

$f_2(1565)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Seen in antinucleon-nucleon annihilation at rest. See also minireview under non- $q\bar{q}$ candidates. (See the index for the page number.)
Needs confirmation.

$f_2(1565)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1546 ±12 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1552 ±13	¹ AMSLER 02 CBAR	0.9 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$	
1550 ±10 ±20	AMELIN 00 VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$	
1575 ±18	BERTIN 98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1507 ±15	¹ BERTIN 97C OBLX	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
1565 ±20	MAY 90 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1544.7 ± 3.0	VLADIMIRSKII 00 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	
1598 ±11 ± 9	BAKER 99B SPEC	0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega \omega \pi^0$	
1534 ±20	² ABELE 96C RVUE	Compilation	
~ 1552	³ AMSLER 95D CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	
1598 ±72	BALOSHIN 95 SPEC	40 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$	
1566 +80 -50	⁴ ANISOVICH 94 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta \eta \pi^0$	
1502 ± 9	ADAMO 93 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1488 ±10	⁵ ARMSTRONG 93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
1508 ±10	⁵ ARMSTRONG 93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
1525 ±10	⁵ ARMSTRONG 93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$	
~ 1504	⁶ WEIDENAUER 93 ASTE	0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$	
1540 ±15	⁵ ADAMO 92 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	
1515 ±10	⁷ AKER 91 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$	
1477 ± 5	BRIDGES 86C DBC	0.0 $\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$	

¹ T-matrix pole.

² T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.


³ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

⁴ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0 \eta \eta$ including AKER 91 data.

⁵ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

⁶ J^P not determined.

⁷ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.



$f_2(1565)$ mass (MeV)

$f_2(1565)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
126 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE			
113 ± 23	8 AMSLER	02 CBAR	$0.9 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
130 ± 20 ± 40	AMELIN	00 VES	$37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
119 ± 24	BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
130 ± 20	8 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
170 ± 40	MAY	90 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
10.3 ± 3.0	VLADIMIRSKI 00	SPEC	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
180 ± 60	9 ABELE	96C RVUE	Compilation
~142	10 AMSLER	95D CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \eta\eta, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$
263 ± 101	BALOSHIN	95 SPEC	$40 \pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 X$
166 ± 80	11 ANISOVICH	94 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \eta\eta\pi^0$
130 ± 10	12 ADAMO	93 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
148 ± 27	13 ARMSTRONG	93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$
103 ± 15	13 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
111 ± 10	13 ARMSTRONG	93D E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \eta\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 6\gamma$
~206	14 WEIDENAUER	93 ASTE	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$
132 ± 37	13 ADAMO	92 OBLX	$\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
120 ± 10	15 AKER	91 CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
116 ± 9	BRIDGES	86C DBC	$0.0 \bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$

- ⁸ T-matrix pole.

⁹ T-matrix pole, large coupling to $\rho\rho$ and $\omega\omega$, could be $f_2(1640)$.

¹⁰ Coupled-channel analysis of AMSLER 95B, AMSLER 95C, and AMSLER 94D.

¹¹ From a simultaneous analysis of the annihilations $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$ including AKER 91 data.

¹² Supersedes ADAMO 92.

¹³ J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.

¹⁴ J^P not determined.

¹⁵ Superseded by AMSLER 95B.

$f_2(1565)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 \pi^0\pi^0$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \rho^0\rho^0$	seen
$\Gamma_5 2\pi^+2\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta\eta$	seen
$\Gamma_7 a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_8 \omega\omega$	seen

$f_2(1565)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	BAKER	99B SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	BERTIN	98 OBLX	0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	
not seen	¹⁶ ANISOVICH	94B RVUE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	
seen	MAY	89 ASTE	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	

¹⁶ ANISOVICH 94B is from a reanalysis of MAY 90.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\rho^0)$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>				
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	BRIDGES	86B DBC	$\bar{p}N \rightarrow 3\pi^- 2\pi^+$	

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_3/Γ
seen	AMSLER	95B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_6/Γ_3
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$0.024 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.012$	17 ARMSTRONG 93C E760	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta\eta \rightarrow 6\gamma$		
17 J^P not determined, could be partly $f_0(1500)$.				

 $\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_8/Γ
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
seen	BAKER	99B SPEC	$0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \omega\omega\pi^0$	

 $f_2(1565)$ REFERENCES

AMSLER 02	EPJ C23 29	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
VLADIMIRSKII 00	JETPL 26 486	V.V. Vladimirskaia <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from ZETFP 72 698.		
BAKER 99B	PL B467 147	C.A. Baker <i>et al.</i>	
BERTIN 98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN 97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE 96C	NP A609 562	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BALOSHIN 95	PAN 58 46	O.N. Baloshin <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	Translated from YAF 58 50.		
AMSLER 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94B	PR D50 1972	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO 93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ARMSTRONG 93C	PL B307 394	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
ARMSTRONG 93D	PL B307 399	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, FERR, GENO+)
WEIDENAUER 93	ZPHY C59 387	P. Weidenauer <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
ADAMO 92	PL B287 368	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
AKER 91	PL B260 249	E. Aker <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
MAY 90	ZPHY C46 203	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.)
MAY 89	PL B225 450	B. May <i>et al.</i>	(ASTERIX Collab.) IJP
BRIDGES 86B	PRL 56 215	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, CASE)
BRIDGES 86C	PRL 57 1534	D.L. Bridges <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)