$$f_0(980)$$

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{+}(0^{+})$$

See also the minireview on scalar mesons under $f_0(600)$. (See the index for the page number.)

f₀(980) MASS

VA	LUE (N	/leV)		EVTS		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
	980	±10	OUR E	STIMATE					
•	• • W	/e do n	ot use th	ne following o	dat	a for averages, f	its, l	imits, et	C. ● ● ●
	977	\pm 3	± 2	848	1	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
	969.8	3± 4.5	5	419	2	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	985	$^{+16}_{-12}$		419	3,4	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	976	± 5	± 6		5	AKHMETSHIN	99 B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
	977	\pm 3	± 6	268	5	AKHMETSHIN	99 C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	975	\pm 4	± 6		6	AKHMETSHIN	99 C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
	975	± 4	±6		7	AKHMETSHIN	99 C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma,$ $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
	985	± 10				BARBERIS	99	OMEG	$450 \ p p \rightarrow$
	000						00-		p _s p _f K ⁺ K ⁻
	982	± 3				BARBERIS	99 B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow$ $p \ pc \pi^+ \pi^-$
	982	\pm 3				BARBERIS	99 C	OMEG	$P_{s}P_{f} \wedge \Lambda$ 450 pp \rightarrow
					_				$p_s p_f \pi^0 \pi^0$
	987	± 6	± 6		8	BARBERIS	99 D	OMEG	$450 pp \rightarrow K^+ K^-,$
	989	± 15				BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
	991	\pm 3			9	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}, \sigma \sigma$
\sim	980				9	OLLER	99	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$
\sim	993.5	5				OLLER	99 B	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$
\sim	987				9	OLLER	99 C	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$, $\eta \eta$
	957	\pm 6			10	ACKERSTAFF	98Q	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow f_0 X$
	960	± 10				ALDE	98	GAM4	-
	1015	± 15			9	ANISOVICH	98 B	RVUE	Compilation
	1008				11	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi\pi ightarrow~\pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$
	955	± 10			10	ALDE	97	GAM2	450 $pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
	994	\pm 9			12	BERTIN	9 7C	OBLX	$0.0 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
	993.2	2 ± 6.5	5 ± 6.9		13	ISHIDA	96	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$
	1006					TORNQVIST	96	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, \ K \overline{K}, \ K \pi,$
	997	+ 5		3k	14	ALDE	9 5B	GAM2	$\frac{\eta \pi}{38 \pi^- p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 p$
	960	± 10		10k	15	ALDE	95B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
	994	+ 5		2011		AMSLER	95B	CBAR	$0.0 \overline{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
\sim	996	ŦĴ			16	AMSLER	95D	CBAR	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	087	+ 6			17		05	RVUE	π · $\eta\eta$, π · π · η
	1015	_ U				JANSSEN	95 95	RVUE	$\pi\pi ightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$

983		18	BUGG	94	RVUE	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \eta 2\pi^0$
973	± 2	19	KAMINSKI	94	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$
988		20	ZOU	94 B	RVUE	
988	± 10	21	MORGAN	93	RVUE	$\pi\pi(\overline{K}K) \rightarrow$
						$\pi \pi (\overline{K} \overline{K}), J/\psi \rightarrow$
						$\phi \pi \pi (K \overline{K}), D_{s} \rightarrow$
						$\pi(\pi\pi)$
971.1	L± 4.0	10	AGUILAR	91	EHS	400 <i>pp</i>
979	± 4	22	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	300 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi$,
						ppKK
956	± 12	10	BREAKSTONE	90	SFM	$pp \rightarrow pp\pi^+\pi^-$
959.4	1± 6.5	10	AUGUSTIN	89	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^+ \pi^-$
978	\pm 9	10	ABACHI	86 B	HRS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-X$
985.0	$^{+9.0}_{-20.0}$		ETKIN	82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n2K_c^0$
074	- 39.0	22		01		+ - x
974	± 4	23	GIDAL	81		$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X$
975		22	ACHASOV	80	RVUE	
986	± 10	~~	AGUILAR	78	HBC	$0.7 \overline{p}p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$
969	\pm 5	22	LEEPER	77	ASPK	$2-2.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow$
		~~				$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ n, K ⁺ K ⁻ n
987	± 7	22	BINNIE	73	CNTR	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n MM$
1012	± 6	24	GRAYER	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
1007	± 20	24	HYAMS	73	ASPK	$17 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
997	± 6	24	PROTOPOP	73	HBC	7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow$
						$\pi^+ \rho \pi^+ \pi^-$
1 -						

¹ Coupled-channel Breit-Wigner, couplings g_{π} =0.09±0.01±0.01, g_{K} =0.02±0.04±0.03. ² Supersedes ACHASOV 981. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.

