUELLER 92.			
⁹ MUELLER 92 argues that an inequality of the charge magnitudes would, through higher-order vacuum polarization, contribute to the net charge of atoms.			

e MAGNETIC MOMENT ANOMALY

$$\mu_e/\mu_B - 1 = (g-2)/2$$

<u>VALUE (units 10⁻⁶)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1159.65218076 ± 0.00000027	MOHR	12	RVUE	2010 CODATA value
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1159.65218073 ± 0.00000028	HANNEKE	08	MRS	Single electron
1159.65218111 ± 0.00000074	¹⁰ MOHR	08	RVUE	2006 CODATA value
1159.65218085 ± 0.00000076	¹¹ ODOM	06	MRS -	Single electron
1159.6521859 ± 0.0000038	MOHR	05	RVUE	2002 CODATA value
1159.6521869 ± 0.0000041	MOHR	99	RVUE	1998 CODATA value
1159.652193 ± 0.000010	COHEN	87	RVUE	1986 CODATA value
1159.6521884 ± 0.0000043	VANDYCK	87	MRS -	Single electron
1159.6521879 ± 0.0000043	VANDYCK	87	MRS +	Single positron

¹⁰ MOHR 08 average is dominated by ODOM 06.

¹¹ Superseded by HANNEKE 08 per private communication with Gerald Gabrielse.

$(g_{e^+} - g_{e^-}) / g_{\text{average}}$ A test of *CPT* invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-12})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$= 0.5 \pm 2.1$		¹² VANDYCK 87	MRS	Penning trap
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
< 12	95	¹³ VASSERMAN 87	CNTR	Assumes $m_{e^+} = m_{e^-}$
22 ± 64		SCHWINBERG 81	MRS	Penning trap
¹² VANDYCK 87 measured $(g_-/g_+) - 1$ and we converted it.				
¹³ VASSERMAN 87 measured $(g_+ - g_-)/(g - 2)$. We multiplied by $(g - 2)/g = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$.				

e ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT (d)A nonzero value is forbidden by both *T* invariance and *P* invariance.

VALUE (10^{-28} ecm)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 10.5	90	¹⁴ HUDSON 11	NMR	YbF molecules
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.9 ± 7.4		REGAN 02	MRS	²⁰⁵ Tl beams
18 ± 12 ± 10		¹⁵ COMMINS 94	MRS	²⁰⁵ Tl beams
– 27 ± 83		¹⁵ ABDULLAH 90	MRS	²⁰⁵ Tl beams
– 1400 ± 2400		CHO 89	NMR	Tl F molecules
– 150 ± 550 ± 150		MURTHY 89		Cesium, no <i>B</i> field
– 5000 ± 11000		LAMOREAUX 87	NMR	¹⁹⁹ Hg
19000 ± 34000	90	SANDARS 75	MRS	Thallium
7000 ± 22000	90	PLAYER 70	MRS	Xenon
< 30000	90	WEISSKOPF 68	MRS	Cesium
¹⁴ HUDSON 11 gives a measurement corresponding to this limit as $(-2.4 \pm 5.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-28}$ ecm.				
¹⁵ ABDULLAH 90, COMMINS 94, and REGAN 02 use the relativistic enhancement of a valence electron's electric dipole moment in a high-Z atom.				

e⁻ MEAN LIFE / BRANCHING FRACTION

A test of charge conservation. See the "Note on Testing Charge Conservation and the Pauli Exclusion Principle" following this section in our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45** S1 (1992), p. VI.10).

Most of these experiments are one of three kinds: Attempts to observe (a) the 255.5 keV gamma ray produced in $e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$, (b) the (K) shell x ray produced when an electron decays without additional energy deposit, e.g., $e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \nu_e$ ("disappearance" experiments), and (c) nuclear de-excitation gamma rays after the electron disappears from an atomic shell and the nucleus is left in an excited state. The last can include both weak boson and photon mediating processes. We use the best $e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$ limit for the Summary Tables.

Note that we use the mean life rather than the half life, which is often reported.

$e \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$ and astrophysical limits

VALUE (yr)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$>4.6 \times 10^{26}$	90	BACK	02	BORX $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$>1.22 \times 10^{26}$	68	¹⁶ KLAPDOR-K...07	CNTR	$e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
$>3.4 \times 10^{26}$	68	BELLI	00B	DAMA $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$, liquid Xe
$>3.7 \times 10^{25}$	68	AHARONOV	95B	CNTR $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
$>2.35 \times 10^{25}$	68	BALYSH	93	CNTR $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$, ⁷⁶ Ge detector
$>1.5 \times 10^{25}$	68	AVIGNONE	86	CNTR $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
$>1 \times 10^{39}$		¹⁷ ORITO	85	ASTR Astrophysical argument
$>3 \times 10^{23}$	68	BELLOTTI	83B	CNTR $e^- \rightarrow \nu \gamma$

¹⁶ The authors of A. Derbin *et al.*, arXiv:0704.2047v1 argue that this limit is overestimated by at least a factor of 5.

¹⁷ ORITO 85 assumes that electromagnetic forces extend out to large enough distances and that the age of our galaxy is 10^{10} years.

Disappearance and nuclear-de-excitation experiments

VALUE (yr)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$>6.4 \times 10^{24}$	68	¹⁸ BELLI	99B	DAMA De-excitation of ¹²⁹ Xe
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$>4.2 \times 10^{24}$	68	BELLI	99	DAMA Iodine L-shell disappearance
$>2.4 \times 10^{23}$	90	¹⁹ BELLI	99D	DAMA De-excitation of ¹²⁷ I (in NaI)
$>4.3 \times 10^{23}$	68	AHARONOV	95B	CNTR Ge K-shell disappearance
$>2.7 \times 10^{23}$	68	REUSSER	91	CNTR Ge K-shell disappearance
$>2 \times 10^{22}$	68	BELLOTTI	83B	CNTR Ge K-shell disappearance

¹⁸ BELLI 99B limit on charge nonconserving e^- capture involving excitation of the 236.1 keV nuclear state of ¹²⁹Xe; the 90% CL limit is 3.7×10^{24} yr. Less stringent limits for other states are also given.

