

# $f_1(1285)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(1^{++})$$

## $f_1(1285)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1281.8 ± 0.6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.				
1281 ± 2 ± 1		AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1276.1 ± 8.1 ± 8.0	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
1274 ± 6	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	91.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
1280 ± 4		ACCIARRI	01G L3	
1288 ± 4 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1284 ± 6	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1281 ± 1		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow ppK_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1280 ± 2		<sup>1</sup> ANTINORI	95 OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1282.2 ± 1.5		LEE	94 MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
1279 ± 5		FUKUI	91C SPEC	8.95 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
1278 ± 2	140	ARMSTRONG	89 OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi pp$
1278 ± 2		ARMSTRONG	89G OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi\pi p, pp \rightarrow 4\pi pp$
1280.1 ± 2.1	60	RATH	89 MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
1285 ± 1	4750	<sup>2</sup> BIRMAN	88 MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
1280 ± 1	504	BITYUKOV	88 SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
1280 ± 4		ANDO	86 SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
1277 ± 2	420	REEVES	86 SPEC	6.6 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow KK\pi X$
1285 ± 2		CHUNG	85 SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow NK\bar{K}\pi$
1279 ± 2	604	ARMSTRONG	84 OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi p, pp \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi pp$
1286 ± 1		CHAUVAT	84 SPEC	ISR 31.5 $pp$
1278 ± 4		EVANGELIS...	81 OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
1283 ± 3	103	DIONISI	80 HBC	4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi n$
1282 ± 2	320	NACASCH	78 HBC	0.7,0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}3\pi$
1279 ± 5	210	GRASSLER	77 HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
1286 ± 3	180	DUBOC	72 HBC	1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2K4\pi$
1283 ± 5		DAHL	67 HBC	1.6-4.2 $\pi^- p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1281.9 ± 0.5		<sup>3</sup> SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-) p_{\text{fast}}$
1282.8 ± 0.6		<sup>3</sup> SOSA	99 SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+) p_{\text{fast}}$
1270 ± 10		AMELIN	95 VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$
1280 ± 2		ABATZIS	94 OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

1282 ± 4		ARMSTRONG	93C	E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
1270 ± 6 ±10		ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG 300	$pp \rightarrow p p \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1281 ± 1		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG 300	$pp \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1279 ± 6 ±10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi K \bar{K} \pi$
1286 ± 9		GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
1287 ± 5	353	BITYUKOV	84B	SPEC	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
~ 1279		<sup>4</sup> TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE	
1275 ± 6	31	BROMBERG	80	SPEC	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow K \bar{K} \pi X$
1288 ± 9	200	GURTU	79	HBC	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow n \eta 2\pi$
~ 1275.0	46	<sup>5</sup> STANTON	79	CNTR	$8.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma 2\pi$
1271 ± 10	34	CORDEN	78	OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi n$
1295 ± 12	85	CORDEN	78	OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 5\pi$
1292 ± 10	150	DEFOIX	72	HBC	$0.7 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 7\pi$
1280 ± 3	500	<sup>6</sup> THUN	72	MMS	$13.4 \pi^- p$
1303 ± 8		BARDADIN-...	71	HBC	$8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow p 6\pi$
1283 ± 6		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	$16.0 \pi p \rightarrow p 5\pi$
1270 ± 10		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	$2.7 \pi^+ d$
1285 ± 7		LORSTAD	69	HBC	$0.7 \bar{p}p, 4,5\text{-body}$
1290 ± 7		D'ANDLAU	68	HBC	$1.2 \bar{p}p, 5-6\text{ body}$

<sup>1</sup> Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.


<sup>2</sup> From partial wave analysis of  $K^+ \bar{K}^0 \pi^-$  system.

<sup>3</sup> No systematic error given.

<sup>4</sup> From a unitarized quark-model calculation.

<sup>5</sup> From phase shift analysis of  $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  system.

<sup>6</sup> Seen in the missing mass spectrum.



