## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE $\left(\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \Sigma_{b}, \Omega_{b}\right)$

## b-baryon ADMIXTURE MEAN LIFE

Each measurement of the $b$-baryon mean life is an average over an admixture of various $b$ baryons which decay weakly. Different techniques emphasize different admixtures of produced particles, which could result in a different $b$-baryon mean life. More $b$-baryon flavor specific channels are not included in the measurement.
"OUR EVALUATION" is an average of the data listed below performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) as described in our review "Production and Decay of $b$-flavored Hadrons" in the $B^{ \pm}$Section of these Listings. The averaging procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.


-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - - -

| 1.14 | $\pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$ |  | 4 ABREU | 99W DLPH | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.46 | $+0.22+0.07$ $-0.21-0.09$ |  | ABREU | 96D DLPH | Repl. by ABREU 99w |
| 1.27 | $\begin{array}{r}+0.35 \\ -0.29\end{array} \pm 0.09$ |  | ABREU | 95s DLPH | Repl. by ABREU 99w |
| 1.05 | $\begin{array}{r}+0.12 \\ -0.11\end{array} \pm 0.09$ | 290 | BUSKULIC | 95L ALEP | Repl. by BARATE 98D |
| 1.04 | +0.48 -0.38 | 11 | ${ }^{5}$ ABREU | 93F DLPH | $\underset{\text { lengths }}{\text { Excess } \Lambda \mu^{-}} \text {, decay }$ |
| 1.05 | +0.23 -0.20 | 157 | 6 AKERS | 93 OPAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excess } \Lambda \ell^{-} \text {, decay } \\ & \text { lengths } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.12 | +0.32 -0.29 | 101 | 7 BUSKULIC | 92I ALEP | Excess $\Lambda \ell^{-}$, impact parameters |
| ${ }^{1}$ Measured using $\wedge \ell^{-}$decay length. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Measured using $p \ell^{-}$decay length. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ This ABREU 99w result is the combined result of the $\Lambda \ell^{-}, p \ell^{-}$, and excess $\Lambda \mu^{-}$ impact parameter measurements. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ ABREU 93F superseded by ABREU 96D. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ AKERS 93 superseded by AKERS 96. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 BUSKULIC 92ı superseded by BUSKULIC 95L. |  |  |  |  |  |

## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE DECAY MODES ( $\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}, \Omega_{b}$ )

These branching fractions are actually an average over weakly decaying $b$-baryons weighted by their production rates in $Z$ decay (or high-energy $p \bar{p})$, branching ratios, and detection efficiencies. They scale with the LEP $b$-baryon production fraction $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon) and are evaluated for our value $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \%$.
The branching fractions $\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $)$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow\right.$ $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything) are not pure measurements because the underlying measured products of these with $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon) were used to determine $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$, as described in the note "Production and Decay of b-Flavored Hadrons."

| Mode |  | Fraction $\left(\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{1}$ | $p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}$ anything | $\left(4.2_{-}^{+1.5}\right) \%$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}$ | $p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything | $(4.0 \pm 1.0) \%$ |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | $p$ anything | $(50 \pm 17) \%$ |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | $\Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything | $(2.7 \pm 0.5) \%$ |
| $\Gamma_{5}$ | $\Lambda \ell^{+} \nu_{\ell}$ anything |  |
| $\Gamma_{6}$ | $\Lambda$ anything |  |
| $\Gamma_{7}$ | $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything |  |
| $\Gamma_{8}$ | $\Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ anything | $(28 \pm 6) \%$ |
| $\Gamma_{9}$ | $\Xi^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything | $(4.7 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-3}$ |

$b$-baryon ADMIXTURE $\left(\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \Sigma_{b}, \Omega_{b}\right)$ BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma\left(p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$ DOCUMENT ID $\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma$ VALUE EVTS $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 2} \mathbf{+ 0 . 0 1 6} \pm 0.007 \quad 125$ DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT
${ }^{8}$ ABREU 95S reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow p \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=0.0049 \pm$ $0.0011_{-0.0011}^{+0.0015}$. We divide by our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

## $\Gamma\left(p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$



# $\Gamma\left(p \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything) $/ \Gamma$ (panything) 

$\frac{\text { DOCUMENT ID }}{\text { BARATE }} 98 \mathrm{~V} \frac{\text { TECN }}{\text { ALEP }} \frac{\text { COMMENT }}{e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z}$

## $\Gamma\left(\Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$

$\Gamma 4 / \Gamma$
The values and averages in this section serve only to show what values result if one assumes our $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$-baryon $)$. They cannot be thought of as measurements since the underlying product branching fractions were also used to determinine $\mathrm{B}(b \rightarrow b$ baryon) as described in the note on "Production and Decay of b-Flavored Hadrons."

| VALUE | EVTS | DOCUMENT ID |  |  | TECN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 7} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 0 5}$ OUR AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.028 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005$ |  | 10 BARATE | 98D ALEP | $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$ |  |
| $0.025 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.004$ |  | 11 AKERS | 96 OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |  |
| $0.025 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ | 262 | 12 ABREU | 95s DLPH | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |  |
| $0.052 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.009$ | 290 | 13 BUSKULIC | 95L ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |  |

-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • -

| seen | 157 | 14 AKERS | 93 OPAL | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.059 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.011$ | 101 | 15 BUSKULIC | 92 ALEP | Excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$over $\Lambda \ell^{+}$ |

${ }^{10}$ BARATE 98D reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=$ $0.00326 \pm 0.00016 \pm 0.00039$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Measured using the excess of $\Lambda \ell^{-}$, lepton impact parameter.
11 AKERS 96 reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=0.00291 \pm$ $0.00023 \pm 0.00025$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
12 ABREU 95S reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=0.0030 \pm$ $0.0006 \pm 0.0004$. We divide by our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
13 BUSKULIC 95L reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=$ $0.0061 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.0010$. We divide by our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm$ 2.1) $\times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
14 AKERS 93 superseded by AKERS 96.
${ }^{15}$ BUSKULIC 921 reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=$ $0.0070 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0018$. We divide by our best value $B(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm$ 2.1) $\times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value. Superseded by BUSKULIC 95L.
$\Gamma\left(\Lambda \ell^{+} \nu_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma(\Lambda$ anything $)$
DOCUMENT ID TECN
ABBIENDI 99L OPAL $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$
$0.080 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.008$

-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - - -
$0.070 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.007$
ACKERSTAFF 97N OPAL Repl. by ABBIENDI 99L
VALUE $0.28 \pm 0.06$ OUR AVERAGE
$0.30 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05$
$0.19_{-0.07}^{+0.11} \pm 0.03$
DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT $\quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{8}} / \Gamma$

16 ABBIENDI
17 ABREU

99L OPAL $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$
95C DLPH $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z$

-     - We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. - - -
$0.33 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.0618$ ACKERSTAFF 97N OPAL Repl. by ABBIENDI 99L
${ }^{16}$ ABBIENDI 99L reports $[\mathrm{B}(b$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]=0.035 \pm$ $0.0032 \pm 0.0035$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{17}$ ABREU 95 C reports $0.28_{-0.12}^{+0.17}$ for $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=0.08 \pm 0.02$. We rescale to our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{18}$ ACKERSTAFF 97 N reports $[\mathrm{B}(b$-baryon $\rightarrow \Lambda / \bar{\Lambda}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)]=$ $0.0393 \pm 0.0046 \pm 0.0037$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm$ 2.1) $\times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
$\Gamma\left(\Xi^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}\right.$ anything $) / \Gamma_{\text {total }}$
$\frac{\text { VALUE }}{0.0047} \pm 0.0013$ OUR AVERAGE
$0.0046 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0008$
$0.0050 \pm 0.0020 \pm 0.0009$

| DOCUMENT ID | TECN COMMENT Г9/Г |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 buSKULIC | 96T ALEP | Exces |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ Abreu | 95 V DLPH | Excess |  |

${ }^{19}$ BUSKULIC 96T reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Xi^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]$ $=0.00054 \pm 0.00011 \pm 0.00008$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=$ $(11.8 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
${ }^{20}$ ABREU 95V reports $\left[\mathrm{B}\left(b\right.\right.$-baryon $\rightarrow \Xi^{-} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$ anything $) \times \mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $\left.)\right]=$ $0.00059 \pm 0.00021 \pm 0.0001$. We divide by our best value $\mathrm{B}(\bar{b} \rightarrow b$-baryon $)=(11.8 \pm$ 2.1) $\times 10^{-2}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

## $b$-baryon ADMIXTURE ( $\Lambda_{b}, \bar{\Xi}_{b}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}, \Omega_{b}$ ) REFERENCES

| ABBIENDI | 99L | EPJ C9 1 | G. Abbiendi et al. | (OPAL Collab.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABREU | 99W | EPJ C10 185 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| BARATE | 98D | EPJ C2 197 | R. Barate et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| BARATE | 98 V | EPJ C5 205 | R. Barate et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| ACKERSTAFF | 97N | ZPHY C74 423 | K. Ackerstaff et al. | (OPAL Collab.) |
| ABREU | 96D | ZPHY C71 199 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| AKERS | 96 | ZPHY C69 195 | R. Akers et al. | (OPAL Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 96T | PL B384 449 | D. Buskulic et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95C | PL B347 447 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95 S | ZPHY C68 375 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| ABREU | 95V | ZPHY C68 541 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 95L | PL B357 685 | D. Buskulic et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |
| ABREU | 93F | PL B311 379 | P. Abreu et al. | (DELPHI Collab.) |
| AKERS | 93 | PL B316 435 | R. Akers et al. | (OPAL Collab.) |
| BUSKULIC | 921 | PL B297 449 | D. Buskulic et al. | (ALEPH Collab.) |

