

N(2200) D₁₅ $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: * *

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

The mass is not well determined. A few early results have been omitted.

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
≈ 2200 OUR ESTIMATE			
1900	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2180±80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1920	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2228±30	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
2240±65	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
130	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
400±100	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
220	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
310± 50	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
761±139	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2100±60	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
360±80	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(2200) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS |*r*|**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
20±10	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-90 ± 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(2200) DECAY MODES

Mode
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda K$

N(2200) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_1/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.10 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.07 ± 0.02	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.08 ± 0.04	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.001 ± 0.01	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.066	BAKER 79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.03	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.05	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

N(2200) REFERENCES

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also		PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP