LIGHT QUARKS (u, d, s)

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

u-QUARK MASS

The u-, d-, and s-quark masses are estimates of so-called "current-quark masses," in a mass- independent subtraction scheme such as $\overline{\rm MS}$. The ratios m_u/m_d and m_s/m_d are extracted from pion and kaon masses using chiral symmetry. The estimates of d and u masses are not without controversy and remain under active investigation. Within the literature there are even suggestions that the u quark could be essentially massless. The s-quark mass is estimated from SU(3) splittings in hadron masses.

We have normalized the $\overline{\rm MS}$ masses at a renormalization scale of $\mu=2$ GeV. Results quoted in the literature at $\mu=1$ GeV have been rescaled by dividing by 1.35. The values of "Our Evaluation" were determined in part via Figures 1 and 2.

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

1.5 to 4.0 OUR EVALUATION

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

2.9 ± 0.6	¹ JAMIN	02	THEO	MS scheme
	² NARISON	99	THEO	MS scheme
3.9 ± 1.1	³ JAMIN	95	THEO	MS scheme
3.0 ± 0.7	⁴ NARISON	95 C	THEO	MS scheme

 $^{^1}$ JAMIN 02 first calculates the strange quark mass from QCD sum rules using the scalar channel, and then combines with the quark mass ratios obtained from chiral perturbation theory to obtain m_u .

d-QUARK MASS

See the comment for the u quark above.

We have normalized the $\overline{\rm MS}$ masses at a renormalization scale of $\mu=2$ GeV. Results quoted in the literature at $\mu=1$ GeV have been rescaled by dividing by 1.35. The values of "Our Evaluation" were determined in part via Figures 1 and 2.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
4 to 8 OUR EVALUATION				
• • • We do not use the following	g data for average	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
$5.2 \!\pm\! 0.9$	⁵ JAMIN	02	THEO	MS scheme
6.4 ± 1.1	⁶ NARISON	99	THEO	MS scheme
7.0 ± 1.1	⁷ JAMIN	95	THEO	MS scheme
7.4 ± 0.7	⁸ NARISON	95 C	THEO	MS scheme

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 1

 $^{^2}$ NARISON 99 uses sum rules to order α_s^3 for ϕ meson decays to get m_s , and finds m_u by combining with sum rule estimates of $m_u + m_d$ and Dashen's formula.

³ JAMIN 95 uses QCD sum rules at next-to-leading order. We have rescaled $m_u(1~{\rm GeV})=5.3\pm1.5$ to $\mu=2~{\rm GeV}.$

 $^{^4\, {\}rm For\ NARISON}$ 95C, we have rescaled $m_{_{\displaystyle U}}(1\, {\rm GeV})=4\pm 1$ to $\mu=2\, {\rm GeV}.$

$\overline{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$

See the comments for the u quark above.

We have normalized the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ masses at a renormalization scale of $\mu=2$ GeV. Results quoted in the literature at $\mu=1$ GeV have been rescaled by dividing by 1.35. The values of "Our Evaluation" were determined in part via Figures 1 and 2.

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
3.0 to 5.5 OUR EVALUATIO				
• • • We do not use the following	g data for averages	, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
$4.29 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.65$	⁹ AOKI	03	LATT	MS scheme
$3.223 ^{+ 0.046}_{- 0.069}$	¹⁰ AOKI	03 B	LATT	MS scheme
$4.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.4$	¹¹ BECIREVIC	03	LATT	MS scheme
$\begin{array}{ccc} 3.45 & +0.14 \\ -0.20 \end{array}$	¹² ALIKHAN	02	LATT	MS scheme
5.3 ±0.3	¹³ CHIU	02	LATT	MS scheme
3.9 ± 0.6	¹⁴ MALTMAN	02	THEO	MS scheme
3.9 ± 0.6	¹⁵ MALTMAN	01	THEO	MS scheme
4.57 ± 0.18	¹⁶ AOKI	00	LATT	MS scheme
4.4 ± 2	¹⁷ GOECKELER	00	LATT	MS scheme
4.23 ± 0.29	¹⁸ AOKI	99	LATT	MS scheme
> 2.1	¹⁹ STEELE	99	THEO	MS scheme
$^{-}$ 4.5 \pm 0.4	²⁰ BECIREVIC	98	LATT	MS scheme
4.6 ± 1.2	²¹ DOSCH	98	THEO	MS scheme
4.7 ± 0.9	²² PRADES	98	THEO	MS scheme
2.7 + 0.2	²³ EICKER	97	LATT	MS scheme
3.6 ± 0.6	²⁴ GOUGH	97	LATT	MS scheme
$3.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3$	²⁵ GUPTA	97	LATT	MS scheme
>3.8	²⁶ LELLOUCH	97	THEO	MS scheme
4.5 ±1.0	²⁷ BIJNENS	95	THEO	MS scheme

