# $f_0(980)$

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{+})$$

See also the minireview on scalar mesons under  $f_0(600)$ . (See the index for the page number.)

## f<sub>0</sub>(980) MASS

VALUE	(Me	eV)			EVTS			DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
980	±:	10	0	UR E	ESTIMATI	Ξ					
• • •	We	e do	o no	t use	e the follow	wing	g d	ata for averages	, fits,	limits,	etc. ● ● ●
1030	+3 -1	30 10					1	ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 <u></u> <i>ρρ</i> , πN
977	+1	11 9	±	1	44		2	ECKLUND	09	CLEO	$\begin{array}{c} 4.17 \ e^+ e^- \rightarrow \\ D_s^- D_s^{*+} + \text{c.c.} \end{array}$
982.2	$2\pm$	1.0	$)^{+}_{-}$	8.1 8.0			3	UEHARA	08A	BELL	$10.6 \begin{array}{c} e^+ e^- \\ e^+ e^- \\ \pi^0 \\ \pi^0 \end{array}$
976.8	3±	0.3	$^{+1}_{-}$	10.1 0.6	64k		4	AMBROSINO	07	KLOE	$1.02 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
984.7	7±	0.4	$^{+}_{-}$	2.4 3.7	64k		5	AMBROSINO	07	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
973	±	3			$262\pm30$		6	AUBERT	<b>07</b> AK	BABR	$10.6 \ e^+ e^- \rightarrow \\ \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
970	±	7			$54\pm9$		6	AUBERT	<b>07</b> AK	BABR	$10.6 \begin{array}{c} e^+ e^- \\ \phi \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma^- \end{array} \rightarrow$
953	$\pm 2$	20			2.6k		7	BONVICINI	07	CLEO	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
985.6	$5^{+}_{-}$	$1.2 \\ 1.5$	$\frac{2}{5}$ -	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$			8	MORI	07	BELL	$10.6 \begin{array}{c} e^+ e^- \rightarrow \\ e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array}$
983.0	)±	0.6	$5^{+}_{-}$	4.0 3.0			9	AMBROSINO	<b>06</b> B	KLOE	$\begin{array}{c} 1.02 \ e^+ e^- \\ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma \end{array} \rightarrow$
977.3	8±	0.9	$)^+_{-}$	3.7 4.3			10	AMBROSINO	<b>06</b> B	KLOE	$1.02 \begin{array}{c} e^+ e^- \\ \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array} \rightarrow$
950	±	9			4286		11	GARMASH	06	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
965	±I	10					12	ABLIKIM	05	BES2	$J/\psi \to \phi \pi^+ \pi^-,$ $\phi \kappa^+ \kappa^-$
1031	±	8					13	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE	$\psi R + R$
1037	±3	31						TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	$\overset{40.0}{\kappa_{c}^{0}} \overset{\pi^{-}C}{\kappa_{c}^{0}} \overset{\rightarrow}{\kappa_{c}^{0}} X$
973	±	1			2438		14	ALOISIO	<b>02</b> D	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
977	±	3	$\pm$	2	848		15	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
969.8	3±	4.5	5		419		16	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\gamma$
985	+	16 12			419	17,	18	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
976	±	5	$\pm$	6			19	AKHMETSHIN	<b>99</b> B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
977	$\pm$	3	$\pm$	6	268		19	AKHMETSHIN	<b>99</b> C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
975	$\pm$	4	$\pm$	6			20	AKHMETSHIN	<b>99</b> C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
975	±	4	±	6			21	AKHMETSHIN	<b>99</b> C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma,$ $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
985	±I	10						BARBERIS	99	OMEG	$450 \ pp \rightarrow p \ pc \ K^+ \ K^-$
982	±	3						BARBERIS	<b>99</b> B	OMEG	$450 \ pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
нтт	P:/	′/P	DO	G.LE	BL.GOV			Page 1		Creat	ted: 7/30/2010 16:46

982	$\pm$	3					BARBERIS	<b>99</b> C	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow p_s p_f \pi^0 \pi^0$
987	±	6	±	6		22	BARBERIS	<b>99</b> D	OMEG	$\begin{array}{rcl} 450 \ p p \rightarrow & K^+ K^-, \\ \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array}$
989	$\pm 1$	5					BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
991	$\pm$	3				23	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}, \sigma \sigma$
$\sim 980$	)					23	OLLER	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$
$\sim 993$	5.5						OLLER	<b>99</b> B	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
$\sim 987$	,					23	OLLER	99C	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ . $K\overline{K}$ . nn
957	±	6				24	ACKERSTAFF	980	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow f_0 X$
960	+1	0					ALDE	98	GAM4	0
1015	+1	5				23	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
1008						25	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , $K\overline{K}$
955	+1	0				24	ALDE	97	GAM2	450 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
994	+	9				26	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0 0 \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
993 2	2+	6 5	<b>i</b> +	69		27	ISHIDA	96	RVUF	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi K\overline{K}$
1006		0.0	·	0.5			TORNOVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi \ K\overline{K} \ K\pi$
1000								50	NV0L	$\eta\pi$
997	$\pm$	5			3k	28	ALDE	<b>95</b> B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
960	$\pm 1$	0			10k	29	ALDE	<b>95</b> B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
994	±	5					AMSLER	<b>95</b> B	CBAR	$0.0 \overline{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
$\sim 996$	;					30	AMSLER	<b>95</b> D	CBAR	$0.0 \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
										$\pi^{0}_{\eta\eta,\pi^{0}}\pi^{0}_{\pi^{0}\eta}$
987	$\pm$	6				31	ANISOVICH	95	RVUE	
1015							JANSSEN	95	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}$
983						32	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$
973	±	2				33	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\overline{K}$
988						34	ZOU	<b>94</b> B	RVUE	·
988	$\pm 1$	0				35	MORGAN	93	RVUE	$\pi\pi(K\overline{K}) \to \pi\pi(K\overline{K}),$
										$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \pi \pi (\overline{K} \overline{K}),$
						~ .				$D_{S} \rightarrow \pi(\pi\pi)$
971.1	$1\pm$	4.0	)			24	AGUILAR	91	EHS	400 <i>pp</i>
979	$\pm$	4				36	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	$300 \ pp \rightarrow pp \pi \pi,$
										ppKK
956	$\pm 1$	2				24	BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
959.4	$4\pm$	6.5	,			24	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
978	±	9				24	ABACHI	<b>86</b> B	HRS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
985.0	0+ 3	9.0 39.0	)				ETKIN	<b>82</b> B	MPS	$23 \pi^{-} p \rightarrow n2K_{S}^{0}$
974	$\pm$	4				36	GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
975						37	ACHASOV	80	RVUE	, ,
986	$\pm 1$	0				36	AGUILAR	78	HBC	$0.7 \overline{p}p \rightarrow K_c^0 K_c^0$
969	+	5				36	I FFPFR	77	ASPK	$2-24 \pi^{-} p \rightarrow$
		•						••		$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}n K^{+}K^{-}n$
987	±	7				36	BINNIE	73	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n MM$
1012	±	6				38	GRAYER	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1007	+2	20				38	HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
007	+	6				38	PROTOPOP	73	HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \pi^+ \pi^-$
551	<u> </u>	U						15	inde	$r \land p \rightarrow \gamma p \land p \land \gamma$

<sup>1</sup>On sheet II in a 2-pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (850-100i) MeV <sup>2</sup>Using a relativistic Breit-Wigner function and taking into account the finite  $D_s$  mass.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

<sup>3</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 K K}^2 / g_{f_0 \pi \pi}^2 = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> In the kaon-loop fit.

<sup>5</sup> In the no-structure fit.

<sup>6</sup>Systematic errors not estimated.

<sup>7</sup> FLATTE 76 parameterization.  $g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 329 \pm 96 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  assuming  $g_{f_0 \kappa \overline{\kappa}}/g_{f_0 \pi \pi} = 2$ .

<sup>8</sup> Breit-Wigner mass. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 K K}^2/g_{f_0 \pi \pi}^2 = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$  from ABLIKIM 05.

<sup>9</sup> In the kaon-loop fit following formalism of ACHASOV 89.

<sup>10</sup> In the no-structure fit assuming a direct coupling of  $\phi$  to  $f_0 \gamma$ .

<sup>11</sup> FLATTE 76 parameterization. Supersedes GARMASH 05.

<sup>12</sup> FLATTE 76 parameterization,  $g_{f_0 K \overline{K}}^2 / g_{f_0 \pi \pi}^2 = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21.$ 

<sup>13</sup>K-matrix pole from combined analysis of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ ,  $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\overline{K}n$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \overline{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0\eta, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0, K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0, K^+ K^0_S \pi^-$  at rest,  $\overline{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+, K^0_S K^- \pi^0, K^0_S K^0_S \pi^-$  at rest.

<sup>14</sup> From the negative interference with the  $f_0(600)$  meson of AITALA 01B using the ACHASOV 89 parameterization for the  $f_0(980)$ , a Breit-Wigner for the  $f_0(600)$ , and ACHASOV 01F for the  $\rho\pi$  contribution.

<sup>15</sup> Coupled-channel Breit-Wigner, couplings  $g_{\pi}$ =0.09±0.01±0.01,  $g_{K}$ =0.02±0.04±0.03.

<sup>16</sup> Supersedes ACHASOV 981. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.

<sup>17</sup> Supersedes ACHASOV 981.

 $^{18}$  In the "narrow resonance" approximation.

<sup>19</sup> Assuming  $\Gamma(f_0) = 40$  MeV.

- <sup>20</sup> From a narrow pole fit taking into account  $f_0(980)$  and  $f_0(1200)$  intermediate mechanisms.
- <sup>21</sup> From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ .
- <sup>22</sup> Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B
- <sup>23</sup> T-matrix pole.
- <sup>24</sup> From invariant mass fit.
- $^{25}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (1039–93*i*) MeV.
- $^{26}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29i) MeV.
- <sup>27</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

<sup>28</sup> At high |t|.

<sup>29</sup> At low |t|.

- <sup>30</sup> On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at (953–55*i*) MeV and on sheet IV at (938–35*i*) MeV.
- $^{31}$ Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D.
- $^{32}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (996–103*i*) MeV. <sup>33</sup> From sheet II pole position.
- $^{34}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (797-185i) MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.
- $^{35}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (978–28*i*) MeV.  $^{36}$  From coupled channel analysis.
- <sup>37</sup> Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.
- <sup>38</sup> Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

#### f<sub>0</sub>(980) WIDTH

Width determination very model dependent. Peak width in  $\pi\pi$  is about 50 MeV, but decay width can be much larger.

VALU	E (N	leV)		EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
40	to	100	OUR E	STIMATE					
• • •	• W	/e do	not use	the follow	ing da	ta for averages,	fits, l	imits, et	C. ● ● ●
70	+ -	20 32			39	ANISOVICH	09	RVUE	0.0 <u></u> <i>pp</i> , π <i>N</i>
91	+ -	30 22	± 3	44	40	ECKLUND	09	CLEO	4.17 $e^+e^- \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^{*+} + \text{c.c.}$
66.9	9±	2.2	+17.6 -12.5		41	UEHARA	08A	BELL	$10.6 \ e^+ e^{\pi^0 \pi^0} \rightarrow e^+_{\pi^0 \pi^0} \rightarrow e^+_{\pi^0 \pi^0} \rightarrow e^+_{\pi^0 \pi^0} \rightarrow e^+_{\pi^0} \rightarrow e$
65	±	13		$262\pm30$	42	AUBERT	<b>07</b> AK	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
81	±	21		$54\pm9$	42	AUBERT	<b>07</b> AK	BABR	$10.6 \begin{array}{c} e^{+} e^{-} \\ \phi \pi^{0} \\ \pi^{0} \\ \gamma \end{array} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \rightarrow$
51.3	$3^{+}_{-}$	20.8 17.7	3+13.2 7-3.8		43	MORI	07	BELL	$10.6 \ e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
61	±	9	$^{+14}_{-8}$	2584	44	GARMASH	05	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
64	$\pm$	16			45	ANISOVICH	03	RVUE	
121	±	23				TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC	
$\sim$ 70					46	BRAMON	02	RVUE	$1.02 \begin{array}{c} e^+ e^- \\ \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma \end{array} \xrightarrow{L}$
44	$\pm$	2	± 2	848	47	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$
201	+	28		419	48	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
122	+	13		419	49,50	ACHASOV	00H	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
56	+	20		115	51	AKHMETSHIN	99C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
65	+	20				RARRERIS	99C	OMEG	$450 \text{ nn} \rightarrow $
05	-	20				DANDENIS	55	OWLO	$p_s p_f K^+ K^-$
80	±	10				BARBERIS	<b>99</b> B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p$
80	±	10				BARBERIS	<b>99</b> C	OMEG	$\begin{array}{c} p_{s} p_{f} & \pi \\ 450 \ pp \rightarrow \end{array}$
10	<b>_</b>	10	o		52		000	OMEC	$p_s p_f \pi^0 \pi^0$
40	1	12	⊥ 0			DAILDEILIS	990	OWLG	$\begin{array}{c} 450 \ pp \rightarrow \ R^{+}R^{-} \\ \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \end{array}$
65	$\pm$	25				BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 \ pp \rightarrow \ pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
71	$\pm$	14			53	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , $K\overline{K}$ , $\sigma\sigma$
$\sim 28$					53	OLLER	99	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$ , $K \overline{K}$
$\sim 25$					-0	OLLER	<b>99</b> B	RVUE	$\pi \pi  ightarrow \pi \pi$ , $K \overline{K}$
$\sim 14$					53	OLLER	<b>99</b> C	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ , $K\overline{K}$ , $\eta\eta$
70	$\pm$	20				ALDE	98	GAM4	
86	$\pm$	16			53	ANISOVICH	<b>98</b> B	RVUE	Compilation
54					54	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi\pi ightarrow~\pi\pi$ , $K\overline{K}$
69	$\pm$	15			55	ALDE	97	GAM2	450 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
38	$\pm$	20			56	BERTIN	<b>97</b> C	OBLX	$0.0 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$\sim 10$	0				57	ISHIDA	96	RVUE	$\pi \pi  ightarrow \pi \pi$ , $K \overline{K}$
34						TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi\pi \to & \pi\pi, \ K\overline{K}, \ K\pi, \\ \eta\pi \end{array}$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Created: 7/30/2010 16:46

48	$\pm$	10	3k	58	ALDE	<b>95</b> B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
95	$\pm$	20	10k	59	ALDE	<b>95</b> B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
26	$\pm$	10			AMSLER	<b>95</b> B	CBAR	$0.0 \overline{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
$\sim 11$	2			60	AMSLER	<b>95</b> D	CBAR	$0.0 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0,$
				61				$\pi^{0}\eta\eta, \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\eta$
80	±	12		01	ANISOVICH	95	RVUE	_
30				60	JANSSEN	95	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, KK$
74				62	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$
29	±	2		64	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	$\pi\pi  ightarrow \pi\pi$ , KK
46				65	ZOU	<b>94</b> B	RVUE	( <del></del> .
48	±	12		05	MORGAN	93	RVUE	$\pi\pi(KK) \rightarrow$
								$\pi \pi (K K), J/\psi \rightarrow$
								$\phi \pi \pi (KK), D_S \rightarrow \pi (\pi \pi)$
37 /	1+	10.6		55	AGUILAR-	01	FHS	400 pp
72	+	8		66		91	OMEG	$300 \ pp \rightarrow pn\pi\pi$
	-	°				51	0	$ppK\overline{K}$
110	$\pm$	30			BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
29	$\pm$	13		55	ABACHI	<b>86</b> B	HRS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
120	$\pm 2$	$281 \pm 20$			ETKIN	<b>82</b> B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
28	$\pm$	10		66	GIDAL	81	MRK2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
70	to	300		67	ACHASOV	80	RVUE	, ,
100	$\pm$	80		68	AGUILAR	78	НВС	$0.7 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow K^0_c K^0_c$
30	+	8		66	I FFPFR	77	ASPK	$2-2.4 \pi^{-} p \rightarrow$
	_	-						$\pi^+\pi^-$ n. K <sup>+</sup> K <sup>-</sup> n
48	$\pm$	14		66	BINNIE	73	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n MM$
32	$\pm$	10		69	GRAYER	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
30	$\pm$	10		69	HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
54	$\pm$	16		69	PROTOPOP	73	HBC	$7 \pi^+ p \rightarrow$
								$\pi^+ p \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $^{39}$ On sheet II in a 2-pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (850–100*i*) MeV <sup>40</sup>Using a relativistic Breit-Wigner function and taking into account the finite  $D_s$  mass. <sup>41</sup> Breit-Wigner  $\pi\pi$  width. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 KK}^2/g_{f_0 \pi\pi}^2 = 0$ .

<sup>42</sup> Systematic errors not estimated.

<sup>43</sup>Breit-Wigner  $\pi\pi$  width. Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 KK}^2/g_{f_0 \pi\pi}^2 = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$  from ABLIKIM 05.

<sup>44</sup> Breit-Wigner, solution 1, PWA ambiguous.

<sup>45</sup> K-matrix pole from combined analysis of  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ ,  $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\overline{K}n$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \overline{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, K^+ K^- \pi^0, K^0_S K^0_S \pi^0,$  $K^+ K^0_S \pi^-$  at rest,  $\overline{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- \pi^+$ ,  $K^0_S K^- \pi^0$ ,  $K^0_S K^0_S \pi^-$  at rest. 46 Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 99C, ACHASOV 00H, and ALOISIO 02D.

<sup>47</sup> Breit-Wigner width.

<sup>48</sup> Supersedes ACHASOV 981. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.

<sup>49</sup> Supersedes ACHASOV 981.

 $^{50}$  In the "narrow resonance" approximation.

<sup>51</sup>From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ ,  $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\gamma$ .

<sup>52</sup> Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

<sup>53</sup> T-matrix pole.

 $^{54}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (1039-93i) MeV.  $^{55}$  From invariant mass fit.

 $^{56}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29i) MeV.

<sup>57</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

<sup>58</sup> At high |t|.

<sup>59</sup> At low |t|.

<sup>60</sup> On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at (953-55i) MeV and on sheet IV at (938-35i) MeV.

<sup>61</sup> Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94,

 $^{62}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (996–103*i*) MeV.  $^{63}$  From sheet II pole position.

 $^{64}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (797-185i) MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.

 $^{65}$  On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (978-28i) MeV.  $^{66}$  From coupled channel analysis.

<sup>67</sup> Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.

<sup>68</sup> From coupled channel fit to the HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data. With a simultaneous fit to the  $\pi\pi$  phase-shifts, inelasticity and to the  $\mathcal{K}^0_{\mathsf{C}}\mathcal{K}^0_{\mathsf{C}}$  invariant mass.

<sup>69</sup> Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

## f<sub>0</sub>(980) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$
Г1	$\pi\pi$	dominant
Г2	KK	seen
Г <sub>З</sub>	$\gamma \gamma$	seen
Γ4	$e^+e^-$	

### f<sub>0</sub>(980) PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)$				Гз
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$0.29 \begin{array}{c} +0.07 \\ -0.06 \end{array}$ OUR AV	ERAGE			
$0.286 \!\pm\! 0.017 \!+\! 0.211 \\ -\! 0.070$	<sup>70</sup> UEHARA	08A	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$0.205 \substack{+0.095 + 0.147 \\ -0.083 - 0.117}$	<sup>71</sup> MORI	07	BELL	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
${\substack{0.28}\\-0.13}^{+0.09}$	<sup>72</sup> BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^0 \pi^0$
$0.42\ \pm 0.06\ \pm 0.18$	<sup>73</sup> OEST	90	JADE	$e^+e^-  ightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use t	he following data for a	verage	es, fits, l	imits, etc. ● ● ●
$0.29\ \pm 0.07\ \pm 0.12$	<sup>74,75</sup> BOYER	90	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.31\ \pm 0.14\ \pm 0.09$	<sup>74,75</sup> MARSISKE	90	CBAL	$e^+e^-  ightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$0.63 \pm 0.14$	<sup>76</sup> MORGAN	90	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\pi^0 \pi^0$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

 $^{70}$  Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 KK}^2 / g_{f_0 \pi \pi}^2 = 0.$ 

<sup>71</sup>Using finite width corrections according to FLATTE 76 and ACHASOV 05, and the ratio  $g_{f_0 KK}^2 / g_{f_0 \pi \pi}^2 = 4.21 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.21$  from ABLIKIM 05.

<sup>72</sup> Supersedes MORGAN 90.

 $^{73}$  OEST 90 quote systematic errors  $^{+0.08}_{-0.18}$ . We use  $\pm 0.18$ . Observed 60 events.

<sup>74</sup> From analysis allowing arbitrary background unconstrained by unitarity.

<sup>75</sup> Data included in MORGAN 90, BOGLIONE 99 analyses.

 $^{76}$  From amplitude analysis of BOYER 90 and MARSISKE 90, data corresponds to resonance parameters m = 989 MeV,  $\Gamma = 61$  MeV.

Γ(e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> )						Γ4
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<8.4	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	

#### fo(980) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/[\Gamma(\pi\pi) +$	г( <i>кк</i> )]				$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ We do not use	the followin	g data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
$0.52 {\pm} 0.12$	9.9k	<sup>77</sup> AUBERT	060	BABR	$B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$
$0.75\substack{+0.11 \\ -0.13}$		<sup>78</sup> ABLIKIM	05Q	BES2	$\chi_{c0} \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$ ,
0.84±0.02		<sup>79</sup> ANISOVICH	<b>02</b> D	SPEC	$\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$ Combined fit
$\sim 0.68$		OLLER	<b>99</b> B	RVUE	$\pi\pi ightarrow~\pi\pi$ , $K\overline{K}$
$0.67 \pm 0.09$		<sup>80</sup> LOVERRE	80	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
$0.81 \substack{+ 0.09 \\ - 0.04}$		<sup>80</sup> CASON	78	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$
$0.78 \pm 0.03$		<sup>80</sup> WETZEL	76	OSPK	$8.9 \ \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_S^0$

 $^{77}$  Recalculated by us using  $\Gamma(K^+\,K^-)$  /  $\Gamma(\pi^+\,\pi^-)=0.69\pm0.32$  from AUBERT 060 and isospin relations.

<sup>78</sup> Using data from ABLIKIM 04G.

<sup>79</sup> From a combined K-matrix analysis of Crystal Barrel (0.  $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \eta\eta$ ,  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ ), GAMS ( $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ ,  $\eta\eta n$ ,  $\eta\eta' n$ ), and BNL ( $\pi p \rightarrow K\overline{K}n$ ) data.

<sup>80</sup> Measure  $\pi\pi$  elasticity assuming two resonances coupled to the  $\pi\pi$  and  $K\overline{K}$  channels only.

#### f<sub>0</sub>(980) REFERENCES

ANISOVICH	09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev		
ECKLUND	09	PR D80 052009	K.M. Ecklund <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO	Collab.)
UEHARA	08A	PR D78 052004	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE	Collab.)
AMBROSINO	07	EPJ C49 473	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE	Collab.)
AUBERT	07AK	PR D76 012008	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR	Collab.)
BONVICINI	07	PR D76 012001	G. Bonvicini <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO	Collab.)
MORI	07	PR D75 051101R	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE	Collab.)
AMBROSINO	06B	PL B634 148	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE	Collab.)
AUBERT	06O	PR D74 032003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR	Collab.)
GARMASH	06	PRL 96 251803	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE	Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05	PL B607 243	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	BES	Collab.)
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES	Collab.)
ACHASOV	05	PR D72 013006	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov		

