$\Upsilon(4S)$ or $\Upsilon(10580)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

$\Upsilon(4S)$ MASS

VALUE (GeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
10.5794±0.0012 OUR AVERAGE				
10.5793±0.0004±0.0012	AUBERT	05Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
10.5800±0.0035	[1] BEBEK	87	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
10.5774±0.0010	[2] LOVELOCK	85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[1] Reanalysis of BESSON 85.
[2] No systematic error given.

$\Upsilon(4S)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
20.5±2.5 OUR AVERAGE				
20.7±1.6±2.5	AUBERT	05Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
20 ±2 ±4	BESSON	85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
25 ±2.5	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

$\Upsilon(4S)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)		Confidence level
Γ_1	$B\overline{B}$	> 96	%	95%
Γ_2	B^+B^-	(50.9 ±0.7)	%	
Γ_3	D_s^+ anything + c.c.	(18.2 ±3.2)	%	
Γ_4	$B^0\overline{B}^0$	(49.1 ±0.7)	%	
Γ_5	non-$B\overline{B}$	< 4	%	95%
Γ_6	e^+e^-	(1.57±0.08)	$\times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_7	$J/\psi(1S)$ anything	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	95%
Γ_8	D^{*+} anything + c.c.	< 7.4	%	90%
Γ_9	ϕ anything	< 2.3	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{10}	$\Upsilon(1S)$ anything	< 4	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{11}	$\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 1.2	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{12}	$\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$	< 3.9	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%

$\Upsilon(4S)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ Γ_6

VALUE (keV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
0.272±0.029 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
0.321±0.017±0.029	AUBERT	05Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.28 ±0.05 ±0.01	[3] ALBRECHT	95E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.192±0.007±0.038	BESSON	85	CLEO	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
0.283±0.037	LOVELOCK	85	CUSB	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[3] Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.



$\Upsilon(4S)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$B\overline{B}$ DECAYS

The ratio of branching fraction to charged and neutral B mesons is often derived assuming isospin invariance in the decays, and relies on the knowledge of the B^+/B^0 lifetime ratio. "OUR EVALUATION" is obtained based on averages of rescaled data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account the common dependence of the measurement on the value of the lifetime ratio.

$\Gamma(B^+B^-)/\Gamma(B^0\overline{B}^0)$ Γ_2/Γ_4

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.037±0.029 OUR EVALUATION				
1.006±0.036±0.031	[4] AUBERT	04F	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B} \to J/\psi K$
1.01 ±0.03 ±0.09	[4] HASTINGS	03	BELL	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B} \to$ dileptons
1.058±0.084±0.136	[5] ATHAR	02	CLEO	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B} \to D^*\ell\nu$
1.10 ±0.06 ±0.05	[6] AUBERT	02	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B} \to (c\overline{c})K^*$
1.04 ±0.07 ±0.04	[7] ALEXANDER	01	CLEO	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B} \to J/\psi K^*$

[4] HASTINGS 03 and AUBERT 04F assume $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.083 \pm 0.017$.
[5] ATHAR 02 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.074 \pm 0.028$. Supersedes BARISH 95.
[6] AUBERT 02 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.062 \pm 0.029$.
[7] ALEXANDER 01 assumes $\tau(B^+) / \tau(B^0) = 1.066 \pm 0.024$.

$\Gamma(B^+B^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
0.509±0.007 OUR EVALUATION	Assuming B($\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B}$) = 1

$\Gamma(D_s^+ \text{anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.182±0.021±0.024	[9] ARTUSO	05B	CLE3	$e^+e^- \to D_X X$

$\Gamma(B^0\overline{B}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.491±0.007 OUR EVALUATION	Assuming B($\Upsilon(4S) \to B\overline{B}$) = 1			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.487±0.010±0.008	[8] AUBERT,B	05H	BABR	$\Upsilon(4S) \to \overline{B}B \to D^*\ell\nu_\ell$

[8] Direct measurement. This value is averaged with the value extracted from the $\Gamma(B^+B^-) / \Gamma(B^0\overline{B}^0)$ measurements.
[9] ARTUSO 05B reports [B($\Upsilon(4S) \to D_s^+$ anything + c.c.) × B($D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+$)] = 0.0080 ± 0.0002 ± 0.0009. We divide by our best value B($D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+$) = (4.4 ± 0.6) × 10^{-2}. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

non-$B\overline{B}$ DECAYS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-5})	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1.57±0.08 OUR AVERAGE				
1.55±0.04±0.07	AUBERT	05Q	BABR	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons
2.77±0.50±0.49	[10] ALBRECHT	95E	ARG	$e^+e^- \to$ hadrons

[10] Using LEYAOUANC 77 parametrization of $\Gamma(s)$.

$\Gamma(D^{*+} \text{anything} + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<0.074	90	[11] ALEXANDER	90C	CLEO	e^+e^-

[11] For $x > 0.473$.

$\Gamma(\phi\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.0023	90	[12] ALEXANDER	90C	CLEO	e^+e^-

[12] For $x > 0.52$.

$\Gamma(J/\psi(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<1.9	95	[13] ABE	02D	BELL	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X \to \ell^+\ell^- X$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<4.7	90	[13] AUBERT	01C	BABR	$e^+e^- \to J/\psi X \to \ell^+\ell^- X$

[13] Uses $B(J/\psi \to e^+e^-) = 0.0593 \pm 0.0010$ and $B(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 0.0588 \pm 0.0010$.

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\text{anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.004	90	ALEXANDER	90C	CLEO	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{11}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<1.2	90	GLENN	99	CLE2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<3.9	90	GLENN	99	CLE2	e^+e^-

$\Gamma(\text{non-}B\overline{B})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	*CL%*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
<0.04	95	BARISH	96B	CLEO	e^+e^-

$\Upsilon(4S)$ REFERENCES

ARTUSO	05B	PRL 95 261801	M. Artuso *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	05Q	PR D72 032005	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	05H	PRL 95 042001	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	04F	PR D69 071101	B.Aubert *et al.*	
HASTINGS	03	PR D67 052004	N.C. Hastings *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
ABE	02D	PRL 88 052001	K. Abe *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
ATHAR	02	PR D66 052003	S.B. Athar *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	02	PR D65 032001	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BaBar Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01	PRL 86 2737	J.P. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
AUBERT	01C	PRL 87 162002	B. Aubert *et al.*	(BaBar Collab.)
GLENN	99	PR D59 052003	S. Glenn *et al.*	
BARISH	96B	PRL 76 1570	B.C. Barish *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	95E	ZPHY C65 619	H. Albrecht *et al.*	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARISH	95	PR D51 1014	B.C. Barish *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ALEXANDER	90C	PRL 64 2226	J. Alexander *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BEBEK	87	PR D36 1289	C. Bebek *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
BESSON	85	PRL 54 381	D. Besson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
LOVELOCK	85	PRL 54 377	D.M.J. Lovelock *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)
LEYAOUANC	77	PL B71 397	A. Le Yaouanc *et al.*	(ORSAY)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

VOLOSHIN	05A	PAN 68 771 Translated from YAF 68 804.	M.B. Voloshin	
ABE	01J	PR D64 072001	K. Abe *et al.*	(BELLE Collab.)
HENDERSON	92	PR D45 2212	S. Henderson *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
ANDREWS	80B	PRL 45 219	D. Andrews *et al.*	(CLEO Collab.)
FINOCCHI...	80	PRL 45 222	G. Finocchiaro *et al.*	(CUSB Collab.)