 $^{^9\}mathrm{AOKI}$ 03 uses quenched lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with degenerate light quarks. The extrapolations are done using quenched chiral perturbation

⁵ JAMIN 02 first calculates the strange quark mass from QCD sum rules using the scalar channel, and then combines with the quark mass ratios obtained from chiral perturbation theory to obtain m_d .

⁶ NARISON 99 uses sum rules to order α_s^3 for ϕ meson decays to get m_s , and finds m_d by combining with sum rule estimates of $m_u + m_d$ and Dashen's formula.

 $^{^7}$ JAMIN 95 uses QCD sum rules at next-to-leading order. We have rescaled $m_d(1\,{
m GeV})$ = 9.4 \pm 1.5 to $\mu=$ 2 GeV.

 $^{^{8}}$ For NARISON 95C, we have rescaled $m_{d}(1\,\mathrm{GeV})=10\pm1$ to $\mu=2\,\mathrm{GeV}.$

 $^{^{10}\,\}mathrm{AOKI}$ 03B uses lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with two dynamical light quarks. Simulations are performed using the $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson action.

 $^{^{11}}$ BECIREVIC 03 perform quenched lattice computation using the vector and axial Ward identities. Uses $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson action and nonperturbative renormalization.

 $^{^{12}}$ ALIKHAN 02 uses lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with two dynamical flavors and degenerate light quarks.

- ¹³ CHIU 02 extracts the average light quark mass from quenched lattice simulations using quenched chiral perturbation theory.
- 14 MALTMAN 02 uses finite energy sum rules in the *ud* and *us* pseudoscalar channels. Other mass values are also obtained by similar methods.
- $^{15}\,\mathrm{MALTMAN}$ 01 uses Borel transformed and finite energy sum rules.
- 16 AOKI 00 obtain the light quark masses from a quenched lattice simulation of the meson and baryon spectrum with the Wilson quark action.
- ¹⁷ GOECKELER 00 obtained from a quenched lattice computation of the pseudoscalar meson masses using $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson fermions and nonperturbative renormalization.
- ¹⁸ AOKI 99 obtain the light quark masses from a quenched lattice simulation of the meson spectrum with the staggered quark action employing the regularization independent scheme.
- ¹⁹ STEELE 99 obtain a bound on the light quark masses by applying the Holder inequality to a sum rule. We have converted their bound of $(m_u+m_d)/2 \geq 3$ MeV at $\mu=1$ GeV to $\mu=2$ GeV.
- 20 BECIREVIC 98 compute the quark mass using the Alpha action in the quenched approximation. The conversion from the regularization independent scheme to the MS scheme is at NNI O.
- ²¹ DOSCH 98 use sum rule determinations of the quark condensate and chiral perturbation theory to obtain $9.4 \le (m_u + m_d)(1 \text{ GeV}) \le 15.7 \text{ MeV}$. We have converted to result to u=2 GeV.
- ²²PRADES 98 uses finite energy sum rules for the axial current correlator.
- 23 EICKER 97 use lattice gauge computations with two dynamical light flavors.
- ²⁴ GOUGH 97 use lattice gauge computations in the quenched approximation. Correcting for quenching gives $2.1 < \overline{m} < 3.5$ MeV at μ =2 GeV.
- $^{25}\,\text{GUPTA}$ 97 use Lattice Monte Carlo computations in the quenched approximation. The value for two light dynamic flavors at $\mu=2\,\text{GeV}$ is 2.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3 MeV.
- ²⁶ LELLOUCH 97 obtain lower bounds on quark masses using hadronic spectral functions.
- ²⁷ BIJNENS 95 determines $m_u + m_d$ (1 GeV) = 12 \pm 2.5 MeV using finite energy sum rules. We have rescaled this to 2 GeV.

s-QUARK MASS

See the comment for the u quark above.

