$$\Delta(1910) P_{31}$$

 $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

△(1910) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1870 to 1920 (\approx 1910) OUR ES	TIMATE			
2067.9 ± 1.7	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1882 ± 10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
1910 ±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1888 ±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
1995 ±12	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
2152	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1960.1 ± 21.0	1 CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
$2121.4^{+13.0}_{-14.3}$	1 CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
1921	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1790	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

△(1910) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
190 to 270 (\approx 250) OUR ESTIM	ATE			
543 ± 10	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
239 ± 25	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
225 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$280 ~\pm~ 50$	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●
713 ±465	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
760	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
$152.9\pm \ 60.0$	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
172.2± 37.0	¹ CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
351	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
170	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

△(1910) POLE POSITION

KEAL PAR I VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN COMMENT	
1830 to 1880 (≈ 1855) OUR ES	TIMATE			
1771	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
1874	³ HOEHLER	93	SPED $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
1880 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV	Page 1		Created: 6/7/2007 11:13	

 \bullet \bullet We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet

1880	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1810	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1950	ARNDT	91	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1792 or 1801	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

-2×IMAGINARY PART				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
200 to 500 (\approx 350) OUR ESTIMA	TE			
479	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
283	³ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
200±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits,	limits, e	tc. • • •
496	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
494	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
398	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
172 or 165	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

△(1910) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●	
ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$	
ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90	
DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
data for averages	s, fits,	limits, e	tc. ● ● ●	
ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$	
ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90	
	DOCUMENT ID ARNDT HOEHLER CUTKOSKY data for averages ARNDT ARNDT DOCUMENT ID ARNDT CUTKOSKY data for averages ARNDT ARNDT ARNDT	DOCUMENT IDARNDT06HOEHLER93CUTKOSKY80data for averages, fits,ARNDT95ARNDT91DOCUMENT IDARNDT06CUTKOSKY80data for averages, fits,ARNDT95ARNDT95ARNDT95ARNDT91	DOCUMENT IDTECNARNDT06DPWAHOEHLER93SPEDCUTKOSKY80IPWAdata for averages, fits, limits, eARNDT95DPWAARNDT91DPWADOCUMENT IDTECNARNDT06DPWACUTKOSKY80IPWAdata for averages, fits, limits, eARNDT06ARNDT06DPWAdata for averages, fits, limits, eARNDT95ARNDT95DPWAARNDT91DPWA	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \hline DOCUMENT \ ID & TECN & COMMENT \\ \hline ARNDT & 06 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N, & \eta N \\ \hline HOEHLER & 93 & SPED & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ CUTKOSKY & 80 & IPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \hline ARNDT & 95 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & N \pi \\ \hline ARNDT & 91 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline Solution & TECN & COMMENT \\ \hline ARNDT & 06 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N, & \eta N \\ \hline CUTKOSKY & 80 & IPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \hline ARNDT & 95 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline data for averages, fits, limits, etc. \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \hline ARNDT & 95 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline ARNDT & 91 & DPWA & \pi N \rightarrow & \pi N \\ \hline Soln SM90 \\ \hline \end{array}$

△(1910) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	15–30 %
Γ2	ΣΚ	
Γ ₃	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ ₄	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ ₅	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	

Г ₆	N ho	
Γ ₇	N ho, S=3/2, P-wave	
Г ₈	$N(1440)\pi$	
Г9	$\mathit{N}(1440)\pi$, $\mathit{P} ext{-wave}$	
Γ ₁₀	$N\gamma$	0.0-0.2 %
Г ₁₁	N γ , helicity=1/2	0.0–0.2 %

△(1910) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$				Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.15 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				_
$0.239 \!\pm\! 0.001$	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, ηN
0.23 ± 0.08	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
0.19 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.24 ± 0.06	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet We do not use the following d	lata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
0.29 ± 0.21	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.26	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
0.17	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
0.40	CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(192)$	L0)→Σ <i>K</i>			(Г ₁ Г ₂) ^½ /Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.03	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following d	lata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. • • •
-0.019	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta($	1910) → <i>Δ</i> (123	2) π,	P-wave	е (Г ₁ Г ₅) ^½ /Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.06	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta($	1910) → <i>N</i> ρ, <i>S</i> =	=3/2	2, <i>P</i> -wav	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.29	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta($	1910) → <i>N</i> (144	0)π,	<i>P</i> -wave	е (Г ₁ Г ₉) ^½ /Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.39 ± 0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$

Citation: W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for edition 2008 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$					Г ₈ /Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
0.56 ± 0.07	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel	

△(1910) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Δ (1910) $\rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$+0.003\pm0.014$ OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.002 ± 0.008	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.014 ± 0.030	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.025 ± 0.011	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.012 ± 0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.031 ± 0.004	ARAI	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.005 ± 0.030	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following d	lata for averages	, fits,	limits, e	etc. ● ● ●
0.032 ± 0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

Δ (1910) FOOTNOTES

- ¹CHEW 80 reports four resonances in the P_{31} wave see also the $\Delta(1750)$. Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.
- ²LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

³ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

Δ (1910) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>		(GWU))
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	WM. Yao <i>et al.</i>	1	(PDG Collab.))
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, 7	ГS.H. Lee	(PITT+))
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, I	R.L. Workman	(VPI))
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt et al.		(VPI, BRCO))
HOEHLER	93	π N Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler		(KARL))
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>		(VPI))
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski		(KÈNT)) IJF
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley et al.		(VPI))
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt et al.		(VPI, TELE)) IJF
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN,	RAL, LOWC))
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	1	(GLAS))
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2	G. Hohler		(KARLT))
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS	, CIT, CERN))
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	,	(NAGO))
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>		(NAGO))
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai		(INUS))
Also		NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii		(INUS))
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew		(LBL)) IJF

CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMŮ, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky et al.	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP

Citation: W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for edition 2008 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)