35. CROSS-SECTION FORMULAE FOR SPECIFIC PROCESSES

Revised October 2001 by R.N. Cahn (LBNL).

35.1. Leptoproduction

See section on Structure Functions (Sec. 36 of this *Review*).

35.2. e^+e^- annihilation

For pointlike, spin-1/2 fermions, the differential cross section in the c.m. for $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\overline{f}$ via single photon annihilation is (θ is the angle between the incident electron and the produced fermion; $N_c = 1$ if f is a lepton and $N_c = 3$ if f is a quark).

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = N_c \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \beta \left[1 + \cos^2 \theta + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \theta \right] Q_f^2 , \qquad (35.1)$$

where β is the velocity of the final state fermion in the c.m. and Q_f is the charge of the fermion in units of the proton charge. For $\beta \to 1$,

$$\sigma = N_c \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} Q_f^2 = N_c \frac{86.8Q_f^2 \ nb}{s(\text{GeV}/c^2)^2} \ . \tag{35.2}$$

At higher energies, the Z^0 (mass M_Z and width Γ_Z) must be included. If the mass of a fermion \underline{f} is much less than the mass of the Z^0 , then the differential cross section for $e^+e^- \to f\overline{f}$ is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = N_c \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \left\{ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \left[Q_f^2 - 2\chi_1 v_e v_f Q_f + \chi_2 (a_e^2 + v_e^2) (a_f^2 + v_f^2) \right] + 2\cos \theta \left[-2\chi_1 a_e a_f Q_f + 4\chi_2 a_e a_f v_e v_f \right] \right\}$$
(35.3)

where

$$\chi_{1} = \frac{1}{16 \sin^{2} \theta_{W} \cos^{2} \theta_{W}} \frac{s(s - M_{Z}^{2})}{(s - M_{Z}^{2})^{2} + M_{Z}^{2} \Gamma_{Z}^{2}},$$

$$\chi_{2} = \frac{1}{256 \sin^{4} \theta_{W} \cos^{4} \theta_{W}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - M_{Z}^{2})^{2} + M_{Z}^{2} \Gamma_{Z}^{2}},$$

$$a_{e} = -1,$$

$$v_{e} = -1 + 4 \sin^{2} \theta_{W},$$

$$a_{f} = 2T_{3f},$$

$$v_{f} = 2T_{3f} - 4Q_{f} \sin^{2} \theta_{W},$$
(35.4)

where $T_{3f} = 1/2$ for u, c and neutrinos, while $T_{3f} = -1/2$ for d, s, b, and negatively charged leptons.

2 35. Cross-section formulae for specific processes

At LEP II it may be possible to produce the orthodox Higgs boson, H, (see the mini-review on Higgs bosons) in the reaction $e^+e^- \to HZ^0$, which proceeds dominantly through a virtual Z^0 . The Standard Model prediction for the cross section [3] is

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to HZ^0) = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{24} \cdot \frac{2K}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{K^2 + 3M_Z^2}{(s - M_Z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W + 8\sin^4\theta_W}{\sin^4\theta_W \cos^4\theta_W} .$$
(35.5)

where K is the c.m. momentum of the produced H or Z^0 . Near the production threshold, this formula needs to be corrected for the finite width of the Z^0 .

35.3. Two-photon process at e^+e^- colliders

When an e^+ and an e^- collide with energies E_1 and E_2 , they emit dn_1 and dn_2 virtual photons with energies ω_1 and ω_2 and 4-momenta q_1 and q_2 . In the equivalent photon approximation, the cross section for $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-X$ is related to the cross section for $\gamma\gamma \to X$ by (Ref. 1)

$$d\sigma_{e^+e^- \to e^+e^- X}(s) = dn_1 \, dn_2 \, d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma \to X}(W^2) \tag{35.6}$$

where $s = 4E_1E_2$, $W^2 = 4\omega_1\omega_2$ and

$$dn_i = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[1 - \frac{\omega_i}{E_i} + \frac{\omega_i^2}{2E_i^2} - \frac{m_e^2 \omega_i^2}{(-q_i^2)E_i^2} \right] \frac{d\omega_i}{\omega_i} \frac{d(-q_i^2)}{(-q_i^2)} .$$
(35.7)