We have normalized the $\overline{\rm MS}$ masses at a renormalization scale of $\mu=2$ GeV. Results quoted in the literature at $\mu=1$ GeV have been rescaled by dividing by 1.35.

VALUE (MeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

80 to 130 OUR EVALUATION

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

$116 \hspace{.1cm} \pm \hspace{.1cm} 6 \hspace{.1cm} \pm \hspace{.1cm} 0.65$	²⁸ AOKI	03 LATT	MS scheme
$84.5 + 12 \\ -1.7$	²⁹ AOKI	03B LATT	MS scheme
$106 \pm 2 \pm 8$	30 BECIREVIC	03 LATT	MS scheme
117 ± 17	³¹ GAMIZ	03 THEO	MS scheme
103 ± 17	³² GAMIZ	03 THEO	MS scheme
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	³³ ALIKHAN	02 LATT	MS scheme
115 ± 8	³⁴ CHIU	02 LATT	MS scheme
99 ± 16	³⁵ JAMIN	02 THEO	MS scheme

100	± 12	³⁶ MALTMAN	02	THEO	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme
116	+20 -25	³⁷ CHEN	01 B	THEO	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme
125 130	±27 ±15	³⁸ KOERNER ³⁹ AOKI	01 00	THEO LATT	$\frac{\overline{\text{MS}}}{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ scheme
105 118	± 4 ± 14	40 GOECKELER 41 AOKI	00 99	LATT LATT	MS scheme MS scheme
170	+ 44 - 55	⁴² BARATE		ALEP	MS scheme
115 129	± 8 ±24	43 MALTMAN 44 NARISON	99 99	THEO THEO	$\frac{\overline{\text{MS}}}{\text{MS}}$ scheme
114 111	±23 ±12	⁴⁵ PICH ⁴⁶ BECIREVIC	99 98	THEO LATT	MS scheme
148	±48	47 CHETYRKIN 48 CUCCHIERI	98	THEO	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme
103 115	$\pm 10 \ \pm 19$	⁴⁹ DOMINGUEZ	98 98	LATT THEO	MS scheme
152.4 > 89	4 ± 14.1	⁵⁰ CHETYRKIN ⁵¹ COLANGELO	97 97	THEO THEO	MS scheme
140	± 20	⁵² EICKER	97	LATT	MS scheme
95 100	$\pm 16 \\ \pm 21 \ \pm 10$	⁵³ GOUGH ⁵⁴ GUPTA	97 97	LATT LATT	MS scheme
>100 140	±24	⁵⁵ LELLOUCH ⁵⁶ JAMIN	97 95	THEO THEO	$\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme MS scheme

- 28 AOKI 03 uses quenched lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with degenerate light quarks. The extrapolations are done using quenched chiral perturbation theory. Determines $\rm m_{\it s}\!=\!113.8\pm2.3^{+5.8}_{-2.9}$ using $\it K$ mass as input and $\rm m_{\it s}\!=\!142.3\pm5.8^{+22}_{-0}$ using $\it \phi$ mass as input. We have performed a weighted average of these values.
- 29 AOKI 03B uses lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with two dynamical light quarks. Simulations are performed using the $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson action.
- ³⁰ BECIREVIC 03 perform quenched lattice computation using the vector and axial Ward identities. Uses $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson action and nonperturbative renormalization. They also quote \overline{m}/m_s =24.3 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.6.
- ³¹ GAMIZ 03 determines m_s from SU(3) breaking in the τ hadronic width. The value of V_{US} is chosen to satisfy CKM unitarity.
- ³² GAMIZ 03 determines m_s from SU(3) breaking in the τ hadronic width. The value of V_{US} is taken from the PDG.
- 33 ALIKHAN 02 uses lattice simulation of the meson and baryon masses with two dynamical flavors and degenerate light quarks. The above value uses the K-meson mass to determine m_s . If the ϕ meson is used, the number changes to 90^+_{-10} .
- 34 CHIU 02 extracts the strange quark mass from quenched lattice simulations using quenched chiral perturbation theory.
- ³⁵ JAMIN 02 calculates the strange quark mass from QCD sum rules using the scalar channel.
- 36 MALTMAN 02 uses finite energy sum rules in the *ud* and *us* pseudoscalar channels. Other mass values are also obtained by similar methods.
- 37 CHEN 01B uses an analysis of the hadronic spectral function in au decay.
- 38 KOERNER 01 obtain the s quark mass of $m_s(m_\tau)=130\pm27({\rm exp})\pm9({\rm thy})$ MeV from an analysis of Cabibbo suppressed τ decays. We have converted this to $\mu=2$ GeV.
- 39 AOKI 00 obtain the light quark masses from a quenched lattice simulation of the meson and baryon spectrum with the Wilson quark action. We have averaged their results of $m_{\rm S} = 115.6 \pm 2.3$ and $m_{\rm S} = 143.7 \pm 5.8$ obtained using $m_{\rm K}$ and m_{ϕ} , respectively, to normalize the spectrum.

- ⁴⁰ GOECKELER 00 obtained from a quenched lattice computation of the pseudoscalar meson masses using $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson fermions and nonperturbative renormalization.
- ⁴¹ AOKI 99 obtain the light quark masses from a quenched lattice simulation of the meson spectrum with the Staggered quark action employing the regularization independent scheme. We have averaged their results of m_s =106.0 \pm 7.1 and m_s =129 \pm 12 obtained using m_K and m_ϕ , respectively, to normalize the spectrum.
- ⁴² BARATE 99R obtain the strange quark mass from an analysis of the observed mass spectra in τ decay. We have converted their value of $m_s(m_\tau)=176^{+46}_{-57}$ MeV to $\mu=2$ GeV.
- $43\,\mathrm{MALTMAN}$ 99 determines the strange quark mass using finite energy sum rules.
- ⁴⁴ NARISON 99 uses sum rules to order α_s^3 for ϕ meson decays.
- 45 PICH 99 obtain the s-quark mass from an analysis of the moments of the invariant mass distribution in au decays.
- 46 BECIREVIC 98 compute the quark mass using the Alpha action in the quenched approximation. The conversion from the regularization independent scheme to the MS scheme is at NNLO.
- ⁴⁷ CHETYRKIN 98 uses spectral moments of hadronic τ decays to determine $m_s(1\,{\rm GeV}){=}200\pm70\,{\rm MeV}.$ We have rescaled the result to $\mu{=}2\,{\rm GeV}.$
- ⁴⁸ CUCCHIERI 98 obtains the quark mass using a quenched lattice computation of the hadronic spectrum.
- 49 DOMINGUEZ 98 uses hadronic spectral function sum rules (to four loops, and including dimension six operators) to determine $m_{S}(1\,{\rm GeV})<155\pm25\,{\rm MeV}.$ We have rescaled the result to $\mu{=}2\,{\rm GeV}.$
- 50 CHETYRKIN 97 obtains 205.5 \pm 19.1 MeV at μ =1 GeV from QCD sum rules including fourth-order QCD corrections. We have rescaled the result to 2 GeV.
- 51 COLANGELO 97 is QCD sum rule computation. We have rescaled $m_{\rm S}(1~{\rm GeV})>120$ to $\mu=2~{\rm GeV}.$
- 52° EICKER 97 use lattice gauge computations with two dynamical light flavors.
- 53 GOUGH 97 use lattice gauge computations in the quenched approximation. Correcting for quenching gives 54 $< m_S <$ 92 MeV at μ =2 GeV.
- 54 GUPTA 97 use Lattice Monte Carlo computations in the quenched approximation. The value for two light dynamical flavors at $\mu=2$ GeV is 68 \pm 12 \pm 7 MeV.
- 55 LELLOUCH 97 obtain lower bounds on quark masses using hadronic spectral functions.
- ⁵⁶ JAMIN 95 uses QCD sum rules at next-to-leading order. We have rescaled $m_s(1 \text{ GeV})$ = 189 \pm 32 to μ = 2 GeV.