³Supersedes ACHASOV 981. ⁴In the "narrow resonance" approximation.

⁵ Assuming $\Gamma(f_0) = 40$ MeV.

⁶ From a narrow pole fit taking into account $f_0(980)$ and $f_0(1200)$ intermediate mecha-7 From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$,

 $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\gamma$.

⁸Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B

⁹T-matrix pole.

¹⁰ From invariant mass fit. ¹¹ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (1039–93*i*) MeV. ¹² On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29i) MeV. ¹³ Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.

¹⁴ At high |t|.

¹⁵ At low |t|.

 16 On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at (953–55*i*) MeV and on sheet IV at (938–35*i*) MeV. ¹⁷ Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94, AMSLER 94D. ¹⁸ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (996–103*i*) MeV.

 $\frac{19}{22}$ From sheet II pole position.

 20 On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (797–185*i*) MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole. ²¹ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (978–28*i*) MeV. ²² From coupled channel analysis.

²³ Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections.
 ²⁴ Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

f₀(980) WIDTH

Width determination very model dependent. Peak width in $\pi\pi$ is about 50 MeV, but decay width can be much larger.

VALUE	(Me∖	/)			EVTS	-	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
40	to	100) OUR	ESTIN	ATE					
• • •	We	do n	not use	the fo	llowing	dat	a for averages, f	its, l	imits, et	C. ● ● ●
44	\pm	2	± 2		848	25	AITALA	01A	E791	$D_{s}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$
201	\pm	28			419	26	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
122	±	13			419 27	,28	ACHASOV	00н	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
56	\pm	20				29	AKHMETSHIN	99 C	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
65	\pm	20					BARBERIS	99	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow$
										р _s р _f К ⁺ К ⁻
80	±	10					BARBERIS	99 B	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow$
										$p_s p_f \pi^+ \pi^-$
80	±	10					BARBERIS	99 C	OMEG	450 $pp \rightarrow 0.0$
						30		~ ~	0.450	$p_s p_f \pi^0 \pi^0$
48	±	12	± 8			50	BARBERIS	99D	OMEG	$\begin{array}{rcl} 450 \ pp \rightarrow & K^{+} K^{-}, \\ & + & - \end{array}$
65	+	25					BELLAZZINI	99	GAM4	$450 pp \rightarrow pp \pi^0 \pi^0$
71		14				31	KAMINSKI	99	RVUE	$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \overline{K}, \sigma \sigma$
\sim 28						31	OLLER	99	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\overline{K}$
$\sim~25$						0.1	OLLER	99 B	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$
\sim 14						31	OLLER	99 C	RVUE	$\pi \pi ightarrow \pi \pi$, $K \overline{K}$, $\eta \eta$
70	±	20				21	ALDE	98	GAM4	
86	±	16				33	ANISOVICH	98B	RVUE	Compilation
54						32 32	LOCHER	98	RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$, KK
69	±	15				34	ALDE	97	GAM2	$450 \ pp \rightarrow pp\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$
38	±	20				35	BERTIN	970	OBLX	$0.0 \ pp \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
~ 100								90		$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, \kappa\kappa$
54								90	NVOL	$\eta \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, \pi \pi, \pi \pi, \pi \pi, \eta \pi$
48	±	10			3k	36	ALDE	95 B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
95	\pm	20			10k	37	ALDE	95 B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
26	\pm	10				~ ~	AMSLER	95 B	CBAR	$0.0 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
~ 112						38	AMSLER	95 D	CBAR	$0.0 \ \overline{p} p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0,$
		10				30		<u> </u>		$\pi^{0}\eta\eta, \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\eta$
80	±	12				55		95 0E	RVUE	K <u>K</u>
30 74						40		95		$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, \kappa \kappa$
20	+	2				41	RAMINSKI	94 04	RVUE	$pp \rightarrow \eta 2\pi$ $\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi K \overline{K}$
46	1	2				42	700	94B	RVUE	<i>KK / KK</i> , KK
48	\pm	12				43	MORGAN	93	RVUE	$\pi\pi(\overline{K}\overline{K}) \rightarrow$
-									-	$\pi\pi(\overline{K}\overline{K}), J/\psi \rightarrow$
										$\phi \pi \pi (K \overline{K}), D_{S} \rightarrow$
-			_			22				$\pi(\pi\pi)$
37	.4±	10.0	б			 	AGUILAR	91	EHS	400 <i>pp</i>
72	±	8				-1-4	ARMSTRONG	91	OMEG	$300 \ pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi,$
110	+	30					BREAKSTONE	90	SEM	p p n n $n n \rightarrow n n \pi^+ \pi^-$
110	-	50								~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

29	\pm 13	³³ ABACHI	868 HR	$S e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- X$
120	± 281 ± 20	ETKIN	828 MF	$PS 23 \ \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
28	\pm 10	⁴⁴ GIDAL	81 MF	$RK2 J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- X$
70	to 300	⁴⁵ ACHASOV	80 RV	UE
100	± 80	⁴⁶ AGUILAR	78 HB	$C 0.7 \ \overline{p}p \rightarrow \ K^0_S K^0_S$
30	± 8	⁴⁴ LEEPER	77 AS	PK 2-2.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow$
				$\pi^+\pi^-$ n, K^+K^- n
48	\pm 14	⁴⁴ BINNIE	73 CN	TR $\pi^- p \rightarrow n MM$
32	\pm 10	⁴⁷ GRAYER	73 AS	PK 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
30	\pm 10	⁴⁷ HYAMS	73 AS	PK 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
54	\pm 16	⁴⁷ PROTOPOP	73 HB	C 7 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow$
				$\pi^+ p \pi^+ \pi^-$

- ²⁵ Breit-Wigner width.
- ²⁶ Supersedes ACHASOV 981. Using the model of ACHASOV 89.
- ²⁷ Supersedes ACHASOV 981.
- ²⁸ In the "narrow resonance" approximation.
- ²⁹ From the combined fit of the photon spectra in the reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$. $\pi^{0}\pi^{0}\gamma$.
- ³⁰ Supersedes BARBERIS 99 and BARBERIS 99B
- ³¹ T-matrix pole.
- 32 On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (1039–93*i*) MeV.
- ³³ From invariant mass fit.
- 34 On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (963-29i) MeV. ³⁵ Reanalysis of data from HYAMS 73, GRAYER 74, SRINIVASAN 75, and ROSSELET 77 using the interfering amplitude method.
- 36 At high |t|.
- ³⁷ At low |t|.
- ³⁸ On sheet II in a 4-pole solution, the other poles are found on sheet III at (953-55i) MeV and on sheet IV at (938-35i) MeV.
- ³⁹ Combined fit of ALDE 95B, ANISOVICH 94, ⁴⁰ On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (996-103i) MeV.
- ⁴¹ From sheet II pole position.
- 42 On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (797-185i) MeV and can be interpreted as a shadow pole.
- 43 On sheet II in a 2 pole solution. The other pole is found on sheet III at (978–28*i*) MeV. ⁴⁴ From coupled channel analysis.
- 45 Coupled channel analysis with finite width corrections. 46 From coupled channel fit to the HYAMS 73 and PROTOPOPESCU 73 data. With a simultaneous fit to the $\pi\pi$ phase-shifts, inelasticity and to the $\mathcal{K}^0_{\mathcal{L}}\mathcal{K}^0_{\mathcal{L}}$ invariant mass.

⁴⁷ Included in AGUILAR-BENITEZ 78 fit.

fo(980) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ ₁ Γ ₂ Γ ₃ Γ ₄	$ \begin{array}{c} \pi \pi \\ K \overline{K} \\ \gamma \gamma \\ e^+ e^- \end{array} $	dominant seen

f₀(980) PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)$						Гз
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
$0.39^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ our avei	RAGE Erro	or includes scale f	factor	of 1.5. S	See the ideogram belo	w.
$0.28 \substack{+0.09 \\ -0.13}$		⁴⁸ BOGLIONE	99	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^0 \pi$	-0
0.63 ± 0.14 0.42 ± 0.06 ± 0.18	60	⁴⁹ MORGAN ⁵⁰ OEST	90 90	RVUE	$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^0 \gamma$	π ⁰ 0π0
• • • We do not use t	he following	g data for average	es, fits	s, limits,	etc. • • •	λ
$0.29\!\pm\!0.07\!\pm\!0.12$	51	⁵² BOYER	90	MRK2	$e^+e^+ \rightarrow$	
$0.31\!\pm\!0.14\!\pm\!0.09$	51	⁵² MARSISKE	90	CBAL	$e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$ $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-\pi^0$	$0_{\pi}0$
⁴⁸ Supersedes MORG ⁴⁹ From amplitude an parameters $m = 98$ ⁵⁰ OEST 90 quote sy ⁵¹ From analysis allow ⁵² Data included in M	AN 90. alysis of BO 39 MeV, Γ = stematic err ving arbitran 10RGAN 90	YER 90 and MAR = 61 MeV. fors $+0.08$ We fors -0.18 We for ry background un 0, BOGLIONE 99	RSISKI	E 90, dat 0.18. rained by rses.	a corresponds to reson / unitarity.	ance
WEIGHTED AVE 0.39+0.10-0.13 (RAGE Error scaled by	/ 1.5)				



