Citation: K. Hagiwara et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

$$
K^0 \t\t\t | (J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^-)
$$

K^0 MASS

$m_{K^0} - m_{K^{\pm}}$

$$
\left|m_{K^0}-m_{\overline{K}{}^0}\right| / m_{\text{average}}
$$

A test of CPT invariance.

VALUE CL[%] DOCUMENT ID

 $<$ 10⁻¹⁸ (CL = 90%) OUR EVALUATION

K^0 MEAN SQUARE CHARGE RADIUS

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 1 Created: 6/13/2002 12:59

T-VIOLATION PARAMETER IN K^0 - \overline{K} ⁰ mixing

The asymmetry $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ = $\frac{\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0)-\Gamma(K^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^0)}{\Gamma(\overline{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0)+\Gamma(K^0 \rightarrow \overline{K}^0)}$ must vanish if T invariance holds.

ASYMMETRY A_T **IN** K^0 **-** \overline{K}^0 **MIXING**
VALUE (units 10⁻³) EVTS DOCUMER VALUE (units 10^{-3}) EVTS DOCUMENT ID TECN **6.6** \pm **1.3** \pm **1.0** 640k ¹ ANGELOPO... 98E CPLR 1 ANGELOPOULOS 98E measures the asymmetry $A_{\mathcal{T}} = [\Gamma(\overline{K}^0_{t=0} \rightarrow e^+ \pi^- \nu_{t=\tau})$ $\Gamma(K_{t=0}^{0} \rightarrow e^{-} \pi^{+} \overline{\nu}_{t=\tau})]/[\Gamma(\overline{K}_{t=0}^{0} \rightarrow e^{+} \pi^{-} \nu_{t=\tau}) + \Gamma(K_{t=0}^{0} \rightarrow e^{-} \pi^{+} \overline{\nu}_{t=\tau})]$
as a function of the neutral-kaon eigentime τ . The initial strangeness of the neutral kaon is tagged by the charge of the accompanying charged kaon in the reactions $p\overline{p} \rightarrow$ $K^-\pi^+ K^0$ and $p\overline{p} \to K^+\pi^-\overline{K}{}^0$. The strangeness at the time of the decay is tagged by the lepton charge. The reported result is the average value of A_T over the interval $1\tau_s <$ $\tau < 20\tau_{\bm{s}}$. From this value of $A_{\bm{\mathcal{T}}}$ ANGELOPOULOS 01B, assuming CPT invariance in the e $\pi \nu$ decay amplitude, determine the T-violating as $\Delta S=\Delta S$ conserving parameter (for its definition, see Review below) $4\text{Re}(\epsilon) = (6.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$.

CPT **INVARIANCE TESTS IN NEUTRAL KAON DECAY**

Revised 2002 by P. Bloch (CERN).

The time evolution of a neutral kaon state state is described by

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\Psi = -i\Lambda\Psi \ , \qquad \Lambda \equiv M - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma \tag{1}
$$

where M and Γ are Hermitian 2×2 matrices known as the mass and decay matrices. The corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_{L,S}$ = $m_{L,S} - \frac{i}{2}\gamma_{L,S}$. CPT invariance requires the diagonal elements of Λ to be equal. The *CPT*-violation complex parameter δ is defined as

$$
\delta = \frac{\Lambda_{\overline{K}^0 \overline{K}^0} - \Lambda_{K^0 K^0}}{2(\lambda_L - \lambda_S)}
$$

= $\delta_{\parallel} \exp(i\phi_{SW}) + \delta_{\perp} \exp(i(\phi_{SW} + \frac{\pi}{2}))$ (2)

where we have introduced the projections δ_{\parallel} and δ_{\perp} respectively parallel and perpendicular to the superweak direction ϕ_{SW} =

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 2 Created: 6/13/2002 12:59

 $\tan^{-1}(2\Delta m/\Delta \gamma)$. These projections are linked to the mass and width difference between K^0 and \overline{K}^0 :

$$
\delta_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\gamma_{K^0} - \gamma_{\overline{K}^0}}{\sqrt{\Delta m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta \gamma}{2}\right)^2}} \ , \ \delta_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}}{\sqrt{\Delta m^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta \gamma}{2}\right)^2}} \ . \tag{3}
$$

 $\text{Re}(\delta)$ can be directly measured by studying the time evolution of the strangeness content of initially pure K^0 and \overline{K}^0 states, for example through the asymmetry

$$
A_{CPT} = \frac{P[\overline{K}^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0}(t)] - P[K^{0} \to K^{0}(t)]}{P[\overline{K}^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0}(t)] + P[K^{0} \to K^{0}(t)]} = 4\text{Re}(\delta)
$$
 (4)

where $P[a \rightarrow b(t)]$ is the probability that the pure initial state a is seen as state b at proper time t . This method has been used by tagging the initial strangeness with strong interactions and the final strangeness with the semileptonic decay (a more appropriate combination of semileptonic rates allows to be independent of any direct CPT violation in the decay itself) and yields today's best value of $\text{Re}(\delta)$, compatible with zero with an error of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$.

As an alternative it has been proposed to compare the semileptonic charge asymmetries for K_L and K_S

$$
\delta_{L,S} = \frac{R(K_{L,S} \to \pi^{-}\ell^{+}\nu) - R(K_{L,S} \to \pi^{+}\ell^{-}\overline{\nu})}{R(K_{L,S} \to \pi^{-}\ell^{+}\nu) + R(K_{L,S} \to \pi^{+}\ell^{-}\overline{\nu})},
$$

$$
\delta_{S} - \delta_{L} = 4\text{Re}(\delta).
$$
 (5)

 δ_L has been accurately measured and δ_S should be measured in the near future with tagged K_S at ϕ factories. Note however

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 3 Created: 6/13/2002 12:59

Figure 1: CP- and CPT-violation parameters in 2π decay.

that Eq. (5) assumes CPT invariance in the $\Delta S = -\Delta Q$ semileptonic decay amplitude.

 δ_{\perp} can be obtained from the measurement of the $\pi\pi$ decays CP-violation parameters η_{+-} and η_{00} . Figure 1 shows the various contributions to $\eta_{\pi\pi}$ [1]. The T-violation parameter ϵ_T

$$
\epsilon_T = i \frac{|\Lambda_{K^0 \overline{K}^0}|^2 - |\Lambda_{\overline{K}^0 K^0}|^2}{\Delta \gamma (\lambda_L - \lambda_S)}
$$
(6)

has been defined in such a way that it is exactly aligned along the superweak direction $\left[\ddagger\right]$. A_I (resp. B_I) is the CPT-conserving (resp. violating) decay amplitude for the $\pi\pi$ Isospin I state, ε' is the direct CP/CPT -violation parameter $[\varepsilon'=1/3(\eta_{+-}-\eta_{00})]$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 4 Created: 6/13/2002 12:59

and $\delta\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ $\left[\varphi_{\Gamma} - \arg(A_0^*\overline{A}_0)\right]$ is the phase difference between the $I = 0$ component of the decay amplitude and the matrix element $\Gamma_{K^0\overline K^0}$. From Fig. 1 one obtains

$$
\delta_{\perp} = |\eta_{+-}| (\phi_{SW} - \frac{2}{3}\phi_{+-} - \frac{1}{3}\phi_{00})
$$

$$
- \frac{\text{Re}(B_0)}{\text{Re}(A_0)} \sin(\phi_{SW}) + \delta\phi \cos(\phi_{SW}) . \tag{7}
$$

The present accuracy on the term $|\eta_{+-}|(\phi_{SW}-\frac{2}{3}\phi_{+-}-\frac{1}{3}\phi_{00})$ is 2.6×10^{-5} . $\delta\phi$ gets contributions from CP violation in semileptonic and 3π decays [2,3] and can only be neglected at the present time if one assumes that η_{000} is not significantly larger than η_{+-0} . Furthermore, B_0 is not directly measured, so additional assumptions (for example, CPT conservation in the decay which implies $B_0 = 0$ or a combination with other measurements are necessary to obtain δ_{\perp} .

