

η(1475)


$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

See also the η(1405).

η(1475) MASS

K $\bar{K}\pi$ MODE (K*(892) K dominant)


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1476 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.
1460 ± 19	3651	NICHITIU	02	OBLX
1485 ± 8 ± 5	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852 18 GeV π ⁻ p → K ⁺ K ⁻ π ⁰ n
1500 ± 10		CICALO	99	OBLX 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow$ K [±] K _S ⁰ π [∓] π ⁺ π ⁻
1464 ± 10		BERTIN	97	OBLX 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow$ K [±] (K ⁰) π [∓] π ⁺ π ⁻
1460 ± 10		BERTIN	95	OBLX 0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$
1490 ⁺¹⁴⁺³ ₋₈₋₁₆	1100	BAI	90C	MRK3 J/ψ → γ K _S ⁰ K [±] π [∓]
1475 ± 4		RATH	89	MPS 21.4 π ⁻ p → n K _S ⁰ K _S ⁰ π ⁰
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1421 ± 14		AUGUSTIN	92	DM2 J/ψ → γ K $\bar{K}\pi$



$\eta(1475)$ WIDTH

$K\bar{K}\pi$ MODE ($K^*(892)$ K dominant)

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
87 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.
120 ± 19	3651	NICHITIU	02	OBLX
$98 \pm 18 \pm 3$	20k	ADAMS	01B	B852 $18 \text{ GeV } \pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0 n$
100 ± 20		CICALO	99	OBLX $0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm K_S^0 \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
105 ± 15		BERTIN	97	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^\pm (K^0) \pi^\mp \pi^+ \pi^-$
105 ± 15		BERTIN	95	OBLX $0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi\pi$
63 ± 18		AUGUSTIN	92	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K\bar{K}\pi$
54^{+37+13}_{-21-24}		BAI	90C	MRK3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
51 ± 13		RATH	89	MPS $21.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$



$\eta(1475)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K\bar{K}\pi$	dominant
Γ_2 $K\bar{K}^*(892)+$ c.c.	seen
Γ_3 $a_0(980)\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\gamma\gamma$	seen

$\eta(1475)$ $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
VALUE (keV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.212±0.050±0.023		¹ ACCIARRI	01G L3	183-202 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••					
<0.089	90	^{2,3} AHOHE	05 CLE2	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$	

¹ Signal compatible with K^*K decay.

² Using $\eta(1475)$ mass and width 1481 MeV and 48 MeV, respectively.

³ Assuming three-body phase-space decay to $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$.

$\eta(1475)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}\pi)$					Γ_2/Γ_1
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••					
0.50±0.10	⁴ BAILLON	67 HBC	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi\pi$		

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/[\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})+\Gamma(a_0(980)\pi)]$					$\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$
VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••					
<0.25	90	EDWARDS	82E CBAL	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\gamma$	

⁴ Data could also refer to $\eta(1405)$.

$\eta(1475)$ REFERENCES

AHOHE	05	PR D71 072001	R. Ahohe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
NICHITIU	02	PL B545 261	F. Nichitiu <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ACCIARRI	01G	PL B501 1	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADAMS	01B	PL B516 264	G.S. Adams <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E852 Collab.)
CICALO	99	PL B462 453	C. Cicalo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97	PL B400 226	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	95	PL B361 187	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	92	PR D46 1951	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
RATH	89	PR D40 693	M.G. Rath <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, BRAN, BNL, CUNY+)
EDWARDS	82E	PRL 49 259	C. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CIT, HARV, PRIN+)
BAILLON	67	NC 50A 393	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, IRAD)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

MASONI	06	JPG 32 R293	A. Masoni, C. Cicalo, G.L. Usai	(INFN, CAGL)
--------	----	-------------	---------------------------------	--------------