¹⁹ BELLI 99D limit on charge nonconserving e^- capture involving excitation of the 57.6 keV nuclear state of ¹²⁷I. Less stringent limits for the other states and for the state of ²³Na are also given.

LIMITS ON LEPTON-FLAVOR VIOLATION IN PRODUCTION

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

This section was added for the 2008 edition of this *Review* and is not complete. For a list of further measurements see references in the papers listed below.

$\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^\pm \tau^\mp) / \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<8.9 \times 10^{-6}$	95	AUBERT	07P	BABR $e^+ e^-$ at $E_{cm} = 10.58$ GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$<1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	95	GOMEZ-CAD...	91	MRK2 $e^+ e^-$ at $E_{cm} = 29$ GeV

$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^\pm \tau^\mp) / \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$<4.0 \times 10^{-6}$	95	AUBERT	07P BABR	e^+e^- at $E_{\text{cm}} = 10.58$ GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$<6.1 \times 10^{-3}$	95	GOMEZ-CAD...	91 MRK2	e^+e^- at $E_{\text{cm}} = 29$ GeV

e REFERENCES

MOHR	12	arXiv:1203.5425	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell	(NIST)
HUDSON	11	NAT 473 493	J.J. Hudson <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
HANNEKE	08	PRL 100 120801	D. Hanneke, S. Fogwell, G. Gabrielse	(HARV)
MOHR	08	RMP 80 633	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor, D.B. Newell	(NIST)
AUBERT	07P	PR D75 031103R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
KLAPDOR-K...	07	PL B644 109	H.V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, I.V. Krivosheina, I.V. Titkova	
ODOM	06	PRL 97 030801	B. Odom <i>et al.</i>	(HARV)
MOHR	05	RMP 77 1	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
BACK	02	PL B525 29	H.O. Back <i>et al.</i>	(BOREXINO/SASSO Collab.)
BEIER	02	PRL 88 011603	T. Beier <i>et al.</i>	
REGAN	02	PRL 88 071805	B.C. Regan <i>et al.</i>	
BELLI	00B	PR D61 117301	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BELLI	99	PL B460 236	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BELLI	99B	PL B465 315	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
BELLI	99D	PR C60 065501	P. Belli <i>et al.</i>	(DAMA Collab.)
MOHR	99	JPCRD 28 1713	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
Also		RMP 72 351	P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor	(NIST)
AHARONOV	95B	PR D52 3785	Y. Aharonov <i>et al.</i>	(SCUC, PNL, ZARA+)
Also		PL B353 168	Y. Aharonov <i>et al.</i>	(SCUC, PNL, ZARA+)
FARNHAM	95	PRL 75 3598	D.L. Farnham, R.S. van Dyck, P.B. Schwinberg	(WASH)
SCHAEFER	95	PR A51 838	A. Schaefer, J. Reinhardt	(FRAN)
COMMINS	94	PR A50 2960	E.D. Commins <i>et al.</i>	
BALYSH	93	PL B298 278	A. Balysh <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE, MPIH, SASSO)
FEE	93	PR A48 192	M.S. Fee <i>et al.</i>	
HUGHES	92	PRL 69 578	R.J. Hughes, B.I. Deutch	(LANL, AARH)
MUELLER	92	PRL 69 3432	B. Muller, M.H. Thoma	(DUKE)
PDG	92	PR D45 51	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)
GOMEZ-CAD...	91	PRL 66 1007	J.J. Gomez-Cadenas <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC MARK-2 Collab.)
REUSSER	91	PL B255 143	D. Reusser <i>et al.</i>	(NEUC, CIT, PSI)
ABDULLAH	90	PRL 65 2347	K. Abdullah <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB)
CHO	89	PRL 63 2559	D. Cho, K. Sangster, E.A. Hinds	(YALE)
MURTHY	89	PRL 63 965	S.A. Murthy <i>et al.</i>	(AMHT)
COHEN	87	RMP 59 1121	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
LAMOREAUX	87	PRL 59 2275	S.K. Lamoreaux <i>et al.</i>	(WASH)
VANDYCK	87	PRL 59 26	R.S. van Dyck, P.B. Schwinberg, H.G. Dehmelt	(WASH)
VASSERMAN	87	PL B198 302	I.B. Vasserman <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Also		PL B187 172	I.B. Vasserman <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
AVIGNONE	86	PR D34 97	F.T. Avignone <i>et al.</i>	(PNL, SCUC)
ORITO	85	PRL 54 2457	S. Orito, M. Yoshimura	(TOKY, KEK)
CHU	84	PRL 52 1689	S. Chu, A.P. Mills, J.L. Hall	(BELL, NBS, COLO)
BELLOTTI	83B	PL 124B 435	E. Bellotti <i>et al.</i>	(MILA)
SCHWINBERG	81	PRL 47 1679	P.B. Schwinberg, R.S. van Dyck, H.G. Dehmelt	(WASH)
SANDARS	75	PR A11 473	P.G.H. Sandars, D.M. Sternheimer	(OXF, BNL)
COHEN	73	JPCRD 2 664	E.R. Cohen, B.N. Taylor	(RISC, NBS)
PLAYER	70	JPB 3 1620	M.A. Player, P.G.H. Sandars	(OXF)
WEISSKOPF	68	PRL 21 1645	M.C. Weisskopf <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN)