

**$a_2(1700)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^-(2^{++})$ 

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

 **$a_2(1700)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>1732 \pm 16</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.9.			
$1737 \pm 5 \pm 7$		ABE 04	BELL		$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
$1698 \pm 44$		<sup>1</sup> AMSLER 02	CBAR		$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
$1660 \pm 40$		ABELE 99B	CBAR		$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
$1675 \pm 25$		ANISOVICH 09	RVUE		$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$1722 \pm 9 \pm 15$	18k	<sup>2</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE 0		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$1702 \pm 7$	80k	<sup>3</sup> UMAN 06	E835		$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
$1721 \pm 13 \pm 44$	145k	LU 05	B852		$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
$1767 \pm 14$	221	<sup>4</sup> ACCIARRI 01H	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
$\sim 1775$		<sup>5</sup> GRYGOREV 99	SPEC		$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
$1752 \pm 21 \pm 4$		ACCIARRI 97T	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> T-matrix pole.<sup>2</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.<sup>3</sup> Statistical error only.<sup>4</sup> Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be  $J=1$ .<sup>5</sup> Possibly two  $J^P = 2^+$  resonances with isospins 0 and 1. **$a_2(1700)$  WIDTH**


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b><math>194 \pm 40</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.			
$151 \pm 22 \pm 24$		ABE 04	BELL		$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
$265 \pm 55$		<sup>6</sup> AMSLER 02	CBAR		$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
$280 \pm 70$		ABELE 99B	CBAR		$1.94 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta$
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
$270^{+50}_{-20}$		ANISOVICH 09	RVUE		$0.0 \bar{p}p, \pi N$
$336 \pm 20 \pm 20$	18k	<sup>7</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE 0		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$417 \pm 19$	80k	<sup>8</sup> UMAN 06	E835		$5.2 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \eta \pi^0$
$279 \pm 49 \pm 66$	145k	LU 05	B852		$18 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \pi^0 p$
$187 \pm 60$	221	<sup>9</sup> ACCIARRI 01H	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{cm}^{ee} = 91, 183-209 \text{ GeV}$
$150 \pm 110 \pm 34$		ACCIARRI 97T	L3		$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

<sup>6</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>7</sup> From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

<sup>8</sup> Statistical error only.

<sup>9</sup> Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be  $J=1$ .



### a<sub>2</sub>(1700) DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \eta\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \gamma\gamma$	
$\Gamma_3 \rho\pi$	
$\Gamma_4 f_2(1270)\pi$	
$\Gamma_5 K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \omega\pi^-\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_7 \omega\rho$	seen

### a<sub>2</sub>(1700) PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\eta\pi)$

$\Gamma_1$

VALUE (MeV)      EVTS      DOCUMENT ID      TECN      COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

9.5±2.0      870      <sup>10</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A RVUE  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ 

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
0.30±0.05	870	<sup>10</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$

 $\Gamma_2$  $\Gamma(K\bar{K})$ 

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
5.0±3.0	870	<sup>10</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06A	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
10 From analysis of L3 data at 91 and 183–209 GeV, using $a_2(1700)$ mass of 1730 MeV and width of 340 MeV, and SU(3) relations.				

 $\Gamma_5$  $a_2(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$ 

$[\Gamma(\rho\pi) + \Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)] \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$(\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)\Gamma_2/\Gamma$			
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.29±0.04±0.02</b>		ACCIARRI 97T	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
$0.37^{+0.12}_{-0.08} \pm 0.10$	18k	<sup>11</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_5\Gamma_2/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
$20.6 \pm 4.2 \pm 4.6$	<sup>12</sup> ABE 04	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- K^+ K^-$
$49 \pm 11 \pm 13$	<sup>13</sup> ACCIARRI 01H	L3	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0, E_{\text{cm}}^{ee} = 91, 183\text{--}209 \text{ GeV}$

11 From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

12 Assuming spin 2.

13 Spin 2 dominant, isospin not determined, could also be  $I=1$ . $a_2(1700) \text{ BRANCHING RATIOS}$  $\Gamma(\rho\pi)/\Gamma(f_2(1270)\pi)$  $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
$3.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.1$	18k	<sup>14</sup> SCHEGELSKY 06	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

14 From analysis of L3 data at 183–209 GeV.

 $a_2(1700) \text{ REFERENCES}$ 

ANISOVICH 09	IJMP A24 2481	V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev
SCHEGELSKY 06	EPJ A27 199	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
SCHEGELSKY 06A	EPJ A27 207	V.A. Schegelsky <i>et al.</i>
UMAN 06	PR D73 052009	I. Uman <i>et al.</i>
LU 05	PRL 94 032002	M. Lu <i>et al.</i>
ABE 04	EPJ C32 323	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>
AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI 01H	PL B501 173	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>
ABELE 99B	EPJ C8 67	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>
GRYGOREV 99	PAN 62 470 Translated from YAF 62 513.	V.K. Grygorev <i>et al.</i>
ACCIARRI 97T	PL B413 147	M. Acciari <i>et al.</i>