

$N(1895) 1/2^-$ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$ Status: **

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Before our 2012 *Review*, this state appeared in our Listings as the $N(2090)$. Any structure in the S_{11} wave above 1800 MeV is listed here. A few early results that are now obsolete have been omitted.

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

 $N(1895)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
≈ 2090 OUR ESTIMATE			
1895 ± 15	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1928 ± 59	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
2180 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1880 ± 20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1812 ± 25	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1822 ± 43	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
$1897 \pm 50^{+30}_{-2}$	PLOETZKE	98	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta'(958)$

 $N(1895)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
90^{+30}_{-15}	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
414 ± 157	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
350 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
95 ± 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
405 ± 40	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
248 ± 185	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
$396 \pm 155^{+35}_{-45}$	PLOETZKE	98	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta'(958)$

 $N(1895)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1900 ± 15	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
2150 ± 70	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1937 or 1949	¹ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1797 ± 26	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1795	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

– 2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
90^{+30}_{-15}	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
350 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
139 or 131	¹ LONGACRE	78	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
420 ± 45	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
220	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

N(1895) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1 ± 1	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
40 ± 20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
60	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0 ± 90	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
–164	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(1895) INELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

The “normalized residue” is the residue divided by Γ_{pole} .

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1895) \rightarrow N\eta$

<u>MODULUS (%)</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6 ± 2	40 ± 20	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1895) \rightarrow \Lambda K$

<u>MODULUS (%)</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5 ± 2	-90 ± 30	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1895) \rightarrow \Sigma K$

<u>MODULUS (%)</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6 ± 2	40 ± 30	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel

N(1895) DECAY MODES

Mode
Γ_1 $N\pi$
Γ_2 $N\eta$
Γ_3 ΛK
Γ_4 ΣK
Γ_5 $N\pi\pi$
Γ_6 $\Delta\pi$
Γ_7 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>D-wave</i>
Γ_8 $N\rho$
Γ_9 $N\rho$, $S=1/2$, <i>S-wave</i>
Γ_{10} $N\rho$, $S=3/2$, <i>D-wave</i>
Γ_{11} $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$
Γ_{12} $N(1440)\pi$

N(1895) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2 ± 1	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
10 ± 10	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
18 ± 8	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9 ± 5	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
32 ± 6	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$
17 ± 3	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
21 ± 6	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
41 ± 4	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
22 ± 10	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
18 ± 5	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel

$\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE (%)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
13 ± 7	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1895) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
not seen	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_7/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1±1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_9/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
36±1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$\Gamma(N\rho, S=3/2, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{10}/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
1±1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{11}/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2±1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{12}/Γ
VALUE (%)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
2±1	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

N(1895) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

N(1895) → pγ, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.012±0.006	² ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Phase = (120 ± 50) ^o

N(1895) FOOTNOTES

¹ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.

² This ANISOVICH 12A value is the complex helicity amplitude at the pole position.

N(1895) REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
BATINIC	10	PR C82 038203	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(ZAGR)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
PLOETZKE	98	PL B444 555	R. Ploetzke <i>et al.</i>	(Bonn SAPHIR Collab.)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)