$K_2(1770)$

$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$

THE $K_2(1770)$ AND THE $K_2(1820)$

A partial-wave analysis of the $K^-\omega$ system based on about 100,000 $K^-p \to K^-\omega p$ events (ASTON 93) gives evidence for two $q\bar{q}$ D-wave states near 1.8 GeV. A previous analysis based on about 200,000 diffractively produced $K^-p \to K^-\pi^+\pi^-p$ events (DAUM 81) gave evidence for two $J^P = 2^-$ states in this region, with masses $\sim$ 1780 MeV and $\sim$ 1840 MeV and widths $\sim$ 200 MeV, in good agreement with the results of ASTON 93. In contrast, the masses obtained using a single resonance do not agree well: ASTON 93 obtains 1728 $\pm$ 7 MeV, while DAUM 81 estimates $\sim$ 1820 MeV. We conclude that there are indeed two K_2 resonances here.

We list under the $K_2(1770)$ other measurements that do not resolve the two-resonance structure of the enhancement.

References

References may be found at the end of the $K_2(1770)$ Listing.

$K_2(1770)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*EVTS*	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*CHG*	*COMMENT*
1773± 8		[1] ASTON	93	LASS		$11 K^- p \to K^- \omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
1743±15		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC		40.0 π^- C → $K^0_S K^0_S K^0_L$ X
1810±20		FRAME	86	OMEG	+	$13 K^+ p \to \phi K^+ p$
~ 1730		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG	−	$18.5 K^- p \to 3K p$
~ 1780		[2] DAUM	81C	CNTR	−	$63 K^- p \to K^- 2\pi p$
1710±15	60	CHUNG	74	HBC	−	$7.3 K^- p \to K^- \omega p$
1767± 6		BLIEDEN	72	MMS	−	11–16 $K^- p$
1730±20	306	[3] FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+	$12 K^+ d$
1765±40		[4] COLLEY	71	HBC	+	$10 K^+ p \to K 2\pi N$
1740		DENEGRI	71	DBC	−	$12.6 K^- d \to \overline{K} 2\pi d$
1745±20		AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC	−	$4.6 K^- p$
1780±15		BARTSCH	70C	HBC	−	$10.1 K^- p$
1760±15		LUDLAM	70	HBC	−	$12.6 K^- p$

[1] From a partial wave analysis of the $K^-\omega$ system.
[2] From a partial wave analysis of the $K^-2\pi$ system.
[3] Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.
[4] Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

$K_2(1770)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	CHG	COMMENT
186±14		[5] ASTON	93	LASS		$11 K^- p \rightarrow K^-\omega p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
147±70		TIKHOMIROV	03	SPEC		40.0 π^- C → $K^0_S K^0_S K^0_L$ X
140±40		FRAME	86	OMEG	+	13 $K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~ 220		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG	−	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~ 210		[6] DAUM	81C	CNTR	−	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
110±50	60	CHUNG	74	HBC	−	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^-\omega p$
100±26		BLIEDEN	72	MMS	−	11–16 $K^- p$
210±30	306	[7] FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+	12 $K^+ d$
90±70		[8] COLLEY	71	HBC	+	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
130		DENEGRI	71	DBC	−	12.6 $K^- d \rightarrow \overline{K} 2\pi d$
100±50		AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC	−	4.6 $K^- p$
138±40		BARTSCH	70C	HBC	−	10.1 $K^- p$
50^{+40}_{-20}		LUDLAM	70	HBC	−	12.6 $K^- p$

[5] From a partial wave analysis of the $K^-\omega$ system.
[6] From a partial wave analysis of the $K^-2\pi$ system.
[7] Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.
[8] Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

$K_2(1770)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$K\pi\pi$	
Γ_2	$K_2^*(1430)\pi$	dominant
Γ_3	$K^*(892)\pi$	seen
Γ_4	$K f_2(1270)$	seen
Γ_5	$K f_0(980)$	
Γ_6	$K\phi$	seen
Γ_7	$K\omega$	seen

$K_2(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1

($K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K\pi$)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

~ 0.03	DAUM	81C	CNTR		63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
~ 1.0	[9] FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+	12 $K^+ d$
<1.0	COLLEY	71	HBC		10 $K^+ p$
0.2 ±0.2	AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC	−	4.6 $K^- p$
<1.0	BARTSCH	70C	HBC	−	10.1 $K^- p$
1.0	BARBARO-...	69	HBC	+	12.0 $K^+ p$

[9] Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 0.23	DAUM	81C CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

$\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

($f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi$)

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
~ 0.74	DAUM	81C CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

$\Gamma(K f_0(980))/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
possibly seen	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K^0_S K^0_S K^0_L X$

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	ARMSTRONG 83	OMEG	−	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \phi N$

$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_7/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
seen	OTTER 81	HBC	±	8.25,10,16 $K^\pm p$
seen	CHUNG 74	HBC	−	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$

$K_2(1770)$ REFERENCES

TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov *et al.*	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston *et al.*	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame *et al.*	(GLAS)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong *et al.*	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum *et al.*	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
OTTER	81	NP B181 1	G. Otter	(AACH3, BERL, LOIC, VIEN, BIRM+)
CHUNG	74	PL 51B 413	S.U. Chung *et al.*	(BNL)
BLIEDEN	72	PL 39B 668	H.R. Blieden *et al.*	(STON, NEAS)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone *et al.*	(LBL)
COLLEY	71	NP B26 71	D.C. Colley *et al.*	(BIRM, GLAS)
DENEGRI	71	NP B28 13	D. Denegri *et al.*	(JHU) JP
AGUILAR-...	70C	PRL 25 54	M. Aguilar-Benitez *et al.*	(BNL)
BARTSCH	70C	PL 33B 186	J. Bartsch *et al.*	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)
LUDLAM	70	PR D2 1234	T. Ludlam, J. Sandweiss, A.J. Slaughter	(YALE)
BARBARO-...	69	PRL 22 1207	A. Barbaro-Galtieri *et al.*	(LRL)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BERLINGHIERI	67	PRL 18 1087	J.C. Berlinghieri *et al.*	(ROCH) I
CARMONY	67	PRL 18 615	D.D. Carmony, T. Hendricks, R.L. Lander	(UCSD)
JOBES	67	PL 26B 49	M. Jobes *et al.*	(BIRM, CERN, BRUX)
BARTSCH	66	PL 22 357	J. Bartsch *et al.*	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)