Citation: K. Hagiwara et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 66, 010001 (2002) and 2003 partial update for edition 2004 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$\omega$ (1420)	
-----------------	--

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(1^{-})$$

## $\omega$ (1420) MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
(1400–1450) OUR EST	IMATE				
• • • We do not use th	e following	data for averages	, fits,	, limits,	etc. • • •
$1490{\pm}~50{\pm}25$	6.5k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	02E	RVUE	$ 0.98-2.00 \ e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \\ -+ \ \pi^{-} \ \pi^{0} $
$1450\pm~10$		<sup>2</sup> HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \rho\pi,$
1373± 70	177	<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN	1 00D	CMD2	$1.2-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow$
$1370\pm~25$	5095	ANISOVICH	00н	SPEC	$0.0 \ p \overline{p} \rightarrow \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
$1400^{+100}_{-200}$		<sup>4</sup> ACHASOV	98H	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$\sim$ 1400 $\sim$ 1460		<sup>5</sup> ACHASOV <sup>6</sup> ACHASOV	98н 98н	RVUE RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+\pi^-$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-$
$1440 \pm 70$ $1419 \pm 31$	315	<sup>7</sup> CLEGG <sup>8</sup> ANTONELLI	94 92	RVUE DM2	$1.34-2.4e^+e^- \rightarrow  ho\pi$
<sup>1</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, and ACHASOV 02E data on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and ANTONELLI 92 on the $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$ final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E. <sup>2</sup> Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and AN-					
<sup>3</sup> Using the data of A energy dependence	KHMETSHI of the $\omega(14)$	IN 00D and ANT( 20) and $\omega(1650)$	ONEL width	LI 92 1 assum	The $ ho\pi$ dominance for the ed.
<sup>4</sup> Using data from BA	.RKOV 87, 1	DOLINSKY 91, a	nd Al	NTONE	ELLI 92.
<sup>5</sup> Using the data from	ANTONEL	_LI 92.			
<sup>6</sup> Using the data from	IVANOV 8	1 and BISELLO 8	3 <b>8</b> B.		
<sup>7</sup> From a fit to two	Breit-Wigne	er functions and 1	using	the da	ta of DOLINSKY 91 and

ANTONELLI 92. <sup>8</sup> From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the  $\omega, \phi$  tails with fixed (+,-,+) phases.

#### ω(1420) WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
(180-250) OUR EST	IMATE				
• • • We do not use	the followin	g data for averages,	fits,	limits,	etc. ● ● ●
$1210^{+300}_{-200}\!\pm\!170$	6.5k	<sup>9</sup> ACHASOV	02e	RVUE	$0.98-2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
$199\pm~15$		<sup>10</sup> HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 \ e^+e^- \to \  ho\pi$ ,
188± 45	177	<sup>11</sup> AKHMETSHIN	<b>00</b> D	CMD2	$ \begin{array}{c} \omega \pi \pi \\ 1.2-1.38 \ e^+ e^- \rightarrow \\ \omega \pi^+ \pi^- \end{array} $
$360^{+100}_{-60}$	5095	ANISOVICH	00н	SPEC	$0.0 \ p \overline{p} \rightarrow \ \omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
240± 70 174± 59	315	<sup>12</sup> CLEGG <sup>13</sup> ANTONELLI	94 92	RVUE DM2	1.34–2.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow  ho\pi$

<sup>9</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, and ACHASOV 02E data on the  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and ANTONELLI 92 on the  $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$  final states. Supersedes ACHASOV 99E.

- <sup>10</sup> Using results of CORDIER 81 and preliminary data of DOLINSKY 91 and AN-TONELLI 92.
- <sup>11</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The  $\rho\pi$  dominance for the energy dependence of the  $\omega(1420)$  and  $\omega(1650)$  width assumed.
- $^{12}$  From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
- <sup>13</sup> From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the  $\omega, \phi$  tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

 $\omega$ (1420) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$
Γ <sub>1</sub> Γ <sub>2</sub> Γ <sub>3</sub> Γ <sub>4</sub>	$ ho \pi \ \omega \pi \pi \ b_1(1235) \pi \ e^+ e^-$	dominant possibly seen seen

ω <b>(1420)</b>	Γ(i)Γ(e <sup>+</sup>	<sup>-</sup> e <sup>-</sup> )/Γ <sup>2</sup>	(total)
-----------------	----------------------	--	---------

$\Gamma(\rho\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}^2$ $\Gamma_1\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$						
VALUE (units 10 <sup>-6</sup> )		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the f	ollowing o	lata for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •	
$0.529\!\pm\!0.076\!\pm\!0.030$	15,10	<sup>5</sup> ACHASOV	02E	RVUE	$0.98-2.00 e^+_{\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0}$	$e^{-} \rightarrow \omega \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
$0.625 \pm 0.160$	17,13	<sup>3</sup> CLEGG	94	RVUE		
$0.466 \!\pm\! 0.178$	19,20	<sup>)</sup> ANTONELLI	92	DM2	$1.34-2.4e^+e^-$	$- \rightarrow \rho \pi$
$\Gamma(\omega \pi \pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)$	Γ <sup>2</sup> total					$\Gamma_2\Gamma_4/\Gamma^2$
VALUE (units 10 <sup>-8</sup> )	VTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ We do not use the f	ollowing a	lata for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •	
$0.68^{+2.04}_{-0.68}{\pm}0.23$	15,10	<sup>6</sup> ACHASOV	02E	RVUE	$0.98-2.00 e^+$ $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
$1.3 \pm 1.3$	612 14	<sup>4</sup> AKHMETSHIN	<b>00</b> D	CMD2	$1.2-2.4 e^+ e^-$ $\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\rightarrow$

<sup>14</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 92. The  $\rho\pi$  dominance for the energy dependence of the  $\omega(1420)$  and  $\omega(1650)$  width assumed.

