

$f_0(600)$   
 or  $\sigma$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

### $f_0(600)$ T-MATRIX POLE $\sqrt{s}$

Note that  $\Gamma \approx 2 \operatorname{Im}(\sqrt{s_{\text{pole}}})$ .

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(400–1200)–i(300–500) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
532 – i272	BLACK	01	RVUE $\pi^0\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$
(470 ± 30)–i(295 ± 20)	<sup>1</sup> COLANGELO	01	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
(535 <sup>+48</sup> <sub>–36</sub> )–i(155 <sup>+76</sup> <sub>–53</sub> )	<sup>2</sup> ISHIDA	01	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon\pi\pi$
610 ± 14 – i620 ± 26	<sup>3</sup> SUROVTSEV	01	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
(558 <sup>+34</sup> <sub>–27</sub> )–i(196 <sup>+32</sup> <sub>–41</sub> )	ISHIDA	00B	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$
445 – i235	HANNAH	99	RVUE $\pi$ scalar form factor
(523 ± 12)–i(259 ± 7)	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \sigma\sigma$
442 – i 227	OLLER	99	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
469 – i203	OLLER	99B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
445 – i221	OLLER	99C	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta$
(1530 <sup>+90</sup> <sub>–250</sub> )–i(560 ± 40)	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE Compilation
420 – i 212	LOCHER	98	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
(602 ± 26)–i(196 ± 27)	<sup>4</sup> ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
(537 ± 20)–i(250 ± 17)	<sup>5</sup> KAMINSKI	97B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, 4\pi$
470 – i250	<sup>6,7</sup> TORNQVIST	96	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi,$ $\eta\pi$
~ (1100 – i300)	AMSLER	95B	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
400 – i500	<sup>7,8</sup> AMSLER	95D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
1100 – i137	<sup>7,9</sup> AMSLER	95D	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
387 – i305	<sup>3,10</sup> JANSSEN	95	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
525 – i269	<sup>11</sup> ACHASOV	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
(506 ± 10)–i(247 ± 3)	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
370 – i356	<sup>12</sup> ZOU	94B	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
408 – i342	<sup>7,12</sup> ZOU	93	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
870 – i370	<sup>7,13</sup> AU	87	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
470 – i208	<sup>14</sup> BEVEREN	86	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, \eta\eta, \dots$
(750 ± 50)–i(450 ± 50)	<sup>15</sup> ESTABROOKS	79	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
(660 ± 100)–i(320 ± 70)	PROTOPOP...	73	HBC $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$
650 – i370	<sup>16</sup> BASDEVANT	72	RVUE $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a phase-shift analysis of HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data.

<sup>2</sup> A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds (580<sup>+79</sup><sub>–30</sub>)–i(190<sup>+107</sup><sub>–49</sub>) MeV.

<sup>3</sup> Coupled channel reanalysis of BATON 70, BENSINGER 71, BAILLON 72, HYAMS 73, HYAMS 75, ROSSELET 77, COHEN 80, and ETKIN 82B using the uniformizing variable.

<sup>4</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

<sup>5</sup> Average and spread of 4 variants (“up” and “down”) of KAMINSKI 97B 3-channel model.

- <sup>6</sup> Uses data from BEIER 72B, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, ROSSELET 77, CASON 83, ASTON 88, and ARMSTRONG 91B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.
- <sup>7</sup> Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.
- <sup>8</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$  on sheet II.
- <sup>9</sup> Coupled channel analysis of  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 3\pi^0, \pi^0\eta\eta$  and  $\pi^0\pi^0\eta$  on sheet III.
- <sup>10</sup> Analysis of data from FALVARD 88.
- <sup>11</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, ESTABROOKS 75, ROSSELET 77, and MUKHIN 80.
- <sup>12</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, and ROSSELET 77.
- <sup>13</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74, BECKER 79, and CASON 83.
- <sup>14</sup> Uses data from PROTOPOESCU 73, HYAMS 73, HYAMS 75, GRAYER 74, ESTABROOKS 74, ESTABROOKS 75, FROGGATT 77, CORDEN 79, BISWAS 81.
- <sup>15</sup> Analysis of data from APEL 73, GRAYER 74, CASON 76, PAWLICKI 77. Includes spread and errors of 4 solutions.
- <sup>16</sup> Analysis of data from BATON 70, BENSINGER 71, COLTON 71, BAILLON 72, PROTOPOESCU 73, and WALKER 67.

