

$\Sigma(1770) P_{11}$

$$I(J^P) = 1(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } *$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

Evidence for this state now rests solely on solution 1 of BAILLON 75, (see the footnotes) but the $\Lambda\pi$ partial-wave amplitudes of this solution are in disagreement with amplitudes from most other $\Lambda\pi$ analyses.

$\Sigma(1770)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
≈ 1770 OUR ESTIMATE			
1738 \pm 10	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1770 \pm 20	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
1772	3 KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

$\Sigma(1770)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
72 \pm 10	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
80 \pm 30	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$
80	3 KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

$\Sigma(1770)$ DECAY MODES

Mode
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$
Γ_2 $\Lambda\pi$
Γ_3 $\Sigma\pi$

$\Sigma(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.14 \pm 0.04	1 GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.08 \pm 0.02	2 BAILLON	75	IPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Lambda\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Sigma(1770) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$				$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
< 0.04	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
-0.108	³ KANE	72	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$	

$\Sigma(1770)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ Required to fit the isospin-1 total cross section of CARROLL 76 in the $\bar{K}N$ channel. The addition of new $K^- p$ polarization and $K^- n$ differential cross-section data in GOPAL 80 find it to be more consistent with the $\Sigma(1660) P_{11}$.

² From solution 1 of BAILLON 75; not present in solution 2.

³ Not required in KANE 74, which supersedes KANE 72.

$\Sigma(1770)$ REFERENCES

GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL)
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
CARROLL	76	PRL 37 806	A.S. Carroll <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I
BAILLON	75	NP B94 39	P.H. Baillon, P.J. Litchfield	(CERN, RHEL) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
KANE	72	PR D5 1583	D.F.J. Kane	(LBL)