Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

SEARCHES FOR QUARK AND LEPTON COMPOSITENESS

Revised 1999 by K. Hagiwara (KEK) and K. Hikasa (Tohoku Univ.).

If quarks and leptons are made of constituents, then at the scale of constituent binding energies, there should appear new interactions among quarks and leptons. At energies much below the compositeness scale (Λ) , these interactions are suppressed by inverse powers of Λ . The dominant effect should come from the lowest dimensional interactions with four fermions (contact terms), whose most general chirally invariant form reads [1]

$$L = \frac{g^2}{2\Lambda^2} \left[\eta_{LL} \, \overline{\psi}_L \, \gamma_\mu \, \psi_L \, \overline{\psi}_L \, \gamma^\mu \, \psi_L + \eta_{RR} \, \overline{\psi}_R \, \gamma_\mu \, \psi_R \, \overline{\psi}_R \, \gamma^\mu \, \psi_R \right]$$

$$+ 2 \eta_{LR} \, \overline{\psi}_L \, \gamma_\mu \, \psi_L \, \overline{\psi}_R \, \gamma^\mu \, \psi_R \right] . \tag{1}$$

Chiral invariance provides a natural explanation why quark and lepton masses are much smaller than their inverse size Λ . We may determine the scale Λ unambiguously by using the above form of the effective interactions; the conventional method [1] is to fix its scale by setting $g^2/4\pi = g^2(\Lambda)/4\pi = 1$ for the new strong interaction coupling and by setting the largest magnitude of the coefficients $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ to be unity. In the following, we denote

$$\begin{split} & \Lambda = \Lambda_{LL}^{\pm} \ \, \text{for} \ \, (\eta_{LL},\,\eta_{RR},\,\eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1,\,0,\,0) \;, \\ & \Lambda = \Lambda_{RR}^{\pm} \ \, \text{for} \ \, (\eta_{LL},\,\eta_{RR},\,\eta_{LR}) = (0,\,\pm 1,\,0) \;, \\ & \Lambda = \Lambda_{VV}^{\pm} \ \, \text{for} \ \, (\eta_{LL},\,\eta_{RR},\,\eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1,\,\pm 1,\,\pm 1) \;, \\ & \Lambda = \Lambda_{AA}^{\pm} \ \, \text{for} \ \, (\eta_{LL},\,\eta_{RR},\,\eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1,\,\pm 1,\,\pm 1) \;, \end{split}$$

Page 1

Created: 12/18/2000 15:07

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

as typical examples. Such interactions can arise by constituent interchange (when the fermions have common constituents, e.g., for $ee \rightarrow ee$) and/or by exchange of the binding quanta (whenever binding quanta couple to constituents of both particles).

Another typical consequence of compositeness is the appearance of excited leptons and quarks (ℓ^* and q^*). Phenomenologically, an excited lepton is defined to be a heavy lepton which shares leptonic quantum number with one of the existing leptons (an excited quark is defined similarly). For example, an excited electron e^* is characterized by a nonzero transition-magnetic coupling with electrons. Smallness of the lepton mass and the success of QED prediction for g-2 suggest chirality conservation, i.e., an excited lepton should not couple to both left- and right-handed components of the corresponding lepton.

Excited leptons may be classified by $SU(2)\times U(1)$ quantum numbers. Typical examples are:

1. Sequential type

$$\begin{pmatrix}
u^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L$$
 , $[
u_R^*]$, ℓ_R^* .

 ν_R^* is necessary unless ν^* has a Majorana mass.

2. Mirror type

$$[
u_L^*] \;, \qquad \ell_L^* \;, \qquad \left(egin{array}{c}
u^* \ \ell^* \end{array}
ight)_R \;.$$

3. Homodoublet type

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_L$$
 , $\begin{pmatrix} \nu^* \\ \ell^* \end{pmatrix}_R$.

Similar classification can be made for excited quarks.

Excited fermions can be pair produced via their gauge couplings. The couplings of excited leptons with Z are listed

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 2

	Sequential type	Mirror type	Homodoublet type
$V^{\ell^*} \ A^{\ell^*} \ V^{ u_D^*} \ A^{ u_D^*} \ V^{ u_M^*} \ A^{ u_M^*}$	$+\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -1$	$-1 + 2\sin^2\theta_W$ 0 $+1$ 0 $-$

in the following table (for notation see Eq. (1) in "Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions"):

Here ν_D^* (ν_M^*) stands for Dirac (Majorana) excited neutrino. The corresponding couplings of excited quarks can be easily obtained. Although form factor effects can be present for the gauge couplings at $q^2 \neq 0$, they are usually neglected.

In addition, transition magnetic type couplings with a gauge boson are expected. These couplings can be generally parametrized as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\lambda_{\gamma}^{(f^{*})} e}{2m_{f^{*}}} \overline{f}^{*} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_{L} \frac{1-\gamma_{5}}{2} + \eta_{R} \frac{1+\gamma_{5}}{2}) f F_{\mu\nu}
+ \frac{\lambda_{Z}^{(f^{*})} e}{2m_{f^{*}}} \overline{f}^{*} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_{L} \frac{1-\gamma_{5}}{2} + \eta_{R} \frac{1+\gamma_{5}}{2}) f Z_{\mu\nu}
+ \frac{\lambda_{W}^{(\ell^{*})} g}{2m_{\ell^{*}}} \overline{\ell}^{*} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{1-\gamma_{5}}{2} \nu W_{\mu\nu}
+ \frac{\lambda_{W}^{(\nu^{*})} g}{2m_{\nu^{*}}} \overline{\nu}^{*} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (\eta_{L} \frac{1-\gamma_{5}}{2} + \eta_{R} \frac{1+\gamma_{5}}{2}) \ell W_{\mu\nu}^{\dagger}
+ \text{h.c.},$$
(3)

where $g = e/\sin \theta_W$, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ is the photon field strength, $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}Z_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}Z_{\mu}$, etc. The normalization of the coupling is chosen such that

$$\max(|\eta_L|, |\eta_R|) = 1.$$

Chirality conservation requires

$$\eta_L \eta_R = 0 . (4)$$

These couplings can arise from $SU(2)\times U(1)$ -invariant higher-dimensional interactions. A well-studied model is the interaction of homodoublet type ℓ^* with the Lagrangian [2,3]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \overline{L}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} (g f \frac{\tau^a}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^a + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu}) \frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} L + \text{h.c.} , \quad (5)$$

where L denotes the lepton doublet (ν, ℓ) , Λ is the compositeness scale, g, g' are SU(2) and U(1)_Y gauge couplings, and $W^a_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strengths for SU(2) and U(1)_Y gauge fields. The same interaction occurs for mirror-type excited leptons. For sequential-type excited leptons, the ℓ^* and ν^* couplings become unrelated, and the couplings receive the extra suppression of $(250\,\text{GeV})/\Lambda$ or m_{L^*}/Λ . In any case, these couplings satisfy the relation

$$\lambda_W = -\sqrt{2}\sin^2\theta_W(\lambda_Z \cot\theta_W + \lambda_\gamma) . \tag{6}$$

Additional coupling with gluons is possible for excited quarks:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \overline{Q}^* \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(g_s f_s \frac{\lambda^a}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu} + g f \frac{\tau^a}{2} W^a_{\mu\nu} + g' f' Y B_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

$$\times \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} Q + \text{h.c.} , \qquad (7)$$

where Q denotes a quark doublet, g_s is the QCD gauge coupling, and $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ the gluon field strength.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 4 Created: 12/18/2000 15:07

Some experimental analyses assume the relation $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$, which violates chiral symmetry. We encode the results of such analyses if the crucial part of the cross section is proportional to the factor $\eta_L^2 + \eta_R^2$ and the limits can be reinterpreted as those for chirality conserving cases $(\eta_L, \eta_R) = (1,0)$ or (0,1) after rescaling λ .

Several different conventions are used by LEP experiments to express the transition magnetic couplings. To facilitate comparison, we reexpress these in terms of λ_Z and λ_{γ} using the following relations and taking $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23$. We assume chiral couplings, *i.e.*, |c| = |d| in the notation of Ref. 2.

1. ALEPH (charged lepton and neutrino)

$$\lambda_Z^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_Z \quad (1990 \text{ papers})$$
 (8a)

$$\frac{2c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*} [\text{or } m_{\nu^*}]} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|)$$
(8b)

2. ALEPH (quark)

$$\lambda_u^{\text{ALEPH}} = \frac{\sin \theta_W \cos \theta_W}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W + \frac{8}{9} \sin^4 \theta_W}} \lambda_Z = 1.11\lambda_Z \quad (9)$$

3. L3 and DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda^{L3} = \lambda_Z^{\text{DELPHI}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\cot \theta_W - \tan \theta_W} \lambda_Z = -1.10\lambda_Z \quad (10)$$

4. L3 (neutrino)

$$f_Z^{\text{L3}} = \sqrt{2}\lambda_Z \tag{11}$$

Created: 12/18/2000 15:07

5. OPAL (charged lepton)

$$\frac{f^{\text{OPAL}}}{\Lambda} = -\frac{2}{\cot \theta_W - \tan \theta_W} \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}} = -1.56 \frac{\lambda_Z}{m_{\ell^*}}$$
(12)

6. OPAL (quark)

$$\frac{f^{\text{OPAL}}c}{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_Z}{2m_{q^*}} \quad (\text{for } |c| = |d|)$$
 (13)

7. DELPHI (charged lepton)

$$\lambda_{\gamma}^{\text{DELPHI}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,\lambda_{\gamma} \tag{14}$$

If leptons are made of color triplet and antitriplet constituents, we may expect their color-octet partners. Transitions between the octet leptons (ℓ_8) and the ordinary lepton (ℓ) may take place via the dimension-five interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \sum_{\ell} \left\{ \overline{\ell}_{8}^{\alpha} g_{S} F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(\eta_{L} \ell_{L} + \eta_{R} \ell_{R} \right) + h.c. \right\}$$
 (15)

where the summation is over charged leptons and neutrinos. The leptonic chiral invariance implies η_L $\eta_R=0$ as before.

References

- 1. E.J. Eichten, K.D. Lane, and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 811 (1983).
- K. Hagiwara, S. Komamiya, and D. Zeppenfeld, Z. Phys. C29, 115 (1985).
- 3. N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, and Y. Srivastava, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 459 (1984).

