

$\Delta(1930)$ D_{35} $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various analyses are not in good agreement.

 $\Delta(1930)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1920 to 1970 (≈ 1930) OUR ESTIMATE			
1956 \pm 22	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
1940 \pm 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1901 \pm 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1932 \pm 100	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
1955 \pm 15	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
2056	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1963	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$1910.0^{+15.0}_{-17.2}$	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
2000	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
2024	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $\Delta(1930)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
250 to 450 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE			
530 \pm 140	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$
320 \pm 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
195 \pm 60	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
316 \pm 237	VRANA	00	DPWA Multichannel
350 \pm 20	ARNDT	96	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
590	ARNDT	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
260	LI	93	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$74.8^{+17.0}_{-16.0}$	CHEW	80	BPWA $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$
442	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
462	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$\Delta(1930)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1840 to 1940 (≈ 1890) OUR ESTIMATE				
1913	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
1850	¹ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1890 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1883	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
2018	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$-2 \times$ IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
200 to 300 (≈ 250) OUR ESTIMATE				
246	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
180	¹ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
260 ± 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
250	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
398	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1930)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
8	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
20	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
18 ± 6	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
15	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-47	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$
-20 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-24	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90

$\Delta(1930)$ DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	10–20 %
Γ_2 ΣK	
Γ_3 $N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4 $N\gamma$	0.0–0.02 %
Γ_5 $N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.01 %
Γ_6 $N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.0–0.01 %

$\Delta(1930)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
0.1 to 0.2 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.18 \pm 0.02	MANLEY 92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N & N\pi\pi$	
0.14 \pm 0.04	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.04 \pm 0.03	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.09 \pm 0.08	VRANA 00	DPWA	Multichannel	
0.11	ARNDT 95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi$	
0.11	CHEW 80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1930) \rightarrow \Sigma K$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
< 0.015	CANDLIN 84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.031	LIVANOS 80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$	
0.018 to 0.035	² DEANS 75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow \Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
not seen	LONGACRE 75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$	

$\Delta(1930)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
-0.009 \pm 0.028 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.007 \pm 0.010	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
0.009 \pm 0.009	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
-0.030 \pm 0.047	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.019 \pm 0.001	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
-0.062 \pm 0.064	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	

$\Delta(1930) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV$^{-1/2}$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
-0.018 \pm 0.028 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.005 \pm 0.010	ARNDT 96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
-0.025 \pm 0.011	AWAJI 81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
-0.033 \pm 0.060	CRAWFORD 80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.009 \pm 0.001	LI 93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	
+0.019 \pm 0.054	BARBOUR 78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$	

Δ(1930) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
² The range given for DEANS 75 is from the four best solutions.

Δ(1930) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	D.M. Manley <i>et al.</i>	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin <i>et al.</i>	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
PDG	82	PL 111B	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	K. Fujii <i>et al.</i>	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107	R.L. Crawford	(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos <i>et al.</i>	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	I.M. Barbour, R.L. Crawford, N.H. Parsons	(GLAS)
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	S.R. Deans <i>et al.</i>	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	R.S. Longacre <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