## $f_0(600)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS OR K-MATRIX POLE PARAMETERS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(400–1200) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
513 ± 32	17 MURAMATSU 02	CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
478 <sup>+24</sup> <sub>-23</sub> ± 17	AITALA	01B E791	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
563 ± <sup>+58</sup> <sub>-20</sub>	18 ISHIDA	01	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon \pi \pi$
555	19 ASNER	00 CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
540 ± 36	ISHIDA	00B	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
750 ± 4	ALEKSEEV	99 SPEC	1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
744 ± 5	ALEKSEEV	98 SPEC	1.78 $\pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
759 ± 5	20 TROYAN	98	5.2 $np \rightarrow np \pi^+ \pi^-$
780 ± 30	ALDE	97 GAM2	450 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
585 ± 20	21 ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
761 ± 12	22 SVEC	96 RVUE	6–17 $\pi N_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- N$
~ 860	23,24 TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
1165 ± 50	25,26 ANISOVICH	95 RVUE	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n,$ $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta \eta$
~ 1000	27 ACHASOV	94 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
414 ± 20	22 AUGUSTIN	89 DM2	

<sup>17</sup> Statistical uncertainty only.

<sup>18</sup> A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds  $526^{+48}_{-37}$  MeV.

<sup>19</sup> From the best fit of the Dalitz plot.

<sup>20</sup>  $6\sigma$  effect, no PWA.

<sup>21</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

<sup>22</sup> Breit-Wigner fit to S-wave intensity measured in  $\pi N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ N$  on polarized targets. The fit does not include  $f_0(980)$ .

<sup>23</sup> Uses data from ASTON 88, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, ARMSTRONG 91B, GRAYER 74, CASON 83, ROSSELET 77, and BEIER 72B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.

- <sup>24</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays.  
<sup>25</sup> Uses  $\pi^0 \pi^0$  data from ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D, and ALDE 95B,  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74 and ROSSELET 77, and  $\eta\eta$  data from ANISOVICH 94.  
<sup>26</sup> The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.  
<sup>27</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, ESTABROOKS 75, ROSSELET 77, and MUKHIN 80.

## $f_0(600)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>(600–1000) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$335 \pm 67$	28 MURAMATSU 02	CLEO	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
$324^{+42}_{-40} \pm 21$	AITALA	01B E791	$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
$372 \pm \begin{smallmatrix} +229 \\ -95 \end{smallmatrix}$	29 ISHIDA	01	$\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \Upsilon \pi \pi$
540	30 ASNER	00 CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
$372 \pm 80$	ISHIDA	00B	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$
$119 \pm 13$	ALEKSEEV	99 SPEC	$1.78 \pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
$77 \pm 22$	ALEKSEEV	98 SPEC	$1.78 \pi^- p_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$
$35 \pm 12$	31 TROYAN	98	$5.2 n p \rightarrow n p \pi^+ \pi^-$
$780 \pm 60$	ALDE	97 GAM2	$450 p p \rightarrow p p \pi^0 \pi^0$
$385 \pm 70$	32 ISHIDA	97	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$290 \pm 54$	33 SVEC	96 RVUE	$6-17 \pi N_{\text{polar}} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- N$
~ 880	34,35 TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi, \eta\pi$
$460 \pm 40$	36,37 ANISOVICH	95 RVUE	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n,$ $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta, \pi^0 \eta\eta$
~ 3200	38 ACHASOV	94 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$
$494 \pm 58$	33 AUGUSTIN	89 DM2	