 $\Gamma(\gamma \gamma)$ Γ_3

Γ(e ⁺ e ⁻)						Г4
VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
<8.4	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	

f₀(980) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/[\Gamma(\pi\pi)+\Gamma(K)]$	(K)]			ĺ	$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_2)$
VALUE	DOCUMENT IL)	TECN	COMMENT	
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following data for averag	es, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •	
$\sim 0.68 \\ 0.67 {\pm} 0.09$	OLLER ⁵³ LOVERRE	99в 80	RVUE HBC	$ \begin{array}{rcl} \pi\pi \to & \pi\pi \\ 4 & \pi^- p \to \end{array} $, K K n2K ⁰
$0.81\substack{+0.09\\-0.04}$	⁵³ CASON	78	STRC	$7 \pi^- p \rightarrow$	n2K ⁰ S
0.78 ± 0.03	⁵³ WETZEL	76	OSPK	8.9 $\pi^{-}p$ –	$\rightarrow n2K_S^0$
⁵³ Measure $\pi\pi$ elasticity only.	assuming two resonanc	es coup	oled to	the $\pi\pi$ and	$K\overline{K}$ channels

f₀(980) REFERENCES

AITALA 01A P ACHASOV 00H P AKHMETSHIN 99B P AKHMETSHIN 99C P BARBERIS 99B P BARBERIS 99B P BARBERIS 99C P BARBERIS 99D P BALAZZINI 99 P BOGLIONE 99	PRL 86 765 PL B485 349 PL B462 371 PL B462 380 PL B453 305 PL B453 316 PL B453 325 PL B463 462 PL B467 296 EPJ C9 11	 E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i> M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i> R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i> D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> D. Barberis <i>et al.</i> R. Bellazzini <i>et al.</i> M. Boglione, M.R. Penningtor 	(FNAL E791 Collab.) (Novosibirsk SND Collab.) (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.) (Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.) (Omega expt.) (Omega expt.) (Omega expt.) (Omega expt.)
KAMINSKI 99 E	EPJ C9 141	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, B. L	oiseau (CRAC, PARIN)
OLLER 99 P	PR D60 099906 (erratum)J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>	
OLLER 99B N	NP A652 407 (erratum)	J.A. Oller, E. Oset	
OLLER 99C P	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset	
ACHASOV 981 P	PL B440 442	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
ACKERSTAFF 98Q E	EPJ C4 19	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALDE 98 E	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also 99 P	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
	Iranslated from YAF 62	440.	
	DEN 41 419 DI CA 217	M.P. Locher et al.	(DSI)
ALDE 07 P	PI R307 350	D M Alde et al.	(CAMS Collab.)
BERTIN 07C P	E B397 330 PL B408 476	Δ Bertin et al	(OBELIX Collab.)
	2 D400 470 2 D 05 745	S Ishida et al	(TOKY MIXA KEK)
TORNOVIST 96 P	PRI 76 1575	N A Torngvist M Roos	(TORT, WITA, RER)
ALDE 95B 7	7PHY C66 375	DM Alde et al	(GAMS Collab.)
AMSLER 95B P	PI B342 433	C Amsler et al	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AMSLER 95D P	PL B355 425	C Amsler et al	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 95 P	PL B355 363	V.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, SERP)
JANSSEN 95 P	PR D52 2690	G. Janssen <i>et al.</i>	(STON, ADLD, JULI)
AMSLER 94D P	PL B333 277	C. Amsler et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ANISOVICH 94 P	PL B323 233	V.V. Anisovich et al.	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BUGG 94 P	PR D50 4412	D.V. Bugg et al.	(LOQM)
KAMINSKI 94 P	PR D50 3145	R. Kaminski, L. Lesniak, J.P.	Maillet (CRAC+)
ZOU 94B P	PR D50 591	B.S. Zou, D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
MORGAN 93 P	PR D48 1185	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
AGUILAR 91 Z	ZPHY C50 405	M. Aguilar-Benitez et al.	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
ARMSTRONG 91 Z	ZPHY C51 351	T.A. Armstrong et al.	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BOYER 90 P	PR D42 1350	J. Boyer <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)
BREAKSTONE 90 Z	ZPHY C48 569	A.M. Breakstone et al.	(ISU, BGNA, CERN+)
MARSISKE 90 P	PR D41 3324	H. Marsiske <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
MORGAN 90 Z	ZPHY C48 623	D. Morgan, M.R. Pennington	(RAL, DURH)
OEST 90 Z	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
ACHASOV 89 N	NP B315 465	N.N. Achasov, V.N. Ivanchenk	«O
AUGUSTIN 89 N	NP B320 1	J.E. Augustin, G. Cosme	(DM2 Collab.)
VUKUBYEV 88 S	JINP 48 2/3 Franciated from VAE 49	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)

