

# $\rho(1700)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

## $\rho(1700)$ MASS

### $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
<b>1720±20 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1740±20	ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701±15	<sup>1</sup> FUKUI	88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+\pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1728 ±17 ±89	5.4M	2,3	FUJIKAWA	08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1780 <sup>+37</sup> / <sub>-29</sub>		4	ABELE	97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1719 ±15		4	BERTIN	97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1730 ±30			CLEGG	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1768 ±21			BISELLO	89	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1745.7±91.9			DUBNICKA	89	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1546 ±26			GESHKEN...	89	RVUE	
1650		5	ERKAL	85	RVUE	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
1550 ±70			ABE	84B	HYBR	$20 \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- p$
1590 ±20		6	ASTON	80	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
1600 ±10		7	ATIYA	79B	SPEC	$50 \gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
1598 <sup>+24</sup> / <sub>-22</sub>			BECKER	79	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
1659 ±25		5	LANG	79	RVUE	
1575		5	MARTIN	78C	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
1610 ±30		5	FROGGATT	77	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
1590 ±20		8	HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>2</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>3</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>4</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>5</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>6</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>7</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>8</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## $\pi\omega$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1550 to 1620	<sup>9</sup> ACHASOV	00I SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1580 to 1710	<sup>10</sup> ACHASOV	00I SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1710±90	ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

<sup>9</sup>Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

<sup>10</sup>Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

## $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1740.8±22.2	27k	<sup>11</sup> ABELE	99D CBAR	±	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$
1582 ±36	1600	CLELAND	82B SPEC	±	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>11</sup>K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1851 <sup>+27</sup> <sub>-24</sub>		ACHASOV	97 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1570±20		<sup>12</sup> CORDIER	82 DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1520±30		<sup>13</sup> ASTON	81E OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1654±25		<sup>14</sup> DIBIANCA	81 DBC	$\pi^+d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1666±39		<sup>12</sup> BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN	80 SPEC	11 $e^-p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1500		<sup>15</sup> ATIYA	79B SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
1570±60	65	<sup>16</sup> ALEXANDER	75 HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1550±60		<sup>13</sup> CONVERSI	74 OSPK	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1550±50	160	SCHACHT	74 STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1450±100	340	SCHACHT	74 STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
1430±50	400	BINGHAM	72B HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>12</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.

<sup>13</sup>Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>14</sup>One peak fit result.

<sup>15</sup>Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>16</sup>Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

## $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1660±30	ATKINSON	85B OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

### $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$1730 \pm 34$	<sup>17</sup> FRABETTI 04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
$1783 \pm 15$	CLEGG 90	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
<sup>17</sup> From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.			

### $\rho(1700)$ WIDTH

#### $\eta\rho^0$ AND $\pi^+\pi^-$ MODES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
<b><math>250 \pm 100</math> OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

#### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

$150 \pm 30$	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$282 \pm 44$	<sup>18</sup> FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>18</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+ \pi$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●

$164 \pm 21$	$^{+89}_{-26}$ 5.4M	<sup>19,20</sup> FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
$275 \pm 45$		<sup>21</sup> ABELE 97	CBAR	$\bar{p} n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
$310 \pm 40$		<sup>21</sup> BERTIN 97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$400 \pm 100$		CLEGG 94	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$224 \pm 22$		BISELLO 89	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$242.5 \pm 163.0$		DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$620 \pm 60$		GESHKEN... 89	RVUE	
$< 315$		<sup>22</sup> ERKAL 85	RVUE	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \gamma \pi$
$280 \pm 30$ $- 80$		ABE 84B	HYBR	$20 \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- p$
$230 \pm 80$		<sup>23</sup> ASTON 80	OMEG	$20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
$283 \pm 14$		<sup>24</sup> ATIYA 79B	SPEC	$50 \gamma C \rightarrow C 2\pi$
$175 \pm 98$ $- 53$		BECKER 79	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p$ polarized
$232 \pm 34$		<sup>22</sup> LANG 79	RVUE	
340		<sup>22</sup> MARTIN 78C	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$300 \pm 100$		<sup>22</sup> FROGGATT 77	RVUE	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
$180 \pm 50$		<sup>25</sup> HYAMS 73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

<sup>19</sup>  $|F_{\pi}(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

<sup>20</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

<sup>21</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>22</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>23</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>24</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

<sup>25</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## **$K\bar{K}$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$187.2 \pm 26.7$	27k	<sup>26</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
$265 \pm 120$	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	$\pm$ 50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

<sup>26</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## **$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$510 \pm 40$		<sup>27</sup> CORDIER	82	DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$400 \pm 50$		<sup>28</sup> ASTON	81E	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$400 \pm 146$		<sup>29</sup> DIBIANCA	81	DBC $\pi^+ d \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$700 \pm 160$		<sup>27</sup> BACCI	80	FRAG $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80	SPEC 11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
600		<sup>30</sup> ATIYA	79B	SPEC 50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C4\pi^\pm$
$340 \pm 160$	65	<sup>31</sup> ALEXANDER	75	HBC 7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$360 \pm 100$		<sup>28</sup> CONVERSI	74	OSPK $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
$400 \pm 120$	160	<sup>32</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 5.5-9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$850 \pm 200$	340	<sup>32</sup> SCHACHT	74	STRC 9-18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
$650 \pm 100$	400	BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>27</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.

<sup>28</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>29</sup> One peak fit result.

<sup>30</sup> Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>31</sup> Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

<sup>32</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

## **$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ MODE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$300 \pm 50$	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p$

### $\omega\pi^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

350 to 580	<sup>33</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	<sup>34</sup> ACHASOV	00i	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>33</sup>Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

<sup>34</sup>Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00i on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

### $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ AND $2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$ MODES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$315 \pm 100$	<sup>35</sup> FRABETTI	04	E687 $\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\rho$
$285 \pm 20$	CLEGG	90	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

<sup>35</sup>From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.

### $\rho(1700)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $4\pi$	
$\Gamma_2$ $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	large
$\Gamma_3$ $\rho\pi\pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	large
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
$\Gamma_6$ $\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	large
$\Gamma_7$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8$ $h_1(1170)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10}$ $\rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{13}$ $K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$	seen
$\Gamma_{14}$ $\eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{15}$ $a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{16}$ $K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{17}$ $e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$ $\pi^0\omega$	seen

## $\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $e^+e^-$  and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel<sub>1</sub> in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_2\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.6 ± 0.2	DEL COURT	81B DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83 ± 0.42	BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.13	<sup>36</sup> DIEKMAN	88 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
0.029 <sup>+0.016</sup> <sub>-0.012</sub>	KURDADZE	83 OLYA	0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>36</sup> Using total width = 220 MeV.

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.305 ± 0.071	<sup>37</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>37</sup> Model dependent.

### $\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
7 ± 3	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.035 ± 0.029	<sup>38</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>38</sup> Model dependent.

### $\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
3.510 ± 0.090	<sup>39</sup> BIZOT	80 DM1	$e^+e^-$

<sup>39</sup> Model dependent.

## $\rho(1700)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.28 ± 0.06	<sup>40</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>40</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$ $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
~ 1.0		DELCOURT	81B DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
0.7 ± 0.1	500	SCHACHT	74 STRC	5.5–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.80		<sup>41</sup> BINGHAM	72B HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
<sup>41</sup> The $\pi\pi$ system is in <i>S</i> -wave.				

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$ $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 0.10	ATKINSON	85B OMEG		20–70 $\gamma p$
< 0.15	ATKINSON	82 OMEG 0		20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

### $\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.16 ± 0.05	<sup>42</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>42</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.17 ± 0.06	<sup>43</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>43</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.30 ± 0.10	<sup>44</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>44</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

### $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.09 ± 0.03	<sup>45</sup> ABELE	01B CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<sup>45</sup> $\omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.287 <sup>+0.043</sup> <sub>-0.042</sub>	BECKER	79	ASPK 17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.15 to 0.30	<sup>46</sup> MARTIN	78C	RVUE 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
<0.20	<sup>47</sup> COSTA...	77B	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi, 4\pi$
0.30 $\pm$ 0.05	<sup>46</sup> FROGGATT	77	RVUE 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$
<0.15	<sup>48</sup> EISENBERG	73	HBC 5 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 2\pi$
0.25 $\pm$ 0.05	<sup>49</sup> HYAMS	73	ASPK 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$

<sup>46</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

<sup>47</sup> Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.

<sup>48</sup> Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.

<sup>49</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13 $\pm$ 0.05	ASTON	80	OMEG 20-70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
<0.14	<sup>50</sup> DAVIER	73	STRC 6-18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
<0.2	<sup>51</sup> BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$

<sup>50</sup> Upper limit is estimate.

<sup>51</sup>  $2\sigma$  upper limit.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.16 $\pm$ 0.04	<sup>52,53</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

<sup>52</sup> Using ABELE 97.

<sup>53</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.15 $\pm$ 0.03	<sup>54</sup> DELCOURT	81B	DM1 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K\pi$

<sup>54</sup> Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.04		DONNACHIE 87B	RVUE	
<0.02	58	ATKINSON 86B	OMEG 20-70	$\gamma p$



$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.123±0.027	DELCOURT 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-MM$
~ 0.1	ASTON 80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $(\Gamma_5+\Gamma_6+0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2.6±0.4	<sup>55</sup> BALLAM 74	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p$
<sup>55</sup> Upper limit. Background not subtracted.			

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
not seen	AMELIN 00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.015±0.010		<sup>56</sup> DELCOURT 81B	DM1		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K$
<0.04	95	BINGHAM 72B	HBC	0	9.3 $\gamma p$
<sup>56</sup> Assuming $\rho(1700)$ and $\omega$ radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.					

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+c.c.)$   $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_{13}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.052±0.026	BUON 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
seen		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

**$\rho(1700)$  REFERENCES**

FUJIKAWA 08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN 04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI 04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE 01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 001	PL B486 29	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
EDWARDS 00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE 99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE 97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG 94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG 90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)

BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JPG 15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
GESHKEN...	89	ZPHY C45 351	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ATKINSON	86B	ZPHY C30 531	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	85B	ZPHY C26 499	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ERKAL	85	ZPHY C29 485	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZETFP 37 613.		
ATKINSON	82	PL 108B 55	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DELCOURT	82	PL 113B 93	B. Delcourt <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81E	NP B189 15	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
DELCOURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
		Also		
		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

## OTHER RELATED PAPERS

AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SUROVTSEV	08	NP A807 145	Yu.S. Surovtsev, P. Budzovsky	
ACHASOV	07A	PR D76 072012	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LI	07A	PR D76 094016	B.A. Li	
LIU	07B	PR D75 074017	X. Liu <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM	06S	PRL 97 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ACHASOV	06D	JETP 103 720	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 130 831.		
AUBERT	06D	PR D73 052003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
DAVIER	06	RMP 78 1043	M. Davier, A. Hocker, Z. Zhang	(LALO, PARIN+)
ABE	05H	hep-ex/0512071	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	05A	JETP 101 1053	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 128 1201.		
AUBERT	05D	PR D71 052001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AULCHENKO	05	JETPL 82 743	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 82 841.		
SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaeel <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04C	PL B595 101	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMSLER	04A	NP A740 130	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	03C	JETP 96 789	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 123 899.		
AKHMETSHIN	03	PL B551 27	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
		Also		
		PAN 65 1222	E.V. Anashkin, V.M. Aulchenko, R.R. Akhmetshin	
		Translated from YAF 65 1255.		
BARGIOTTI	03B	PL B561 233	M. Bargiotti <i>et al.</i>	
ACHASOV	02B	PAN 65 153	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
		Translated from YAF 65 158.		

AGNELLO	02	PL B527 39	M. Agnello <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLOSE	02	PR D65 092003	F.E. Close, A. Donnachie, Yu.S. Kalashnikova	
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	01	PL B514 240	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
ACHASOV	00J	PR D62 117503	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	99E	PL B466 392	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
DONNACHIE	99	PR D60 114011	A. Donnachie, Yu.S. Kalashnikova	
KULZINGER	99	EPJ C7 73	G. Kulzinger <i>et al.</i>	
ABELE	98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANTONELLI	98	NP B517 3	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FENICE Collab.)
BELOZEROVA	98	PPN 29 63	T.S. Belozerova, V.K. Henner	
		Translated from FECAJ 29 148.		
BERTIN	98B	PL B434 180	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARNES	97	PR D55 4157	T. Barnes <i>et al.</i>	(ORNL, RAL, MCHS)
CLOSE	97C	PR D56 1584	F.E. Close <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, MCHS)
URHEIM	97	NPBPS 55C 359	J. Urheim	(CLEO Collab.)
ACHASOV	96B	PAN 59 1262	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 59 1319.		
ANTONELLI	96	PL B365 427	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(FENICE Collab.)
AMSLER	93B	PL B311 362	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
LANDSBERG	92	SJNP 55 1051	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 55 1896.		
ASTON	91B	NPBPS 21 105	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(LASS Collab.)
BISELLO	91C	ZPHY C52 227	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ACHASOV	88C	PL B209 373	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	(NOVM)
BRAU	88	PR D37 2379	J.E. Brau <i>et al.</i>	JP
CASTRO	88	Preprint LAL-88-58	A. Castro <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
BITYUKOV	87	PL B188 383	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
DONNACHIE	87	ZPHY C33 407	A. Donnachie, H. Mirzaie	(MCHS)
ERKAL	86	ZPHY C31 615	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ATKINSON	85	ZPHY C29 333	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ATKINSON	84C	NP B243 1	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83C	NP B229 269	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
AUGUSTIN	83	LAL 83-21	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, PADO, FRAS)
SHAMBROOM	82	PR D26 1	W.D. Shambroom <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, EFI, ILL+)
BISELLO	81	PL 107B 145	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM1 Collab.)
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
COSME	76	PL 63B 352	G. Cosme <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
FRENKIEL	72	NP B47 61	P. Frenkiel <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)
ALVENSLEB...	71	PRL 26 273	H. Alvensleben <i>et al.</i>	(DESY, MIT) G
BRAUN	71	NP B30 213	H.M. Braun <i>et al.</i>	(STRB) G
BULOS	71	PRL 26 149	F. Bulos <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, UMD, IBM, LBL) G
LAYSSAC	71	NC 6A 134	J. Layssac, F.M. Renard	(MONP)