- <sup>28</sup> Statistical uncertainty only.  
<sup>29</sup> A similar analysis (KOMADA 01) finds  $301^{+145}_{-100}$  MeV.  
<sup>30</sup> From the best fit of the Dalitz plot.  
<sup>31</sup>  $6\sigma$  effect, no PWA.  
<sup>32</sup> Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.  
<sup>33</sup> Breit-Wigner fit to S-wave intensity measured in  $\pi N \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ N$  on polarized targets. The fit does not include  $f_0(980)$ .  
<sup>34</sup> Uses data from ASTON 88, OCHS 73, HYAMS 73, ARMSTRONG 91B, GRAYER 74, CASON 83, ROSSELET 77, and BEIER 72B. Coupled channel analysis with flavor symmetry and all light two-pseudoscalars systems.  
<sup>35</sup> Also observed by ASNER 00 in  $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0 \nu_\tau$  decays.  
<sup>36</sup> Uses  $\pi^0 \pi^0$  data from ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D, and ALDE 95B,  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  data from OCHS 73, GRAYER 74 and ROSSELET 77, and  $\eta\eta$  data from ANISOVICH 94.  
<sup>37</sup> The pole is on Sheet III. Demonstrates explicitly that  $f_0(600)$  and  $f_0(1370)$  are two different poles.  
<sup>38</sup> Analysis of data from OCHS 73, ESTABROOKS 75, ROSSELET 77, and MUKHIN 80.

**$f_0(600)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi\pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_2$ $\gamma\gamma$	seen

 **$f_0(600)$  PARTIAL WIDTHS**

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$					$\Gamma_2$
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$3.8 \pm 1.5$	<sup>39,40</sup> BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$	
$5.4 \pm 2.3$	<sup>39</sup> MORGAN	90	RVUE	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$	
$10 \pm 6$	COURAU	86	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$	

<sup>39</sup> This width could equally well be assigned to the  $f_0(1370)$ . The authors analyse data from BOYER 90 and MARSISKE 90 and report strong correlation with  $\gamma\gamma$  width of  $f_2(1270)$ .

<sup>40</sup> Supersedes MORGAN 90.

 **$f_0(600)$  REFERENCES**

MURAMATSU 02	PRL 89 251802	H. Muramatsu <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AITALA 01B	PRL 86 770	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BLACK 01	PR D64 014031	D. Black <i>et al.</i>	
COLANGELO 01	NP B603 125	G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leytwyler	
ISHIDA 01	PL B518 47	M. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
KOMADA 01	PL B508 31	T. Komada <i>et al.</i>	
SUROVTSEV 01	PR D63 054024	Y.S. Surovtsev, D. Krupa, M. Nagy	
ASNER 00	PR D61 012002	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ISHIDA 00B	PTP 104 203	M. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
ALEKSEEV 99	NP B541 3	I.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	
BOGLIONE 99	EPJ C9 11	M. Boglione, M.R. Pennington	
HANNAH 99	PR D60 017502	T. Hannah	
KAMINSKI 99	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, PARIN)
OLLER 99	PR D60 099906 (erratum)	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>	
OLLER 99B	NP A652 407 (erratum)	J.A. Oller, E. Oset	
OLLER 99C	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset	
ALEKSEEV 98	PAN 61 174	I.G. Alekseev <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH 98B	UFN 41 419	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
LOCHER 98	EPJ C4 317	M.P. Locher <i>et al.</i>	(PSI)
TROYAN 98	JINRRC 5-91 33	Yu. Troyan <i>et al.</i>	
ALDE 97	PL B397 350	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
ISHIDA 97	PTP 98 1005	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)
KAMINSKI 97B	PL B413 130	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	(CRAC, IPN)
Also 96	PTP 95 745	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)
SVEC 96	PR D53 2343	M. Svec	(MCGI)
TORNQVIST 96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)
ALDE 95B	ZPHY C66 375	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
AMSLER 95B	PL B342 433	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D	PL B355 425	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 95	PL B355 363	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, SERP)
JANSSEN 95	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
ACHASOV 94	PR D49 5779	N.N. Achasov, G.N. Shestakov	(NOVM)
AMSLER 94D	PL B333 277	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KAMINSKI 94	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P. Maillet	(CRAC+)
ZOU 94B	PR D50 591	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
ZOU 93	PR D48 R3948	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
ARMSTRONG 91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)