ABACHI ETKIN GIDAL ACHASOV LOVERRE AGUILAR CASON LEEPER ROSSELET WETZEL SRINIVASAN GRAYER BINNIE GRAYER HYAMS PROTOPOP	86B 82B 81 80 78 77 77 76 75 74 73 73 73 73 73	PRL 57 1990 PR D25 1786 PL 107B 153 SJNP 32 566 Translated from YAF 32 ZPHY C6 187 NP B140 73 PRL 41 271 PR D16 2054 PR D15 574 NP B115 208 PR D12 681 NP B75 189 PRL 31 1534 Tallahassee NP B64 134 PR D7 1279	S. Abachi et al. A. Etkin et al. (I G. Gidal et al. N.N. Achasov, S.A. Devyanir 1098. P.F. Loverre et al. M. Aguilar-Benitez et al. N.M. Cason et al. R.J. Leeper et al. L. Rosselet et al. W. Wetzel et al. V. Srinivasan et al. G. Grayer et al. D.M. Binnie et al. B.D. Hyams et al. S.D. Protopopescu et al.	(PURD, ANL, IND, MICH+) BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND) (SLAC, LBL) n, G.N. Shestakov (NOVM) (CERN, CDEF, MADR+) IJP (MADR, BOMB+) (NDAM, ANL) (ISU) (GEVA, SACL) (ETH, CERN, LOIC) (NDAM, ANL) (CERN, MPIM) (LOIC, SHMP) (CERN, MPIM) (CERN, MPIM) (CERN, MPIM) (LBL)
	-	OTHER	RELATED PAPERS	
ACHASOV ANISOVICH CLOSE GOKALP SUROVTSEV BEVEREN Also MARKUSHIN WANG ABREU ANISOVICH BLACK DELBOURGO MARCO MINKOWSKI ACHASOV CHLIAPNIK	01F 01H 01 01 00 00 00A 99J 99D 99F 999 999 999 999 999 999 98G 98J 98	PR D63 094007 EPJ A12 103 PL B515 13 PR D64 053017 PR D63 054024 PL B509 365 (erratum) EPJ A8 389 PR D62 017503 PL B449 364 PL B452 180 NP A651 253 PL B467 289 PR D59 074026 PL B446 332 PL B470 20 EPJ C9 283 JETPL 67 464 SPU 41 1149 PL B423 401	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovic F.E. Close, A. Kirk A. Gokalp, O. Yilmaz Y.S. Surovtsev, D. Krupa, M E. van Beveren, G. Rupp, M V.E. Markushin Z. Wang P. Abreu <i>et al.</i> A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i> Black <i>et al.</i> R. Delbourgo, D. Liu, M. Sc E. Marco <i>et al.</i> P. Minkowski, W. Ochs N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> N.N. Achasov P.V. Chliapnikov, V.A. Uvaro	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.) ch, V.A. Nikonov I. Nagy I.D. Scadron I.D. Scadron (DELPHI Collab.) ch cadron
ACHASOV PROKOSHKIN	97E 97	IJMP A12 5019 SPD 42 117	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i> Y.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
AU AKESSON BEVEREN MENNESSIER BARBER ETKIN SRINIVASAN BIGI BINGHAM ERWIN WANG	87 86 83 82 82C 75 62 62 62 61	Translated from DANS 38 PR D35 1633 NP B264 154 ZPHY C30 615 ZPHY C16 241 ZPHY C12 1 PR D25 2446 PR D12 681 CERN Conf. 247 CERN Conf. 240 PRL 9 34 JETP 13 323 Translated from ZETF 40	53 323. K.L. Au, D. Morgan, M.R. F T. Akesson <i>et al.</i> E. van Beveren <i>et al.</i> G. Mennessier D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i> A. Etkin <i>et al.</i> (I V. Srinivasan <i>et al.</i> A. Bigi <i>et al.</i> H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i> A.R. Erwin <i>et al.</i> KC. Wang <i>et al.</i>) 464.	Pennington (DURH, RAL) (Axial Field Spec. Collab.) (NIJM, BIEL) (MONP) (DARE, LANC, SHEF) BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND) (NDAM, ANL) (CERN) (EPOL, CERN) (WISC, BNL) (JINR)