 $^{15}$  Calculated by us from the cross section at the peak.

<sup>16</sup> From the combined fit of ANTONELLI 92, ACHASOV 01E, and ACHASOV 02E data on the  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and ANTONELLI 92 on the  $\omega\pi^+\pi^-$  final states. Supersedes - ACHASOV 99E.

- <sup>17</sup> From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions and using the data of DOLINSKY 91 and ANTONELLI 92.
- $^{18}$  From the partial and leptonic width given by the authors.
- <sup>19</sup> From a fit to two Breit-Wigner functions interfering between them and with the  $\omega, \phi$  tails with fixed (+, -, +) phases.

 $^{20}$  From the product of the leptonic width and partial branching ratio given by the authors.

```
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV
```

## $\omega$ (1420) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega \pi \pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
$\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ We do not use the follow	ving data for average	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •		
pprox 0.01	<sup>21</sup> ACHASOV	02E	RVUE	0.98-2.00 $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	$e^+ e^{\pi^0,\omega\pi^+\pi^-}$	
$0.301\!\pm\!0.029$	<sup>22</sup> HENNER	02	RVUE	$1.2-2.0 e^+$ $\omega \pi \pi$	$e^- \rightarrow  ho \pi$ ,	
possibly seen	AKHMETSHI	N 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$	$\omega \pi^+ \pi^-$	
$\Gamma(\omega \pi \pi)/\Gamma(b_1(1235)\pi)$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_3$	
VALUE EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	<u>COMMENT</u>		
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the follow	ving data for average	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •		
0.60±0.16 5095	ANISOVICH	00н	SPEC	$0.0 \ p \overline{p} \rightarrow$	$\omega \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
$\Gamma( ho\pi)/\Gamma_{ ext{total}}$					$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>		
• • • We do not use the follow	ving data for average	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •		
pprox 0.99	<sup>21</sup> ACHASOV	02E	RVUE	$0.98-2.00 \\ \pi^+\pi^-$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0, \ \omega \pi^+\pi^-$	
0.699±0.029	<sup>22</sup> HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2–2.0 $e^+$ $\omega \pi \pi$	$e^-  ightarrow  ho \pi$ ,	
$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{total}$					Γ <sub>4</sub> /Γ	
VALUE (units 10 <sup>-7</sup> )	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT		
ullet $ullet$ $ullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $ullet$ $ullet$						
23±1	<sup>22</sup> HENNER	02	RVUE	1.2-2.0 e <sup>+</sup> $\omega \pi \pi$	$e^-  ightarrow  ho \pi$ ,	
<sup>21</sup> From the combined fit of on the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ and AI ACHASOV 99E. <sup>22</sup> Assuming that the $\omega(1420)$	ANTONELLI 92, AC NTONELLI 92 on t ) decays into $ ho\pi$ and	$\begin{array}{c} HASC\\ he \ \ \omega \\ \omega \\ \pi \\ \end{array}$	DV 01E, $\pi^+\pi^-$ only.	and ACHA final states	SOV 02E data . Supersedes	

# $\omega$ (1420) REFERENCES

ACHASOV HENNER	02E 02	PR D66 032001 EPJ C26 3	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> V.K. Henner <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	01E	PR D63 072002	M.N. Achasov et al.	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00H	PL B485 341	A.V. Anisovich et al.	
ACHASOV	99E	PL B462 365	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ACHASOV	98H	PR D57 4334	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhev	vnikov
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
ANTONELLI	92	ZPHY C56 15	A. Antonelli et al.	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BISELLO	88B	ZPHY C39 13	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(PADO, CLER, FRAS+)
BARKOV	87	JETPL 46 164	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
		Translated from ZE	TFP 46 132.	
CORDIER	81	PL 106B 155	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(ORSAY)
IVANOV	81	PL 107B 297	P.M. Ivanov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

#### — OTHER RELATED PAPERS —

ACHASOV	02B	PAN 65 153	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
		Translated from YAF 65	158.	
CLOSE	02	PR D65 092003	F.E. Close, A. Donnachie, Yu.S.	Kalashnikova
ACHASOV	00 J	PR D62 117503	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELOZEROVA	98	PPN 29 63	T.S. Belozerova, V.K. Henner	
		Translated from FECAY	29 148.	
ACHASOV	97F	PAN 60 2029	N.N. Achasov, A.A. Kozhevnikov	(NOVM)
		Translated from YAF 60	2212.	
ATKINSON	87	ZPHY C34 157	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	84	NP B231 15	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	83B	PL 127B 132	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
				,

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 4 Created: 5/30/2003 11:13