BOYER	90	PR D42 1350	J. Boyer <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
MARSISKE	90	PR D41 3324	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MORGAN	90	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
AUGUSTIN	89	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
FALVARD	88	PR D38 2706	A. Falvard <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, FRAS, LALO+)
AU	87	PR D35 1633	K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(DURH, RAL)
BEVEREN	86	ZPHY C30 615	E. van Beveren <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, BIEL)
COURAU	86	NP B271 1	A. Courau <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, LALO)
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
BISWAS	81	PRL 47 1378	N.N. Biswas <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
COHEN	80	PR D22 2595	D. Cohen <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJP
MUKHIN	80	JETPL 32 601	K.N. Mukhin <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP 32 616.		
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+) JP
ESTABROOKS	79	PR D19 2678	P. Estabrooks	(CARL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
PAWLICKI	77	PR D15 3196	A.J. Pawlicki <i>et al.</i>	(ANL) IJ
ROSSELET	77	PR D15 574	L. Rosselet <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
CASON	76	PRL 36 1485	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL) IJ
ESTABROOKS	75	NP B95 322	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)
HYAMS	75	NP B100 205	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
SRINIVASAN	75	PR D12 681	V. Srinivasan <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ESTABROOKS	74	NP B79 301	P.G. Estabrooks, A.D. Martin	(DURH)
GRAYER	74	NP B75 189	G. Grayer <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
APEL	73	PL 41B 542	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARL, PISA)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
OCHS	73	Thesis	W. Ochs	(MPIM, MUNI)
PROTOPOP...	73	PR D7 1279	S.D. Protopopescu <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
BAILLON	72	PL 38B 555	P.H. Baillon <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BASDEVANT	72	PL 41B 178	J.L. Basdevant, C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(CERN)
BEIER	72B	PRL 29 511	E.W. Beier <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
BENSINGER	71	PL 36B 134	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
COLTON	71	PR D3 2028	E.P. Colton <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, FNAL, UCLA+)
BATON	70	PL 33B 528	J.P. Baton, G. Laurens, J. Reigner	(SACL)
WALKER	67	RMP 39 695	W.D. Walker	(WISC)

## OTHER RELATED PAPERS

KAMINSKI	03	PL B551 241	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. Loiseau	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
BLACK	02	PRL 88 181603	D. Black, M. Harada, J. Schechter	
BRAMON	02	EPJ C26 253	A. Bramon <i>et al.</i>	
HE	02	PL B536 59	J. He, Z.G. Xiao, H.Q. Zheng	
HERNANDEZ	02	PR C66 065201	E. Hernandez, E. Oset, M.J. Vicente Vacas	
ISHIDA	02	PL B539 249	S. Ishida, M. Ishida	
KAMINSKI	02	EPJ Direct C4 1	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, K. Rybicki	
LINK	02E	PL B535 43	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
TESHIMA	02	JPG 28 1391	T. Teshima, I. Kitamura, N. Morisita	
ABELE	01	EPJ C19 667	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
CHERRY	01	NP A688 823	S.N. Cherry, M.R. Pennington	
DEANDREA	01	PL B502 79	A. Deandrea <i>et al.</i>	
FAZIO	01	PL B521 15	F. De Fazio, M.R. Pennington	
GOKALP	01	PR D64 053017	A. Gokalp, O. Yilmaz	
NARISON	01C	NPBPS 96 244	S. Narison	
XIAO	01	NP A695 273	Z. Xiao, H. Zheng	
ACHASOV	00H	PL B485 349	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
ALFORD	00	NP B578 367	M. Alford, R.L. Jaffe	
BLACK	00B	PR D61 074030	D. Black, A. Fariborz, J. Schechter	
FANG	00	NP A671 416	Fang Shi <i>et al.</i>	
JAMIN	00	NP B587 331	M. Jamin <i>et al.</i>	
MONTANET	00	NPBPS 86 381	L. Montanet	
ABREU	99J	PL B449 364	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
BLACK	99	PR D59 074026	D. Black <i>et al.</i>	
DELBOURGO	99	PL B446 332	R. Delbourgo, D. Liu, M. Scadron	
IGI	99	PR D59 034005	K. Igi, K. Hikasa	
LUCIO	99	PL B454 365	J.L. Lucio, M. Napsuciale	
MINKOWSKI	99	EPJ C9 283	P. Minkowski, W. Ochs	