LIGHT QUARK MASS RATIOS

u/d MASS RATIO

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
			TLCIV	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the f	following data for averages	, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
0.410 ± 0.036		03	LATT	MS scheme
0.44				MS scheme
$0.553 \!\pm\! 0.043$	⁵⁹ LEUTWYLER	96	THEO	Compilation
< 0.3	⁶⁰ СНОІ		THEO	
0.26	⁶¹ DONOGHUE			
0.30 ± 0.07	⁶² DONOGHUE	92 B	THEO	
0.66			THEO	
0.4 to 0.65	64 LEUTWYLER	90 B	THEO	

0.05 to 0.78

90 THEO

Created: 6/2/2004 14:00

⁶⁵ MALTMAN

- 57 NELSON 03 computes coefficients in the order p^4 chiral Lagrangian using a lattice calculation with three dynamical flavors. The ratio m_u/m_d is obtained by combining this with the chiral perturbation theory computation of the meson masses to order p^4 .
- $^{58}\,\mathrm{GAO}$ 97 uses electromagnetic mass splittings of light mesons.
- ⁵⁹LEUTWYLER 96 uses a combined fit to $\eta \to 3\pi$ and $\psi' \to J/\psi$ (π,η) decay rates, and the electromagnetic mass differences of the π and K.
- 60 CHOI 92 result obtained from the decays $\psi(2S) o J/\psi(1S)\pi$ and $\psi(2S) o J/\psi(1S)\eta$, and a dilute instanton gas estimate of some unknown matrix elements.
- 61 DONOGHUE 92 result is from a combined analysis of meson masses, $\eta
 ightarrow 3\pi$ using second-order chiral perturbation theory including nonanalytic terms, and ($\psi(2S)$ ightarrow $J/\psi(1S)\pi)/(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\eta).$
- 62 DONOGHUE 92B computes quark mass ratios using $(\psi(2S) o J/\psi(1S)\pi)/(\psi(2S) o$ $J/\psi(1S)\eta)$, and an estimate of L_{14} using Weinberg sum rules.
- 63 GERARD 90 uses large N and η - η' mixing.
- ⁶⁴LEUTWYLER 90B determines quark mass ratios using second-order chiral perturbation theory for the meson and baryon masses, including nonanalytic corrections. Also uses Weinberg sum rules to determine L_7 .
- $^{65}\,$ MALTMAN 90 uses second-order chiral perturbation theory including nonanalytic terms for the meson masses. Uses a criterion of "maximum reasonableness" that certain coefficients which are expected to be of order one are ≤ 3 .

s/d MASS RATIO				
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
17 to 22 OUR EVALUATION				
 ● We do not use the following 	g data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
20.0	⁶⁶ GAO			
18.9 ± 0.8	⁶⁷ LEUTWYLER			Compilation
21	⁶⁸ DONOGHUE			
18	⁶⁹ GERARD			
18 to 23	⁷⁰ LEUTWYLER	90 B	THEO	
66 GAO 97 uses electromagnetic mass splittings of light mesons.				

- ⁶⁷ LEUTWYLER 96 uses a combined fit to $\eta \to 3\pi$ and $\psi' \to J/\psi$ (π,η) decay rates, and the electromagnetic mass differences of the π and K.
- 68 DONOGHUE 92 result is from a combined analysis of meson masses, $\eta
 ightarrow 3\pi$ using second-order chiral perturbation theory including nonanalytic terms, and $(\psi(2S) \rightarrow$ $J/\psi(1S)\pi)/(\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi(1S)\eta).$
- 69 GERARD 90 uses large N and η - η' mixing.
- 70 LEUTWYLER 90B determines quark mass ratios using second-order chiral perturbation theory for the meson and baryon masses, including nonanalytic corrections. Also uses Weinberg sum rules to determine L_7 .

$(m_s - \overline{m})/(m_d - m_u)$ MASS RATIO

$$\overline{m} \equiv (m_u + m_d)/2$$

DOCUMENT ID TECN 30 to 50 OUR EVALUATION

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

⁷¹ ANISOVICH 96 THEO ⁷² NEFKENS 92 THEO 36 ± 5 ⁷³ NEFKENS 92 THEO 45 ± 3

 71 ANISOVICH 96 find $Q=22.7\pm0.8$ with $Q^2\equiv(m_S^2-\overline{m}^2)/(m_d^2-m_S^2)$ from $\eta\to$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay using dispersion relations and chiral perturbation theory.

72 NEFKENS 92 result is from an analysis of meson masses, mixing, and decay.

⁷³NEFKENS 92 result is from an analysis of of baryon masses.