If one assumes unitarity, one can measure $\text{Im}(\delta)$ using the Bell-Steinberger relation which relates K_S and K_L decay amplitudes into all final states f:

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\epsilon_T) - i \operatorname{Im}(\delta) = \frac{1}{2(i\Delta m + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_L + \gamma_S))} \times \sum A_{f_L} A_{f_S}^* .
$$
 (8)

Since the $\pi\pi$ amplitudes dominate, the result relies also strongly on the $\phi_{\pi\pi}$ phase measurements. The advantage is that B_0 does not enter. Using all available data, one obtains a value of $\text{Im}(\delta)$ compatible with zero with a precision of 5×10^{-5} . The precision here is also limited by the poor measurement of η_{000} .

The results on $\text{Re}(\delta)$ and $\text{Im}(\delta)$ can be combined to obtain δ_{\parallel} and δ_{\perp} and therefore the $K^0-\overline{K}^0$ mass and width difference shown in Fig. 2. The current accuracy is a few 10^{-18} GeV for both.

Figure 2: K^0 – \overline{K}^0 mass vs width difference.

If one assumes that CPT is conserved in the decays (γ_{K^0} = $\gamma_{\overline{K}}$ ⁰, $\delta_{\parallel} = 0$, $B_I = 0$), the phase of δ is known, and the δ_{\perp} and Bell-Steinberger methods are identical. Assuming in addition $\eta_{+-0} = \eta_{000}$, one in this case obtains a limit for $|m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0}|$ of 4.4×10^{-19} GeV (90%CL).

Footnotes and References

- $[$ ‡] Many authors have a different definition of the T-violation parameter, $\epsilon = (\Lambda_{\overline{K}^0 K^0} - \Lambda_{K^0 \overline{K}^0})/(2(\lambda_L - \lambda_S))$. ϵ is not exactly aligned with the superweak direction. The two definitions can be related through $\epsilon = \epsilon_T + i\delta\phi$.
- 1. See for instance, C.D. Buchanan et al., Phys. Rev. **D45**, 4088 (1992). See also the Second Daphne Handbook, Ed. L.Maiani et al., INFN Frascati (1995).

- 2. V.V. Barmin et al., Nucl. Phys. **B247**, 293 (1984).
- 3. L. Lavoura, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A7**, 1367 (1992).

CPT-VIOLATION PARAMETERS IN K^0 - $\overline{K}{}^0$ mixing

If CP -violating interactions include a T conserving part then

$$
\begin{aligned} \big| \mathcal{K}_{S} \big\rangle &= [\big| \mathcal{K}_{1} \big\rangle + (\epsilon + \delta) \big| \mathcal{K}_{2} \big\rangle] / \sqrt{1 + |\epsilon + \delta|^{2}} \\ \big| \mathcal{K}_{L} \big\rangle &= [\big| \mathcal{K}_{2} \big\rangle + (\epsilon - \delta) \big| \mathcal{K}_{1} \big\rangle] / \sqrt{1 + |\epsilon - \delta|^{2}} \end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{c} \left| \kappa_1 \right\rangle = [\left| \kappa^0 \right\rangle + \left| \overline{\kappa}^0 \right\rangle]/\sqrt{2} \\ \left| \kappa_2 \right\rangle = [\left| \kappa^0 \right\rangle - \left| \overline{\kappa}^0 \right\rangle]/\sqrt{2} \end{array}
$$

and

$$
|\overline{K}^0\rangle = \mathit{CP}|K^0\rangle.
$$

The parameter δ specifies the CPT-violating part.

Estimates of δ are given below assuming the validity of the $\Delta S=\Delta Q$ rule. See also THOMSON 95 for a test of CPT-symmetry conservation in K^0 decays using the Bell-Steinberger relation.

REAL PART OF δ

A nonzero value violates CPT invariance.

² If $\Delta S=\Delta Q$ is not assumed, ANGELOPOULOS 98F finds Re δ = (3.0 ± 3.3 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴. 3 DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

IMAGINARY PART OF δ

If $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ is not assumed, ANGELOPOULOS 98F finds $\text{Im}\delta = (-15 \pm 23 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$. 5 DEMIDOV 95 reanalyzes data from HART 73 and NIEBERGALL 74.

K^0 REFERENCES

Citation: K. Hagiwara et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 8 Created: 6/13/2002 12:59