

$N(1710)$ P_{11} $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

 $N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1680 to 1740 (≈ 1710) OUR ESTIMATE			
1717 \pm 28	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1700 \pm 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1723 \pm 9	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1752 \pm 3	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
1699 \pm 65	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
1720 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1766 \pm 34	¹ BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1706	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1692	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1730	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690	BAKER 79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
1650 to 1680	BAKER 78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1721	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1625 \pm 10	² BAKER 77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1650	² BAKER 77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1720	³ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1670	KNASEL 75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1710	⁴ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $N(1710)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
50 to 250 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE			
480 \pm 230	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
93 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
120 \pm 15	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
386 \pm 59	PENNER 02C	DPWA	Multichannel
143 \pm 100	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel
105 \pm 10	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
185 \pm 61	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
540	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
200	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
550	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

97	BAKER	79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
90 to 150	BAKER	78	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
167	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
160± 6	² BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
95	² BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
120	³ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
174	KNASEL	75	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
75	⁴ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1710) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1670 to 1770 (≈ 1720) OUR ESTIMATE				
1770	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1690	⁵ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1698	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1679	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1636	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1708 or 1712	⁶ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1720 or 1711	³ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
80 to 380 (≈ 230) OUR ESTIMATE				
378	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
200	⁵ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
88	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
80±20	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
132	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
544	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
17 or 22	⁶ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
123 or 115	³ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

N(1710) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS | r |

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
37	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
15	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
9	CUTKOSKY	90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8±2	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
149	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

<i>VALUE</i> ($^{\circ}$)	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>
-167	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-167	CUTKOSKY 90	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
175±35	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
149	ARNDT 91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

 $N(1710)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\pi$	10–20 %
$\Gamma_2 N\eta$	(6.2 ± 1.0) %
$\Gamma_3 N\omega$	(13.0 ± 2.0) %
$\Gamma_4 \Lambda K$	5–25 %
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma K$	
$\Gamma_6 N\pi\pi$	40–90 %
$\Gamma_7 \Delta\pi$	15–40 %
$\Gamma_8 \Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_9 N\rho$	5–25 %
$\Gamma_{10} N\rho$, <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{11} N\rho$, <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_{12} N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	10–40 %
$\Gamma_{13} p\gamma$	0.002–0.05%
$\Gamma_{14} p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.002–0.05%
$\Gamma_{15} n\gamma$	0.0–0.02%
$\Gamma_{16} n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.02%

 $N(1710)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

<i>VALUE</i>	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	Γ_1/Γ
0.10 to 0.20 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.09±0.04	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$	
0.20±0.04	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.12±0.04	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.14±0.08	PENNER 02c	DPWA	Multichannel	
0.27±0.13	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel	
0.08±0.14	BATINIC 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$, $N\eta$	

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_2/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.062 ± 0.010 OUR NEW AVERAGE	[0.060 ± 0.010 OUR 2002 AVERAGE]		
0.36 ± 0.11	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.06 ± 0.01	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.16 ± 0.10	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\eta$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.22	BAKER	79	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$
+0.383	FELTESSE	75	DPWA Soln A; see BAKER 79

 $\Gamma(N\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.13 ± 0.02	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
+0.12 to +0.18 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.16	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.14	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.12	7 BAKER	78	DPWA See SAXON 80
-0.05 ± 0.03	2 BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.10	2 BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
0.10	KNASEL	75	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

 $\Gamma(\Lambda K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 ± 0.02	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel
0.1 ± 0.1	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel

 $\Gamma(\Sigma K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.07 ± 0.07	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

 $(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.034	LIVANOS	80	DPWA $\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
0.075 to 0.203	8 DEANS	75	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
± 0.16 to ± 0.22 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.21 ± 0.04	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
-0.17	³ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.20	⁴ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)\pi, P\text{-wave}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8 / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.39 ± 0.08	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10})^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
± 0.09 to ± 0.19 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.05 ± 0.06	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
+0.19	³ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.20	⁴ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$\Gamma(N\rho, S=1/2, P\text{-wave}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.17 ± 0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N\rho, S=3/2, P\text{-wave} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.31	³ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{l=0} \quad (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{12})^{1/2} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
± 0.14 to ± 0.22 OUR ESTIMATE			
+0.04 ± 0.05	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
-0.26	³ LONGACRE 77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.28	⁴ LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$$\Gamma(N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{l=0}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{12} / \Gamma$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.01 ± 0.01	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel

N(1710) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$N(1710) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.009±0.022 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.007±0.015	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.006±0.018	CRAWFORD 83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.028±0.009	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.009±0.006	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.012±0.005	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.015±0.025	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.044	PENNER 02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.037±0.002	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.001±0.039	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
+0.053±0.019	FELLER 76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1710) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.002±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.002±0.015	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.000±0.018	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.001±0.003	FUJII 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.005±0.013	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.011±0.021	ARAI 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.017±0.020	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.024	PENNER 02D	DPWA	Multichannel
0.052±0.003	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.028±0.045	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1710) \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (M_1 - amplitude)

VALUE (units 10 $^{-3}$)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
-10.6 ± 0.4	WORKMAN 90	DPWA
- 7.21	TANABE 89	DPWA

$p\gamma \rightarrow N(1710) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (M_1 - amplitude)

VALUE (degrees)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
215 ± 3	WORKMAN 90	DPWA
176.3	TANABE 89	DPWA

N(1710) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ BATINIC 95 finds a second state with a 6 MeV mass difference.
² The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.
³ LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
⁴ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
⁵ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
⁶ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
⁷ The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.
⁸ The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.
-

N(1710) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

PENNER	02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER	02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(BOSK, UCLA)
Also	98	PR C57 1004 (erratum)	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CUTKOSKY	90	PR D42 235	R.E. Cutkosky, S. Wang	(CMU)
WORKMAN	90	PR C42 781	R.L. Workman	(VPI)
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	H. Tanabe, M. Kohno, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	M. Kohno, H. Tanabe, C. Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93	I. Arai	(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	I. Arai, H. Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP

FELLER	76	NP B104 219	P. Feller <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
FELTESSE	75	NP B93 242	J. Feltesse <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
KNASEL	75	PR D11 1	T.M. Knasel <i>et al.</i>	(CHIC, WUSL, OSU+) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