LIGHT QUARKS (u, d, s) REFERENCES

AOKI AOKI BECIREVIC GAMIZ	03 03B 03 03	PR D67 034503 PR D68 054502 PL B558 69 JHEP 0301 060	S. Aoki <i>et al.</i> S. Aoki <i>et al.</i> D. Becirevic, V. Lubicz, C. Tarantino E. Gamiz <i>et al.</i>	(CP-PACS Collab.) (CP-PACS Collab.)
NELSON ALIKHAN Also CHIU JAMIN MALTMAN	03 02 03 02 02 02	PRL 90 021601 PR D65 054505 PR D67 059901 PL B538 298 EPJ C24 237 PR D65 074013	D. Nelson, G.T. Fleming, G.W. Kilcup A. Ali Khan et al. (erratum)A. Ali Khan et al. TW. Chiu, TH. Hsieh M. Jamin, J.A. Oller, A. Pich K. Maltman, J. Kambor	(CP-PACS Collab.) (CP-PACS Collab.)
CHEN	01B	EPJ C22 31	S. Chen et al.	
KOERNER MALTMAN	01 01	EPJ C20 259 PL B517 332	J.G. Koerner, F. Krajewski, A.A. Pivov K. Maltman, J. Kambor	arov
AOKI	00	PRL 84 238	S. Aoki <i>et al</i> .	(CP-PACS Collab.)
GOECKELER	00	PR D62 054504	M. Goeckeler <i>et al.</i>	(ci intes conds.)
AOKI	99	PRL 82 4392	S. Aoki <i>et al.</i>	(JLQCD Collab.)
BARATE	99R	EPJ C11 599	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
MALTMAN	99	PL B462 195	K. Maltman	
NARISON	99	PL B466 345	S. Narison	
PICH	99	JHEP 9910 004	A. Pich, J. Prades	
STEELE BECIREVIC	99 98	PL B451 201 PL B444 401	T.G. Steele, K. Kostuik, J. Kwan D. Becirevic <i>et al</i> .	
CHETYRKIN	98	NP B533 473	K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kuehn, A.A. Pivo	ovarov
CUCCHIERI	98	PL B422 212	A. Chucchieri <i>et al.</i>	
DOMINGUEZ	98	PL B425 193	C.A. Dominguez, L. Pirovano, K. Schil	cher
DOSCH	98	PL B417 173	H.G. Dosch, S. Narison	
PRADES	98	NPBPS 64 253	J. Prades	
CHETYRKIN	97	PL B404 337	K.G. Chetyrkin, D. Pirjol, K. Schilcher	
COLANGELO EICKER	97 97	PL B408 340	P. Colangelo <i>et al.</i> N. Eicker <i>et al.</i>	(CECAM Callah)
GAO	97 97	PL B407 290 PR D56 4115	DN. Gao, B.A. Li, ML. Yan	(SESAM Collab.)
GOUGH	97	PRL 79 1622	B. Gough <i>et al.</i>	
GUPTA	97	PR D55 7203	R. Gupta, T. Bhattacharya	
LELLOUCH	97	PL B414 195	L. Lellouch, E. de Rafael, J. Taron	
ANISOVICH	96	PL B375 335	A.V. Anisovich, H. Leutwyler	
LEUTWYLER	96	PL B378 313	H. Leutwyler	(11000 00110)
BIJNENS	95	PL B348 226	J. Bijnens, J. Prades, E. de Rafael	(NORD, BOHR+)
JAMIN NARISON	95 95C	ZPHY C66 633 PL B358 113	M. Jamin, M. Munz S. Narison	(HEIDT, MUNT) (MONP)
CHOI	92	PL B292 159	K.W. Choi	(UCSD)
DONOGHUE	92	PRL 69 3444	J.F. Donoghue, B.R. Holstein, D. Wyle	
DONOGHUE	92B	PR D45 892	J.F. Donoghue, D. Wyler (MA	SA, ZURI, UCSBT)
NEFKENS	92	CNPP 20 221	B.M.K. Nefkens, G.A. Miller, I. Slaus	(UCLA+)
GERARD	90	MPL A5 391	J.M. Gerard	(MPIM)
LEUTWYLER	90B	NP B337 108	H. Leutwyler	(BERN)
MALTMAN	90	PL B234 158	K. Maltman, T. Goldman, Stephenson	Jr. (YORKC+)