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeee)$

Limits are for Λ^{\pm}_{LL} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{\it LL}^+$ (TeV)	$\Lambda_{LL}^-({\sf TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 3.5	> 3.2	95				E _{cm} = 130–183 GeV
>3.1	> 3.8	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E _{cm} = 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV

• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

>2.2	>2.8	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 \; {\rm GeV}$
>2.7	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J L 3	$E_{\rm cm}^{\rm cm} = 130-172 {\rm GeV}$
>3.0	>2.5	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 {\rm GeV}$
>2.4	>2.2	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{\rm cm} = 130-136, 161 \text{ GeV}$
>1.7	>2.3	95	² ARIMA	97 VNS	$E_{\rm cm} = 57.77 \; {\rm GeV}$
>1.6	>2.0	95	³ BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	E _{cm} =88.25–94.25 GeV
>1.6		95	^{3,4} BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>2.2	95	BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>3.6	95	⁵ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>1.3		95	⁵ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>0.7	>2.8	95	BEHREND	91c CELL	E _{cm} =35 GeV
>1.3	>1.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	E _{cm} =50–57 GeV
>1.4	>3.3	95	⁶ BRAUNSCH	88 TASS	E _{cm} =12–46.8 GeV
>1.0	>0.7	95	⁷ FERNANDEZ	87B MAC	E _{cm} =29 GeV
>1.1	>1.4	95	⁸ BARTEL	86c JADE	E _{cm} =12–46.8 GeV
>1.17	>0.87	95	⁹ DERRICK	86 HRS	E _{cm} =29 GeV
>1.1	>0.76	95	¹⁰ BERGER	85B PLUT	E _{cm} =34.7 GeV

¹BARATE 001 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV. 2 Z-Z' mixing is assumed to be zero.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 4.0	> 4.7	95	11 BARATE	001	ALEP	E _{cm} = 130–183 GeV
> 4.5	>4.3	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E _{cm} = 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
• • • We	e do not use	e the fol	lowing data for avera	ages,	fits, lim	nits, etc. • •
>3.4	>2.7	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	E _{cm} = 130–172 GeV
>3.6	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	9 8J	L3	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 {\rm GeV}$
>2.9	>3.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 \text{GeV}$

 $^{^3}$ BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted

⁴ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

 $^{^5}$ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BERGER 85B, BARTEL 86C, DERRICK 86B, FERNANDEZ 87B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88, BEHREND 91B, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2=+0.230\pm0.206~{\rm TeV}^{-2}.$

⁶ BRAUNSCHWEIG 88 assumed $m_Z=92~{
m GeV}$ and $\sin^2\! heta_W=0.23$.

 $^{^7}$ FERNANDEZ 87B assumed $\sin^2\!\theta_W = 0.22$.

 $^{^8}$ BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z=93$ GeV and $\sin^2\!\theta_W=0.217$.

⁹ DERRICK 86 assumed $m_Z^2=93$ GeV and $g_V^2=(-1/2+2\sin^2\theta_W)^2=0.004$.

 $^{^{10}}$ BERGER 85B assumed $m_Z=93$ GeV and $\sin^2\! heta_W=0.217$.

>3.1	>2.0	95	MIURA	98 VNS	E _{cm} = 57.77 GeV
>2.4	>2.9	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	E _{cm} = 130–136, 161 GeV
>1.7	>2.2	95	¹² VELISSARIS	94 AMY	E _{cm} =57.8 GeV
>1.3	>1.5	95	¹² BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	E _{cm} =88.25–94.25 GeV
>2.6	>1.9	95	^{12,13} BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	5
>2.3	>2.0	95	HOWELL	92 TOPZ	E _{cm} =52–61.4 GeV
	>1.7	95	¹⁴ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>2.5	>1.5	95	BEHREND	91c CELL	E _{cm} =35–43 GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	¹⁵ ABE	90ı VNS	E _{cm} =50–60.8 GeV
>1.9	>1.0	95	KIM	89 AMY	E _{cm} =50–57 GeV
>2.3	>1.3	95	BRAUNSCH	88D TASS	E _{cm} =30–46.8 GeV
>4.4	>2.1	95	¹⁶ BARTEL	86C JADE	E _{cm} =12–46.8 GeV
>2.9	>0.86	95	¹⁷ BERGER	85 PLUT	E _{cm} =34.7 GeV

¹¹BARATE 001 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{\it LL}^+$ (TeV)	$\Lambda_{LL}^-({ m TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
, 0.0	> 3.7 > 4.0	95 95	¹⁸ BARATE ABBIENDI		E _{cm} = 130–183 GeV E _{cm} = 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

>2.8	>2.6	95	ABREU 99A DLPH E_{cm} = 130–172 GeV	
>2.4	>2.8	95	ACCIARRI 98J L3 E_{cm} = 130–172 GeV	
>2.3	>3.7	95	ACKERSTAFF 98v OPAL E_{cm} = 130–172 GeV	
>1.9	>3.0	95	ACKERSTAFF 97c OPAL E_{cm} = 130–136, 161 G	ieV
>1.4	>2.0	95	19 VELISSARIS 94 AMY $E_{\rm cm}$ =57.8 GeV	
>1.0	>1.5	95	¹⁹ BUSKULIC 93Q ALEP <i>E</i> _{cm} =88.25–94.25 Ge\	/
>1.8	>2.3	95	^{19,20} BUSKULIC 93Q RVUE	
>1.9	>1.7	95	HOWELL 92 TOPZ E_{cm} =52–61.4 GeV	
>1.9	>2.9	95	²¹ KROHA 92 RVUE	
>1.6	>2.3	95	BEHREND 91C CELL E _{cm} =35–43 GeV	
>1.8	>1.3	95	²² ABE 901 VNS E_{cm} =50-60.8 GeV	
>2.2	>3.2	95	²³ BARTEL 86 JADE E_{cm} =12–46.8 GeV	

¹⁸BARATE 001 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130-183 GeV.

 $^{^{12}}$ BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

 $^{^{13}}$ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

¹⁴KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C, BEHREND 87C, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88D, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{II}^2=-0.155\pm$

 $^{^{0.095~{\}rm TeV}^{-2}.}$ 15 ABE 901 assumed m_Z =91.163 GeV and $\sin^2\!\theta_W=$ 0.231.

 $^{^{16}}$ BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z=93$ GeV and $\sin^2\!\theta_W=0.217$.

 $^{^{17}}$ BERGER 85 assumed $m_Z=93$ GeV and $\sin^2\! heta_W=0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\ell\ell\ell)$

Lepton universality assumed. Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+({ m TeV})$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-({ m TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 5.3	> 5.5	95	24 BARATE	001	ALEP	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 183 \text{ GeV}$
• • • We	do not use	the f	ollowing data for avera	ages,	fits, lim	nits, etc. • •
>5.2	>5.3	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	E _{cm} = 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV
>4.4	>4.2	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 \text{ GeV}$
>4.0	>3.1	95	²⁵ ACCIARRI	9 8J	L3	$E_{\rm cm} = 130 - 172 {\rm GeV}$
>3.4	>4.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130-172 \text{ GeV}$
>2.7	>3.8	95	ACKERSTAFF	97 C	OPAL	E _{cm} = 130–136, 161 GeV
>3.0	>2.3		^{25,26} BUSKULIC		ALEP	E _{cm} =88.25–94.25 GeV
>3.5	>2.8	95	^{26,27} BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>2.5	>2.2	95	²⁸ HOWELL	92	TOPZ	E _{cm} =52-61.4 GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95	²⁹ KROHA	92	RVUE	

²⁴BARATE 001 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{II}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+({\sf TeV})$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-({\sf TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
> 5.4	> 6.2		30 BARATE	001	ALEP	(e e q q)
> 5.6	> 4.9	95	³¹ BARATE	001	ALEP	(eebb)

 $^{^{19}}$ BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

²⁰ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

²¹ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C BEHREND 89B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{II}^2=+0.095\pm0.120~{\rm TeV}^{-2}$.

 $^{^{22}}$ ABE 90I assumed m_Z =91.163 GeV and $\sin^2\!\theta_W =$ 0.231.

²³BARTEL 86 assumed $m_7 = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.217$.

 $^{^{130-183}}$ GeV. 25 From $\mathrm{e^+\,e^-}$, $~\mathrm{e^+\,e^-}$, $~\mu^+\,\mu^-$, and $~\tau^+\,\tau^-$.

 $^{^{26}}$ BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

²⁷ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data reanalyzed by KROHA 92.

²⁸ HOWELL 92 limit is from e⁺ e⁻ $\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\tau^+ \tau^-$.

 $^{^{29}}$ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to most PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data. The fit gives η/Λ_{II}^2 $= -0.0200 \pm 0.0666 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$

```
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
                                 <sup>32</sup> ABBIENDI
                        95
                                                          OPAL (eeqq)
>4.4
            > 2.8
                                 <sup>33</sup> ABBIENDI
            >4.8
                        95
                                                       99 OPAL (eebb)
>4.0
                                 <sup>34</sup> ABBOTT
                        95
                                                       99D D0
            >4.2
                                                                     (eeqq)
>3.3
                                 <sup>35</sup> ABREU
                                                       99A DLPH (eeqq)(d \text{ or } s \text{ quark})
>2.4
            >2.8
                        95
                                 <sup>35</sup> ABREU
                                                       99A DLPH
            > 3.9
                        95
>4.4
                                                                    (eebb)
                                 <sup>35</sup> ABREU
                                                       99A DLPH
> 1.0
            > 2.4
                        95
                                                                     (eeuu)
                                 <sup>35</sup> ABREU
                        95
                                                       99A DLPH
> 1.0
            > 2.1
                                                                     (eecc)
                                 <sup>36</sup> ZARNECKI
                        95
>4.0
            > 3.4
                                                       99 RVUE
                                 <sup>36</sup> ZARNECKI
>4.3
            >5.6
                        95
                                                       99 RVUE
                                                                    (eeuu)
                                 <sup>37</sup> ACCIARRI
            > 2.1
                        95
                                                       98J L3
>3.0
                                                                     (eeqq)
                                 <sup>38</sup> ACKERSTAFF 98V OPAL
                        95
>3.4
            > 2.2
                                 <sup>39</sup> ACKERSTAFF 98V OPAL
            >2.8
                        95
>4.0
                                                                     (eebb)
                                 <sup>40</sup> ABE
> 2.5
            > 3.7
                        95
                                                       97T CDF
                                                                     (eeqq) (isosinglet)
                                 <sup>41</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97c OPAL
> 2.5
                        95
            > 2.1
                                 <sup>42</sup> ACKERSTAFF 97C OPAL
>3.1
            >2.9
                        95
                                                                     (eebb)
                                 <sup>43</sup> DEANDREA
>7.4
            > 11.7
                        95
                                                      97 RVUE
                                                                     eeuu, atomic parity viola-
                                 44 AID
                        95
                                                                     (eeqq) (u, d quarks)
> 2.3
            >1.0
                                                       95 H1
                                 <sup>45</sup> ABE
  1.7
            > 2.2
                        95
                                                       91<sub>D</sub> CDF
                                                                     (eeqq)(u, d \text{ quarks})
                                 <sup>46</sup> ADACHI
                                                      91 TOPZ (eeqq)
                        95
>1.2
                                                                        (flavor-universal)
                                 <sup>46</sup> ADACHI
                        95
                                                       91 TOPZ
            > 1.6
                                                                     (eeqq)
                                                                        (flavor-universal)
                                 <sup>47</sup> BEHREND
                        95
                                                       91c CELL
>0.6
            > 1.7
                                                                     (eecc)
                                 <sup>47</sup> BEHREND
                                                       91c CELL
> 1.1
            > 1.0
                        95
                                                                     (eebb)
                                 <sup>48</sup> ABF
                        95
                                                       89L VNS
> 0.9
                                                                        (flavor-universal)
                                 <sup>48</sup> ABE
                                                       89L VNS
            >1.7
                        95
                                                                        (flavor-universal)
                                 <sup>49</sup> HAGIWARA
                                                       89 RVUE (eecc)
>1.05
            >1.61
                        95
                                 <sup>50</sup> HAGIWARA
                                                      89 RVUE (eebb)
>1.21
            >0.53
                        95
```

³⁰ BARATE 001 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV

 $^{^{31}}$ BARATE 001 limits are from R_b and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.

³² ABBIENDI 99 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

 $^{^{33}}$ ABBIENDI 99 limits are from R_b at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

³⁴ ABBOTT 99D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\overline{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ X at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV

³⁵ ABREU 99A limits are from flavor-tagged $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$ cross section at 130–172 GeV.

³⁶ ZARNECKI 99 use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.

 $^{^{37}}$ ACCIARRI 98J limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section at $E_{\rm cm}=$ 130–172 GeV.

 $^{^{38}\, \}rm ACKERSTAFF~98V~limits~are~from~e^+\,e^- \rightarrow~q\, \overline{q}$ at $E_{\rm cm} =$ 130–172 GeV.

 $^{^{39}\,\}mathrm{ACKERSTAFF}$ 98V limits are from R_b measurements at $E_\mathrm{cm} =$ 130–172 GeV.

⁴⁰ ABE 97T limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $\overline{p}p \rightarrow e^+e^-$ X at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV.

⁴¹ ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q \overline{q}$ cross section at $E_{\rm cm}=130$ –136 GeV and 161 GeV.

⁴² ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are R_b measurements at $E_{\rm cm}=133$ GeV and 161 GeV.

⁴³ DEANDREA 97 limit is from atomic parity violation of cesium. The limit is eluded if the contact interactions are parity conserving.

⁴⁴ AID 95 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $e\,p \to e\,{\rm X}.$

⁴⁵ ABE 91D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\overline{p} \to e^+e^- X$ at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\mu\mu qq)$

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\nu\ell\nu)$

		•		#		
<i>VALUE</i> (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
>3.10	90	⁵² JODIDIO	86	SPEC	$\Lambda_{LR}^{\pm}(u_{\mu} u_{e}\mue)$	
• • • We do not use the	e followi	ng data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •	
>3.8		⁵³ DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(au u_ au\mathrm{e} u_\mathrm{e})$	
>8.1		⁵³ DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(au u_ au\mathrm{e} u_\mathrm{e})$	
>4.1		⁵⁴ DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(au u_{ au}\mu u_{\mu})$	
>6.5		⁵⁴ DIAZCRUZ	94	RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(au u_{ au}\mu u_{\mu})$	
F2	1	1 -				. 2 . 2.

⁵² JODIDIO 86 limit is from $\mu^+ \to \overline{\nu}_\mu \, e^+ \, \nu_e$. Chirality invariant interactions $L = (g^2/\Lambda^2)$ [$\eta_{LL} \, (\overline{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \mu_L) \, (\overline{e}_L \gamma_\alpha \nu_{eL}) + \eta_{LR} \, (\overline{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \nu_{eL} \, (\overline{e}_R \gamma_\alpha \mu_R)]$ with $g^2/4\pi = 1$ and ($\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1)$ are taken. No limits are given for Λ^\pm_{LL} with ($\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0)$. For more general constraints with right-handed neutrinos and chirality nonconserving contact interactions, see their text.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} with color-singlet isoscalar exchanges among u_L 's and d_L 's only, unless otherwise noted. See EICHTEN 84 for details.

VALUE (TeV)	<u>CL%</u>	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>2.7 (CL = 95%)				
>2.7	95	⁵⁵ ABBOTT	99c D0	$p\overline{p} o ext{dijet mass. } \Lambda_{LL}^+$

⁴⁶ ADACHI 91 limits are from differential jet cross section. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

⁴⁷ BEHREND 91C is from data at $E_{\rm cm}=35$ –43 GeV.

⁴⁸ ABE 89L limits are from jet charge asymmetry. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

is assumed. 49 The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurements of D/D^* mesons by ALTHOFF 83C, BARTEL 84E, and BARINGER 88.

⁵⁰ The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurement of *b* hadrons by BARTEL 84D.

⁵³ DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \to e \nu \nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau \nu_{\tau} e \nu_{e}) \ll \Lambda(\mu \nu_{\mu} e \nu_{e})$.

⁵⁴ DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \to \mu \nu \nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau \nu_{\tau} \mu \nu_{\mu}) \ll \Lambda(\mu \nu_{\mu} e \nu_{e})$.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>2.1	95	⁵⁶ ABBOTT	98G D0	$p\overline{p} o ext{dijet angl. } \Lambda_{II}^+$
		⁵⁷ BERTRAM	98 RVUE	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{dijet mass}$
		⁵⁸ ABE	96 CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>1.6	95	⁵⁹ ABE	96s CDF	$p\overline{p} o ext{dijet angl.; } \Lambda_{LL}^+$
>1.3	95	⁶⁰ ABE	93G CDF	$p\overline{p} \to dijet \; mass$
>1.4	95	⁶¹ ABE	92D CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>1.0	99	⁶² ABE	92м CDF	$p\overline{p} o dijet$ angl.
>0.825	95	⁶³ ALITTI	91B UA2	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>0.700	95	⁶¹ ABE	89 CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>0.330	95	⁶⁴ ABE	89H CDF	$p\overline{p} \to dijet \; angl.$
>0.400	95	⁶⁵ ARNISON	86C UA1	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>0.415	95	⁶⁶ ARNISON	86D UA1	$p\overline{p} \to dijet \; angl.$
>0.370	95	⁶⁷ APPEL	85 UA2	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow \text{jets inclusive}$
>0.275	95	⁶⁸ BAGNAIA	84C UA2	Repl. by APPEL 85

- ⁵⁵ The quoted limit is from inclusive dijet mass spectrum in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.8$ TeV. ABBOTT 99C also obtain $\Lambda_{II}^->2.4$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.
- ⁵⁶ ABBOTT 98G limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.8$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.
- 57 BERTRAM 98 obtain limit on the scale of color-octet axial-vector flavor-universal contact interactions: $\Lambda_{\mbox{\scriptsize A8}} > 2.1$ TeV. They also obtain a limit $\Lambda_{\mbox{\scriptsize V8}} > 2.4$ TeV on a color-octet flavor-universal vectorial contact interaction.
- 58 ABE 96 finds that the inclusive jet cross section for E_{T} >200 GeV is significantly higher than the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ perturbative QCD prediction. This could be interpreted as the effect of a contact interaction with $\Lambda_{LL} \sim 1.6$ TeV. However, ABE 96 state that uncertainty in the parton distribution functions, higher-order QCD corrections, and the detector calibration may possibly account for the effect.
- ⁵⁹ ABE 96S limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit for Λ_{LL}^- is >1.4 TeV. ABE 96S also obtain limits for flavor symmetric contact interactions among all quark flavors: $\Lambda_{LL}^+ > 1.8$ TeV and $\Lambda_{LL}^- > 1.6$ TeV.
- ⁶⁰ ABE 93G limit is from dijet mass distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit is the weakest from several choices of structure functions and renormalization scale.
- 61 Limit is from inclusive jet cross-section data in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.
- ⁶² ABE 92M limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{\rm dijet}$ >550 GeV in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}$ =1.8 TeV.
- ⁶³ ALITTI 91B limit is from inclusive jet cross section in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=630$ GeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.
- 64 ABE 89H limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{
 m dijet} > 200$ GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider with $E_{
 m cm} = 1.8$ TeV. The QCD prediction is quite insensitive to choice of structure functions and choice of process scale.
- ⁶⁵ ARNISON 86C limit is from the study of inclusive high- p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}=546$ and 630 GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good fit to the data.
- ⁶⁶ ARNISON 86D limit is from the study of dijet angular distribution in the range 240 < $m({\rm dijet}) < 300$ GeV at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{\rm cm}=630$ GeV). QCD prediction using EHLQ structure function (EICHTEN 84) with $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}=0.2$ GeV for the choice of $Q^2=p_T^2$ gives the best fit to the data.

 67 APPEL 85 limit is from the study of inclusive high- $p_{\mathcal{T}}$ jet distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm}=630$ GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good description of the data.

 68 BAGNAIA 84C limit is from the study of jet p_{T} and dijet mass distributions at the CERN $\overline{p}p$ collider ($E_{cm}=540$ GeV). The limit suffers from the uncertainties in comparing the data with the QCD prediction.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\nu\nu qq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. FOr other cases, see each reference.

DOCUMENT ID 69 MCFARLAND 98 CCFR νN scattering >5.0

MASS LIMITS for Excited $e(e^*)$

Most e^+e^- experiments assume one-photon or Z exchange. The limits from some e^+e^- experiments which depend on λ have assumed transition couplings which are chirality violating $(\eta_I = \eta_R)$. However they can be interpreted as limits for chirality-conserving interactions after multiplying the coupling value λ by $\sqrt{2}$; see Note.

Excited leptons have the same quantum numbers as other ortholeptons. See also the searches for ortholeptons in the "Searches for Heavy Leptons" section.

Limits for Excited $e(e^*)$ from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{*+}e^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of e*. Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the e^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. Possible t channel contribution from transition magnetic coupling is neglected. All limits assume $e^* \to e\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV) CL% DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT >90.7 (CL = 95%) ⁷⁰ ABREU 990 DLPH Homodoublet type We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

⁷¹ ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type 95 >85.0

⁶⁹ MCFARLAND 98 assumed a flavor universal interaction. Neutrinos were mostly of muon

```
<sup>72</sup> BARATE
                                              98U ALEP Z \rightarrow e^*e^*
                     73,74 ABREU
                                              97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Homodoublet type
>79.6
               95
                      73,75 ABREU
                                              97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Sequential type
>77.9
               95
                         <sup>73</sup> ACCIARRI
>79.7
                95
                                                             e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Sequential type
                      ^{73,76} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Homodoublet type
                95
>79.9
                         <sup>77</sup> ABREU
                                              96K DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Homodoublet type
>62.5
               95
                         <sup>78</sup> ACCIARRI
               95
                                              96D L3
                                                             e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Sequential type
>64.7
                         <sup>78</sup> ALEXANDER 96Q OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Homodoublet type
>66.5
               95
                         <sup>78</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                            e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^* Sequential type
               95
                                              96w ALEP
>65.2
                                                             Z \rightarrow e^* e^*
               95
                            ADRIANI
                                              93M L3
>45.6
                                              92C DLPH Z \rightarrow e^*e^*
               95
>45.6
                            ABREU
                         ^{79} BARDADIN-... 92 RVUE \Gamma(Z)
>29.8
               95
                         <sup>80</sup> DECAMP
                                              92 ALEP Z \rightarrow e^*e^*; \Gamma(Z)
>26.1
               95
                                              92 ALEP Z \rightarrow e^* e^*
               95
                             DECAMP
>46.1
                         <sup>80</sup> ABREU
                                              91F DLPH Z \rightarrow e^* e^*; \Gamma(Z)
>33
               95
                         <sup>81</sup> ADEVA
                                              90F L3
>45.0
               95
>44.9
                95
                            AKRAWY
                                              901 OPAL Z \rightarrow e^* e^*
                         82 DECAMP
                                              90G ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*
>44.6
                95
                                              89B TOPZ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*
>30.2
                95
                            ADACHI
                                                             e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*
                                              89 AMY
>28.3
                95
                            KIM
                         83 ABE
                                              88B VNS
                                                             e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*
> 27.9
               95
```

⁷⁰ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit for f=-f' ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

⁷¹ From e⁺ e⁻ collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from e^{*} $\rightarrow \ \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

⁷² BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

⁷³ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV.

⁷⁴ ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

⁷⁵ ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 44.6$

⁷⁶ ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_e^*} > 77.1 \text{ GeV}$.

⁷⁷ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–136 GeV.

⁷⁸ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–140 GeV.

 $^{^{79}}$ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z){<}36$ MeV.

 $^{^{80}}$ Limit is independent of e^* decay mode.

⁸¹ ADEVA 90F is superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

⁸² Superseded by DECAMP 92.

⁸³ ABE 88B limits assume $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{*+}e^{*-}$ with one photon exchange only and $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ giving $e\,e\,\gamma\,\gamma$.

Limits for Excited $e(e^*)$ from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \to e^*e$, $W \to e^*\nu$, or $ep \to e^*X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits assume $e^* \to e\gamma$ decay except as noted. Limits from LEP, UA2, and H1 are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{e^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

(1992))					
VALUE (GeV)	CL%	-	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
none 20-170	95		ACCIARRI	98T L3	$e\gamma ightarrow e^* ightarrow e\gamma$
none 30-200	95	85	BREITWEG	97c ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
>89	95		ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z ightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
>88	95		ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z^- > 0.5$
>91	95		DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z ightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
>87	95		AKRAWY	90ı OPAL	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z^- > 0.5$
• • • We do	not u	se the	following data f	for averages,	fits, limits, etc. • • •
		86	ABREU	990 DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
		87	ACKERSTAFF		$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
		88	BARATE		$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
		89,90	ABREU		$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
		89,91	ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
		92	ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
			ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
			ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+e^- o ee^*$
			ACCIARRI	96D L3	
					$e^+e^- ightarrow~ee^*$
			BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow e e^*$
			DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
		99	ABT	93 H1	$e p \rightarrow e^* X$
>86	95		ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_{\gamma} > 0.04$
		100	DERRICK		Superseded by DERRICK 95B
>86	95		ABREU	92C DLPH	$e^+e^- o$ ee^* , λ_{γ} >0.1
>88	95		ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
>86	95		ADEVA	90F L3	$Z ightarrow e e^*$, $\lambda_Z^- > 0.04$
>81	95	102	DECAMP	90G ALEP	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z^- > 1$
>50	95		ADACHI		$e^+e^- ightarrow ~e^{-s}$, $\lambda_{\gamma}>0.04$
>56	95		KIM		$e^+e^- ightarrow~ee^*,~\lambda_{\gamma}^{'}>0.03$
none 23-54	95	103	ABE		$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^* \lambda_{\gamma}^{\prime} > 0.04$
>75	95	104	ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu; \lambda_W > 0.7$
>63	95		ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu; \lambda_W > 0.2$
>40	95		ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^* \nu; \lambda_W > 0.09$
0.4					V V

⁸⁴ ACCIARRI 98T search for single e^* production in quasi-real Compton scattering. The limit is for $|\lambda| > 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ and non-chiral coupling of e^* . See their Fig. 7 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

⁸⁵ BREITWEG 97C search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW . $f=-f'=2\Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

- ⁸⁶ ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 87 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁸⁸ BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- ⁸⁹ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV.
- $^{90}\,\mathrm{See}$ Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹¹ See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹² ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹³ ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW . See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio into a specific decay channel.
- 94 ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 95 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹⁶ ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹⁷ BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ⁹⁸ DERRICK 95B search for single e^* production via $e^*e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW . See their Fig. 13 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*} \lambda \gamma$ plane.
- ⁹⁹ ABT 93 search for single e^* production via $e^*e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW . See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*} \lambda_{\gamma}$ plane.
- ¹⁰⁰ DERRICK 93B search for single e* production via e* $e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$, eZ, νW . See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*} \lambda_{\gamma}$ plane.
- ¹⁰¹ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ¹⁰² Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- ¹⁰³ ABE 88B limits use $e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$ where t-channel photon exchange dominates giving $e\gamma(e)$ (quasi-real compton scattering).
- 104 ANSARI 87D is at $E_{
 m cm}=$ 546–630 GeV.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

These limits are derived from indirect effects due to e^* exchange in the t channel and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits are for $\lambda_{\gamma}=1$. All limits except ABE 89J are for nonchiral coupling with $\eta_L=\eta_R=1$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>306 (CL = 95%)				
>306	95	ABBIENDI	99P OPAL	\sqrt{s} = 189 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

95	ABREU	98J DLPH	\sqrt{s} = 130–183 GeV
95	ACKERSTAFF	98 OPAL	\sqrt{s} =130–172 GeV
95	ACKER,K	98B OPAL	\sqrt{s} = 183 GeV
95	BARATE	98J ALEP	\sqrt{s} = 183 GeV
95 10	⁵ BARATE	98∪ ALEP	
95 10	⁶ ACCIARRI	97W L3	\sqrt{s} = 161, 172 GeV
95	ACCIARRI	96L L3	\sqrt{s} =133 GeV
95	ALEXANDER	96K OPAL	
95	BUSKULIC	96z ALEP	\sqrt{s} =130, 136 GeV
95	ACCIARRI	95G L3	
10	⁷ BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	
95 10	⁹ BARDADIN	92 RVUE	
95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	
11	⁰ SHIMOZAWA	92 TOPZ	
95	ABREU	91E DLPH	
95	AKRAWY	91F OPAL	
95	ADEVA	90K L3	
95	AKRAWY	90F OPAL	
95 11	¹ ABE	89J VNS	$\eta_L = 1, \eta_R = 0$
95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	•
95	KIM	89 AMY	
	95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	95 ACKERSTAFF 95 ACKER,K 95 BARATE 95 105 BARATE 95 106 ACCIARRI 95 ALEXANDER 95 BUSKULIC 95 ACCIARRI 107 BUSKULIC 95 108 ADRIANI 95 109 BARDADIN 95 DECAMP 110 SHIMOZAWA 95 AKRAWY 95 AKRAWY 95 AKRAWY 95 AKRAWY 95 ADACHI	95 ACKERSTAFF 98 OPAL 95 ACKER,K 98B OPAL 95 BARATE 98J ALEP 95 105 BARATE 98U ALEP 95 ACCIARRI 97W L3 95 ACCIARRI 96L L3 95 ALEXANDER 96K OPAL 95 BUSKULIC 96Z ALEP 95 ACCIARRI 95G L3 107 BUSKULIC 93Q ALEP 95 ADRIANI 92B L3 95 DECAMP 92 ALEP 110 SHIMOZAWA 92 TOPZ 95 ABREU 91E DLPH 95 AKRAWY 91F OPAL 95 ADEVA 90K L3 95 111 ABE 89J VNS 95 ADACHI 89B TOPZ

¹⁰⁵ BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in mass-coupling plane

Indirect Limits for Excited e (e*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving e^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

 VALUE (GeV)
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
 112 DORENBOS
 89 CHRM ∇ e → ∇ e and

 $^{^{106}}$ ACCIARRI 97W also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 157$ GeV (95%CL).

 $^{^{107}}$ BUSKULIC 93Q obtain $\Lambda^+>$ 121 GeV (95%CL) from ALEPH experiment and $\Lambda^+>$ 135 GeV from combined TRISTAN and ALEPH data. These limits roughly correspond to limits on m_{e^*} .

¹⁰⁸ ADRIANI 92B superseded by ACCIARRI 95G.

¹⁰⁹ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit from fit to the combined data of DECAMP 92, ABREU 91E, ADEVA 90K, AKRAWY 91F.

 $^{^{110}\,\}mathrm{SHIMOZAWA}$ 92 fit the data to the limiting form of the cross section with $m_{e^*}\gg E_{\mathrm{CM}}$ and obtain $m_{e^*}>$ 168 GeV at 95%CL. Use of the full form would reduce this limit by a few GeV. The statistically unexpected large value is due to fluctuation in the data.

 $^{^{111}}$ The ABE 89J limit assumes chiral coupling. This corresponds to $\lambda_{\gamma}=$ 0.7 for nonchiral coupling.

 $^{^{112}}$ DORENBOSCH 89 obtain the limit $\lambda_{\gamma}^2\Lambda_{\rm cut}^2/m_{e^*}^2<2.6$ (95% CL), where $\Lambda_{\rm cut}$ is the cutoff scale, based on the one-loop calculation by GRIFOLS 86. If one assumes that $\Lambda_{\rm cut}$

- = 1 TeV and $\lambda_{\gamma}=$ 1, one obtains $m_{e^*}>$ 620 GeV. However, one generally expects $\lambda_{\gamma}\approx m_{e^*}/\Lambda_{\rm cut}$ in composite models.
- 113 GRIFOLS 86 uses $\nu_{\mu} \, e \to \nu_{\mu} \, e$ and $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, e \to \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \, e$ data from CHARM Collaboration to derive mass limits which depend on the scale of compositeness.
- ¹¹⁴ RENARD 82 derived from g-2 data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited μ (μ^*)

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \to \mu^{*+}\mu^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of μ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the μ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume $\mu^* \to \mu \gamma$ decay except for the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

```
VALUE (GeV)
                CL%
                              DOCUMENT ID
                                                     TECN COMMENT
>90.7 (CL = 95\%)
                         <sup>115</sup> ABREU
                                                990 DLPH Homodoublet type
>90.7
                95

    • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

                         ^{116} ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Homodoublet type
>85.3
                         <sup>117</sup> BARATE
                                                98U ALEP Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
                95 <sup>118,119</sup> ABREU
                                                97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Homodoublet type
>79.6
                95 <sup>118,120</sup> ABREU
                                                97B DLPH e^+e^-
ightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Sequential type
>78.4
                         <sup>118</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                               e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Sequential type
>79.9
                95 ^{118,121} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Homodoublet type
>80.0
                         <sup>122</sup> ABREU
                                                96K DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Homodoublet type
                95
>62.6
                         <sup>123</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                               e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Sequential type
                                                96D L3
>64.9
                95
                         <sup>123</sup> ALEXANDER
                                                96Q OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Homodoublet type
>66.8
                95
                         <sup>123</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                              e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu^*\mu^* Sequential type
                95
                                                96w ALEP
>65.4
                                                               Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
                95
                                                93M L3
>45.6
                              ADRIANI
                                                92C DLPH Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
>45.6
                95
                              ABREU
                         ^{124} BARDADIN-... 92 RVUE \Gamma(Z)
                95
>29.8
                         <sup>125</sup> DECAMP
                                                92 ALEP
                                                              Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*; \Gamma(Z)
> 26.1
                95
                                                92 ALEP Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
>46.1
                95
                              DECAMP
                         <sup>125</sup> ABREU
                                                91F DLPH Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*; \Gamma(Z)
>33
                95
                         <sup>126</sup> ADEVA
>45.3
                95
                                                90F L3
                                                               Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
                              AKRAWY
                                                901 OPAL Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*
>44.9
                95
                         127 DECAMP
                                                90G ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*
>44.6
                95
                                                89B TOPZ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu^*
>29.9
                95
                              ADACHI
                                                89 AMY e^+e^- \to \mu^*\mu^*
> 28.3
                              KIM
```

¹¹⁵ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit for f=-f' ($\mu^*\to \nu\,W$): $m_{\mu^*}>$ 81.3 GeV.

¹¹⁶ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\mu^* \to \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

¹¹⁷ BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

- ¹¹⁸ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 161 GeV.
- 119 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \to ~\nu\,W,~m_{\mu^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
- 120 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \to \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} >$ 44.6 GeV
- 121 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \to ~\nu\,W, ~m_{\nu_\mu^*} >$ 77.1 GeV.
- ¹²² From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–136 GeV.
- ¹²³ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV.
- 124 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z){<}36$ MeV.
- 125 Limit is independent of μ^* decay mode.
- ¹²⁶ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ¹²⁷ Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \to \mu^*\mu$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between μ and μ^* . All limits assume $\mu^* \to \mu\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda - m_{\mu^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45,** 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
>88	95	ABREU	92c DLPH	$Z ightarrow ~\mu \mu^*$, $~\lambda_Z^- > 0.5$
>91	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z ightarrow ~\mu \mu^*$, $~\lambda_Z^- > 1$
>87	95	AKRAWY	90ı OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \mu \mu^*, \lambda_Z^- > 1$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

```
<sup>128</sup> ABREU
                                                       990 DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                            ^{129} ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu \mu^*
                            <sup>130</sup> BARATE
                                                       98U ALEP Z \rightarrow \mu \mu^*
                      131,132 ABREU
                                                       97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                      131,133 ACCIARRI
                                                       97G L3
                                                                        e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                            ^{134} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu \mu^*
                            <sup>135</sup> ABREU
                                                  96К DLPH e^+e^-
ightarrow \mu\mu^*
                            <sup>136</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                                        e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                                                       96D L3
                            <sup>137</sup> ALEXANDER 96Q OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                            <sup>138</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                       96W ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*
                            <sup>139</sup> ADEVA
                                                       90F L3 Z \rightarrow \mu \mu^*, \lambda_Z > 1 90F L3 Z \rightarrow \mu \mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.1
>85
                  95
                            <sup>139</sup> ADEVA
>75
                  95
                            <sup>140</sup> DECAMP
                                                       90G ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z=1
                   95
>80
                                                       89B TOPZ e^+e^- 
ightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_{\gamma}^-=0.7
                                  ADACHI
>50
                   95
                                                       89 AMY e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_{\gamma}=0.2
>46
```

¹²⁸ ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

¹²⁹ ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

- 130 BARATE 980 obtain limits on the $Z\mu\mu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in masscoupling plane
- ¹³¹ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV.
- 132 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 133 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 134 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹³⁵ ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 136 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 137 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130$ –140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 138 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹³⁹ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ¹⁴⁰ Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Indirect Limits for Excited μ (μ^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving μ^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

¹⁴¹ RENARD 82 THEO g-2 of muon

¹⁴¹ RENARD 82 derived from g-2 data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited τ (τ^*)

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^{*+}\tau^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of τ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the τ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume $\tau^* \to \tau \gamma$ decay except for the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review D45, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV) CL% TECN COMMENT >89.7 (CL = 95%)

¹⁴² ABREU 990 DLPH Homodoublet type >89.7

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

¹⁴³ ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type >84.6

```
<sup>144</sup> BARATE
                                                   98U ALEP Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
                 95 <sup>145,146</sup> ABREU
                                                   97B DLPH e^+e^- 
ightarrow 	au^*	au^* Homodoublet type
>79.4
                 <sub>95</sub> <sup>145,147</sup> ABREU
                                                   97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Sequential type
>77.4
                          <sup>145</sup> ACCIARRI
>79.3
                                                                   e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Sequential type
                 95 ^{145,148} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow~	au^*	au^* Homodoublet type
>79.1
                          <sup>149</sup> ABREU
                                                   96K DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Homodoublet type
>62.2
                 95
                          <sup>150</sup> ACCIARRI
                 95
                                                   96D L3
                                                                   e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Sequential type
>64.2
                          <sup>150</sup> ALEXANDER
                                                   96Q OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Homodoublet type
>65.3
                 95
                          <sup>150</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                   96W ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^* Sequential type
>64.8
                 95
                                                                   Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
                 95
                               ADRIANI
                                                   93M L3
>45.6
                                                   92C DLPH Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
>45.3
                 95
                               ABREU
                          <sup>151</sup> BARDADIN-... 92 RVUE \Gamma(Z)
>29.8
                 95
                          152 DECAMP
                 95
                                                   92 ALEP Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*; \Gamma(Z)
>26.1
                                                   92 ALEP Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
                 95
                               DECAMP
>46.0
                          <sup>152</sup> ABREU
                                                   91F DLPH Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*; \Gamma(Z)
                 95
>33
                          <sup>153</sup> ADEVA
                                                                   Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
                                                   90L L3
>45.5
                 95
                                                   901 OPAL Z \rightarrow \tau^* \tau^*
>44.9
                 95
                               AKRAWY
                          154 DECAMP
                                                   90G ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*
>41.2
                 95
                                                   89B TOPZ e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*
>29.0
                 95
                               ADACHI
```

- ¹⁴² From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit for f=-f' ($\tau^* \to \ \nu \ W$): $m_{\tau^*} >$ 81.3 GeV.
- ¹⁴³ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\tau^* \to \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\tau^*} >$ 81.3 GeV.
- 144 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- ¹⁴⁵ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 161 GeV.
- ¹⁴⁶ ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \to \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
- 147 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $au^* o
 u W$, $m_{ au^*} >$ 44.6 GeV
- 148 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \to \nu \, W$, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} >$ 77.1 GeV.
- ¹⁴⁹ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–136 GeV.
- ¹⁵⁰ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV.
- 151 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z){<}36$ MeV.
- ¹⁵²Limit is independent of τ^* decay mode.
- ¹⁵³ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ¹⁵⁴ Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \to \tau^*\tau$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between τ and τ^* . All limits assume $\tau^* \to \tau\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most

papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{\tau^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>88	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z ightarrow ~ au au^*$, $~\lambda_Z ~> 0.5$
>87	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau \tau^*$, $\lambda_Z^- > 0.5$
>90	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z ightarrow ~ au au^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.18$
>86.5	95	AKRAWY	90ı OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau \tau^*, \lambda_Z > 1$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

```
<sup>155</sup> ABREU
                                                         990 DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                             ^{156} ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow~	au\,	au^*
                             <sup>157</sup> BARATE
                                                         98U ALEP Z \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                       <sup>158,159</sup> ABREU
                                                         97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                       <sup>158,160</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                         97G L3
                             ^{161} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                             <sup>162</sup> ABREU
                                                         96K DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                             <sup>163</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                         96D L3
                             ^{164} ALEXANDER 96Q OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow~	au\,	au^*
                             <sup>165</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                         96W ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*
                             <sup>166</sup> ADEVA
                                                         90L L3
                                                                           Z \rightarrow \tau \tau^*, \lambda_Z > 1
>88
                   95
                             <sup>167</sup> DECAMP
                                                         90G ALEP ~Z 
ightarrow ~	au 	au^*, \lambda_Z = 1
>59
                   95
                             <sup>168</sup> BARTEL
                                                         86 JADE e^+e^- 
ightarrow 	au 	au^*, \lambda_{\gamma}{=}1
>40
                   95
                                                         86 CELL e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*, \lambda_{\gamma}^{'}=1
                             <sup>169</sup> BEHREND
>41.4
                   95
                                                         86 CELL e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau \tau^*, \lambda_{\gamma}^{'}=0.7
                             <sup>169</sup> BEHREND
>40.8
```

- ¹⁵⁵ ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 183 GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁵⁶ ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 157 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z au au^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- ¹⁵⁸ From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 161 GeV.
- 159 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶⁰ See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶¹ ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 161 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 162 ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–136 GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶³ ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶⁴ ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶⁵ BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁶⁶ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ¹⁶⁷ Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- 168 BARTEL 86 is at $E_{\rm cm} = 30-46.78$ GeV.
- $^{169}\,\mathrm{BEHREND}$ 86 limit is at $E_\mathrm{cm}=$ 33–46.8 GeV.

MASS LIMITS for Excited Neutrino (ν^*)

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \to \nu^*\nu^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of ν^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. The ν^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type unless otherwise noted. Limits assume $\nu^* \to \nu\gamma$ decay except for the $\Gamma(Z)$ measurement which makes no assumption about decay mode.

```
DOCUMENT ID
                                                      TECN COMMENT
VALUE (GeV) CL%
>90.0 (CL = 95%)
                           <sup>170</sup> ABREU
>90.0
                  95
                                                    990 DLPH Homodoublet type

    • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.

                           <sup>171</sup> ABBIENDI
                                                    99F OPAL
                           ^{172} ACKERSTAFF 98C OPAL e^+e^- 
ightarrow 
u^*
u^* Homodoublet type
                  95
>84.9
                           <sup>173</sup> BARATE
                                                    98U ALEP Z \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*
                  95 <sup>174,175</sup> ABREU
                                                    97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^* Homodoublet type
>77.6
                  95 <sup>174,176</sup> ABREU
                                                    97B DLPH e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^* Sequential type
>64.4
                  95 <sup>174,177</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                                    e^+e^- 
ightarrow 
u^*
u^* Sequential type
                                                    97G L3
>71.2
                  95 ^{174,178} ACKERSTAFF 97 OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow 
u^*
u^* Homodoublet type
>77.8
                  95 <sup>179,180</sup> ACCIARRI
                                                                    e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^* Sequential type
                                                    96D L3
>61.4
                  95 ^{181,182} ALEXANDER 96Q OPAL e^+e^-
ightarrow 
u^*
u^* Homodoublet type
>65.0
                           <sup>179</sup> BUSKULIC
                                                    96W ALEP e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^* Sequential type
>63.6
                           ^{183} BARDADIN-... 92 RVUE \Gamma(Z)
                  95
>43.7
                           <sup>184</sup> DECAMP
                  95
                                                92 ALEP
>47
                           <sup>185</sup> DECAMP
                                                    92 ALEP \Gamma(Z)
>42.6
                  95\ ^{186,187}\ \mathrm{DECAMP}
>35.4
                                                    900 ALEP \Gamma(Z)
                  95 <sup>187,188</sup> DECAMP
                                                    900 ALEP
^{170} From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s}=183 GeV. f=f' is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit
     for f = -f': m_{\nu_{e^*}} > 87.3 GeV, m_{\nu_{II^*}} > 88.0 GeV, m_{\nu_{\tau^*}} > 81.0 GeV.
<sup>171</sup> From e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> collisions at \sqrt{s}= 130–183 GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma)<sup>2</sup>. See their Fig. 13. The limit ranges from 0.094 to 0.14 pb for
     \sqrt{s}/2 > m_{_{1/*}} > 45 \text{ GeV}.
^{172}\, {\rm From}~e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s}{=}170{-}172 GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from charged decay modes: m_{\nu_e^*} > 84.1 GeV, m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 83.9 GeV, and m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 79.4 GeV.
<sup>173</sup>BARATE 98∪ obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form
     factor plane.
<sup>174</sup> From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s}= 161 GeV.
<sup>175</sup> ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, m_{i,*} > 56.4 GeV.
^{176}\,\mathrm{ABREU} 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, m_{\nu^*} > 44.9 GeV.
^{177} ACCIARRI 97G also obtain limits from charged current decay mode 
u_{_{P}}^{*} 
ightarrow \, e\,W,\, m_{_{D}{}^{*}} >
^{178} ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limits from charged current decay modes m_{\nu^*} > 78.3
     GeV, m_{\nu_{II}^*} > 78.9 \text{ GeV}, m_{\nu_{T}^*} > 76.2 \text{ GeV}.
<sup>179</sup> From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s}= 130–140 GeV.
<sup>180</sup> ACCIARRI 96D also obtain limit from \nu^* \to eW decay mode: m_{i,*} > 57.3 GeV.
<sup>181</sup> From e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s}= 130–136 GeV.
```

- 182 ALEXANDER 96Q also obtain limits from charged current decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} >$ 66.2 GeV, $m_{\nu_\pi^*} >$ 66.5 GeV, $m_{\nu_\pi^*} >$ 64.7 GeV.
- ¹⁸³ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is for Dirac ν^* . Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z)$ <36 MeV. The limit is 36.4 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- ¹⁸⁴ Limit is based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^* \overline{\nu}^*$)×B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$)² < 5 × 10⁻⁵ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) = 1.
- ¹⁸⁵ Limit is for Dirac ν^* . The limit is 34.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- ¹⁸⁶ DECAMP 900 limit is from excess $\Delta\Gamma(Z) <$ 89 MeV. The above value is for Dirac ν^* ; 26.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* ; 44.8 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- ¹⁸⁷ Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- ¹⁸⁸ DECAMP 900 limit based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^* \nu^*$)·B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$)² < 7 × 10⁻⁵ (95%CL), assuming Dirac ν^* , B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) = 1.

Limits for Excited ν (ν *) from Single Production

These limits are from $Z \to \nu \nu^*$ or $ep \to \nu^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between ν/e and ν^* . Assumptions about ν^* decay mode are given in footnotes

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
none 40–96	95	¹⁸⁹ BREITWEG	97c ZEUS	$e ho ightarrow \ u^* X$
>91	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$, $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
>89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z^- > 1$, $\nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
>91	95	¹⁹⁰ DECAMP	92 ALEP	C

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		191	ABBIENDI	99F	OPAL	
		192	ABREU	990	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
				98 C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Ho-
		194	BARATE	98 U	ALEP	modoublet type $Z ightarrow u u^*$
	195,	196	ABREU	97 B	DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow \ u u^*$
		197	ABREU	97ı	DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W, \nu Z$
		198	ABREU	97J	DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
	195,	199	ACCIARRI	97 G	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
	:	200	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
	:	201	ADLOFF			Lepton-flavor violation
	:	202	ACCIARRI	96 D	L3	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
	:	203	ALEXANDER	96Q	OPAL	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
	:	204	BUSKULIC	96W	ALEP	$e^+e^- ightarrow u u^*$
	;	205		95 B	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow \nu^* X$
	;	206	ABT	93	H1	$ep \rightarrow \nu^* X$
>87	95		ADRIANI	93M	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
>74	95		ADRIANI		L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
	:	207	BARDADIN	92	RVUE	C
>74	95	190	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.034$
>91			ADEVA	900	L3	$\lambda_Z^- > 1$
>83	95	209	ADEVA	900	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$
>74			ADEVA	900	L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1$, $\nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
>90	95 210,	211	DECAMP	900	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
>74.7	95 210,	211	DECAMP	900	ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.06$

- ¹⁸⁹ BREITWEG 97C search for single ν^* production in ep collisions with the decay $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$. $f = -f' = 2\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁹⁰ DECAMP 92 limit is based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu^* \overline{\nu}$)×B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) < 2.7 × 10⁻⁵ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) = 1.
- ¹⁹¹ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 130–183 GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*)$ B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$). See their Fig. 8.
- 192 ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 193 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} =170–172 GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 194 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\nu\nu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 13 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- ¹⁹⁵ From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV.
- 196 See Fig. 4b and Fig. 5b of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁹⁷ ABREU 971 limit is from $Z \to \nu \nu^*$. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ¹⁹⁸ ABREU 97J limit is from $Z \to \nu \nu^*$. See their Fig. 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 199 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ²⁰⁰ ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=$ 161 GeV, for homodoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ²⁰¹ ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \to e\gamma$, eZ, νW . See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
- ²⁰² ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ²⁰³ ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} = 130–140 GeV for homedoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- ²⁰⁵ DERRICK 95B search for single ν^* production via ν^* e W coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \to \nu \gamma$, νZ , e W. See their Fig. 14 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu^*} \lambda \gamma$ plane.
- ABT 93 search for single ν^* production via ν^*eW coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \to \nu \gamma$, νZ , eW. See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu \nu} \lambda_W$ plane.
- ²⁰⁷ See Fig. 5 of BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 for combined limit of ADEVA 900, DE-CAMP 900, and DECAMP 92.
- ²⁰⁸ Limit is either for $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$ or $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$.
- ²⁰⁹ Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- ²¹⁰ DECAMP 900 limit based on B($Z \rightarrow \nu \nu^*$)·B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) < 6 × 10⁻⁵ (95%CL), assuming B($\nu^* \rightarrow \nu \gamma$) = 1.
- ²¹¹ Superseded by DECAMP 92.

MASS LIMITS for Excited $q(q^*)$

Limits for Excited $q(q^*)$ from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^* \overline{q}^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of the q^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. Assumptions about the q^* decay are given in the comments and footnotes. COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
213 BARATE 98U ALEP $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$ 214 ADRIANI 92F L3 $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$ >41.7 95 215 BARDADIN 92 RVUE u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.7 95 216 BARDADIN 92 RVUE d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >40.6 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.2 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 217 DECAMP 92 ALEP u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$	>45.6	95	²¹² ADRIANI	93M	L3	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\bullet \bullet We do not use t	he follow		, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
>41.7 95 215 BARDADIN 92 RVUE u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.7 95 215 BARDADIN 92 RVUE d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >40.6 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.2 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 217 DECAMP 92 ALEP u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >21.8 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >21.8 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >21.8 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$ >21.8 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q^*$				98 U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
>44.7 95 215 BARDADIN 92 RVUE d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >40.6 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.2 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 217 DECAMP 92 ALEP u or d type, C						
>44.7 95 215 BARDADIN 92 RVUE d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >40.6 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >44.2 95 216 DECAMP 92 ALEP d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 217 DECAMP 92 ALEP u or d type, C	>41.7	95	²¹⁵ BARDADIN	92	RVUE	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	>44.7	95	²¹⁵ BARDADIN	92	RVUE	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45 95 217 DECAMP 92 ALEP u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$	>40.6	95	²¹⁶ DECAMP			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	>44.2	95	²¹⁶ DECAMP	92	ALEP	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow qg$	>45	95	²¹⁷ DECAMP	92	ALEP	u or d type,
>45 95 216 ABREU 91F DLPH d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$ >21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$						$Z ightarrow \ q^* q^*$
>21.1 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$	>45	95		91F	DLPH	<i>u</i> -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q_8$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q_8$	>45	95		91F	DLPH	<i>d</i> -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>22.3 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = 2/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q_0$ >22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3$, $q^* \rightarrow q_0$	>21.1	95	²¹⁸ BEHREND	86 C	CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3, \ q^* \rightarrow$
>22.5 95 218 BEHREND 86C CELL $e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$			210			
$q\gamma$	>22.3	95		86C	CELL	, , ,
	>22.5	95	²¹⁸ BEHREND	86 C	CELL	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	>23.2	95	²¹⁸ BEHREND	86 C	CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$

²¹² ADRIANI 93M limit is valid for B($q^* \rightarrow qg$)> 0.25 (0.17) for up (down) type.

Limits for Excited $q(q^*)$ from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- o q^*\overline{q}$ or $p\overline{p} o q^*X$ and depend on transition magnetic couplings between q and q^* . Assumptions about q^* decay mode are given in the footnotes and comments.

iii the loothotes a	ia com	iliciico.		
VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>570 (CL = 95%) OUF	EVAL	UATION		
none 200–520 and 580–760	95	²¹⁹ ABE	97G CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow 2$
none 40–169	95	²²⁰ BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	3
none 80–570	95	²²¹ ABE	95N CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$ $q\gamma, qW$
>288	90	²²² ALITTI	93 UA2	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q^*X, q^* \rightarrow qg$
> 88	95	²²³ DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 86	95	²²³ AKRAWY	90J OPAL	$Z \rightarrow q q^*, \lambda_Z > 1.2$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.C	SOV	Page 26	Creat	red: 12/18/2000 15:07

²¹³BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-form

²¹⁴ ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \to q^* \overline{q}^*$ followed with $q^* \to q \gamma$ decays and give the limit σ_Z · B($Z \rightarrow q^* \overline{q}^*$) · B²($q^* \rightarrow q \gamma$) <2 pb at 95%CL. Assuming five flavors of degenerate q^* of homodoublet type, B($q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$) <4% is obtained for m_{q^*} <45 GeV.

 $^{^{215}}$ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z){<}36$ MeV.

²¹⁶ These limits are independent of decay modes.

²¹⁷ Limit is for B($q^* \rightarrow qg$)+B($q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$)=1. 218 BEHREND 86C search for $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\overline{q}^*$ for m_{q^*} >5 GeV. But m< 5 GeV excluded by total hadronic cross section. The limits are for point-like photon couplings of excited quarks.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		²²⁴ ABREU	990 DLPH	$e^+e^- ightarrow q q^*$
		²²⁵ BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		²²⁶ ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
		²²⁷ DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^*X$
none 80-540	95	²²⁸ ABE	94 CDF	$p\overline{p} \to q^* X, q^* \to q\gamma,$
> 79	95	²²⁹ ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z(L3) > 0.06$
		²³⁰ ABREU	92D DLPH	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		²³¹ ADRIANI	92F L3	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
> 75	95	²²⁹ DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
		²³² ALBAJAR	89 UA1	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q^*X$,
> 39	95	²³³ BEHREND	86c CELL	$q^* \rightarrow qW$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\overline{q}(q^* \rightarrow q^*\overline{q}) \rightarrow q^*\overline{q}$
				qg,q γ), λ_{γ} $=1$

- ²¹⁹ ABE 97G search for new particle decaying to dijets.
- ²²⁰ BREITWEG 97C search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$, qW. f_s =0, and f=-f'=2 Λ/m_{q^*} is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- ²²¹ ABE 95N assume a degenerate u^* and d^* with $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*} f$ plane.
- ²²² ALITTI 93 search for resonances in the two-jet invariant mass. The limit is for $f_S = f$ = $f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$. u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. If not, the limit for u^* (d^*) is 277 (247) GeV if $m_{d^*} \gg m_{u^*}$ ($m_{u^*} \gg m_{d^*}$).
- ²²³ Assumes B($q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$) = 0.1.
- ABREU 990 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Fig. 6 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 225 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the Zqq^* coupling. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- ²²⁶ ADLOFF 97 search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decay $q^* \to q \gamma$. See their Fig. 6 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
- ²²⁷ DERRICK 95B search for single q^* production via $q^* q \gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \to qW$, qZ, qg, $q\gamma$. See their Fig. 15 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{q^*} \lambda \gamma$ plane.
- ABE 94 search for resonances in jet- γ and jet-W invariant mass in $p\overline{p}$ collisions at $E_{\rm cm}=1.8$ TeV. The limit is for $f_S=f=f'=\Lambda/m_{q^*}$ and u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in m_{q^*} -f plane.
- ²²⁹ Assumes $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) = 1$.
- ²³⁰ ABREU 92D give $\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z \to q^*\overline{q} \text{ or } q\overline{q}^*) \times \text{B}(q^* \to q\gamma) < 15 \text{ pb } (95\% \text{ CL})$ for $m_{q^*} < 80 \text{ GeV}$.
- ²³¹ ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \to q q^*$ with $q^* \to q \gamma$ and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot \mathsf{B}(Z \to q q^*) \cdot \mathsf{B}(q^* \to q \gamma) <$ (2–10) pb (95%CL) for $m_{q^*} =$ (46–82) GeV.
- ²³² ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(q^* \rightarrow W + {
 m jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{q^*} >$ 220 GeV.
- 233 BEHREND 86C has $E_{\rm cm}=42.5$ –46.8 GeV. See their Fig. 3 for excluded region in the $m_{q^*}-(\lambda_\gamma/m_{q^*})^2$ plane. The limit is for $\lambda_\gamma=1$ with $\eta_L=\eta_R=1$.

MASS LIMITS for Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)

<i>VALUE</i> (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>84	95	²³⁴ ABE	89D CDF	$p\overline{p} \rightarrow q_6\overline{q}_6$

²³⁴ ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color sextet quark is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. A limit of 121 GeV is obtained for a color decuplet.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Charged Leptons (ℓ_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TE	ECN	COMMENT
>86	95	²³⁵ ABE	89D CI	DF	Stable ℓ_8 : $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \ell_8\overline{\ell}_8$
• • • We do not use the	followi	ng data for averages	s, fits, li	imits, e	etc. • • •
		²³⁶ ABT	93 H	I 1	$e_8: e_p \rightarrow e_8 X$
none 3.0-30.3	95	²³⁷ KIM	90 AI	MY	e_8 : $e^+e^- \rightarrow ee +$ jets
none 3.5–30.3	95	²³⁷ KIM	90 AI	MY	jets $\mu_8 \colon e^+e^- \to \mu\mu + jets$
		²³⁸ KIM	90 AI	MY	$e_{R}: e^+e^- \to gg; R$
>19.8	95	²³⁹ BARTEL	87B JA	ADE	$e_8, \mu_8, \tau_8: e^+e^-; R$
none 5-23.2	95	²³⁹ BARTEL	87B JA	ADE	μ_8 : $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu +$
		²⁴⁰ BARTEL	85K J <i>A</i>	ADE	jets $e_8: e^+e^- \rightarrow gg; R$

²³⁵ ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color octet lepton is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. The limit improves to 99 GeV if it always fragments into a unit-charged hadron.

²³⁶ ABT 93 search for e_8 production via e-gluon fusion in e_P collisions with $e_8 \to e_B$. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the m_{e_8} - Λ plane for $m_{e_8}=35$ -220 GeV.

 $^{^{237}\,\}mathrm{KIM}$ 90 is at $E_\mathrm{cm}=50$ –60.8 GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

 $^{^{238}}$ KIM 90 result $(m_{e_8}\Lambda_M)^{1/2}>178.4$ GeV (95%CL, $\alpha_s=0.16$ used) is subject to the same restriction as for BARTEL 85K.

²³⁹ BARTEL 87B is at $E_{\rm cm}=46.3$ –46.78 GeV. The limits assume ℓ_8 pair production cross sections to be eight times larger than those of the corresponding heavy lepton pair production.

²⁴⁰ In BARTEL 85K, R can be affected by $e^+e^- \rightarrow gg$ via e_q exchange. Their limit $m_{e_8} >$ 173 GeV (CL=95%) at $\lambda = m_{e_8}/\Lambda_M = 1$ ($\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$) is not listed above because the cross section is sensitive to the product $\eta_L \eta_R$, which should be absent in ordinary theory with electronic chiral invariance.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Neutrinos (ν_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{\ell_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT	
>110	90	²⁴¹ BARGER	89	RVUE	ν_8 : $p\overline{p} \rightarrow \nu_8\overline{\nu}_8$	
• • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •						
none 3.8–29.8	95	²⁴² KIM	90	AMY	$ u_8$: $e^+e^ ightarrow$ acoplanar	
none 9–21.9	95	²⁴³ BARTEL	87 B	JADE	jets $ u_8$: $e^+e^ ightarrow$ acoplanar jets	

²⁴¹ BARGER 89 used ABE 89B limit for events with large missing transverse momentum. Two-body decay $\nu_8 \to \nu_g$ is assumed.

MASS LIMITS for W₈ (Color Octet W Boson)

VALUE (GeV) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

²⁴⁴ ALBAJAR 89 UA1 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow W_8 X$, $W_8 \rightarrow W_8$

²⁴⁴ ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(W_8 \to~W+{\rm jet})/\sigma(W) <$ 0.019 (90% CL) for $m_{W_8}~>$ 220 GeV.

Limits on $ZZ\gamma$ Coupling

Limits are for the electric dipole transition form factor for $Z\to \gamma Z^*$ parametrized as $f(s')=\beta(s'/m_Z^2-1)$, where s' is the virtual Z mass. In the Standard Model $\beta\sim 10^{-5}$.

 VALUE
 CL%
 DOCUMENT ID
 TECN
 COMMENT

 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 <0.80</td>
 95
 ADRIANI
 92J
 L3
 $Z \rightarrow \gamma \nu \overline{\nu}$

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

BARATE ABBIENDI ABBIENDI ABBOTT ABBOTT ABREU ABREU ZARNECKI ABBOTT ABBOTT	00I 99 99F 99P 99C 99D 99A 99O 99 98G 98J	EPJ C12 183 EPJ C6 1 EPJ C8 23 PL B465 303 PRL 82 2457 PRL 82 4769 EPJ C11 383 EPJ C8 41 EPJ C11 539 PRL 80 666 PL B433 429	R. Barate et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. G. Abbiendi et al. B. Abbott et al. B. Abbott et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al. A.F. Zarnecki B. Abbott et al. P. Abpreu et al. P. Abreu et al. P. Abreu et al.	(D0 C (DELPHI C (DELPHI C	Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.)
ACCIARRI ACCIARRI ACKERSTAFF ACKERSTAFF ACKERSTAFF ACKERK BARATE	98J 98T 98 98C 98V 98B 98J	PL B433 163 PL B439 183 EPJ C1 21 EPJ C1 45 EPJ C2 441 PL B438 379 PL B429 201	M. Acciarri et al. M. Acciarri et al. K. Ackerstaff et al. K. Ackerstaff et al. K. Ackerstaff et al. K. Ackerstaff et al. R. Barate et al.	` (L3 C	Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.) Collab.)

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV Page 29 Created: 12/18/2000 15:07

 $^{^{242}\,\}mathrm{KIM}$ 90 is at $E_\mathrm{cm}=$ 50–60.8 GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

²⁴³ BARTEL 87B is at $E_{cm}=46.3$ –46.78 GeV. The limit assumes the ν_8 pair production cross section to be eight times larger than that of the corresponding heavy neutrino pair production. This assumption is not valid in general for the weak couplings, and the limit can be sensitive to its SU(2)_I ×U(1)_Y quantum numbers.

BARATE	98U	EDI C4 571	Б	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH	Callah)
BERTRAM	98U 98	EPJ C4 571 PL B443 347		Bertram, E.H. Simmons	(ALEPH	Collab.)
MCFARLAND	98	EPJ C1 509		K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR/NuTeV	Collab)
MIURA	98	PR D57 5345		1. Miura <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS	
ABE	97G	PR D55 R5263		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	`	Collab.)
ABE	97T	PRL 79 2198		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	` .	Collab.)
ABREU	97B	PL B393 245	Р	² . Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	Collab.)
ABREU	97I	ZPHY C74 57		P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	- :
Also	97L	ZPHY C75 580 erratur			(DELPHI	
ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577		P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	- :
ACCIARRI ACCIARRI	97G	PL B401 139 PL B413 159		1. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> 1. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		Collab.) Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97	PL B391 197		Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97C	PL B391 221		A. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL	Collab.)
ADLOFF	97	NP B483 44		. Adloff <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ARIMA	97	PR D55 19	Т	. Arima <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS	Collab.)
BREITWEG	97C	ZPHY C76 631		. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS	Collab.)
DEANDREA	97	PL B409 277		A. Deandrea	/05=	(MARS)
ABE	96 066	PRL 77 438		Abe et al.	` -	Collab.)
ABE ABREU	96S	PRL 77 5336 PL B380 480		. Abe <i>et al.</i> . Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(CDF (DELPHI	Collab.)
ACCIARRI		PL B370 211		1. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	` .	Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96L	PL B384 323		M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96K	PL B377 222		G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	`	Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96Q	PL B386 463	G	6. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL	Collab.)
BUSKULIC		PL B385 445). Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH	
BUSKULIC	96Z	PL B384 333). Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH	
ABE	95N	PRL 74 3538		Abe <i>et al.</i>	` .	Collab.)
ACCIARRI AID	95G	PL B353 136		1. Acciarri <i>et al.</i> . Aid <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
DERRICK	95 95B	PL B353 578 ZPHY C65 627		n. Ald <i>et al.</i> M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	. ` .	Collab.) Collab.)
ABE	94	PRL 72 3004		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	`	Collab.)
DIAZCRUZ	94	PR D49 R2149		.L. Diaz Cruz, O.A. Sampayo	(02.	(CINV)
VELISSARIS	94	PL B331 227		. Velissaris <i>et al.</i>	(AMY	Collab.)
ABE	93G	PRL 71 2542		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF	Collab.)
ABT	93	NP B396 3		Abt et al.	`	Collab.)
ADRIANI		PRPL 236 1). Adriani <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ALITTI BUSKULIC	93 93Q	NP B400 3 ZPHY C59 215		. Alitti <i>et al.</i>). Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH	Collab.)
DERRICK	93B	PL B316 207		1. Derrick <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
ABE	92B	PRL 68 1463		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF	Collab.)
ABE	92D	PRL 68 1104	F	. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF	Collab.)
ABE		PRL 69 2896		. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF	Collab.)
ABREU	92C	ZPHY C53 41		P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	
ABREU	92D	ZPHY C53 555		P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	
ADRIANI ADRIANI	92B 92F	PL B288 404 PL B292 472). Adriani <i>et al.</i>). Adriani <i>et al.</i>	,	Collab.) Collab.)
ADRIANI	92J	PL B297 469). Adriani <i>et al.</i>). Adriani <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
BARDADIN		ZPHY C55 163		1. Bardadin-Otwinowska	(23	(CLER)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	_). Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH	Collab.)
HOWELL	92	PL B291 206		B. Howell <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ	Collab.)
KROHA	92	PR D46 58		I. Kroha	(1/51/ 1/51 1	(ROCH)
PDG	92	PR D45, 1 June, Part			(KEK, LBL,	
SHIMOZAWA ABE	92 91D	PL B284 144 PRL 67 2418		C. Shimozawa <i>et al.</i> E. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ	Collab.)
ABREU	91E	PL B268 296		2. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	
ABREU	91F	NP B367 511		C. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI	
ADACHI	91	PL B255 613		Adachi <i>et al.</i>	`(TOPAZ	
AKRAWY	91F	PL B257 531		Л.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL	Collab.)
ALITTI	91B	PL B257 232	_	. Alitti <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
BEHREND	91B	ZPHY C51 143		I.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>		Collab.)
BEHREND Also	91C	ZPHY C51 149		I.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	`	Collab.)
ABE	91B 90I	ZPHY C51 143 ZPHY C48 13		I.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i> K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS	Collab.)
ADEVA	90F	PL B247 177		B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	` .	Collab.)
ADEVA	90K	PL B250 199		B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>)	Collab.)
ADEVA	90L	PL B250 205		B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3	Collab.)
ADEVA	900	PL B252 525	В	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3	Collab.)

AKRAWY AKRAWY AKRAWY DECAMP DECAMP KIM ABE	89 89 89 88B 88	PL B241 133 PL B244 135 PL B246 285 PL B236 501 PL B250 172 PL B240 243 PRL 62 613 PRL 62 1825 PRL 63 1447 PRL 62 3020 ZPHY C45 175 PL B232 425 PL B228 553 ZPHY C44 15 PL B220 464 PL B222 163 ZPHY C43 549 ZPHY C41 567 PL B213 400 PL B213 400 PL B213 400 PL B213 400 PL B216 551 ZPHY C37 171 ZPHY C37 171 ZPHY C40 163 PL B195 613 ZPHY C36 15 PL B191 209 PR D35 10 PL B172 461 PL B177 244 ZPHY C31 359 ZPHY C30 371 PL 168B 420 PL B181 178 PL 166B 463 PR D34 3286 PR D34 3286 PR D34 3286 PR D34 3286 PR D34 1967 PR D37 237 erratum PL 160B 349 PL 160B 337 ZPHY C28 1 ZPHY C27 341 PL 138B 430 PL 146B 437 PL 146B 493	M.Z. Akrawy et al. M.Z. Akrawy et al. M.Z. Akrawy et al. D. Decamp et al. D. Decamp et al. G.N. Kim et al. F. Abe et al. F. Abe et al. F. Abe et al. K. Abe et al. K. Abe et al. K. Abe et al. K. Abe et al. V. Barger et al. V. Barger et al. J. Dorenbosch et al. K. Abe et al. K. Abe et al. K. Hagiwara, M. Sakuda, S.K. Kim et al. K. Abe et al. R. Baringer et al. W. Braunschweig et al. W. Braunschweig et al. K. Abe et al. P. Baringer et al. W. Braunschweig et al. W. Braunschweig et al. M. Bartel et al. H.J. Behrend et al. E. Fernandez et al. G.T.J. Arnison et al. G.T.J. Arnison et al. M. Bartel et al. H.J. Behrend et al. H.J. Behrend et al. M. Derrick et al. M. Derrick et al. M. Derrick et al. M. Derrick et al. J.A. Grifols, S. Peris A. Jodidio et al. J.A. Appel et al. C. Berger et al. C. Berger et al. C. Berger et al. C. Berger et al. Eichten et al. M. Bartel et al. Eichten et al. M. Bartel et al. Eichten et al.	(AMY Collab.) (VENUS Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (TASSO Collab.) (TASSO Collab.) (UA2 Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (UA1 Collab.) (UA1 Collab.) (UA1 Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (LELLO Collab.) (CELLO Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (HRS Collab.) (BARC) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (LBL, NWES, TRIU) (UA2 Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (PLUTO Collab.) (PLUTO Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.) (JADE Collab.)
				(TASSO Collab.) (CERN)