After integration (including that over q_i^2 in the region $m_e^2 \omega_i^2 / E_i(E_i - \omega_i) \leq -q_i^2 \leq (-q^2)_{\text{max}}$), the cross section is

$$\sigma_{e^+e^- \to e^+e^- X}(s) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_{z_{th}}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left[f(z) \left(\ln \frac{(-q^2)_{\max}}{m_e^2 z} - 1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\ln \frac{1}{z} \right)^3 \right] \sigma_{\gamma\gamma \to X}(zs) ;$$

$$f(z) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} z \right)^2 \ln \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - z) (3 + z) ;$$

$$z = \frac{W^2}{s} .$$
(35.8)

The quantity $(-q^2)_{\text{max}}$ depends on properties of the produced system X, in particular, $(-q^2)_{\text{max}} \sim m_{\rho}^2$ for hadron production (X = h) and $(-q^2)_{\text{max}} \sim W^2$ for lepton pair production $(X = \ell^+ \ell^-, \ell = e, \mu, \tau)$.

For production of a resonance of mass m_R and spin $J \neq 1$

$$\sigma_{e^+e^- \to e^+e^-R}(s) = (2J+1) \frac{8\alpha^2 \Gamma_{R \to \gamma\gamma}}{m_R^3} \times \left[f(m_R^2/s) \left(\ln \frac{sm_V^2}{m_e^2 m_R^2} - 1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\ln \frac{s}{m_R^2} \right)^3 \right]$$
(35.9)

where m_V is the mass that enters into the form factor of the $\gamma\gamma \to R$ transition: $m_V \sim m_\rho$ for $R = \pi^0, \eta, f_2(1270), \ldots, m_V \sim m_R$ for $R = c\overline{c}$ or $b\overline{b}$ resonances.

November 19, 2001 09:54

35.4. Inclusive hadronic reactions

One-particle inclusive cross sections $Ed^3\sigma/d^3p$ for the production of a particle of momentum p are conveniently expressed in terms of rapidity (see above) and the momentum p_T transverse to the beam direction (defined in the center-of-mass frame)

$$E\frac{d^3\sigma}{d^3p} = \frac{d^3\sigma}{d\phi\,dy\,p_T dp_T} \ . \tag{35.10}$$

In the case of processes where p_T is large or the mass of the produced particle is large (here large means greater than 10 GeV), the parton model can be used to calculate the rate. Symbolically

$$\sigma_{\text{hadronic}} = \sum_{ij} \int f_i(x_1, Q^2) f_j(x_2, Q^2) dx_1 dx_2 \,\widehat{\sigma}_{\text{partonic}} , \qquad (35.11)$$

where $f_i(x, Q^2)$ is the parton distribution introduced above and Q is a typical momentum transfer in the partonic process and $\hat{\sigma}$ is the partonic cross section. Some examples will help to clarify. The production of a W^+ in pp reactions at rapidity y in the center-of-mass frame is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy} = \frac{G_F \pi \sqrt{2}}{3} \times \tau \left[\cos^2 \theta_c \left(u(x_1 \ , \ M_W^2) \ \overline{d} \ (x_2, M_W^2) + u(x_2 \ , \ M_W^2) \ \overline{d} \ (x_1, M_W^2) \right) + \sin^2 \theta_c \left(u(x_1 \ , \ M_W^2) \ \overline{s} \ (x_2 \ , \ M_W^2) + s(x_2, M_W^2) \ \overline{u} \ (x_1, M_W^2) \right) \right],$$
(35.12)

where $x_1 = \sqrt{\tau} e^y$, $x_2 = \sqrt{\tau} e^{-y}$, and $\tau = M_W^2/s$. Similarly the production of a jet in pp (or $p\overline{p}$) collisions is given by

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{d^2p_T \, dy} = \sum_{\substack{ij \\ \times}} \int f_i(x_1 \ , \ p_T^2) \ f_j(x_2, p_T^2) \\ \times \left[\widehat{s} \ \frac{d\widehat{\sigma}}{d\widehat{t}} \right]_{ij} dx_1 \ dx_2 \ \delta(\widehat{s} + \widehat{t} + \widehat{u}) \ , \tag{35.13}$$

where the summation is over quarks, gluons, and antiquarks. Here

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 , (35.14)$$

$$t = (p_1 - p_{jet})^2$$
, (35.15)

$$u = (p_2 - p_{jet})^2$$
, (35.16)

