

$\Delta(1930)$ D_{35} $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various analyses are not in good agreement.

 $\Delta(1930)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1900 to 2020 (≈ 1960) OUR ESTIMATE			
2046 \pm 45	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1956 \pm 22	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1940 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1901 \pm 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1932 \pm 100	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1955 \pm 15	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
2056	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1963	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1910.0 $^{+} 15.0$ $_{-} 17.2$	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
2000	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
2024	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $\Delta(1930)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
220 to 500 (≈ 360) OUR ESTIMATE			
402 \pm 198	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
530 \pm 140	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
320 \pm 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
195 \pm 60	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
316 \pm 237	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
350 \pm 20	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
590	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
260	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
74.8 $^{+} 17.0$ $_{-} 16.0$	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
442	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
462	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1930)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1840 to 1960 (≈ 1900) OUR ESTIMATE			
1966	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1850	¹ HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1890 ± 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1883	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1913	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
2018	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$-2 \times$ IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
175 to 360 (≈ 270) OUR ESTIMATE			
364	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
180	¹ HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
260 ± 60	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
250	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
246	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
398	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1930)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
16	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
20	HOEHLER 93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
18 ± 6	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
8	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
15	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-21	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
-20 ± 40	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-47	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-24	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1930)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	0.05 to 0.15
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_3 N\pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_4 N\gamma$	0.0–0.02 %
$\Gamma_5 N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.01 %
$\Gamma_6 N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.0–0.01 %

$\Delta(1930)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.040 ± 0.014	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
0.18 ± 0.02	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
0.14 ± 0.04	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.04 ± 0.03	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.09 ± 0.08	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.11	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
0.11	CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$

$$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1930) \rightarrow \Sigma K \quad (\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.015	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.031	LIVANOS 80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.018 to 0.035	² DEANS 75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

$$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\pi\pi \quad (\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1930)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$$\Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\gamma, \text{ helicity-1/2 amplitude } A_{1/2}$$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.009 ± 0.028 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.007 ± 0.010	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.009 ± 0.009	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.030 ± 0.047	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.019 ± 0.001	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.062 ± 0.064	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.018±0.028 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.005±0.010	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.025±0.011	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.033±0.060	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.009±0.001	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.019±0.054	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $\Delta(1930)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

² The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

 $\Delta(1930)$ REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

ARNDT	04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP