\mathcal{V}_{τ}

 $J = \frac{1}{2}$

The following results are obtained using neutrinos associated with τ^+ or τ^- . See Note on "Electron, muon, and tau neutrino listings."

The ν_{τ} was directly observed by the DONUT Collaboration (KO-DAMA 01). Existence indirectly established from τ decay data combined with ν reaction data. See for example FELDMAN 81. ALBRECHT 92Q rules out J = 3/2 by establishing that the ρ^- is not in a pure $H_{\rho} = -1$ helicity state in $\tau^- \rightarrow \rho^- \nu_{\tau}$.

ν MASS

In the context of some models, it is possible that this weighted sum over mass eigenstates is the same as for the neutrinos produced in μ decay.

In some of the ASTR and COSM papers listed below, the authors did not distinguish between weak and mass eigenstates.

VALUE (MeV)	<u>CL%</u>	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 18.2	95		¹ BARATE	98F	ALEP	1991–1995 LEP runs
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not	use the	following	g data for averages	, fits	limits,	etc. ● ● ●
< 28	95		² ATHANAS	00	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee}$ = 10.6 GeV
< 27.6	95		³ ACKERSTAFF	98T	OPAL	1990–1995 LEP runs
< 30	95	473	⁴ AMMAR	98	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 10.6 { m GeV}$
< 60	95		⁵ ANASTASSOV	97	CLEO	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee}$ = 10.6 GeV
< 0.37 or > 22			⁶ FIELDS	97	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 68	95		⁷ SWAIN	97	THEO	$m_{ au}, au_{ au}, au$ partial widths
< 29.9	95		⁸ ALEXANDER	96M	OPAL	1990-1994 LEP runs
<149			⁹ BOTTINO	96	THEO	π , μ , $ au$ leptonic decays
$<\!\!1$ or $>\!\!25$			¹⁰ HANNESTAD	96 C	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 71	95		¹¹ SOBIE	96	THEO	$m_{ au}$, $ au_{ au}$, B($ au^ ightarrow$
						$e^-\overline{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau})$
< 24	95	25	¹² BUSKULIC	95H	ALEP	1991-1993 LEP runs
< 0.19			¹³ DOLGOV	95	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 3			¹⁴ SIGL	95	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 0.4 or > 30			¹⁵ DODELSON	94	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
$< 0.1 \ { m or} > 50$			¹⁶ KAWASAKI	94	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
155–225			¹⁷ PERES	94	THEO	π , K , μ , $ au$ weak decays
< 32.6	95	113	¹⁸ CINABRO	93	CLEO	$E_{ m cm}^{ee}pprox$ 10.6 GeV
$<~0.3~{ m or}>35$			¹⁹ DOLGOV	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.74			²⁰ ENQVIST	93	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 31	95	19	²¹ ALBRECHT	92M	ARG	$E_{\rm cm}^{ee} = 9.4 - 10.6 {\rm GeV}$
< 0.3			²² FULLER	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.5 or > 25			²³ KOLB	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis
< 0.42			²² LAM	91	COSM	Nucleosynthesis

- ¹BARATE 98F result based on kinematics of 2939 $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and 52 $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ (\pi^0)\nu_{\tau}$ decays. If possible 2.5% excited a_1 decay is included in 3-prong sample analysis, limit increases to 19.2 MeV.
- ²ATHANAS 00 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decays.
- ³ACKERSTAFF 98T use $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain a limit of 43.2 MeV (95%CL). They combine this with ALEXANDER 96M value using $\tau \rightarrow 3h^{\pm}\nu_{\tau}$ decays to obtain quoted limit.
- ⁴ AMMAR 98 limit comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.
- ⁵ ANASTASSOV 97 derive limit by comparing their m_{τ} measurement (which depends on $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$) to BAI 96 m_{τ} threshold measurement.
- ⁶ FIELDS 97 limit for a Dirac neutrino. For a Majorana neutrino the mass region < 0.93 or >31 MeV is excluded. These bounds assume $N_{\nu} < 4$ from nucleosynthesis; a wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit.
- ⁷ SWAIN 97 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationships between the tau mass, lifetime, branching fractions for $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau}, \tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau}, \tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$, and

 $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \nu_{\tau}$, and the muon mass and lifetime by assuming lepton universality and using world average values. Limit is reduced to 48 MeV when the CLEO τ mass measurement (BALEST 93) is included; see CLEO's more recent $m_{\nu_{\tau}}$ limit (ANASTASSOV 97).

Consideration of mixing with a fourth generation heavy neutrino yields $\sin^2\theta_L < 0.016$ (95%CL).

- ⁸ALEXANDER 96M bound comes from analyses of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow h^- h^- h^+ \nu_{\tau}$ decays.
- ⁹ BOTTINO 96 assumes three generations of neutrinos with mixing, finds consistency with massless neutrinos with no mixing based on 1995 data for masses, lifetimes, and leptonic partial widths.
- 10 HANNESTAD 96C limit is on the mass of a Majorana neutrino. This bound assumes $N_{\nu} <$ 4 from nucleosynthesis. A wider excluded region occurs with a smaller N_{ν} upper limit. This paper is the corrected version of HANNESTAD 96; see the erratum: HANNESTAD 96B.
- ¹¹ SOBIE 96 derive their limit from the Standard Model relationship between the tau mass, lifetime, and leptonic branching fraction, and the muon mass and lifetime, by assuming lepton universality and using world average values.
- ¹² BUSKULIC 95H bound comes from a two-dimensional fit of the visible energy and invariant mass distribution of $\tau \rightarrow 5\pi(\pi^0)\nu_{\tau}$ decays. Replaced by BARATE 98F.
- ¹³ DOLGOV 95 removes earlier assumptions (DOLGOV 93) about thermal equilibrium below $T_{\rm QCD}$ for wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos (ENQVIST 93, FULLER 91) to set more stringent limits. DOLGOV 96 argues that a possible window near 20 MeV is excluded.
- ¹⁴SIGL 95 exclude massive Dirac or Majorana neutrinos with lifetimes between 10^{-3} and 10^{8} seconds if the decay products are predominantly γ or $e^{+}e^{-}$.
- 15 DODELSON 94 calculate constraints on ν_{τ} mass and lifetime from nucleosynthesis for 4 generic decay modes. Limits depend strongly on decay mode. Quoted limit is valid for all decay modes of Majorana neutrinos with lifetime greater than about 300 s. For Dirac neutrinos limits change to < 0.3 or > 33.
- ¹⁶ KAWASAKI 94 excluded region is for Majorana neutrino with lifetime >1000 s. Other limits are given as a function of ν_{τ} lifetime for decays of the type $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} \phi$ where ϕ is a Nambu-Goldstone boson.
- 17 PERES 94 used PDG 92 values for parameters to obtain a value consistent with mixing. Reexamination by BOTTINO 96 which included radiative corrections and 1995 PDG parameters resulted in two allowed regions, $m_3 < 70$ MeV and 140 MeV $m_3 < 149$ \sim MeV.
- ¹⁸ CINABRO 93 bound comes from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow 2\pi^- \pi^+ 2\pi^0 \nu_{\tau}$ decay modes.

- ¹⁹ DOLGOV 93 assumes neutrino lifetime >100 s. For Majorana neutrinos, the low mass limit is 0.5 MeV. KAWANO 92 points out that these bounds can be overcome for a Dirac neutrino if it possesses a magnetic moment. See also DOLGOV 96.
- 20 ENQVIST 93 bases limit on the fact that thermalized wrong-helicity Dirac neutrinos would speed up expansion of early universe, thus reducing the primordial abundance. FULLER 91 exploits the same mechanism but in the older calculation obtains a larger production rate for these states, and hence a lower limit. Neutrino lifetime assumed to exceed nucleosynthesis time, $\sim 1\,{\rm s}.$
- ²¹ ALBRECHT 92M reports measurement of a slightly lower τ mass, which has the effect of reducing the ν_{τ} mass reported in ALBRECHT 88B. Bound is from analysis of $\tau^- \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+ \nu_{\tau}$ mode.

 22 Assumes neutrino lifetime >1 s. For Dirac neutrinos. See also ENQVIST 93.

 23 KOLB 91 exclusion region is for Dirac neutrino with lifetime >1 s; other limits are given.

ν (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. Most of these limits apply to any ν within the indicated mass range.

VALUE (s/eV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the follo	wing data for average	s, fits	, limits,	etc. ● ● ●
	²⁴ DOLGOV	99	COSM	
	²⁵ BILLER	98	ASTR	$m_{\nu} = 0.05 - 1 \text{ eV}$
$>1 \times 10^{14}$	²⁶ SIGL	95	ASTR	m_{ν} > few MeV
$> 2.8 \times 10^{15}$	^{27,28} BLUDMAN	92	ASTR	m_{ν}^{\prime} < 50 eV
$<~10^{-12}$ or $>~5 imes10^4$	²⁹ DODELSON	92	ASTR	$m_{\nu} = 1 - 300 \text{ keV}$
	³⁰ GRANEK	91	COSM	Decaying L^0
	³¹ WALKER	90	ASTR	$m_{ m m m }=0.03$ – $\sim 2~{ m MeV}$
$>6.3 \times 10^{15}$	^{28,32} CHUPP	89	ASTR	$m_{ m u} <$ 20 eV
$> 1.7 \times 10^{15}$	²⁸ KOLB	89	ASTR	$m_{ m u} <$ 20 eV
	³³ TERASAWA	88	COSM	$m_\mu =$ 30–70 MeV
	³⁴ KAWASAKI	86	COSM	m_{ν} >10 MeV
	³⁵ LINDLEY	85	COSM	$m_{ u} > 10 \text{ MeV}$
	³⁶ BINETRUY	84	COSM	$m_{ m u}^{\prime} \sim ~1~{ m MeV}$
	³⁷ SARKAR	84	COSM	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 MeV
	³⁸ HENRY	81	ASTR	$m_{ m m }=$ 16–20 eV
	³⁹ KIMBLE	81	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 eV
	⁴⁰ REPHAELI	81	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 30–150 eV
21	⁴¹ DERUJULA	80	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 eV
$>2 \times 10^{21}$	⁴² STECKER	80	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 eV
	⁴³ DICUS	78	COSM	$m_{ m m }=$ 0.5–30 MeV
$<3 \times 10^{-11}$	⁴⁴ FALK	78	ASTR	$m_{ m u}$ <10 MeV
	⁴⁵ COWSIK	77	ASTR	

²⁴ DOLGOV 99 places limits in the (Majorana) τ -associated ν mass-lifetime plane based on nucleosynthesis. Results would be considerably modified if neutrino oscillations exist.

 25 BILLER 98 use the observed TeV $\gamma\text{-ray}$ spectra to set limits on the mean life of a radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows $\tau_{\nu}/B_{\gamma} > 0.15 \times 10^{21} \text{ s}$ at 0.05 eV, $> 1.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ s}$ at 0.17 eV, $> 3 \times 10^{21} \text{ s}$ at 1 eV, where B_{γ} is the branching ratio to photons.

- 26 SIGL 95 exclude 1 s $\lesssim \tau \lesssim 10^8$ s for MeV-mass τ neutrinos from SN 1987A decaying radiatively, and eliminates the lower limit using other published results.
- 27 BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.
- 28 Nonobservation of γ 's in coincidence with ν 's from SN 1987A. Results should be divided by the $\nu \rightarrow ~\gamma {\rm X}$ branching ratio.
- 29 DODELSON 92 range is for wrong-helicity keV mass Dirac ν 's from the core of neutron star in SN 1987A decaying to ν 's that would have interacted in KAM2 or IMB detectors.
- 30 GRANEK 91 considers heavy neutrino decays to $\gamma\nu_L$ and $3\nu_L$, where m_{ν_L} <100 keV. Lifetime is calculated as a function of heavy neutrino mass, branching ratio into $\gamma \nu_I$, and m_{ν_l} .
- 31 WALKER 90 uses SN 1987A γ flux limits after 289 days to find $\langle m/ au
 angle > 1.1 imes 10^{15}$ eV s.
- 32 CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.
- ³³ TERASAWA 88 finds only $10^2 < \tau < 10^4$ allowed for 30–70 MeV u's from primordial nucleosynthesis.
- 34 KAWASAKI 86 concludes that light elements in primordial nucleosynthesis would be destroyed by radiative decay of neutrinos with 10 MeV $< m_{\mu} < 1$ GeV unless $\tau \lesssim 10^4$ s.
- 35 LINDLEY 85 considers destruction of cosmologically-produced light elements, and finds $\tau < 2 \times 10^3$ s for 10 MeV $< m_{\nu} <$ 100 MeV. See also LINDLEY 79.
- ³⁶ BINETRUY 84 finds $\tau < 10^8$ s for neutrinos in a radiation-dominated universe. ³⁷ SARKAR 84 finds $\tau < 20$ s at m_{ν} =10 MeV, with higher limits for other m_{ν} , and claims that all masses between 1 MeV and 50 MeV are ruled out. ³⁸ HENRY 81 uses UV flux from clusters of galaxies to find $\tau > 1.1 \times 10^{25}$ s for radiative
- decav.
- ³⁹ KIMBLE 81 uses extreme UV flux limits to find $\tau > 10^{22}$ – 10^{23} s.
- ⁴⁰ REPHAELI 81 consider ν decay γ effect on neutral H in early universe; based on M31 HI concludes $\tau > 10^{24}$ s.
- 41 DERUJULA 80 finds $\tau > 3 \times 10^{23}$ s based on CDM neutrino decay contribution to UV background.
- 42 STECKER 80 limit based on UV background; result given is $au > 4 imes 10^{22}$ s at m = 20eV.

 43 DICUS 78 considers effect of u decay photons on light-element production, and finds lifetime must be less than "hours." See also DICUS 77.

⁴⁴ FALK 78 finds lifetime constraints based on supernova energetics.

 45 COWSIK 77 considers variety of scenarios. For neutrinos produced in the big bang, present limits on optical photon flux require $\tau > 10^{23}$ s for $m_{\mu} \sim 1$ eV. See also COWSIK 79 and GOLDMAN 79

ν MAGNETIC MOMENT

Must vanish for a purely chiral massless Dirac neutrino. A massive Dirac or Majorana neutrino can have a transition magnetic moment connecting one mass eigenstate to another one. The experimental limits below usually cannot distinguish between the true (diagonal, in mass) magnetic moment and a transition magnetic moment.

The value of the magnetic moment for the standard $SU(2) \times U(1)$ electroweak theory extended to include massive neutrinos (see FUJIKAWA 80) is $\mu_{\nu} = 3eG_F m_{\nu}/(8\pi^2\sqrt{2}) = (3.20 \times 10^{-19})m_{\nu}\mu_B$ where m_{ν} is in eV and $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e$ is the Bohr magneton. Given the upper bound $m_{\nu} < 10^{-19}$ 18 MeV, it follows that for the extended standard electroweak theory, μ_{μ} $< 6 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B.$

Most of the astrophysical limits pertain to any neutrino.

VALUE (μ_B)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<3.9 × 10 ⁻⁷	90	⁴⁶ SCHWIENHO	.01	DONU	$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	followin	g data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
$<2 \times 10^{-10}$	90	⁴⁷ GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar $+$ reactor (Majo- rana $ u$)
$< 8.0 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁴⁸ TANIMOTO	00	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
$<3 \times 10^{-12}$		⁴⁹ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$<4 \times 10^{-10}$		⁵⁰ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
$<4.4 \times 10^{-6}$	90	ABREU	97 J	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ at LEP
$<3.3 \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁵¹ ACCIARRI	97 Q	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
$< 6.2 \times 10^{-11}$		⁵² ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
$< 2.7 \times 10^{-6}$	95	⁵³ ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu)$ at LEP
$< 5.5 imes 10^{-6}$	90	GOULD	94	RVUE	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$ at LEP
$< 5.4 imes 10^{-7}$	90	⁵⁴ COOPER	92	BEBC	$\nu_{\tau} e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} e^-$
$\gtrsim 10^{-8}$		⁵⁵ KAWANO	92	ASTR	Primodial ⁴ He abun- dance
$< 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	DESHPANDE	91	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
$<2 \times 10^{-12}$		⁵⁶ RAFFELT	90	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$<1 \times 10^{-11}$		⁵⁷ RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
$<4. \times 10^{-6}$	90	⁵⁸ GROTCH	88	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$
$<1.1 \times 10^{-11}$	57	⁵⁹ FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$		NUSSINOV	87	ASTR	Cosmic EM backgrounds
$< 8.5 \times 10^{-11}$		⁵⁹ BEG	78	ASTR	Stellar plasmons

 $^{46}\,\text{SCHWIENHORST}$ 01 quote an experimental sensitivity of $4.9\times10^{-7}.$

⁴⁷ GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ is obtained.