November 19, 2001 09:54

4 35. Cross-section formulae for specific processes

 p_1 and p_2 are the momenta of the incoming p and p (or \overline{p}) and \hat{s} , \hat{t} , and \hat{u} are s, t, and u with $p_1 \to x_1 p_1$ and $p_2 \to x_2 p_2$. The partonic cross section $\hat{s}[(d\hat{\sigma})/(d\hat{t})]$ can be found in Ref. 2. Example: for the process $gg \to q\overline{q}$,

$$\hat{s} \, \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = 3\alpha_s^2 \, \frac{(\hat{t}^2 + \hat{u}^2)}{8\hat{s}} \, \left[\frac{4}{9\,\hat{t}\,\hat{u}} - \frac{1}{\hat{s}^2} \right] \,. \tag{35.17}$$

The prediction of Eq. (35.13) is compared to data from the UA1 and UA2 collaborations in Fig. 38.1 in the Plots of Cross Sections and Related Quantities section of this *Review*.

The associated production of a Higgs boson and a gauge boson is analogous to the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ in Sec. 35.2. The required parton-level cross sections [4], averaged over initial quark colors, are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(q_i \overline{q}_j \to W^{\pm} H) &= \frac{\pi \alpha^2 |V_{ij}|^2}{36 \sin^4 \theta_W} \cdot \frac{2K}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{K^2 + 3M_W^2}{(s - M_W^2)^2} \\ \sigma(q \overline{q} \to Z^0 H) &= \frac{\pi \alpha^2 (a_q^2 + v_q^2)}{144 \sin^4 \theta_W \cos^4 \theta_W} \cdot \frac{2K}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{K^2 + 3M_Z^2}{(s - M_Z^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Here V_{ij} is the appropriate element of the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix and K is the c.m. momentum of the produced H. The axial and vector couplings are defined as in Sec. 35.2.

35.5. One-particle inclusive distributions

In order to describe one-particle inclusive production in e^+e^- annihilation or deep inelastic scattering, it is convenient to introduce a fragmentation function $D_i^h(z,Q^2)$ where $D_i^h(z,Q^2)$ is the number of hadrons of type h and momentum between zp and (z + dz)p produced in the fragmentation of a parton of type i. The Q^2 evolution is predicted by QCD and is similar to that of the parton distribution functions [see section on Quantum Chromodynamics (Sec. 9 of this *Review*)]. The $D_i^h(z,Q^2)$ are normalized so that

$$\sum_{h} \int z D_i^h (z, Q^2) dz = 1 .$$
 (35.18)

If the contributions of the Z boson and three-jet events are neglected, the cross section for producing a hadron h in e^+e^- annihilation is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{had}}} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{\sum_{i} e_i^2 D_i^h (z, Q^2)}{\sum_{i} e_i^2} , \qquad (35.19)$$

where e_i is the charge of quark-type i, σ_{had} is the total hadronic cross section, and the momentum of the hadron is $zE_{cm}/2$.

November 19, 2001 09:54

35. Cross-section formulae for specific processes 5

In the case of deep inelastic muon scattering, the cross section for producing a hadron of energy E_h is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{\sum_{i} e_i^2 q_i(x, Q^2) D_i^h(z, Q^2)}{\sum_{i} e_i^2 q_i(x, Q^2)} , \qquad (35.20)$$

where $E_h = \nu z$. (For the kinematics of deep inelastic scattering, see Sec. 34.4.2 of the Kinematics section of this *Review*.) The fragmentation functions for light and heavy quarks have a different z dependence; the former peak near z = 0. They are illustrated in Figs. 37.5a and 37.5b in the section on "Fragmentation Functions in e^+e^- Annihilation" (Sec. 37 of this *Review*).

References:

1. V.M. Budnev, I.F. Ginzburg, G.V. Meledin, and V.G. Serbo, Phys. Reports 15C, 181 (1975);

See also S. Brodsky, T. Kinoshita, and H. Terazawa, Phys. Rev. D4, 1532 (1971).

- 2. G.F. Owens, F. Reya, and M. Glück, Phys. Rev. D18, 1501 (1978).
- 3. B.W. Lee, C. Quigg, and B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. **D16**, 1519 (1977).
- 4. E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 579 (1984).