## $K_{\ell 3}^{ \pm}$AND $K_{\ell 3}^{0}$ FORM FACTORS

Revised January 2004 by T.G. Trippe (LBNL).
Assuming that only the vector current contributes to $K \rightarrow$ $\pi \ell \nu$ decays, we write the matrix element as

$$
\begin{align*}
M \propto & f_{+}(t)\left[\left(P_{K}+P_{\pi}\right)_{\mu} \bar{\ell} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) \nu\right] \\
& +f_{-}(t)\left[m_{\ell} \bar{\ell}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) \nu\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{K}$ and $P_{\pi}$ are the four-momenta of the $K$ and $\pi$ mesons, $m_{\ell}$ is the lepton mass, and $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$are dimensionless form factors which can depend only on $t=\left(P_{K}-P_{\pi}\right)^{2}$, the square of the four-momentum transfer to the leptons. If timereversal invariance holds, $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$are relatively real. $K_{\mu 3}$ experiments, discussed immediately below, measure $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$, while $K_{e 3}$ experiments, discussed further below, are sensitive only to $f_{+}$because the small electron mass makes the $f_{-}$term negligible.

For this edition, fits to the form factor data have been made with and without the assumption of $\mu$-e universality, as described near the end of the note.
$\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{3}}$ Experiments. Analyses of $K_{\mu 3}$ data frequently assume a linear dependence of $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$on $t$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{ \pm}(t)=f_{ \pm}(0)\left[1+\lambda_{ \pm}\left(t / m_{\pi}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Most $K_{\mu 3}$ data are adequately described by Eq. (2) for $f_{+}$ and a constant $f_{-}\left(i . e ., \lambda_{-}=0\right)$. There are two equivalent parametrizations commonly used in these analyses:
(1) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{+}, \boldsymbol{\xi}(0)$ parametrization. Analyses of $K_{\mu 3}$ data often introduce the ratio of the two form factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t)=f_{-}(t) / f_{+}(t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $K_{\mu 3}$ decay distribution is then described by the two parameters $\lambda_{+}$and $\xi(0)$ (assuming time reversal invariance and $\left.\lambda_{-}=0\right)$. These parameters can be determined by three different methods:

Method A. By studying the Dalitz plot or the pion spectrum of $K_{\mu 3}$ decay. The Dalitz plot density is (see, e.g., Chounet et al. [1]):

$$
\rho\left(E_{\pi}, E_{\mu}\right) \propto f_{+}^{2}(t)\left[A+B \xi(t)+C \xi(t)^{2}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =m_{K}\left(2 E_{\mu} E_{\nu}-m_{K} E_{\pi}^{\prime}\right)+m_{\mu}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} E_{\pi}^{\prime}-E_{\nu}\right) \\
B & =m_{\mu}^{2}\left(E_{\nu}-\frac{1}{2} E_{\pi}^{\prime}\right) \\
C & =\frac{1}{4} m_{\mu}^{2} E_{\pi}^{\prime} \\
E_{\pi}^{\prime} & =E_{\pi}^{\max }-E_{\pi}=\left(m_{K}^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}-m_{\mu}^{2}\right) / 2 m_{K}-E_{\pi} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $E_{\pi}, E_{\mu}$, and $E_{\nu}$ are, respectively, the pion, muon, and neutrino energies in the kaon center of mass. The density $\rho$ is fit to the data to determine the values of $\lambda_{+}, \xi(0)$, and their correlation.
Method B. By measuring the $K_{\mu 3} / K_{e 3}$ branching ratio and comparing it with the theoretical ratio (see, e.g., Fearing et al. [2]) as given in terms of $\lambda_{+}$and $\xi(0)$, assuming $\mu$-e universality:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Gamma\left(K_{\mu 3}^{ \pm}\right) / \Gamma\left(K_{e 3}^{ \pm}\right)=0.6457+1.4115 \lambda_{+}+0.1264 \xi(0) \\
+0.0192 \xi(0)^{2}+0.0080 \lambda_{+} \xi(0) \\
\Gamma\left(K_{\mu 3}^{0}\right) / \Gamma\left(K_{e 3}^{0}\right)=0.6452+1.3162 \lambda_{+}+0.1264 \xi(0) \\
+0.0186 \xi(0)^{2}+0.0064 \lambda_{+} \xi(0) \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

This cannot determine $\lambda_{+}$and $\xi(0)$ simultaneously but simply fixes a relationship between them.
Method $\boldsymbol{C}$. By measuring the muon polarization in $K_{\mu 3}$ decay. In the rest frame of the $K$, the $\mu$ is expected to be polarized in the direction $\mathbf{A}$ with $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{A} /|\mathbf{A}|$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is given (Cabibbo
and Maksymowicz [3]) by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A} & =a_{1}(\xi) \mathbf{p}_{\mu} \\
& -a_{2}(\xi)\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mu}}{m_{\mu}}\left(m_{K}-E_{\pi}+\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\pi} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\mu}}{\left|\mathbf{p}_{\mu}\right|^{2}}\left(E_{\mu}-m_{\mu}\right)\right)+\mathbf{p}_{\pi}\right] \\
& +m_{K} \operatorname{Im} \xi(t)\left(\mathbf{p}_{\pi} \times \mathbf{p}_{\mu}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

If time-reversal invariance holds, $\xi$ is real, and thus there is no polarization perpendicular to the $K$-decay plane. Polarization experiments measure the weighted average of $\xi(t)$ over the $t$ range of the experiment, where the weighting accounts for the variation with $t$ of the sensitivity to $\xi(t)$.
(2) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{+}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{0}}$ parametrization. Most of the more recent $K_{\mu 3}$ analyses have parameterized in terms of the form factors $f_{+}$ and $f_{0}$ which are associated with vector and scalar exchange, respectively, to the lepton pair. $f_{0}$ is related to $f_{+}$and $f_{-}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(t)=f_{+}(t)+\left[t /\left(m_{K}^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}\right)\right] f_{-}(t) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f_{0}(0)$ must equal $f_{+}(0)$ unless $f_{-}(t)$ diverges at $t=0$. The earlier assumption that $f_{+}$is linear in $t$ and $f_{-}$is constant leads to $f_{0}$ linear in $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(t)=f_{0}(0)\left[1+\lambda_{0}\left(t / m_{\pi}^{2}\right)\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the assumption that $f_{0}(0)=f_{+}(0)$, the two parametrizations, $\left(\lambda_{+}, \xi(0)\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ are equivalent as long as correlation information is retained. $\left(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ correlations tend to be less strong than $\left(\lambda_{+}, \xi(0)\right)$ correlations.

The experimental results for $\xi(0)$ and its correlation with $\lambda_{+}$are listed in the $K^{ \pm}$and $K_{L}^{0}$ sections of the Particle Listings in section $\xi_{\mathrm{A}}, \xi_{\mathrm{B}}$, or $\xi_{\mathrm{C}}$ depending on whether method $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, or C discussed above was used. The corresponding values of $\lambda_{+}$ are also listed.

Because recent experiments tend to use the $\left(\lambda_{+}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ parametrization, we include a subsection for $\lambda_{0}$ results. Wherever possible we have converted $\xi(0)$ results into $\lambda_{0}$ results and vice versa.

See the 1982 version of this note [4] for additional discussion of the $K_{\mu 3}^{0}$ parameters, correlations, and conversion between parametrizations.
$\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{e} 3}$ Experiments. Analysis of $K_{e 3}$ data is simpler than that of $K_{\mu 3}$ because the second term of the matrix element assuming a pure vector current [Eq. (1) above] can be neglected. Here $f_{+}$ is usually assumed to be linear in $t$, and the linear coefficient $\lambda_{+}$of Eq. (2) is determined.

If we remove the assumption of a pure vector current, then the matrix element for the decay, in addition to the terms in Eq. (1), would contain

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 m_{K} f_{S} \bar{\ell}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) \nu \\
& \quad+\left(2 f_{T} / m_{K}\right)\left(P_{K}\right)_{\lambda}\left(P_{\pi}\right)_{\mu} \bar{\ell} \sigma_{\lambda \mu}\left(1+\gamma_{5}\right) \nu \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{S}$ is the scalar form factor, and $f_{T}$ is the tensor form factor. In the case of the $K_{e 3}$ decays where the $f_{-}$term can be neglected, experiments have yielded limits on $\left|f_{S} / f_{+}\right|$and $\left|f_{T} / f_{+}\right|$.
Fits for $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \mathbf{3}}$ FormFactors. Fits are made for the two parameters $\lambda_{+}$and $\lambda_{0}$ and their correlation, or equivalently for $\lambda_{+}$and $\xi(0)$ and their correlation. The fits are done both with and without assuming $\mu$-e universality. This assumption sets $\lambda_{+}\left(K_{\mu 3}\right)=\lambda_{+}\left(K_{e 3}\right)$ so that the more precise $\lambda_{+}$values from $K_{e 3}$ can be included with the $K_{\mu 3}$ data in the fit. In addition it allows the $K_{\mu 3} / K_{e 3}$ branching ratio to be used in the determination of $\xi(0)$ or $\lambda_{0}$ as a function of $\lambda_{+}$. Without this assumption, $\lambda_{+}\left(K_{e 3}\right)$ values are averaged separately and excluded from the fit to $K_{\mu 3}$ data, and branching ratio data are not used. The Kaon Particle Listings show the results with and without assuming $\mu$-e universality.
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