⁴⁸ TANIMOTO 00 combined $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ data from VENUS, TOPAZ, and AMY.

- 49 RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- 50 RAFFELT 99 is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- ⁵¹ ACCIARRI 97Q result applies to both direct and transition magnetic moments and for $q^2=0$.
- ⁵² ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- ⁵³ Applies to absolute value of magnetic moment.
- ⁵⁴ COOPER-SARKAR 92 assume $f_{D_s}/f_{\pi} = 2$ and D_s , \overline{D}_s production cross section = 2.6 μ b to calculate ν flux.
- 55 KAWANO 92 lower limit is that needed to circumvent ⁴He production if m_{ν} is between 5 and \sim 30 MeV/ c^2 .

 56 RAFFELT 90 limit valid if $m_{\nu}~<5$ keV. It applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives $<1.4\times10^{-12}$. Limit at 95%CL obtained from δM_c .

⁵⁷ Significant dependence on details of stellar properties.

⁵⁸ GROTCH 88 combined data from MAC, ASP, CELLO, and Mark J.

 59 If m_{ν} < 10 keV.

⁶⁰ For $m_{\nu}^{\nu} = 8-200$ eV. NUSSINOV 87 examines transition magnetic moments for $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ and obtain $< 3 \times 10^{-15}$ for $m_{\nu} < 16$ eV and $< 6 \times 10^{-14}$ for $m_{\nu} > 4$ eV.

ν ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT

VALUE (e cm)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<5.2 × 10 ⁻¹⁷	95	⁶¹ ESCRIBANO	97	RVUE	$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \nu \nu)$ at LEP	
⁶¹ Applies to absolute value of electric dipole moment.						

ν CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
\bullet \bullet We do not use the followin	g data for average	s, fits,	, limits,	etc. ● ● ●
$< 2 \times 10^{-14}$	⁶² RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$	⁶³ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
$< 4 \times 10^{-4}$	⁶⁴ BABU	94	RVUE	BEBC beam dump
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$	⁶⁵ DAVIDSON	91	RVUE	SLAC electron beam
				dump

 62 This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 kEV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.

⁶³ This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) ... to be emitted from the sun.

to be emitted from the sun. 64 BABU 94 use COOPER-SARKAR 92 limit on ν magnetic moment to derive quoted $_{cr}$ result.

⁶⁵ DAVIDSON 91 use data from early SLAC electron beam dump experiment to derive charge limit as a function of neutrino mass.

NONSTANDARD CONTRIBUTIONS TO NEUTRINO SCATTERING

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FU-JIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

$VALUE (10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following d	ata for averages, fit	s, limits, etc. • • •
<9.9 and $> -$ 8.2	90 66	HIRSCH 03	anomalous $e^+ e^- ightarrow \ u \overline{ u} \gamma$
66 Results of LEP-2 are a Majorana $\nu_{\tau}.$ Slig squared are obtained the analysis of lower e	interpreted htly weaker for the Dira energy data	as limits on the a limits for both ve c case, and somew (LEP-1.5 and TRI	kial-vector charge radius squared of ctor and axial-vector charge radius hat weaker limits are obtained from STAN).

