

**$\Sigma(1770)$   $P_{11}$**  $I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \*

## OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Evidence for this state now rests solely on solution 1 of BAILLON 75, (see the footnotes) but the  $\Lambda\pi$  partial-wave amplitudes of this solution are in disagreement with amplitudes from most other  $\Lambda\pi$  analyses.

 **$\Sigma(1770)$  MASS**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>\approx 1770</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1738 $\pm$ 10	<sup>1</sup> GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1770 $\pm$ 20	<sup>2</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1772	<sup>3</sup> KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

 **$\Sigma(1770)$  WIDTH**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
72 $\pm$ 10	<sup>1</sup> GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
80 $\pm$ 30	<sup>2</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
80	<sup>3</sup> KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

 **$\Sigma(1770)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode
$\Gamma_1 N\bar{K}$
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda\pi$
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma\pi$

 **$\Sigma(1770)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  Resonances.

 **$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.14 $\pm$ 0.04	<sup>1</sup> GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 **$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$** 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.08 $\pm$ 0.02	<sup>2</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.108	<sup>3</sup> KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

## $\Sigma(1770)$ FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup> Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the  $\bar{K}N$  channel. The addition of new  $K^- p$  polarization and  $K^- n$  differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the  $\Sigma(1660)$   $P_{11}$ .

<sup>2</sup> From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

<sup>3</sup> Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

## $\Sigma(1770)$ REFERENCES

GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL)
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I
BAILLON	75	NP B94 39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
KANE	72	PR D5 1583	D.F.J. Kane	(LBL)