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 7 Created: 7/30/2010 16:46

GARMASH ABLIKIM ANISOVICH TIKHOMIROV	05 04G 03 03	PR D71 092003 PR D70 092002 EPJ A16 229 PAN 66 828	A. Garmash <i>et al.</i> M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.) (BES Collab.)
ALOISIO ANISOVICH	02D 02D	Translated from YAF 66 PL B537 21 PAN 65 1545 Translated from YAF 65	860. A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i> V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> 1583.	(KLOE Collab.)
BRAMON ACHASOV AITALA AITALA	02 01F 01A 01B	EPJ C26 253 PR D63 094007 PRL 86 765 PRL 86 770	A. Bramon <i>et al.</i> N.N. Achasov, V.V. Gubin E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i> E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.) (FNAL E791 Collab.) (FNAL E791 Collab.)
ACHASOV AKHMETSHIN AKHMETSHIN BARBERIS	00H 99B 99C	PL B485 349 PL B462 371 PL B462 380 PL B453 305	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i> R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.) (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.) (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
BARBERIS BARBERIS BARBERIS	99B 99C	PL B453 305 PL B453 316 PL B453 325	D. Barberis et al. D. Barberis et al.	(Omega Expt.) (Omega Expt.)
BARBERIS BELLAZZINI BOGLIONE	99D 99 99	PL B462 462 PL B467 296 EPJ C9 11	<ul> <li>D. Barberis <i>et al.</i></li> <li>R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i></li> <li>M. Boglione, M.R. Penningto</li> </ul>	(Omega Expt.)
KAMINSKI OLLER OLLER	99 99 99B	EPJ C9 141 PR D60 099906 (erratun NP A652 407 (erratum)	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. I n)J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i> J.A. Oller, E. Oset	Loiseau (CRAC, PARIN)
OLLER ACHASOV ACKERSTAFE	99C 98I 98Q	PR D60 074023 PL B440 442 FPL C4 19	J.A. Oller, E. Oset M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> K. Ackerstaff <i>et al</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALDE Also	98	EPJ A3 361 PAN 62 405 Translated from YAE 62	D. Alde <i>et al.</i> D. Alde <i>et al.</i> 446	(GAM4 Collab.) (GAMS Collab.)
ANISOVICH	98B	SPU 41 419 Translated from UFN 168	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> 3 481.	
ALDE	98 97	PL B397 350	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(ÔBELIX Collab.)
	96 06	PTP 95 745	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)
ALDE	90 95B	ZPHY C66 375	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
AMSLER	95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
	95 05	PL B355 363	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, SERP)
AMSLER	95 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH	94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI	94 04 P	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P.	Maillet (CRAC+)
MORGAN	94D 93	PR D50 591 PR D48 1185	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL DURH)
AGUILAR	91	ZPHY C50 405	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BREAKSTONE	90 00	PR D42 1350 7PHV C18 560	J. Boyer et al. A M. Breakstone et al.	(Mark II Collab.) (ISU BGNA CERN⊥)
MARSISKE	90	PR D41 3324	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
	89 80	NP B315 405 NP B320 1	I.F. Augustin, G. Cosme	KO (DM2 Collab)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273 Translated from YAF 48	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i> 436.	(NOVO)
	86B	PRL 57 1990	S. Abachi <i>et al.</i> (	PURD, ANL, IND, MICH+)
GIDAL	81	PL 107B 153	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC. LBL)
ACHASOV	80	SJNP 32 566	N.N. Achasov, S.A. Devyanin	, G.N. Shestakov (NOVM)
	00	Translated from YAF 32	1098.	
AGUILAR-	78	NP B140 73	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al</i>	(MADR. BOMB+)
CASON	78	PRL 41 271	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
LEEPER	77	PR D16 2054	R.J. Leeper et al.	(ISU)
ROSSELET	77 76	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
WETZEI	76	NP B115 208	W. Wetzel <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 8 Created: 7/30/2010 16:46

Citation:	K. Nak	amura <i>et al.</i> (Particle Data	Group), JPG <b>37</b> , 075021 (2010) (URL: htt	p://pdg.lbl.gov)
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	V. Srinivasan <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINNIE	73	PRL 31 1534	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
GRAYER	73	Tallahassee	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
PROTOPOP	73	PR D7 1279	S.D. Protopopescu <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)