$$\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$$

 $I(J^{P}) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^{+})$  Status: \*\*\*

For results published before 1974 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

## Σ(1660) MASS

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<b>1630</b>	to 1690 ( $\approx$ 1660) OUR ES	ΓΙΜΑΤΕ				
1665.1	l±11.2	<sup>1</sup> KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$	
1670	$\pm 10$	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$	
1679	$\pm 10$	ALSTON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$	
1676	$\pm 15$	GOPAL	77	DPWA	<b>K</b> N multichannel	
1668	$\pm 25$	VANHORN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$	
1670	$\pm 20$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$	
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
1565	or 1597	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\overline{K}N$ multichannel	
1660	$\pm 30$	<sup>3</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda \pi$	
1671	$\pm$ 2	<sup>4</sup> PONTE	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$	

## **Σ(1660) WIDTH**

VALU	E (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
40	to 200 ( $pprox$ 100) OUR ESTIM	ATE				
81.	5± 22.2	<sup>1</sup> KOISO	85	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$	
152	± 20	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$	
38	$\pm$ 10	ALSTON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$	
120	$\pm$ 20	GOPAL	77	DPWA	KN multichannel	
230	$^{+165}_{-60}$	VANHORN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$	
250	$\pm 110$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$	
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
202 80 81	or 217 $\pm$ 40 $\pm$ 10	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN <sup>3</sup> BAILLON <sup>4</sup> PONTE	77 75 75	DPWA IPWA DPWA	${\overline{K}} N$ multichannel ${\overline{K}} N  o \Lambda \pi$ ${\overline{K}}^- p  o \Lambda \pi^0$	

# $\Sigma(1660)$ DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$
Γ1	NK	10–30 %
Γ2	$\Lambda\pi$	seen
Г <sub>3</sub>	$\Sigma \pi$	seen

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

#### $\Sigma(1660)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on  $\varLambda$  and  $\varSigma$ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\overline{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
0.1 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE						
$0.12 \pm 0.03$	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$		
$0.10 \pm 0.05$	ALSTON	78	DPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \overline{K}N$		
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following of	data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •		
<0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80		
0.27 or 0.29	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	<u><i>KN</i></u> multichannel		
$( _i _f)^2/ _{\text{total}} \in NK \to \Sigma(10)$	$(00) \rightarrow \Lambda \pi$			(  <u>1</u>   <u>2</u> )' <sup>2</sup> /		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA	KN multichannel		
$0.12^{+0.12}_{-0.04}$	VANHORN	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$		
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
-0.10  or  -0.11	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	<b>K</b> N multichannel		
$-0.04\pm0.02$	<sup>3</sup> BAILLON	75	IPWA	$\overline{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda \pi$		
$+0.16 \pm 0.01$	<sup>4</sup> PONTE	75	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$		
	S(0) ) <b>T</b> -			(Γ.Γ.) <sup>1</sup> /2/Γ		
$(I_i I_f)^{-}/I_{\text{total}} In N \land \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(10)$	$00) \rightarrow 2\pi$			(113)-/1		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
$-0.13 \pm 0.04$	<sup>1</sup> KOISO	85	DPWA	$\underline{K}^{-} p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$		
$-0.16 \pm 0.03$	GOPAL	77	DPWA	KN multichannel		
$-0.11 \pm 0.01$	KANE	74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$		
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
-0.34 or $-0.37$	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA	<b>K</b> N multichannel		
not seen	HEPP	<b>76</b> B	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$		

#### $\Sigma(1660)$ FOOTNOTES

 $^1$  The evidence of KOISO 85 is weak.  $^2$  The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

- <sup>3</sup> From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.
- <sup>4</sup> From solution 2 of PONTE 75; not present in solution 1.

## $\Sigma(1660)$ REFERENCES

KOISO	85	NP A433 619	H. Koiso <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MASA)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost et al.	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also	77	PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost et al.	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal et al.	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R	.G. Moorhouse (LOUC+) IJP
Also	77B	NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also	77C	NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Citation: S	. Eidel	man <i>et al.</i>	(Particle Data	Group), Phys. Lett. B <b>592</b> , 1 (2004	4) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)
HEPP	76B	PL 65B	487	V. Hepp <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
BAILLON	75	NP B94	39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
PONTE	75	PR D12	2597	R.A. Ponte <i>et al.</i>	(MASA, TENN, UCR) IJP
VANHORN	75	NP B87	145	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
Also	75B	NP B87	157	A.J. van Horn	(LBL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-245	2	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 3