ν_{τ} REFERENCES

BERNABEU	03	hep-ph/0303202	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
FUJIKAWA	03	hep-ph/0303188	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	
HIRSCH	03	PR D67 033005	M. Hirsch <i>et al.</i>	
BERNABEU	02	PRL 89 101802	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
Also	02B	PRL 89 229902 (erra	m)J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
GRIMUS	02	NP B648 376	W. Grimus et al.	
	01	PL B504 218	K. Kodama <i>et al.</i> (DONU	JT Collab.)
	00	PL D313 23	K. Schwienhorst et al. (DUNG	Collab.)
REDNARELL	00	PR D01 052002	I Bornahou <i>et al</i>	LO Collab.)
	00	PI R478 1	N Tanimoto et al	
DOLGOV	99	NP B548 385	A D Dolgov et al	
RAFFFIT	99	PRPL 320 319	G G Raffelt	
ACKERSTAFF	98T	EPJ C5 229	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i> (OP	AL Collab.)
AMMAR	98	PL B431 209	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i> (CLI	EO Collab.)
BARATE	98F	EPJ C2 395	R. Barate et al. (ÀLEI	PH Collab.)
BILLER	98	PRL 80 2992	S.D. Biller et al. (WHIPP	LE Collab.)
ABREU	97 J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> (DELP	HI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97Q	PL B412 201	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> (L3 Collab.)
ANASTASSOV	97	PR D55 2559	A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i> (CLI	EO Collab.)
Also	98B	PR D58 119903 (err	m)A. Anastassov <i>et al.</i> (CLI	EO Collab.)
ELMFORS	97	NP B503 3	P. Elmfors <i>et al.</i>	
ESCRIBANO	97	PL B395 369	R. Escribano, E. Masso (BAF	C, PARIT)
FIELDS	97	ASP 6 169	B.D. Fields, K. Kainulainen, K.A. Olive	(NDAM+)
SWAIN	97	PR D55 R1	J. Swain, L. Taylor	(NEAS)
ALEXANDER	96171	ZPHY C/2 231	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i> (OP.	AL Collab.)
BAI	90	PR D53 20	J.Z. Bai et al. (B	ES Collab.)
BOTTINU	90	PK D53 0301	A. Bottino <i>et al.</i> A.D. Dalmay, S. Dastar, $ M = M a $	
	90	PL D303 193	A.D. Doigov, S. Pastor, J.W.F. Valle (II	(AADU)
HANNESTAD	90 96R	PRI 77 5148 (erratu	S. Hannestad, J. Madsen S. Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)
HANNESTAD	96C	PR D54 7894	S Hannestad, J. Madsen	(AARH)
SOBIE	96	7PHY C70 383	R I Sobie R K Keeler I Lawson	(VICT)
BUSKULIC	95H	PL B349 585	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> (ALE	PH Collab.)
DOLGOV	95	PR D51 4129	A.D. Dolgov. K. Kainulainen, I.Z. Rothstein	(MICH+)
SIGL	95	PR D51 1499	G. Sigl, M.S. Turner (I	NAL, EFI)
BABU	94	PL B321 140	K.S. Babu, T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein	(BART+)
DODELSON	94	PR D49 5068	S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M.S. Turner (FNA	L, CHIC+)
GOULD	94	PL B333 545	T.M. Gould, I.Z. Rothstein (J	HU, MICH)
KAWASAKI	94	NP B419 105	M. Kawasaki <i>et al.</i>	(OSU)
PERES	94	PR D50 513	O.L.G. Peres, V. Pleitez, R. Zukanovich Funcha	
BALEST	93	PR D47 R3671	R. Balest <i>et al.</i> (CLI	O Collab.)
CINABRO	93	PRL 70 3700	D. Cinabro <i>et al.</i> (CLI	O Collab.)
DOLGOV	93	PRL /1 4/6	A.D. Dolgov, I.Z. Rothstein	(MICH)
	93	PL B301 370	K. Enqvist, H. Uibo	(NORD)
	92101	7DHV (56 330	H Albrecht et al. (ARG	IS Collab.)
RUDMAN	920	PR D45 4720	S A Bludman	(CEPA)
COOPER-	92	PL B280 153	A M Cooper-Sarkar et al (BEBC WA	66 Collab
DODELSON	92	PRL 68 2572	S. Dodelson, J.A. Frieman, M.S. Turner	(FNAL+)
KAWANO	92	PL B275 487	L.H. Kawano <i>et al.</i> (CIT. UC	SD. LLL+)
PDG	92	PR D45, 1 June, Pa	II K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i> (KEK, LBI	BOST+)
DAVIDSON	91	PR D43 2314	S. Davidson, B.A. Campbell, D. Bailey	(ALBE+)
DESHPANDE	91	PR D43 943	N.G. Deshpande, K.V.L. Sarma (OR	EĠ, TATAĴ
FULLER	91	PR D43 3136	G.M. Fuller, R.A. Malaney	(UCSD)
GRANEK	91	IJMP A6 2387	H. Granek, B.H.J. McKellar	(MELB)
KOLB	91	PRL 67 533	E.W. Kolb <i>et al.</i> (FN	IAL, CHIC)
LAM	91	PR D44 3345	W.P. Lam, K.W. Ng	(AST)
RAFFELI	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
	90	PR 041 089	I.F. VValker	
	89 00	PRL 02 505	E.L. Chupp, W.I. Vestrand, C. Keppin (Ul	IC ENALL
	09 80R	API 336 61	C Raffelt D Dearborn I Silly	IICR III)
ALBRECHT	88R	PI R202 140	H Albrecht et al	IS Collah)
GROTCH	88	7PHY C39 553	H Grotch R W Robinett	(PSII)
TERASAWA	88	NP B302 697	N. Terasawa, M. Kawasaki. K. Sato	(TOKY)
FUKUGITA	87	PR D36 3817	M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki (KYOT	U, TOKY)
NUSSINOV	87	PR D36 2278	S. Nussinov, Y. Rephaeli	(TELA)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 7 Created: 6/2/2004 14:05

86 85	PL B178 71	M. Kawasaki, N. Terasawa, K. Sato	(TOKY)
0.0	AFJ 294 I DI 124D 174	D. Lindley D. Binstein, C. Cinardi, D. Salati	
84	PL 134B 174	P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati	(LAPP)
84	PL 148B 347	S. Sarkar, A.M. Cooper	(OXF, CERN)
81	SLAC-PUB-2839	G.J. Feldman	(SLAC, STAN)
APS.			
81	PRL 47 618	R.C. Henry, P.D. Feldman	(JHU)
81	PRL 46 80	R. Kimble, S. Bowyer, P. Jakobsen	(UCB)
81	PL 106B 73	Y. Rephaeli, A.S. Szalay	(UCSB, CHIC)
80	PRL 45 942	A. De Rujula, S.L. Glashow	(MIT, HARV)
80	PRL 45 963	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(STON)
80	PRL 45 1460	F.W. Stecker	(NASA)
79	PR D19 2219	R. Cowsik	(TATA)
79	PR D19 2215	T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson	(LASL)
79	MNRAS 188 15P	D. Lindley	(SUSS)
78	PR D17 1395	M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M. Ruderman	(RÒCK+ĺ
78	PR D17 1529	D.A. Dicus et al. (TEXA	A, VPÌ, STAN)
78	PL 79B 511	S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm	(CHIC)
77	PRL 39 784	R. Cowsik (MPIM, TATA)
77	PRL 39 168	D.A. Dicus, E.W. Kolb, V.L. Teplitz	(TEXA, VPI)
77C	PR D16 1444	B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock) (STON)
	86 85 84 81 81 81 81 81 80 80 80 79 79 79 79 78 78 77 77 77 77 77	86 PL B178 71 85 APJ 294 1 84 PL 134B 174 84 PL 148B 347 81 SLAC-PUB-2839 APS. 81 PRL 47 618 81 PRL 45 942 80 PRL 45 942 80 PRL 45 942 80 PRL 45 1460 79 PR D19 2219 79 PR D19 2215 79 MNRAS 188 15P 78 PR D17 1395 78 PR D17 1529 78 PR J39 784 77 PRL 39 168 77C PR D16 1444	86 PL B178 71 M. Kawasaki, N. Terasawa, K. Sato 85 APJ 294 1 D. Lindley 84 PL 134B 174 P. Binetruy, G. Girardi, P. Salati 84 PL 148B 347 S. Sarkar, A.M. Cooper 81 SLAC-PUB-2839 G.J. Feldman 81 PRL 47 618 R.C. Henry, P.D. Feldman 81 PRL 45 680 R. Kimble, S. Bowyer, P. Jakobsen 81 PL 106B 73 Y. Rephaeli, A.S. Szalay 80 PRL 45 942 A. De Rujula, S.L. Glashow 80 PRL 45 963 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock 80 PRL 45 1460 F.W. Stecker 79 PR D19 2219 R. Cowsik 79 PR D19 2215 T. Goldman, G.J. Stephenson 79 PR D17 1395 M.A.B. Beg, W.J. Marciano, M. Ruderman 78 PR D17 1529 D.A. Dicus et al. (TEXA) 78 PL 79B 511 S.W. Falk, D.N. Schramm (TEXA) 77 PR L39 168 D.A. Dicus, E.W. Kolb, V.L. Teplitz 77C PR D16 1444 B.W. Lee, R.E. Shrock

Citation: S. Eidelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

- OTHER RELATED PAPERS -

WEINSTEIN	93	ARNPS 43 457	A.J. Weinstein, R. Stroynowski	(CIT, SMU)
				· · · · ·

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 8