$f_1(1285)$  mass (MeV)

### $f_1(1285)$ WIDTH

Only experiments giving width error less than 20 MeV are kept for averaging.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>24.3 ± 1.1 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.		
35 ± 6 ± 4		AUBERT	07AU BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
40.0 ± 8.6 ± 9.3	203	BAI	04J BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
29 ± 12	237	ABDALLAH	03H DLPH	91.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp + X$
45 ± 9 ± 7	20k	ADAMS	01B B852	18 GeV $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
55 ± 18	1400	ALDE	97B GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0 n$
24 ± 3		BARBERIS	97B OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
20 ± 2		BARBERIS	97C OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow ppK_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$

36 ± 5		<sup>7</sup> ANTINORI	95	OMEG	300,450 $pp \rightarrow$ $pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
29.0 ± 4.1		LEE	94	MPS2	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}^0 2\pi^- p$
25 ± 4	140	ARMSTRONG	89	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi pp$
22 ± 2	4750	<sup>8</sup> BIRMAN	88	MPS	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+\bar{K}^0 \pi^- n$
25 ± 4	504	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+K^- \pi^0 n$
19 ± 5		ANDO	86	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
32 ± 8	420	REEVES	86	SPEC	6.6 $p\bar{p} \rightarrow KK\pi X$
22 ± 2		CHUNG	85	SPEC	8 $\pi^- p \rightarrow NK\bar{K}\pi$
32 ± 3	604	ARMSTRONG	84	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi p,$ $pp \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi pp$
24 ± 3		CHAUVAT	84	SPEC	ISR 31.5 $pp$
29 ± 10	103	DIONISI	80	HBC	4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi n$
28.3 ± 6.7	320	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}3\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
18.2 ± 1.2		<sup>9</sup> SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^+ \pi^-)$ $p_{\text{fast}}$
19.4 ± 1.5		<sup>9</sup> SOSA	99	SPEC	$pp \rightarrow p_{\text{slow}} (K_S^0 K^- \pi^+)$ $p_{\text{fast}}$
40 ± 5		ABATZIS	94	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
31 ± 5		ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
41 ± 12		ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG	85 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow 4\pi\pi p, pp \rightarrow$ $4\pi pp$
17.9 ± 10.9	60	RATH	89	MPS	21.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0 n$
14 $\begin{smallmatrix} +20 \\ -14 \end{smallmatrix}$ ± 10	16	BECKER	87	MRK3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi K\bar{K}\pi$
26 ± 12		EVANGELIS...	81	OMEG	12 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- p$
25 ± 15	200	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow n\eta 2\pi$
~ 10		<sup>10</sup> STANTON	79	CNTR	8.5 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n2\gamma 2\pi$
24 ± 18	210	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
28 ± 5	150	<sup>11</sup> DEFOIX	72	HBC	0.7 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 7\pi$
46 ± 9	180	<sup>11</sup> DUBOC	72	HBC	1.2 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2K4\pi$
37 ± 5	500	<sup>12</sup> THUN	72	MMS	13.4 $\pi^- p$
10 ± 10		BOESEBECK	71	HBC	16.0 $\pi p \rightarrow p5\pi$
30 ± 15		CAMPBELL	69	DBC	2.7 $\pi^+ d$
60 ± 15		<sup>11</sup> LORSTAD	69	HBC	0.7 $\bar{p}p, 4,5\text{-body}$
35 ± 10		<sup>11</sup> DAHL	67	HBC	1.6–4.2 $\pi^- p$

<sup>7</sup> Supersedes ABATZIS 94, ARMSTRONG 89E.

<sup>8</sup> From partial wave analysis of  $K^+\bar{K}^0\pi^-$  system.


<sup>9</sup> No systematic error given.

<sup>10</sup> From phase shift analysis of  $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$  system.

<sup>11</sup> Resolution is not unfolded.

<sup>12</sup> Seen in the missing mass spectrum.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
 $24.3 \pm 1.1$  (Error scaled by 1.4)



### $f_1(1285)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor/ Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $4\pi$	$(33.1^{+2.1}_{-1.8})\%$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(22.0^{+1.4}_{-1.2})\%$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_3$ $2\pi^+2\pi^-$	$(11.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6})\%$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_4$ $\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	$(11.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6})\%$	S=1.3
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho^0\rho^0$	seen	
$\Gamma_6$ $4\pi^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_7$ $\eta\pi\pi$	$(52 \pm 16)\%$	
$\Gamma_8$ $a_0(980)\pi$ [ignoring $a_0(980) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$ ]	$(36 \pm 7)\%$	
$\Gamma_9$ $\eta\pi\pi$ [excluding $a_0(980)\pi$ ]	$(16 \pm 7)\%$	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $K\bar{K}\pi$	$(9.0 \pm 0.4)\%$	S=1.1
$\Gamma_{11}$ $K\bar{K}^*(892)$	not seen	
$\Gamma_{12}$ $\gamma\rho^0$	$(5.5 \pm 1.3)\%$	S=2.8

$\Gamma_{13}$	$\phi\gamma$	$(7.4 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{14}$	$\gamma\gamma^*$	
$\Gamma_{15}$	$\gamma\gamma$	

### CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 7 branching ratios uses 16 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 24.7$  for 12 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_8$	-17			
$x_9$	-8	-95		
$x_{10}$	46	-9	-4	
$x_{12}$	-36	-4	-2	-34
	$x_1$	$x_8$	$x_9$	$x_{10}$

### $f_1(1285) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_7\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_8+\Gamma_9)\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<0.62	95	GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma^*)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_7\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma = (\Gamma_8+\Gamma_9)\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$			
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>1.4 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.4.			
1.18 ± 0.25 ± 0.20	26	<sup>13,14</sup> AIHARA	88B	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
2.30 ± 0.61 ± 0.42		<sup>13,15</sup> GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1.8 ± 0.3 ± 0.3	420	<sup>16</sup> ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>13</sup> Assuming a  $\rho$ -pole form factor.

<sup>14</sup> Published value multiplied by  $\eta\pi\pi$  branching ratio 0.49.

<sup>15</sup> Published value divided by 2 and multiplied by the  $\eta\pi\pi$  branching ratio 0.49.

<sup>16</sup> Published value multiplied by the  $\eta\pi\pi$  branching ratio 0.52.

### $f_1(1285)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$					$\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
<b>0.271 ± 0.016 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.3.				
<b>0.271 ± 0.016 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.				
0.265 ± 0.014	<sup>17</sup> BARBERIS	97C	OMEG 450	$pp \rightarrow p\rho K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$	
0.28 ± 0.05	<sup>18</sup> ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG 300	$pp \rightarrow p\rho f_1(1285)$	
0.37 ± 0.03 ± 0.05	<sup>19</sup> ARMSTRONG	89G	OMEG 85	$\pi p \rightarrow 4\pi X$	

<sup>17</sup> Using  $2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$  data from BARBERIS 97B.

<sup>18</sup> Assuming  $\rho\pi\pi$  and  $a_0(980)\pi$  intermediate states.

<sup>19</sup>  $4\pi$  consistent with being entirely  $\rho\pi\pi$ .

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_2 / \Gamma = \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID

**0.220<sup>+0.014</sup><sub>-0.012</sub> OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

$$\Gamma(2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_3 / \Gamma = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID

**0.110<sup>+0.007</sup><sub>-0.006</sub> OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_4 / \Gamma = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma_1 / \Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID

**0.110<sup>+0.007</sup><sub>-0.006</sub> OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.3.

$$\Gamma(\rho^0 \rho^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_5 / \Gamma$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen BARBERIS 00C 450  $pp \rightarrow p_f 4\pi p_S$

$$\Gamma(4\pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_6 / \Gamma$$

VALUE (units 10<sup>-4</sup>) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

<7 90 ALDE 87 GAM4 100  $\pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$

$$\Gamma(K \bar{K} \pi) / \Gamma(\eta \pi \pi) \qquad \Gamma_{10} / \Gamma_7 = \Gamma_{10} / (\Gamma_8 + \Gamma_9)$$

VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

**0.171 ± 0.013 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

**0.170 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE**

0.166 ± 0.01 ± 0.008 BARBERIS 98C OMEG 450  $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_S$

0.42 ± 0.15 GURTU 79 HBC 4.2  $K^- p$

0.5 ± 0.2 <sup>20</sup> CORDEN 78 OMEG 12–15  $\pi^- p$

0.20 ± 0.08 <sup>21</sup> DEFOIX 72 HBC 0.7  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow 7\pi$

0.16 ± 0.08 CAMPBELL 69 DBC 2.7  $\pi^+ d$

<sup>20</sup> CORDEN 78 assumes low-mass  $\eta\pi\pi$  region is dominantly  $1^{++}$ . See BARBERIS 98C and MANAK 00A for discussion.

<sup>21</sup>  $K\bar{K}$  system characterized by the  $l = 1$  threshold enhancement. (See under  $a_0(980)$ ).

$$\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi \text{ [ignoring } a_0(980) \rightarrow K\bar{K}]) / \Gamma(\eta\pi\pi) \qquad \Gamma_8 / \Gamma_7 = \Gamma_8 / (\Gamma_8 + \Gamma_9)$$

VALUE CL% EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

**0.69 ± 0.13 OUR FIT**

**0.69<sup>+0.13</sup><sub>-0.12</sub> OUR AVERAGE**

0.72 ± 0.15 GURTU 79 HBC 4.2  $K^- p$

0.6 <sup>+0.3</sup><sub>-0.2</sub> CORDEN 78 OMEG 12–15  $\pi^- p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.69	95	318	ACHARD	02B	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
0.28±0.07		1400	ALDE	97B	GAM4	100 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0n$
1.0 ±0.3			GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$

### $\Gamma(4\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)$

### $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_7 = \Gamma_1/(\Gamma_8+\Gamma_9)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.63±0.06 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
<b>0.41±0.14 OUR AVERAGE</b>			

0.37±0.11±0.11	BOLTON	92	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285)$
0.64±0.40	GURTU	79	HBC	4.2 $K^-p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.93±0.30	<sup>22</sup> GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 $\pi^\mp p$
-----------	------------------------	----	-----	----------------

<sup>22</sup> Assuming  $\rho\pi\pi$  and  $a_0(980)\pi$  intermediate states.

### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

### $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>not seen</b>	NACASCH	78	HBC	0.7,0.76 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	<sup>23</sup> ACHARD	07	L3	183–209 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$
------	----------------------	----	----	--

<sup>23</sup> A clear signal of  $19.8 \pm 4.4$  events observed at high  $Q^2$ .

### $\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$

### $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
1.0±0.4	GRASSLER	77	HBC	16 GeV $\pi^\pm p$

### $\Gamma(\phi\gamma)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$

### $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_{10}$

<u>VALUE (units 10<sup>-2</sup>)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>0.82±0.21±0.20</b>		19	BITYUKOV	88	SPEC	32.5 $\pi^-p \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.50	95		BARBERIS	98C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_S$
<0.93	95		AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^-N \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-\gamma N$

### $\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$

### $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_{10}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>0.035	90	<sup>24</sup> COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
--------	----	-----------------------	----	------	---

<sup>24</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0) = 0.25 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi) < 0.72 \times 10^{-3}$ .



$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma(2\pi^+2\pi^-)$		$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_{12}/\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>0.50±0.13 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.5.			
<b>0.45±0.18</b>	<sup>25</sup> COFFMAN	90	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>25</sup> Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\rho^0) = 0.25 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_1(1285) \rightarrow \gamma 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-) = 0.55 \times 10^{-4}$ given by MIR 88.				

$\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)/\Gamma_{total}$		$\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>5.5±1.3 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.8.			
<b>2.8±0.7±0.6</b>	AMELIN	95	VES	37 $\pi^- N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<5	95	BITYUKOV	91B	SPEC 32 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma n$

$\Gamma(\eta\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\gamma\rho^0)$		$\Gamma_7/\Gamma_{12} = (\Gamma_8+\Gamma_9)/\Gamma_{12}$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>9.5±2.0 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 2.5.			
<b>7.9±0.9 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
10.0±1.0±2.0	BARBERIS	98C	OMEG 450	$pp \rightarrow p_f f_1(1285) p_s$
7.5±1.0	<sup>26</sup> ARMSTRONG	92C	OMEG 300	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-\gamma, pp\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<sup>26</sup> Published value multiplied by 1.5.				

### $f_1(1285)$ REFERENCES

ACHARD	07	JHEP 0703 018	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AUBERT	07AU	PR D76 092005	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABDALLAH	03H	PL B569 129	J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACHARD	02B	PL B526 269	P. Achard <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
BARBERIS	00C	PL B471 440	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
MANAK	00A	PR D62 012003	J.J. Manak <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
SOSA	99	PRL 83 913	M. Sosa <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ALDE	97B	PAN 60 386	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 60 458.		
BARBERIS	97B	PL B413 217	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
BARBERIS	97C	PL B413 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
AMELIN	95	ZPHY C66 71	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ABATZIS	94	PL B324 509	S. Abatzis <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
LEE	94	PL B323 227	J.H. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, IND, KYUN, MASD+)
ARMSTRONG	93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG	92C	ZPHY C54 371	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BOLTON	92	PL B278 495	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
BITYUKOV	91B	SJNP 54 318	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 54 529.		
FUKUI	91C	PL B267 293	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
COFFMAN	90	PR D41 1410	D.M. Coffman <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	89	PL B221 216	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, BIRM+) JPC
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
ARMSTRONG	89G	ZPHY C43 55	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BIRM, BARI+)
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)
AIHARA	88B	PL B209 107	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.)
BIRMAN	88	PRL 61 1557	A. Birman <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FSU, IND, MASD) JP
BITYUKOV	88	PL B203 327	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
MIR	88	Photon-Photon 88, 126	R. Mir	(Mark III Collab.)
Conference				

ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)
BECKER	87	PRL 59 186	J.J. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ANDO	86	PRL 57 1296	A. Ando <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, KYOT, NIRS, SAGA+) IJP
REEVES	86	PR D34 1960	D.F. Reeves <i>et al.</i>	(FLOR, BNL, IND+) JP
CHUNG	85	PRL 55 779	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FLOR, IND+) JP
ARMSTRONG	84	PL 146B 273	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+) JP
BITYUKOV	84B	PL 144B 133	S.I. Bityukov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
CHAUVAT	84	PL 148B 382	P. Chauvat <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CLER, UCLA+)
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
EVANGELIS...	81	NP B178 197	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
BROMBERG	80	PR D22 1513	C.M. Bromberg <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, FNAL, ILLC+)
DIONISI	80	NP B169 1	C. Dionisi <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MADR, CDEF+)
GURTU	79	NP B151 181	A. Gurtu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM, OXF)
STANTON	79	PRL 42 346	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+) JP
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP
NACASCH	78	NP B135 203	R. Nacasch <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, MADR, CERN)
GRASSLER	77	NP B121 189	H. Grassler <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, BONN+)
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)
DUBOC	72	NP B46 429	J. Duboc <i>et al.</i>	(PARIS, LIVP)
THUN	72	PRL 28 1733	R. Thun <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)
BARDADIN-...	71	PR D4 2711	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska <i>et al.</i>	(WARS)
BOESEBECK	71	PL 34B 659	K. Boesebeck	(AACH, BERL, BONN, CERN, CRAC+)
CAMPBELL	69	PRL 22 1204	J.H. Campbell <i>et al.</i>	(PURD)
LORSTAD	69	NP B14 63	B. Lorstad <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN) JP
D'ANDLAU	68	NP B5 693	C. d'Andlau <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+) IJP
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL) IJP

### OTHER RELATED PAPERS

AHOHE	05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.) JPC
ASTON	85	PR D32 2255	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, CARL, CNRC)
ATKINSON	84E	PL 138B 459	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
GAVILLET	82	ZPHY C16 119	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, PADO+)
D'ANDLAU	65	PL 17 347	C. d'Andlau <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IRAD+)
MILLER	65	PRL 14 1074	D.H. Miller <i>et al.</i>	(LRL, UCB)