SCADRON	99	EPJ C6 141	M. Scadron	
TAKAMATSU	99	PAN 62 435	K. Takamatsu	
ABELE	98	PR D57 3860	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98A	EPJ C5 411	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98Q	EPJ C4 19	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ANISOVICH	98	PL B437 209	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
DELBOURGO	98	IJMP A13 657	R. Delbourgo <i>et al.</i>	
OLLER	98	PRL 80 3452	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	97	PL B395 123	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	(PNPI)
ANISOVICH	97B	ZPHY A357 123	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI)
ANISOVICH	97C	PL B413 137	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
ANISOVICH	97D	ZPHY A359 173	A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
CLOSE	97B	PR D55 5749	F. Close <i>et al.</i>	(RAL, RUTG, BEIJT)
ISHIDA	97B	PTP 98 621	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	
KAMINSKI	97	ZPHY C74 79	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, K. Rybicki	(CRAC)
MALTMAN	97	PL B393 19	K. Maltman, C.E. Wolfe	(YORKC)
OLLER	97	NP A620 438	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>	(VALE)
SVEC	97	PR D55 4355	M. Svec	
SVEC	97B	PR D55 5727	M. Svec	(MCGI)
ABELE	96	PL B380 453	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	96	PR D53 295	C. Amsler, F.E. Close	(ZURI, RAL)
BIJNENS	96	PL B374 210	J. Bijnens <i>et al.</i>	(NORD, BERN, WIEN+)
BONUTTI	96	PRL 77 603	F. Bonutti <i>et al.</i>	(TRSTI, TRSTT, TRIU)
BUGG	96	NP B471 59	D.V. Bugg, A.V. Sarantsev, B.S. Zou	(LOQM, PNPI)
HARADA	96	PR D54 1991	M. Harasa <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA)
ISHIDA	96	PTP 95 745	S. Ishida <i>et al.</i>	(TOKY, MIYA, KEK)
AMSLER	95C	PL B353 571	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER	95F	PL B358 389	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANTINORI	95	PL B353 589	F. Antinori <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BUGG	95	PL B353 378	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, PNPI, WASH)
GASPERO	95	NP A588 861	M. Gaspero	(ROMA)
TORNQVIST	95	ZPHY C68 647	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
AMSLER	94	PL B322 431	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG	94	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM)
ADAMO	93	NP A558 13C	A. Adamo <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
GASPERO	93	NP A562 407	M. Gaspero	(ROMAI)
MORGAN	93	PR D48 1185	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
Also	93C	NC A Conf. Suppl.	D. Morgan	(RAL)
BOLTON	92B	PRL 69 1328	T. Bolton <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
SVEC	92	PR D45 55	M. Svec, A. de Lesquen, L. van Rossum	(MCGI+)
SVEC	92B	PR D45 1518	M. Svec, A. de Lesquen, L. van Rossum	(MCGI+)
SVEC	92C	PR D46 949	M. Svec, A. de Lesquen, L. van Rossum	(MCGI+)
RIGGENBACH	91	PR D43 127	C. Riggenschbach <i>et al.</i>	(BERN, CERN, MASA)
BAI	90C	PRL 65 2507	Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
WEINSTEIN	90	PR D41 2236	J. Weinstein, N. Isgur	(TNTO)
WEINSTEIN	89	UTPT 89 03	J. Weinstein, N. Isgur	(TNTO)
ASTON	88D	NP B301 525	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
LONGACRE	86	PL B177 223	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, BRAN, CUNY+)
ACHASOV	84	ZPHY C22 53	N.N. Achasov, S.A. Devyanin, G.N. Shestakov	(NOVM)
GASSER	84	ANP 158 142	J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler	
BINON	83	NC 78A 313	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP+)
TORNQVIST	82	PRL 49 624	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
COSTA	80	NP B175 402	G. Costa <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BECKER	79B	NP B150 301	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
NAGELS	79	PR D20 1633	M.M. Nagels, T.A. Rijken, J.J. de Swart	(NIJM)
POLYCHRO...	79	PR D19 1317	V.A. Polychronakos <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL) IJP
CORDEN	78	NP B144 253	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+)
JAFFE	77	PR D15 267,281	R. Jaffe	(MIT)
FLATTE	76	PL 63B 224	S.M. Flatte	(CERN)
WETZEL	76	NP B115 208	W. Wetzel <i>et al.</i>	(ETH, CERN, LOIC)
DEFOIX	72	NP B44 125	C. Defoix <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN)